

Contents

Ch	apter 1. Abstract	4
Chapter 2. Overview of DITA		
	DITA shells	5
	DITA modules	6
	DITA elements	7
	bookmap	11
	learningSummary	12
	learningPlan	12
	learningOverview	12
	learningContent	13
	learningObjectMap	13
	learningBase	13
	learningGroupMap	14
	learningAssessment	14
	troubleshooting	14
	reference	15
	task	15
	glossentry	16
	glossgroup	16
	concept	16
	subjectScheme	17
	DITA domains	17
	DITA Learning Interaction Base 2 Domain	17
	DITA Learning Map Domain	18
	DITA Learning Interaction Base Domain	18
	DITA Learning Metadata Domain	19
	DITA Learning Domain	20

DITA Learning 2 Domain	21
DITA Abbreviated Form Domain	21
DITA Markup Name Mention Domain	22
DITA MathML Domain	22
DITA SVG Domain	22
DITA User Interface Domain	22
DITA Equation Domain	22
DITA Task Requirements Domain	23
DITA Programming Domain	24
DITA XML Construct Domain	24
DITA Glossary Reference Domain	24
DITA Software Domain	25
DITA Release Management Domain	25
DITA XNAL Domain	26
DITAVAL Reference Domain	26
DITA Delay Resolution Domain	26
DITA Indexing Domain	27
DITA Hazard Statement Domain	27
DITA Highlight Domain	27
DITA Map Group Domain	27
DITA Utilities Domain	28
DITA Subject Classification Domain	28
Chapter 3. Taking advantage of DITA elements hierarchy	29
Element selection during editing	29
Automatic markup detection	29
Soft generalization	30
Chapter 4. Exchanging DITA documents	32

Chapter 1. Abstract


DITA is not defined as a flat list of elements, but each element is either a base element or it derives as a specialized version of another element. This hierarchy should actually decrease the cognitive complexity of a vocabulary because it allows you to find an element faster than working with a flat list.

In this presentation we want to show the hierarchy of elements in DITA and then explore how we may take advantage of this in understanding the DITA architecture, learning DITA, document authoring, etc.

Chapter 2. Overview of DITA

DITA shells

DITA 1.3 is defines multiple types of documents, along with the generic topic and map there are also many specialized topics types and maps types. Each type of document is defined by a schema that is marked as a shell schema, a schema that should be an entry point, the one that should be referred from an XML document. All the defined shells are presented in the following diagram, grouped by the folder they are defined in:



DITA modules

The DITA specification mentions that each element has a class attribute that encodes information about the type of that element, for example:


```
<step class="- topic/li task/step">
```

and this information includes:


"A sequence of one or more tokens of the form "modulename/typename", with each token separated by one or more spaces, where modulename is the short name of the vocabulary module and typename is the element type name. Tokens are ordered left to right from most general to most specialized."

By analyzing all the class values from all the elements defined in the schemas we can identify the base modules (top level ones), in this case topic and a hierarchy implied by how the elements are defined, by this relation from more general to more specialized modules, thus identifying how modules are specialized from others, in this example task is specialized from topic.

The base DITA modules:




The modules specialization hierarchy:




DITA elements

The total number of DITA elements defined in the schemas and how they are split into base elements, specialized element and a highlight of the duplicate elements, having the same name but being defined on a different module can be observed here:




Elements split by map and topic base




Topic elements

We project the element information on the topic base module:




Map elements


We project the element information on the ${\tt map}$ base module:




bookmap




learningSummary




learningPlan




learningOverview




learningContent




learningObjectMap




learningBase




learning Group Map




learningAssessment




troubleshooting




reference



task




glossentry




glossgroup



concept




subjectScheme




DITA domains

Some DITA elements are defined to be part of a domain that can be added on any DITA document type as a pluggable component. A domain defines a number of semantic elements that are derived from other elements, providing a specialization of the base elements but reflecting the semantics of a domain like programming, software, etc.


DITA Learning Interaction Base 2 Domain




DITA Learning Map Domain




DITA Learning Interaction Base Domain




DITA Learning Metadata Domain



DITA Learning Domain



DITA Learning 2 Domain




DITA Abbreviated Form Domain




DITA Markup Name Mention Domain




DITA MathML Domain




DITA SVG Domain




DITA User Interface Domain




DITA Equation Domain




DITA Task Requirements Domain



DITA Programming Domain




DITA XML Construct Domain




DITA Glossary Reference Domain




DITA Software Domain




DITA Release Management Domain



DITA XNAL Domain




DITAVAL Reference Domain



DITA Delay Resolution Domain




DITA Indexing Domain




DITA Hazard Statement Domain




DITA Highlight Domain




DITA Map Group Domain



DITA Utilities Domain



DITA Subject Classification Domain



Chapter 3. Taking advantage of DITA elements hierarchy

Elements in DITA are defined not as a flat list of elements, but instead DITA defines a hierarchy, similar to a type hierarchy, and elements are either base elements or they are derived from another element. If we look at the analogy with a type system, the base elements are similar to the primitive types and the other elements are equivalent to derived types.

This is realized using values specified in the **class** attribute. These values specify the category and the name of the current element and, if we talk about a derived element, also the name and category of its parent, as well as all the ancestors up to the base element.

For example the <code>@class</code> value for the <code><lcPlanTitle></code> element is:

```
class="- topic/fig learningBase/fig learningPlan/lcPlanTitle"
```

This means that the current element is <lcPlanTitle> from the learningPlan category and this is derived from the <fig> element from the learningBase category, which in turn is defined from the <fig> element from the topic category.

We may take this information into account in some situations.

Element selection during editing


Another possibility is to present a drop down for an element that has specialized elements and those are valid at that location and allow the user to move to a more specialized element by selecting it from that drops down.

Automatic markup detection


Soft generalization

To visualize how a document will look like when it is generalized but without really generalizing it, that is without replacing the elements with more general ones, we implemented a CSS rendering to show the XML tags as if the document is generalized.


For example, an element on which we make the tags visible using CSS static content placed before and after the element looks like:



If we generalize on level, showing the first derived element type than in this sample <mlelement> will turn into <markupname>:



If we generalize to show the base elements then many of the tag names will change, as highlighted in the following screen shot:



Chapter 4. Exchanging DITA documents

One advantage of using DITA is that we can tag at semantic level. For this to work, we need to create a specialization that reflects exactly the concepts the users of that specialization are familiar with. If one tries to layer its actual needs over an existing specialization - or one of the standard schemas - these may not match exactly the concepts of the audience and thus it will look like DITA is not the best fit.

But the problem with a specialization is how do we exchange it with other parties? We need to provide them also our specialization, and if they do not have our specialization, then what happens?

One approach will be to export the DITA content as generalized content. Then import such a generalized package into an existing DITA installation by specializing as much as possible taking into account what is available on the target DITA installation.

How can this be achieved?

From the class of the root element we can identify the possible specialized root elements and check if we have them defined in a schema in the target DITA installation. Once we choose a root element then we need to analyze the domain attributes of the root element and see what domains are defined in the target topic type and then specialize elements from those domains back, otherwise, if a domain is not present in the target schema we need to leave the base element.

We have support for generalization - and that is relatively easy to implement - and we can probably obtain also the export package in a generalized form. We are missing however a tool to take a generalized package, analyze a current DITA installation and specialize everything so that it matches what is available in that specific DITA installation.