Range Analysis

Ananya Bahadur

November 16, 2017

Contents

1	Ove	rview	1	
	1.1	Scope	1	
	1.2	Properties	2	
2	Data Flow Equations			
	2.1	Data Flow values	2	
	2.2	Flow Function	2	
	2.3	Interval Operations	2	
	2.4	Component Lattice	3	
		2.4.1 Range (\mathcal{R})	3	
		• •	3	
		2.4.3 The Lattice	4	
		2.4.4 Meet Operator	4	
3	Soo		4	
	3.1	FlowSet implementation	4	
	3.2	Range	5	

1 Overview

Range Analysis is a forward DFA. The range of a variable v at a program point p is the range [L, R] of numeric values that it can assume.

1.1 Scope

We restrict ourselves to integers to avoid issues with the boundaries of ranges, specifically intervals with open end(s) and division. Integer division results are very well defined and are neither implementation nor hardware dependent.

Am I handling integer overflow and wrap around?

1.2 Properties

Inseparability The data flow values of different variables are dependent on each other.

2 Data Flow Equations

2.1 Data Flow values

 In_n , Out_n values are mappings $\mathbb{V}ar \to \hat{L}$. These data flow values are also called *context*, and denoted by X in this document.

$$In_n = \begin{cases} \{\langle y \mapsto \mathsf{undef} \rangle \mid y \in \mathbb{V}\text{ar}\} & \text{if } n \text{ is start} \\ \prod_{p \in Pred(n)} In_p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

$$Out_n = f_n(In_n) (2)$$

2.2 Flow Function

We define a Flow Function $f(X): L \to L$ and a function eval(e, X) that computes the range of expression e in the context X.

$$f_n(X) = \begin{cases} X \left[u \mapsto (-\infty, \infty) \right] & u \in \mathbb{V} \text{ar}, u \text{ is read from file} \\ X \left[u \mapsto [k, k] \right] & u \in \mathbb{V} \text{ar}, u = k, k \text{ is constant} \\ X \left[u \mapsto X[v] \right] & u, v \in \mathbb{V} \text{ar}, u = v \\ X \left[u \mapsto \text{eval}(e, X) \right] & u \in \mathbb{V} \text{ar}, u = e, e \text{ is an expression} \end{cases}$$

$$(3)$$

$$\operatorname{eval}(e, X) = \begin{cases} X[a] \oplus X[b] & a, b \in \operatorname{Opd}(e) \cap \operatorname{\mathbb{V}ar}, \operatorname{Op} = +\\ X[a] \ominus X[b] & a, b \in \operatorname{Opd}(e) \cap \operatorname{\mathbb{V}ar}, \operatorname{Op} = -\\ X[a] \otimes X[b] & a, b \in \operatorname{Opd}(e) \cap \operatorname{\mathbb{V}ar}, \operatorname{Op} = \times\\ X[a] \oslash X[b] & a, b \in \operatorname{Opd}(e) \cap \operatorname{\mathbb{V}ar}, \operatorname{Op} = \div \end{cases}$$
(4)

2.3 Interval Operations

Interval arithmetic is surprisingly tricky, wrt. division and multiplication (9 cases!). 2 discusses ways to implement a fast interval multiplier in modern pipelined superscalar FPUs. Here is a handy web interval arithmetic calculator to verify (my) implementations.

$$[a,b] \oplus [x,y] = [a+x,b+y] \tag{5}$$

$$[a,b] \ominus [x,y] = [a-y,b-x] \tag{6}$$

$$[a,b] \otimes [x,y] = [\min(ax, ay, bx, by), \ \max(ax, ay, bx, by)] \tag{7}$$

$$[a,b] \otimes [x,y] = [a \quad y, b \quad x]$$

$$[a,b] \otimes [x,y] = [\min(ax,ay,bx,by), \max(ax,ay,bx,by)]$$

$$[a,b] \otimes [x,y] = \begin{cases} [a,b] \otimes [1/y,1/x] & 0 \notin [x,y] \\ [-\infty,\infty] & 0 \in [a,b] \land 0 \in [x,y] \\ [b/x,\infty] & b < 0 \land y = 0 \\ [-\infty,b/y] \cup [b/x,\infty] & b < 0 \land x < 0 < y \\ [-\infty,b/y] & b < 0 \land x = 0 \\ [-\infty,a/x] & a > 0 \land y = 0 \\ [-\infty,a/x] \cup [a/y,\infty] & a > 0 \land x < 0 < y \\ [a/y,\infty] & a > 0 \land x = 0 \\ \emptyset & 0 \notin [a,b] \land c = d = 0 \end{cases}$$
All it the lattice of the state of the state

All interval arithmetic operations and algorithms are compiled in Hickey et al.. Additional operators can be defined such as exponentiation and logarithm.

2.4 Component Lattice

2.4.1 Range (\mathcal{R})

A range is a tuple,

$$(l,r) \equiv \{a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, l < a < r\}, l < r \land l, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

We will be merging ranges in 1, so we need to define a new closed $\hat{\cup}$ operator for our analysis:

$$a_1 \, \hat{\cup} \, a_2 = (l_1, r_1) \, \hat{\cup} \, (l_2, r_2)$$

$$= (\min(l_1, l_2), \, \max(r_1, r_2))$$

$$(9)$$

This definition results in imprecision upon application of \sqcap in 1, but makes the result computable. If we were to use the natural and $precise \cup$ (which gives a union of disjoint sets), the result of 1 can become arbitrarily large.

Some boolean operators are also defined,

$$a_1 \subseteq a_2 = (l_1 \ge l_2 \land r_1 \le r_2), \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{R}$$
 (10)

2.4.2 Component Set

 \mathcal{A} is the set of all ranges in \mathbb{Z} , including the range \emptyset which acts like an undef in our analysis.

2.4.3 The Lattice

$$\hat{L} = (\mathcal{A}, \supseteq) \tag{11}$$

Thus, \top is \emptyset and \bot is $(-\infty, \infty)$.

2.4.4 Meet Operator

$$a \ \hat{\sqcap} \ b = \hat{\cup} \quad a, b \in \mathcal{R}$$
 (12)

I have to decide if I'm gonna stay in Z or move to R. This is especially important with my lattice definition, as A may rather be the set of all ranges in [-MAX_INT, +MAX_INT] in case of Z.

3 Soot

The best resources to **soot** are packaged with it in the /tutorial directory. Run make in it and enjoy the material.

3.1 FlowSet implementation

Most (simple) analyses compute 1 bit information about some code entity (variable or expression, etc). Constant Propagation computes n bit information per variable and also has an unbounded flow function like Range Analysis.

For such analyses, a HashMap implementation suits best to represent FlowSets because we frequently lookup the computed information of other entities – mere use or def doesn't serve us much. Since Java is weakly typed, I found it hard to implement a generic HashMapFlowSet and just went ahead with a much simpler RangeFlowSet.

- clone()
- clear()
- isEmpty()
- copy (FlowSet dest)
- union (FlowSet other, FlowSet dest)
- intersection (FlowSet other, FlowSet dest)
- difference (FlowSet other, FlowSet dest)

3.2 Range

We define a Range object. An instance is created at the following locations in code, as defined in 3:

- Assignments (constant, expression, other local)
- Read from stdin

References

- T. Hickey, Q. Ju, and M. H. Van Emden. Interval arithmetic: From principles to implementation. J. ACM, 48(5):1038-1068, Sept. 2001. ISSN 0004-5411. doi: 10.1145/502102.502106. URL http://fab.cba.mit.edu/classes/S62.12/docs/Hickey_interval.pdf.
- E. D. Popova. On the efficiency of interval multiplication algorithms. In 3rd International Conference 'Real Numbers and Computers', pages 117-132, Paris, France, Apr. 1998. URL http://www.math.bas.bg/~epopova/papers/98EPopova-RNC.pdf.