Lecture 18

Bx = 6, B is non-singular

Back to the example! (lect. 17)

example from the book!

$$\begin{vmatrix}
2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 1 & -6 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3 \\
x_4 \\
x_5
\end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix}
7 \\
-25 \\
72 \\
232 \\
-72
\end{vmatrix}$$

Now start with the bast line:

$$7 \times_5 = -\frac{7}{2} \implies \times_5 = -\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\times_4 - 21(-\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{23}{7} \implies \times_4 = 1$
...

Solution x* = (-1 0 2 1 - \frac{1}{2})

o Using LU factorisation of B implies the solution of two "ease" systems Ly = b, Ux = y instead of solving Bx = b (since L and U are triangular).

of both approaches is similar

o we need perturbations of rows and/or columns in order to obtain non-zero chaqonal elements. Since B is non-singular, each row/column contains at least one non-zero element.

Obviously, the more zero-elements matrix B has, the faster the solution is obtained. Def. A motive that contains zeroelements is called a sparse matrix.

Trick! Apply further row/column permutatations aiming at hieping Land U as sparse as possible.

Problem! How to find the best such permutation is a harder problem than solving the original LP (makes no sense to find it deterministically).

Use a heuristic, that is, a result/converg. cannot be proved, but works well in practice.

We will book at the minimum cligree orcling heuristic."

The effect of making L and U more sparse (L and U contains much more zero-eliments).

This can have a chamatic effect for high dimensions.

Approximately: the cost of solving our original problem depends cubically on the climentian of B for Gaussian elimination or LV-factorization.

But only linear for sufficiently sparse LU-matrices. Idea for minimum degree Ordering heuristic (MDOH).

oChoose in each step of Gaussian elinionation/LU-factorisation within the uncliminated part of B the sparsest row (with the smallest number of non-zero elements) and

o From the non-zero elements of this row, the one whos column is the sparsest one.

o Then apply a permutetion such that the chosen row/column define a diagonal element.

Example

Apply MDOH heuristic to B.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

- o Row 4 is the sparsest now
- o Check columns 2 and 5 (nonzero eliments). Sparsest column is 2
- o Permutate vous 4 and 1 and columns 2 and 1 s.t. (-1) becomes

Mu (1,1)-diagonal eliment

Eliminate (1) by adding 2+4 and leave the (1) in its place.

Gauss elimination leaels to:

Uneliminated part

Notation: the # of non-zero elem. of a row or column in the un-eliminateel part is called the clegree of a row/column.

o Row 5 has degree 2 (min.) in the upeliminated part (red square). o We swap 5 and 2. Check columns 3 and 5. Column 3 has min. degree.

Row 3 has min. cligree. Column 1 has min. cligree. Since there are no zeros there are no necessary permutations.

Row I has nin. degree. Swap 4 an 5.

$$= > \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{4}$$

$$= > \frac{5}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{0}{0} \cdot \frac{4}{0}$$

$$= > \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0}$$

$$= > \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{5}{0} \cdot \frac{4}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0}$$

$$= > \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0}$$

Elimination is now complete since we have now non-zero elements in the diagonal.

For L and U we get the following:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -14 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The number of non-zero noncliagonal elements in L and U: 5+3=f We had 12 non-zero non-diagonal elements in L and U before our herristic method so this is an inprovement.

This can have a drematic effect on large problems in terms of efficiency!!

Note that a hunistic will not always provide a better result. It might sometimes even be worse.

Chapter 14 Network flow problems

We consider an LP problem with a particular structure.

General situation of a network:

 E.g. transportation, electricity, Communictation retwork etc.

Possible objectives: min. of costs, time etc.

Here: min. cost network flow problem.