

Specialization project in physics

Øyvind Johansen

Abstract

1. Introduction

2. Energy terms in micromagnetics

2.1. Anisotropic energy

Magnetic materials may be anisotropic, meaning that certain directions of the magnetization in the material are more energetically favorable than others. This stands in contrast to isotropic materials where the energy is independent of the direction of the magnetization, assuming uniform magnetization. There are several possible causes for anisotropy in a material, the most common one being the crystalline structure in the material. One of the most important causes of magnetocrystalline anisotropy is the spin-orbit interaction. This interaction arises from the fact that in the restframe of the electron, the proton is orbiting the electron, thereby creating a varying electric field. Since the electric field is varying, Maxwell's equations say that there will also be a corresponding magnetic field. This magnetic field is proportional to the angular momentum \vec{L} of the electron. The electron also has a magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$, proportional to its spin \vec{S} , that will interact with the magnetic field. The energy is lowest when the magnetic moment and field are aligned, which we will see when discussing the Zeeman energy later in the text. Combining the spin-orbit interaction with the orientation of the atoms in the lattice it is possible that some directions in the material are magnetized easier than others. This is magnetocrystalline anisotropy. The shape of the magnetic particles may also cause anisotropy in the material, which will be briefly discussed in the section on the demagnetization energy.

In anisotropic magnetic materials one defines different axes; the easy, intermediate and hard axes. If the magnetization is oriented along the easy axis, the anisotropic energy is at its minimum value. Similarly, if the magnetization is oriented along the hard axis the anisotropic energy is at its maximum value. The intermediate axis is the direction along which there is a saddlepoint in the anisotropic energy. In most materials the anisotropic energy is the same for both possible orientations of the magnetization along the axes. When this is the case, the terms in the anisotropic energy can only contain even powers of the components in the magnetization vector.

2.1.1. Uniaxial anisotropy

The simplest form of anisotropy is the case where there is only one axis that the anisotropic energy varies with. This is known as uniaxial anisotropy. This can often

be found in crystal structures with a single principal axis, such as the hexagonal and simple tetragonal lattices. If we consider a uniform magnetization $\vec{M} = [M_x, M_y, M_z]$ and let one of the directions along the axis in the material that the anisotropic energy varies be the unit vector \hat{n} , the anisotropic energy must be on the form

$$E_A = V \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} K_i \left(\frac{\vec{M} \cdot \hat{n}}{M_s} \right)^{2i} \quad (1)$$

if there is to be no preferential direction with respect to energy along the axis. Here V is the volume, $M_s = |\vec{M}|$ the saturation magnetization and K_i are the anisotropy constants. By only considering the lowest order in the anisotropic energy it becomes

$$E_A = KV \left(\frac{\vec{M} \cdot \hat{n}}{M_s} \right)^2 \quad (2)$$

by ignoring the constant energy term as that only leads to a constant shift in the energy. If $K < 0$ the axis that \hat{n} points along is then the easy axis, and if $K > 0$ the axis is the hard axis. Note that if we have a non-uniform magnetization we let

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{M} &\rightarrow \vec{M}(\vec{r}), \\ V &\rightarrow \int dV. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2. Cubic anisotropy

Many magnetic materials, such as iron, have cubic crystal structures. This can give rise to cubic anisotropy in the magnetic material. To describe cubic anisotropy it can be useful to introduce directional cosines that give the component of a unitary vector along the x -, y - and z -axes. Using spherical coordinates, these directional cosines are defined to be $\alpha = \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $\beta = \sin \theta \sin \phi$, $\gamma = \cos \theta$. Note that the directional cosines can also be written in terms of the magnetization, so that $\alpha = M_x/M_s$, $\beta = M_y/M_s$ and $\gamma = M_z/M_s$. If there is to be no preferential direction with respect to energy along the crystal axes, the anisotropic energy can only contain even powers of α , β and γ . In addition, to have cubic symmetry in the anisotropic energy, the energy must be invariant under any interchanges among the directional cosines [1]. The first term in the anisotropic energy that depends on the directional cosines will therefore be proportional to $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2$, but using the definitions of the directional cosines we find that

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = \frac{M_x^2 + M_y^2 + M_z^2}{M_s^2} = \frac{|\vec{M}|^2}{|\vec{M}|^2} = 1. \quad (3)$$

This term is therefore just a constant, so to find a term that varies with the directional cosines we must go to fourth order:

$$E_A = E_A^{(0)} + \int (K_1(\alpha^2\beta^2 + \alpha^2\gamma^2 + \beta^2\gamma^2) + \dots) dV. \quad (4)$$

The sixth order term would be on the form $K_2 V \alpha^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2$ and so on. If one looks at the form of the energy in (4) one can determine the easy and hard axes in the material. The integrand has to be minimized along the easy axes, and maximized along the hard axes. If one only considers the fourth order terms in the energy, we have to minimize and maximize the function $K_1(\alpha^2 \beta^2 + \alpha^2 \gamma^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2)$ with the constraint given by (3). Using Lagrange multipliers, this breaks down to solving

$$\nabla f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \lambda \nabla g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \quad (5)$$

$$g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0, \quad (6)$$

with

$$f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = K_1(\alpha^2 \beta^2 + \alpha^2 \gamma^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2), \quad (7)$$

$$g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 1, \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right]. \quad (9)$$

This gives us the following set of equations to solve:

$$2K_1 \alpha(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) = 2\alpha\lambda,$$

$$2K_1 \beta(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2) = 2\beta\lambda,$$

$$2K_1 \gamma(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = 2\gamma\lambda,$$

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 1 = 0.$$

One can easily see that one solution of the equations is given by $\lambda = 0$, one of the directional cosines being ± 1 and the other two being 0. Inserting this solution into $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ we get $f = 0$.

Assuming only one of the directional cosines is 0, for example γ , one gets

$$K_1 \beta^2 = \lambda,$$

$$K_1 \alpha^2 = \lambda.$$

Using the constraint in (3) one finds $\lambda = K_1/4$, and both non-zero directional cosines being $\pm 1/2$. Inserting this solution into $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ we get $f = K_1/4$.

Assuming none of the directional cosines are 0, one gets

$$K_1(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) = \lambda,$$

$$K_1(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2) = \lambda,$$

$$K_1(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = \lambda.$$

Using the constraint in (3) one finds $\lambda = 2K_1/3$, and each of the directional cosines being $\pm 1/\sqrt{3}$. Inserting this solution into $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ we get $f = K_1/3$. This means that if $K_1 > 0$ the energy is minimized by the first solution, making the x -, y - and z -axes the easy axes. The hard axes are then given by the third solution which corresponds to the four axes $x = \pm y = \pm z$, $x = \pm y = \mp z$. If $K_1 < 0$ the energy is maximized by the first solution and minimized by the third, so that the easy and hard axes switch from the case when $K_1 > 0$. The second solution denotes a local minimum if $K_1 < 0$ and a local maximum if $K_1 > 0$. The second solution does not give any intermediate axes, as there is no saddle point in the energy.

2.2. Demagnetization energy

The magnetic dipoles inside a ferromagnet will generate a magnetic field, known as the demagnetizing field. This name stems from the behavior of the field, as it will try to reduce the total magnetic moment in the magnet. This is because a high magnetic moment in the magnet will generate a strong external magnetic field, which costs energy. Magnetic materials consist of magnetic dipoles. If the magnetization is uniform in the magnet there will be no poles inside the magnet, as the positive and negative poles cancel each other out. There will, however, be a positive pole at one surface of the magnet and a negative pole at the opposing surface that do not cancel out. This causes a large total magnetic moment in the magnet, as it is proportional to the length between the poles. To minimize the total magnetic moment and thereby the energy, the demagnetizing field will therefore create magnetic domains, where the different domains have uniform magnetization in different directions. There is a limit to how small the domains can be, as the exchange interaction will begin to dominate on short ranges, which we will discuss later.

The energy in a ferromagnet will depend on the orientation of the magnetic dipoles with respect to the demagnetizing field created by the other magnetic dipoles. This demagnetization energy is given by

$$E_D = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} \int d^3r \vec{M}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{H}_D(\vec{r}), \quad (10)$$

with \vec{H}_D being the demagnetization field. From this equation it looks like the demagnetizing field will attempt to align the magnetization with itself, which is not the behavior that was discussed earlier. One can not make this conclusion yet, however, as the demagnetizing field is at this point an unknown function of the magnetization \vec{M} . To determine the demagnetization energy one needs to find the magnetic field generated by a magnetic dipole \vec{M} . The vector potential of a magnetic dipole is known to be

$$\vec{A}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\vec{M} \times \vec{r}}{r^3}. \quad (11)$$

The magnetic induction $\vec{B}(\vec{r})$ is defined as

$$\vec{B}(\vec{r}) = \nabla \times \vec{A}(\vec{r}). \quad (12)$$

Using the Einstein summation convention and the Levi-Civita tensor ϵ_{ijk} one can then find the magnetic induction generated by a single magnetic dipole:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k \\
&= \epsilon_{kij} \epsilon_{klm} \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \partial_j \frac{M_l r_m}{r^3} \\
&= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left(\frac{M_l \delta_{jm}}{r^3} - \frac{3M_l r_m r_j}{r^5} \right) \\
&= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left(\frac{3(\vec{M} \cdot \vec{r}) r_i}{r^5} - \frac{M_i}{r^3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This means that the magnetic field strength of the demagnetization field generated by the entire magnet at a position \vec{r} is

$$\vec{H}_D = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3 r' \left(\frac{3(\vec{M}(\vec{r}') \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}'))(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^5} - \frac{\vec{M}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} \right). \quad (13)$$

From this one can see that the demagnetizing field has a tendency to point in a different direction than the magnetization. At a position that is perpendicular to the magnetization the field is completely anti-aligned with the magnetization. Inserting (13) into (10) one finds that the energy is

$$E_D = \frac{\mu_0}{8\pi} \int d^3 r \int d^3 r' \left(\frac{\vec{M}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{M}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} - \frac{3(\vec{M}(\vec{r}) \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}'))(\vec{M}(\vec{r}') \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}'))}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^5} \right). \quad (14)$$

The energy is small when the total magnetization in the magnet is small, the demagnetizing field will therefore try to reduce the total magnetic moment in the magnet as discussed earlier.

This integrand in (14) can be rewritten in a tensor form [2]. Using the relation

$$\partial_i \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} = -\frac{r_i - r'_i}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} \quad (15)$$

it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \partial_j \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} &= \partial_i \left(-\frac{r_j - r'_j}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} + 3 \frac{(r_i - r'_i)(r_j - r'_j)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Defining the continuous demagnetization tensor to be

$$N_{ij}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_i \partial_j \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}, \quad (16)$$

the demagnetization energy and field can then be written as

$$E_D = \frac{\mu_0}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \vec{M}(\vec{r}) N(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \vec{M}(\vec{r}'), \quad (17)$$

$$\vec{H}_D(\vec{r}) = - \int d^3r' N(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \vec{M}(\vec{r}'). \quad (18)$$

Something worth noting is that if the demagnetization tensor $\bar{N}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$ is strictly diagonal, the energy can be written as

$$E_D = \mu_0 (N_{xx} M_x^2 + N_{yy} M_y^2 + N_{zz} M_z^2). \quad (19)$$

This is the case for ellipsoidal bodies [2]. This form of the demagnetization energy is similar to that of the uniaxial anisotropic energy in (2). This means that ellipsoidal magnetic particles cause shape anisotropy, assuming the magnetic particle is not spherical ($N_{xx} = N_{yy} = N_{zz}$).

2.3. Zeeman energy

The Zeeman energy describes the energy from the interaction between the magnetization of the magnet and an external field \vec{H}_Z . The Zeeman energy is on the same form as the demagnetization energy in (10), with the internally generated demagnetization field replaced by the external magnetic field:

$$E_Z = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} \int d^3r \vec{M}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{H}_Z(\vec{r}). \quad (20)$$

This energy is at its minimum when the magnetization is aligned with the external field.

2.4. Exchange energy

Spins on a lattice will have an exchange energy with their nearest neighbors, as given by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = -\frac{JS^2}{M_s^2} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{M}_i \cdot \vec{M}_j, \quad (21)$$

with J being the exchange integral. For a ferromagnet the lowest exchange energy is when the spins are aligned, meaning $J > 0$. In the Hamiltonian we sum over each nearest neighbor pair $\langle i, j \rangle$. To perform the sum we look at the magnetization in the continuum limit, with a slowly varying magnetization. It is then possible to do a Taylor expansion around the magnetization \vec{M}_i . If one only looks at a Taylor expansion in the x -direction, it can be written as

$$\vec{M}_{i+1} \approx \vec{M}_i + a \frac{\partial \vec{M}_i}{\partial x} + \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{M}_i}{\partial^2 x}, \quad (22)$$

with a being the lattice constant and \vec{M}_{i+1} the magnetization at the neighboring site to \vec{M}_i along the x -axis. Similar expansions can be done in the y - and z -directions. Using this we can take a look at the contribution to the energy from \vec{M}_i and its nearest neighbors in (21). For simplicity we consider a cubic lattice with a lattice constant a . Each lattice site has six nearest neighbors in three dimensions. Applying (22) to find the contributions to the energy from the nearest neighbors in the x -direction located at $\pm a\hat{x}$ relative to \vec{M}_i , we find

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{M}_i \cdot \vec{M}_{i-1} + \vec{M}_i \cdot \vec{M}_{i+1} &= 2\vec{M}_i \cdot \vec{M}_i + (a - a)\vec{M}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{M}_i}{\partial x} + a^2 \vec{M}_i \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{M}_i}{\partial^2 x} \\ &= 2M_s^2 + a^2 \vec{M}_i \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{M}_i}{\partial^2 x}.\end{aligned}$$

Doing the same calculation for the nearest neighbors in the y - and z -directions we get that the exchange energy density from the magnetization at one site and its nearest neighbors is

$$\epsilon_i = -\frac{JS^2}{a^3} \left(6 + \frac{a^2}{M_s^2} \vec{M}_i \nabla^2 \vec{M}_i\right). \quad (23)$$

To find the total exchange energy in the magnet we integrate the energy density over the volume of the magnet, so that

$$E_E = - \int d^3r \frac{JS^2}{2aM_s^2} \vec{M}(\vec{r}) \nabla^2 \vec{M}(\vec{r}). \quad (24)$$

The constant term in the energy has been ignored, and the factor $1/2$ has been introduced to account for the double counting of the interaction pairs. The energy in (24) can be rewritten to a more common form. Using that

$$\nabla(\vec{M}(\nabla \cdot \vec{M})) = (\nabla \cdot \vec{M})^2 + \vec{M} \nabla^2 \vec{M}, \quad (25)$$

and that

$$\nabla M_s^2 = \nabla(\vec{M} \cdot \vec{M}) = 2\vec{M}(\nabla \cdot \vec{M}) = 0 \quad (26)$$

because M_s is a constant, we find

$$\vec{M} \nabla^2 \vec{M} = -(\nabla \cdot \vec{M})^2. \quad (27)$$

This means that the energy in (24) becomes

$$E_E = \int d^3r \frac{A}{M_s^2} (\nabla \cdot \vec{M}(\vec{r}))^2, \quad (28)$$

where we have introduced the exchange constant A . As one can see, the energy depends on the divergence of the magnetization squared, meaning the variation in the magnetization. Because the exchange constant A is positive in a ferromagnet, the exchange energy is higher the more the magnetization varies. This can also easily be seen from (21), it costs energy when the spins are not aligned when $J > 0$, which is the case in a ferromagnet. To minimize the exchange energy the magnetization therefore has to vary slowly. On short ranges this effect is much stronger than the demagnetization and magnetocrystalline anisotropy. This is why neighbouring spins have a tendency to align in a ferromagnet, while on longer ranges when the demagnetization effect becomes stronger than the exchange interaction the spins tend to be anti-aligned.

3. Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation

4. Domain walls

5. Domain wall dynamics

6. Skyrmions

7. References

- [1] Charles Kittel. *Introduction to Solid State Physics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 8th edition, 1986.
- [2] B. Krüger. *Current-induced Domain-wall Dynamics in Ferromagnetic Nanowires*. Institut für Theoretische Physik Universität Hamburg, 2006.