

# Linear Algebra Final Exam

Ozaner Hansha

May 12, 2020

## Problem 1

**Solution:** We first find the eigenvalues of  $A$  by solving its characteristic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p(\lambda) \\ &= \det(A - \lambda I) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} -1 - \lambda & -1 & 1 \\ 5 & 3 - \lambda & -3 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (-1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 3) - (-1)(-5\lambda + 4) + 1 \cdot (-2\lambda + 1) \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 2 \\ &= -(\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda - 2) \end{aligned}$$

Now we check the GM of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , i.e. the dimension of the eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \dim E_1 &= \dim \ker(A - I) && \text{(def. of eigenspace)} \\ &= \text{nullity} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \\ -2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} && \text{(def. of nullity)} \\ &= \text{nullity} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} && \text{(rref}^{[1]}) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

This leaves us with 2 eigenvalues:  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with AM 2 and GM 1, and  $\lambda_2 = 2$  with AM 1 and GM 1. This corresponds to the following Jordan blocks:

$$J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad J_2 = [2]$$

Putting these together, we have the following Jordan normal form:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall that every matrix is similar to its JNF, and so there exists some  $P$  such that:

$$A = P^{-1}JP$$

We can now express the trace of  $A^n$  as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}(A^n) &= \text{tr}((P^{-1}JP)^n) && \text{(similar to JNF)} \\
 &= \text{tr}(P^{-1}J^nP) && \text{(power of similar matrices are similar)} \\
 &= \text{tr}(J^n) && \text{(trace is constant for similar matrices)} \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^n \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 1^n & \binom{n}{1}1^{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2^n \end{bmatrix} && \text{(power of JNF)} \\
 &= 2 + 2^n
 \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2

**Solution:** We first prove 2 lemmas before proving the main theorem:

**Lemma 1:** Any eigenvectors of a matrix  $M$  with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $M$ , and let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  be corresponding eigenvectors, i.e.:

$$M\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{v}_i \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

Now consider constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that:

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  are independent iff  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . Now consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= M\mathbf{0} \\ &= M(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) && (\text{from Eq. 2}) \\ &= c_1M\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2M\mathbf{v}_2 \\ &= c_1\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2 && (\text{from Eq. 1}) \end{aligned}$$

We take that last equality and call it (Eq. 3):

$$c_1\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

Now, multiplying (Eq. 2) by  $\lambda_2$  and subtracting from it (Eq. 3) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{0} &= \lambda_2 \cdot (\text{Eq. 2}) - (\text{Eq. 3}) \\ \mathbf{0} &= \lambda_2(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) - (c_1\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2) \\ &= c_1(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_1 \\ 0 &= c_1(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) && (\text{eigenvectors are nonzero}) \\ &= c_1 && (\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \implies \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

And so we have proved that  $c_1 = 0$ . Even further, substituting  $c_1 = 0$  into (Eq. 2), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 && (\text{Eq. 2}) \\ &= c_2\mathbf{v}_2 && (c_1 = 0) \\ &= c_2 && (\text{eigenvectors are nonzero}) \end{aligned}$$

And so  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ , and thus  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  are linearly independent. And so, since the corresponding eigenvectors of any two distinct eigenvalues of a matrix are linearly independent, we have that an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n$  distinct eigenvalues has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors. ■

**Lemma 2:** If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors, then it is diagonalizable.

**Proof:** Assume the  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ . Now consider a matrix  $P$  whose columns are those same eigenvectors, and a diagonal matrix  $D$  whose entries are the corresponding eigenvalues:

$$P = [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{v}_n] \quad D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly we have:

$$MP = M [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n] = [M\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad M\mathbf{v}_n] = [\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n] \quad (\mathbf{v}_i \text{ are eigenvectors})$$

$$PD = [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = [\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n]$$

Since  $MP$  and  $PD$  are equal, we can say:

$$MP = PD$$

$$M = PDP^{-1} \quad (P \text{ has linearly independent columns, thus is invertible})$$

And so  $M$  is diagonalizable. ■

**Theorem:** If a finite dimensional linear transformation  $T : V \rightarrow V$  with  $\dim V = n$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then it is diagonalizable.

**Proof:** Note that a finite linear transformation is diagonalizable iff (for some arbitrary basis) its matrix representative  $M$  is diagonalizable. And so we only need to prove that any  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  with  $n$  distinct eigenvalues is diagonalizable:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A matrix } M \text{ has } n \text{ distinct eigenvalues} &\implies M \text{ has } n \text{ linearly independent eigenvectors} && \text{(Lemma 1)} \\ &\implies M \text{ is diagonalizable} && \text{(Lemma 2)} \end{aligned}$$

And so we are done. ■

### Problem 3

**Solution:** First note that showing this result for finite dimensional linear transformations  $S$  and  $T$  is equivalent to showing it for their matrix representatives  $A$  and  $B$ , and so we do that instead.

Below we show that for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  that  $AB$  possesses,  $BA$  also possess this eigenvalue. We do this in two cases, one in which  $\lambda \neq 0$  and one in which  $\lambda = 0$ :

**Case 1:** Suppose  $\lambda$  is a nonzero eigenvalue of  $AB$ , i.e. there exists some nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  such that:

$$AB\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

Note from this equation that  $B\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  because if it did, then  $\lambda\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  implying that  $\lambda = 0$  since  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector, and thus nonzero. This contradicts our initial assumption that  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

Applying  $B$  to both sides of (Eq. 1) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} AB\mathbf{v} &= \lambda\mathbf{v} & (\text{Eq. 1}) \\ B(AB\mathbf{v}) &= B(\lambda\mathbf{v}) \\ BA(B\mathbf{v}) &= \lambda(B\mathbf{v}) & (\text{associativity}) \end{aligned}$$

And so,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $BA$  with a corresponding eigenvector of  $B\mathbf{v}$ , since it is nonzero. ■

**Case 2:** Suppose  $\lambda = 0$  is an eigenvalue of  $AB$ . This implies that  $AB$  has a nontrivial kernel, because some nonzero vectors go to  $\mathbf{0}$ . We want to show that  $BA$  also has a nontrivial kernel, i.e. that it has a 0 eigenvalue. To do this we give a proof by contradiction:

Suppose  $BA$  *does* have a trivial kernel. This makes  $BA$  a linear isomorphism which implies that both  $A$  and  $B$  are linear isomorphisms. This in turn implies that  $AB$  is a linear isomorphism, since it is the product of two linear isomorphisms. This however causes a contradiction as a linear isomorphism must have a trivial kernel, contradicting our assumption that  $AB$  has a 0 eigenvalue. And so, we have that  $TS$  must have a nontrivial kernel and thus a 0 eigenvalue. ■

While we have only proved one direction, i.e. that an eigenvalue of  $AB$  is an eigenvalue of  $BA$ , note that the other direction is given by an identical proof but with  $A$  and  $B$  switched. As such, we are done. ■

### Problem 4

**Solution:** Let  $E_\lambda$  be the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of  $U$ . We have that for any eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} U(T(\mathbf{v})) &= T(U(\mathbf{v})) && \text{(commutative)} \\ &= T(\lambda\mathbf{v}) && \text{(eigenvector)} \\ &= \lambda T(\mathbf{v}) && \text{(linearity)} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that every  $E_\lambda$  is  $T$ -stable, i.e.  $T$  preserves the eigenspace  $E_\lambda$ . This stability implies that the restriction  $T|_{E_\lambda}$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $E_\lambda$ .

Now, by the spectral theorem, each  $E_\lambda$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $T|_{E_\lambda}$ . Call them  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$  and call their corresponding eigenvalues  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r\}$ . We now have:

$$U(\mathbf{v}_i) = \lambda\mathbf{v}_i \quad T(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mu_i\mathbf{v}_i$$

Which is one part of our simultaneous diagonalization. we simply need to repeat this process for all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $U$ . The set of all those eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_i$  composes an eigenbasis which consists solely of eigenvectors of both  $U$  and  $T$ .

Now note that  $UT$  is a self-adjoint operator:

$$\begin{aligned} (UT)^* &= T^*U^* && \text{(anti-distributive)} \\ &= TU && \text{(} T \text{ and } U \text{ are self-adjoint)} \\ &= UT && \text{(} T \text{ and } U \text{ commute)} \end{aligned}$$

And so, by the spectral theorem,  $UT$  diagonalizes over  $V$ . This means that the set of simultaneous eigenvectors we found earlier spans the entirety of  $V$ . This is precisely what it means for two matrices to be simultaneously diagonalizable and so with that, we are done. ■

## Problem 5

**Part 1:** First we compute  $T(X)$  for an arbitrary matrix  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T(X) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{22} & 0 \\ x_{12} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{11} \\ 0 & x_{21} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{22} & x_{11} \\ x_{12} & x_{21} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the inner product  $\langle T(A), T(B) \rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(A), T(B) \rangle &= \text{tr}(T(B)^\top T(A)) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} b_{22} & b_{11} \\ b_{12} & b_{21} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & a_{11} \\ a_{12} & a_{21} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} b_{22} & b_{12} \\ b_{11} & b_{21} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & a_{11} \\ a_{12} & a_{21} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} b_{22}a_{22} + b_{12}a_{12} & b_{22}a_{11} + b_{12}a_{21} \\ b_{11}a_{22} + b_{21}a_{12} & b_{11}a_{11} + b_{21}a_{21} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= b_{11}a_{11} + b_{12}a_{12} + b_{21}a_{21} + b_{22}a_{22} \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the inner product  $\langle A, B \rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A, B \rangle &= \text{tr}(B^\top A) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}a_{11} + b_{21}a_{21} & b_{11}a_{12} + b_{21}a_{22} \\ b_{12}a_{11} + b_{22}a_{21} & b_{12}a_{12} + b_{22}a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= b_{11}a_{11} + b_{12}a_{12} + b_{21}a_{21} + b_{22}a_{22} \end{aligned}$$

And so we have that  $\langle T(A), T(B) \rangle = \langle A, B \rangle$  for any two matrices  $A$  and  $B$ . This is precisely what it means for  $T$  to be an orthogonal operator and so, we are done. ■

**Part 2:** We want an operator  $T^*$  that, for any matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , satisfies:

$$\langle T(A), B \rangle = \langle A, T^*(B) \rangle$$

To solve for that operator, let us consider the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(A), B \rangle &= \langle A, T^*(B) \rangle \\ &= \langle A, M \rangle && (\text{let } M := T^*(B)) \\ \text{tr}(B^\top T(A)) &= \text{tr}(M^\top A) \\ \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & a_{11} \\ a_{12} & a_{21} \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{21} \\ m_{12} & m_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ b_{11}a_{22} + b_{21}a_{12} + b_{12}a_{11} + b_{22}a_{21} &= m_{11}a_{11} + m_{21}a_{21} + m_{12}a_{12} + m_{22}a_{22} \end{aligned}$$

Now to calculate the entries of  $M$ , i.e. what  $T^*$  mapped  $B$  to, we simply have to pick the  $m_{ij}$  such that the above equality is satisfied:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} b_{12} & b_{21} \\ b_{22} & b_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

And so the adjoint  $T^*(X)$  for an arbitrary matrix  $X$  is given by:

$$T^*(X) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{12} & x_{21} \\ x_{22} & x_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$