

# Math Statistics

## Semiweekly HW 13

Ozaner Hansha

October 30, 2020

### Question 1

**Problem:** Consider a Gamma distribution with shape  $k > 0$  and scale  $\theta > 0$ . Find a maximum likelihood estimator for  $\frac{1}{\mu}$ , where  $\mu = k\theta$  is the mean. Is this a biased estimator?

**Solution:** Before we continue, note that:

- Each observation  $X_i$  in our sample has distribution  $\text{Gamma}(k, \theta)$ .
- The pdf of  $X_i$  given parameters  $k, \theta$  is denoted by  $f_{X_i}(x_i; k, \theta)$ .
- The sample  $X$  is comprised of  $n > 0$  i.i.d. observations of  $X_i$ .

Now, let us compute the MLE of the parameter  $\mu$ . Recall that the MLE of a parameter is the statistic that minimizes its likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} &= \arg \max_{\mu} f_X(\mathbf{x}; k, \theta) && \text{(def. of MLE)} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; k, \theta) && \text{(independent observations)} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; k, \mu/k) && \text{(mean of gamma distribution)} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k)(\mu/k)^k} e^{-\frac{x_i}{\mu/k}} && \text{(pdf of gamma distribution)} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{k^k x_i^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k) \mu^k} e^{-\frac{k x_i}{\mu}} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \left( \frac{k^k}{\Gamma(k) \mu^k} \right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k-1} e^{-\frac{k x_i}{\mu}} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \left( \frac{k^k}{\Gamma(k) \mu^k} \right)^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{k-1} \exp \left( -\frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \frac{1}{\mu^{kn}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{k-1} \exp \left( -\frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) && \left( \left( \frac{k^k}{\Gamma(k)} \right)^n > 0 \text{ and independent of } \mu \right) \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \log \left( \frac{1}{\mu^{kn}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{k-1} \exp \left( -\frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \right) && \text{(log is monotone increasing)} \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} -kn \log \mu + (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) - \frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we take the derivative of this function w.r.t.  $\mu$  and set it equal to 0. solving for  $\mu$  results in a value that produces a local extremum:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left( -kn \log \mu + (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) - \frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) && \text{(first order condition)} \\
&= -kn \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log \mu + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
&= \frac{-kn}{\mu} + \frac{k}{\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
&= \frac{-n}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
\frac{n}{\mu} &= + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
n\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
\mu &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
\mu &= \bar{X}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will show that this extremum is indeed a local maximum via the second derivative test:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \left( -kn \log \mu + (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) - \frac{k}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \Big|_{\mu=\bar{X}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left( \frac{-kn}{\mu} + \frac{k}{\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \Big|_{\mu=\bar{X}} \\
&= \left( \frac{kn}{\mu^2} - \frac{2k}{\mu^3} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \Big|_{\mu=\bar{X}} \\
&= \frac{kn^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} - \frac{2kn^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} \\
&= -\frac{kn^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} \\
&< 0 && (x_i > 0, k > 0, n > 0)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the second derivative test states that if  $f''(\mu) < 0$  at some critical point  $\mu$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is a local maximum. And so  $\mu = \bar{X}$  is a local maximum.

And so we finally have that  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} = \bar{X}$ . Now recall that, due to the invariance of MLEs. We have that for any function  $g$  and parameter  $\theta$ :

$$g(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) = \widehat{g(\theta)}_{\text{MLE}}$$

And so for  $g(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu}$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right)_{\text{MLE}} &= \frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}}} && \text{(invariance of MLEs)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\bar{X}} && \text{(MLE of } \mu)
\end{aligned}$$

Now that we have our MLE, we just need to check if it is biased. Note that:

$$(\forall i) X_i > 0 \implies \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{X} > 0 \implies \frac{1}{\bar{X}} > 0$$

Since  $\frac{1}{x}$  is a convex function over  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (i.e. any value  $\frac{1}{\bar{X}}$  can take), we can apply Jensen's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ \widehat{\left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right)}_{\text{MLE}} \right] &= E \left[ \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \right] \\ &> \frac{1}{E[\bar{X}]} && \text{(Jensen's inequality)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} && \text{(mean of sample mean)} \end{aligned}$$

You'll notice that we used a strict inequality. That is because equality between the LHS and RHS is only achieved when the RV in question has 0 variance (i.e. is constant). But since  $n > 0$  this cannot happen.

This means:

$$\widehat{\left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right)}_{\text{MLE}} \neq \frac{1}{\mu}$$

Thus, our MLE is indeed biased.