Set Theory HW #7 NAME ON TOP

Ozaner Hansha

November 12, 2019

Problem 1

Part 1: The sum of two superCauchy sequences s and t is given by the following sequence:

$$s + t = \{ \langle n, s_n + t_n \rangle \mid n \in \omega \}$$

For this sequence to qualify as superCauchy, there must exist some constant $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all naturals n:

$$|(s_{n+1} + t_{n+1}) - (s_n + t_n)| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$

We shall now produce this constant. Consider two arbitrary superCauchy sequences $s, t \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$. By definition, there exists two constants $C_s, C_t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all natural numbers n:

$$|s_{n+1} - s_n| \le \frac{C_s}{2^n} \tag{1}$$

$$|t_{n+1} - t_n| \le \frac{C_t}{2^n} \tag{2}$$

Let us choose and fix these constants as C_s and C_t respectively. Now note that since they are both inequalities of positive numbers, we can add them together, implying the following for all natural numbers n:

$$\frac{C_s + C_t}{2^n} \ge |s_{n+1} - s_n| + |t_{n+1} - t_n| \qquad \text{(sum of (1) and (2))}$$

$$\ge |s_{n+1} - s_n + t_{n+1} - t_n| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

$$\ge |s_{n+1} + t_{n+1} - s_n - t_n| \qquad \text{(associative & commutative prop. of } \mathbb{Q})$$

$$\ge |(s_{n+1} + t_{n+1}) - (s_n + t_n)| \qquad \text{(distributive prop. of } \mathbb{Q})$$

And so we have shown that, given two superCauchy sequences s and t, their sum s+t is also a superCauchy sequence with constant $C_s + C_t$. For the product we can multiply the inequalities together (since they are of positive numbers):

$$|s_{n+1} - s_n||t_{n+1} - t_n| \le \frac{C_s}{2^n} \cdot \frac{C_t}{2^n}$$
 (product of (1) and (2))

$$|(s_{n+1} - s_n)(t_{n+1} - t_n)| \le \frac{C_s C_t}{2^{n+1}}$$
 (product of absolute values)

$$|(s_{n+1}t_{n+1} - s_n t_{n+1} - s_{n+1}t_n + t_n s_n| \le \frac{C_s C_t/2}{2^n}$$

$$|s_{n+1}t_{n+1} - s_n t_n| \le \frac{C_s C_t/2}{2^n}$$

And so $s \cdot t$ is superCauchy.

Part 2: For the sum of two null superCauchy sequences s+t to qualify as null superCauchy, there must exist some constant $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all naturals n:

$$|s_n + t_n| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$

We shall now produce this constant. Consider two arbitrary superCauchy sequences $s, t \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$. By definition, there exists two constants $C_s, C_t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all natural numbers n:

$$|s_n| \le \frac{C_s}{2^n} \tag{1}$$

$$|t_n| \le \frac{C_t}{2^n} \tag{2}$$

Let us choose and fix these constants as C_s and C_t respectively. Now note that since they are both inequalities of positive numbers, we can add them together, implying the following for all natural numbers n:

$$\frac{C_s + C_t}{2^n} \ge |s_n| + |t_n| \qquad \text{(sum of (1) and (2))}$$

$$\ge |s_n + t_n| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

And so we have shown that, given two null superCauchy sequences s and t, their sum s+t is also a null superCauchy sequence with constant $C_s + C_t$. The proof for the difference s-t is much the same. With the fixed constants C_s and C_t we have:

$$\frac{C_s + C_t}{2^n} \ge |s_n| + |t_n| \qquad \text{(sum of (1) and (2))}$$

$$\ge |s_n| + |-t_n| \qquad \text{(multiply by } -1)$$

$$\ge |s_n - t_n| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

And so the difference of two null superCauchy sequences is also null with a constant of $C_s + C_t$.

Part 3: For the product of two null superCauchy sequences $s \cdot t$ to qualify as null superCauchy, there must exist some constant $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all naturals n:

$$|s_n \cdot t_n| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$

We shall now produce this constant. Consider two arbitrary superCauchy sequences $s, t \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$. By definition, there exists two constants $C_s, C_t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all natural numbers n:

$$|s_n| \le \frac{C_s}{2^n} \tag{1}$$

$$|t_n| \le \frac{C_t}{2^n} \tag{2}$$

Let us choose and fix these constants as C_s and C_t respectively. Now note that since they are both inequalities of positive numbers, we can multiply them together, implying the following for all natural numbers n:

$$|s_n||t_n| \leq \frac{C_s}{2^n} \cdot \frac{C_t}{2^n}$$
 (product of (1) and (2))

$$\leq \frac{C_s C_t}{2^{n+1}}$$

$$|s_n t_n| \leq \frac{C_s C_t/2}{2^n}$$
 (product of absolute values)

And so we have shown that, given two null superCauchy sequences s and t, their product $s \cdot t$ is also a null superCauchy sequence with constant $\frac{C_sC_t}{2}$.

Part 4: First we show that \sim is reflexive, i.e. $s \sim s$ for an arbitrary superCauchy sequence s. Note that for this to be the case, there must be a constant $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that for all naturals n:

$$|s_n - s_n| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$

But since $|s_n - s_n| = 0$, every positive rational C satisfies this (for instance 1). And so we have proved reflexivity. Now we will prove the relation is symmetric, i.e. $s \sim t \implies t \sim s$ for any arbitrary superCauchy sequences s and t. Assuming that $s \sim t$, we have for some $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and all naturals n:

$$|s_n - t_n| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$

$$\implies |t_n - s - n| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$
 (multiply by -1)

With the second line being equivalent to $t \sim s$. And so we have proved symmetry. All that's left is to prove transitivity, i.e. given 3 super cauchy sequences s, t, u the following holds:

$$s \sim t \& t \sim u \implies s \sim u$$

To prove this, let us assume the antecedent. This implies that for some constants $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and all naturals n:

$$|s_n - t_n| \le \frac{C_1}{2^n} \tag{1}$$

$$|t_n - u_n| \le \frac{C_2}{2^n} \tag{2}$$

Let us choose and fix these constants as C_1 and C_2 respectively. Now note that since they are both inequalities of positive numbers, we can add them together, implying the following for all natural numbers n:

$$\frac{C_1 + C_2}{2^n} \ge |s_n - t_n| + |t_n - u_n| \qquad \text{(sum of (1) and (2))}$$

$$\ge |s_n - t_n + t_n - u_n| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

$$\ge |s_n - u_n|$$

And so we have shown that $s \sim u$ for some constant $(C_1 + C_2)$, thus proving the relation is transitive. All three of these conditions (i.e. reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity) taken together imply the relation is an equivalence relation.

Part 5: For a binary function f on a set $SC(\mathbb{Q})$ to be compatible with an equivalence relation \sim on that same set, the following must hold for all $s, t, s', t' \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$:

$$s \sim s' \& t \sim t' \implies f(s,t) \sim f(s',t')$$

To prove this for +, - and \cdot , let us assume the antecedent. This implies that for some constants $C_s, C_t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and all naturals n:

$$|s_n - s_n'| \le \frac{C_s}{2^n} \tag{1}$$

$$|t_n - t_n'| \le \frac{C_t}{2^n} \tag{2}$$

For the + case, note that since these are both inequalities of positive numbers, we can add them together, implying the following for all natural numbers n:

$$\frac{C_t + C_s}{2^n} \ge |s_n - s'_n| + |t_n - t'_n| \qquad \text{(sum of (1) and (2))}$$

$$\ge |s_n - s'_n + t_n - t'_n| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

$$\ge |(s_n + t_n) - (s'_n + t'_n)| \qquad \text{(assoc., comm., \& distr. prop. of } \mathbb{Q})$$

This implies that $s+t \sim s'+t'$ for some constant (C_1+C_2) and so the function + is compatible. In the - case we have:

$$\frac{C_t + C_s}{2^n} \ge |s_n - s'_n| + |t_n - t'_n| \qquad \text{(sum of (1) and (2))}$$

$$\ge |s_n - s'_n| + |-t_n + t'_n| \qquad \text{(multiply by -1)}$$

$$\ge |s_n - s'_n - t_n + t'_n| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

$$\ge |(s_n - t_n) - (s'_n - t'_n)| \qquad \text{(assoc., comm., \& distr. prop. of } \mathbb{Q})$$

This implies that $s - t \sim s' - t'$ for some constant $(C_1 + C_2)$ and so the function – is compatible. Note that, for the · case, since they are both inequalities of positive numbers, we can multiply them together, implying the following for all natural numbers n:

$$|s_n - s'_n||t_n - t'_n| \leq \frac{C_s}{2^n} \cdot \frac{C_t}{2^n}$$
 (product of (1) and (2))

$$|(s_n - s'_n)(t_n - t'_n)| \leq \frac{C_s C_t}{2^{n+1}}$$
 (product of absolute values)

$$|(s_n t_n - s'_n t_n - s_n t'_n + t'_n s'_n| \leq \frac{C_s C_t / 2}{2^n}$$

$$|s_n t_n - s'_n t'_n| \leq \frac{C_s C_t / 2}{2^n}$$

This implies that $st \sim s't'$ for some constant $(\frac{C_sC_t}{2})$ and so the function \cdot is compatible.

Part 6: Recall problem III of HW 6, where we proved a theorem analogous to Theorem 3Q of the textbook. That is, given a binary function f compatible with the relation \sim , there exists a unique function \hat{f} such that:

$$\hat{f}([s]_{\sim}, [t]_{\sim}) = [f(s, t)_{\sim}]$$

Which is presumably what the problem means by "well-defined". And so the binary the operations $\hat{+}, \hat{-}$, and $\hat{\cdot}$ on $SC(\mathbb{Q})/\sim$ are well defined because we proved they were compatible in part 5.

Problem 2

Part 1: For 1a) note that for any arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a sequence $r \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$ such that:

$$x-x$$
 (well-defined by prob. 1, part 6)
 $= [r]_{\sim} - [r]_{\sim}$ (i)
 $= [r-r]_{\sim}$ (prob. 1, part 6)
 $= [0_{seq}]_{\sim}$ (- on \mathbb{Q})

Now note that, for all naturals n, $0_{seq_n} = 0$ which implies $0_{seq_n} \le 0$. Combining this with the fact that $0_{seq} \in x - x$, (v) tells us that $0 \le x - x$. This can be written as $x \le x$ thanks to (iv.a). And so we have proved reflexivity.

For 1b) we need to prove totality. Consider two arbitrary real numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $s, t \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$ such that:

$$x=[s]_{\sim}\quad y=[t]_{\sim}$$

This implies the following due to problem 1, part 6:

$$x - y = [s - t]_{\sim}$$
 $y - x = [t - s]_{\sim}$

Since the difference of two superCauchy sequences is superCauchy, we have for all naturals n:

$$|s_n - t_n| \le \frac{C}{2^n}$$

$$\implies s_n - t_n \le \frac{C}{2^n} \& s_n - t_n \ge -\frac{C}{2^n}$$

$$\implies s_n - t_n \le 0 \text{ or } -(s_n - t_n) \le 0$$

$$\implies s_n - t_n \le 0 \text{ or } t_n - s_n \le 0$$
(0 in interval)

And since $s_n - t_n \in [s - t]_{\sim} = x - y$ as well as $t_n - s_n \in [t - s]_{\sim} = y - x$ we have from (iv.a) that $0 \le x - y$ or $0 \le y - x$. And so totality is proven.

For 1c) we need to prove transitivity. Consider three arbitrary real numbers $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Now let us assume $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$. With $s, t, u \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$ such that:

$$x = [s]_{\sim} \quad y = [t]_{\sim} \quad z = [u]_{\sim}$$

 $0 \le t_n - s_n \& 0 \le u_n - t_n$

With sequences that satisfy the second line guaranteed to exist by (iv.a). There implies the following due to problem 1, part 6:

$$y - x = [t - s]_{\sim}$$
 $z - y = [u - t]_{\sim}$ $z - x = [u - s]_{\sim}$

We thus have the following (note we distinguish between the rational $\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the real \leq to make the proof clear.)

$$x \leq y \& y \leq z$$

$$\implies 0 \leq y - x \& 0 \leq z - y$$

$$\implies 0 \leq [t - s]_{\sim} \& 0 \leq [u - t]_{\sim}$$

$$\implies 0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} t_n - s_n \& 0 \leq u_n - t_n$$

$$\implies s_n \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} t_n \& t_n \leq u_n$$

$$\implies s_n \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} u_n$$

$$\implies 0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} u_n - s_n$$

$$\implies 0 \leq [u - s]_{\sim}$$

$$\implies 0 \leq z - x$$

$$\implies x \leq z$$

$$(v)$$

$$\iff (transitivity of \leq_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

And so we have proved the transitivity of \leq .

For 1d) all that is left to prove is antisymmetry. Consider two arbitrary real numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \leq y$ & $y \leq x$, and where $s, t \in SC(\mathbb{Q})$ such that:

$$x = [s]_{\sim} \quad y = [t]_{\sim}$$

This implies the following due to problem 1, part 6:

$$x-y = [s-t]_{\sim}$$
 $y-x = [t-s]_{\sim}$

We thus have the following:

$$x \leq y \qquad & \& y \leq x \\ \implies 0 \leq y - x \qquad & \& 0 \leq x - y \\ \implies 0 \leq [t - s]_{\sim} \qquad & \& 0 \leq [s - t]_{\sim} \\ \implies 0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} t_n - s_n \qquad & \& 0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} s_n - t_n \\ \implies s_n \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} t_n \qquad & \& t_n \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} s_n \\ \implies s_n = t_n \qquad & (\text{antisymmetry of } \leq_{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ \implies |s|_{\sim} = |t|_{\sim} \\ \implies x = y$$

And thus we have proven antisymmetry. All 4 of the properties we proved in 1a,b,c, and d imply that \leq is a total order over the reals.

Part 2: Consider a nonempty set S with a rational upper bound B_1 , if bound is not rational take the next highest rational. Since this set is nonempty, there must be some rational number that is *not* an upper bound of S. Choose such a number and call it A_1 . Now we define the following iteration:

If $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (A_n + B_n)$ is an upper bound of S, let $A_{n+1} = A_n$ and $B_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (A_n + B_n)$. Otherwise, there must be some number $s \in S$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (A_n + B_n) < s$. Let A_{n+1} equal such an s and let $B_{n+1} = B_n$.

As a result of this particular construction, our sequences have the following properties: A_n is increasing, B_n is decreasing, and for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $A_i \leq B_j$. Visually we can express this as:

$$A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \cdots \leq B_2 \leq B_1$$

But also note that multiplying by $\frac{1}{2}$ at each iteration give us the following for all naturals n:

$$|A_n| \le \frac{C_a}{2^n}$$
$$|B_n| \le \frac{C_b}{2^n}$$

And so both A and B are null superCauchy sequences and, as we've proved before, so is their difference A - B. As a result, $A \sim B$ meaning there is only one unique real bound of the set S and no other is less than it. This bound being given by $[A]_{\sim} = [B]_{\sim} = r$.

Problem 3

Solution: First we note that $r \neq \emptyset$ because it contains $0_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e. the st of all rationals less than 0.

Second we note that $r \neq \mathbb{Q}$. For example, $2 \notin r$ because $2 \cdot 2 \not< 2$ and thus does not satisfy the conditions for being a member of r.

Third we note that for any element $a \in r$, all rationals b that satisfy b < a are also in r. To show this, we note that all negative rationals are in r (because $0_{\mathbb{R}} \subset r$) and so we need only consider the b such that 0 < b < a. Note:

$$0 < b < a \implies b^2 < a^2 = a \cdot a < 2$$

 $\implies b^2 = b \cdot b < 2$ (transitive prop.)

And so b is in r.

Finally, to prove that r is a real number we show that it has no greatest element. To do this simply consider an arbitrary rational a such that $a^2 < 2$. We can construct a new rational b such that a < b yet $b^2 < 2$. Such a rational is given by:

$$b = \frac{2a+2}{a+2}$$

Now we just need to show that $r \cdot r = 2_{\mathbb{R}}$. The definition of nonnegative real multiplication tells us:

$$r \cdot r = \{ab \mid a, b \in r \& a, b \ge 0\} \cup 0_{\mathbb{R}}$$

We know that $r \cdot r \le 2$ since the only numbers q in r are those such that $q \cdot q$, and w.l.o.g. if $q_1 > q_2$ and both are in r, then $q_1q_2 < 2$ since $q_1q_2 < q_2q_2 < 2$. And since all elements (bar the negative ones) of $r \cdot r$ take this form, it too is $\le 2_{\mathbb{R}}$.

And since $r \cdot r \geq 2_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have by antisymmetry that $r \cdot r = 2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Problem 4

Solution: Consider an arbitrary natural n and an arbitrary positive real r. Consider the following set:

$$s = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t > 0 \& t^n \le r \}$$

Note that $s \neq \emptyset$. Consider $t = \frac{r}{r+1}$. It satisfies:

$$t < 1 \& t < r$$

And since t < 1 we must have that $t^{n-1} < 1$ so:

$$t^n < t < r$$

And thus t is a member of s. Now we show that s has an upper bound. Consider r+1, this satisfies both:

$$1 < r + 1 \& r < r + 1$$

And so since r + 1 > 1 we have:

$$(r+1)^n \ge r+1 > r$$

And so r+1 is an upper bound. Now recall the LUB property which states that all subsets of the reals that are bounded above have a least upper bound. Call this bound y. Since this bound must exist and since $y^n = r$, we are done and there must be an nth root y of every real number r.