Direct Sum

Definition: Let V be a vector space and let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k be subspaces of V. The **sum** of the subspaces M is defined as

$$M = \{m = m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_k \mid m_i \in M_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$$

Theorem: The sum of subspaces M is a subspace of V.

Proof:

Let x, $y \in M$ Then, $\mathsf{x} = m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_k$ $\mathsf{y} = \bar{m_1} + \bar{m_2} + \ldots + \bar{m_k}$ $\alpha x + \beta y = \alpha (m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_k) + \beta (\bar{m_1} + \bar{m_2} + \ldots + \bar{m_k})$ $\alpha x + \beta y = (\alpha m_1 + \beta \bar{m_1}) + (\alpha m_2 + \beta \bar{m_2}) + \ldots + (\alpha m_k + \beta \bar{m_k})$

Since $\alpha m_i + \beta \bar{m_i} \in M_i$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,k$, we have $\alpha x + \beta y \in M$.

Remark: Let $V=M_1+M_2+\ldots+M_k$ and let $M_1,M_2\ldots,M_k$ are linearly independent. Let $x\in V$

$$x=m_1+m_2+\ldots+m_k$$
 where $m_i\in M_i$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,k$

$$m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_k = 0 \implies m_1 = m_2 = \ldots = m_k = 0$$

since $M_1, M_2 \dots, M_k$ are linearly independent

Definition: Let M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k be subspaces of V.

$$\mathrm{i)}\ M = M_1 + M_2 + \ldots + M_k$$

ii) M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k are linearly independent

Then, M is called the **direct sum** of M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k and we write $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_k$.

When we have a direct sum, summation of dimensions of subspaces is equal to the dimension of the direct sum.

Example:
$$V=\mathbb{R}^4$$
 $\qquad x=egin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^4$

 $M_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$ dimension of M_1 is 2

 $M_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$ dimension of M_2 is 2

 $M_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1 = 0\}$ dimension of M_3 is 3

- $\bullet \quad M_1+M_2=\mathbb{R}^4$
- $M_1 \oplus M_2 = \mathbb{R}^4$

Definition: If M=V, then $M=M_1\oplus M_2\oplus\ldots\oplus M_k$ is called a **direct sum decomposition** of V.

Remark: Let $M=M_1\oplus M_2\oplus\ldots\oplus M_k$ be a direct sum decomposition of V. Then, the decomposition is unique. **Proof**: Let $M=M_1\oplus M_2\oplus\ldots\oplus M_k$ and $M=\bar{M}_1\oplus\bar{M}_2\oplus\ldots\oplus\bar{M}_k$ be two direct sum decompositions of V. Then,

i)
$$M = M_1 + M_2 + \ldots + M_k$$

ii) M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k are linearly independent

iii)
$$M=ar{M}_1+ar{M}_2+\ldots+ar{M}_k$$

iv) $\bar{M}_1, \bar{M}_2, \dots, \bar{M}_k$ are linearly independent

Let $x\in M_1\cap \bar{M}_1$, then $x\in M_1$ and $x\in \bar{M}_1$. Since $M_1\oplus \bar{M}_1$, we have x=0. Let $x\in M_2\cap \bar{M}_2$, then $x\in M_2$ and $x\in \bar{M}_2$. Since $M_2\oplus \bar{M}_2$, we have x=0.

Let $x\in M_k\cap \bar{M_k}$, then $x\in M_k$ and $x\in \bar{M_k}$. Since $M_k\oplus \bar{M_k}$, we have x=0.

Since x=0 for all $x\in M_1\cap \bar{M}_1, M_2\cap \bar{M}_2, \ldots, M_k\cap \bar{M}_k$, we have $M_1=\bar{M}_1, M_2=\bar{M}_2, \ldots, M_k=\bar{M}_k$.

Definition: Let V be an inner product space and let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k be subspaces of V. The **orthogonal sum** of the subspaces M is defined as

$$< m_1, m_2 >= 0 \quad orall \ m_1 \in M_1 \ ext{and} \ m_2 \in M_2$$

Orthogonality is denoted by $M_1 \perp M_2$.

Definition: Let $M=M_1\oplus M_2\oplus\ldots\oplus M_k$ and let $M_1\perp M_2\perp\ldots\perp M_k$. Then, M is called the **orthogonal direct sum** of M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k and we write $M = M_1 \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} M_2 \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} \cdots \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} M_k$.

Definition: Let $M=M_1\oplus M_2\oplus\ldots\oplus M_k$ be a direct sum decomposition of V. Then, the **orthogonal complement** of M_i is defined as

$$M_i^\perp = \{x \in V \mid < x, m_i >= 0 \quad orall \; m_i \in M_i \}$$

Theorem: M_i^{\perp} is a subspace of V.

Proof: $x,y\in M^\perp$ then $\alpha x+\beta y\in M^\perp$ should be shown.

• $0\in M_i^\perp$ since $<0,m_i>=0$ for all $m_i\in M_i$.

• Let $x,y\in M_i^\perp$ and let $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, $<\alpha x+\beta y,m_i>=\alpha < x,m_i>+\beta < y,m_i>=0$

$$< \alpha x + \beta y, m_i> = \alpha < x, m_i> + \beta < y, m_i> = 0$$

for all $m_i \in M_i$. Therefore, $\alpha x + \beta y \in M_i^{\perp}$.

Example:
$$V=\mathbb{R}^3$$
 $M=\mathrm{span}\left\{egin{bmatrix}0\\-1\\1\end{bmatrix},egin{bmatrix}-1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right\}$ $M^\perp=?$

Solution: Let
$$x = egin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \in M^\perp$$

$$< x, m_1 > = 0$$
 $< x, m_2 > = 0$

$$< x, egin{bmatrix} 0 \ -1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} >= 0$$

$$x_2 - x_3 = 0$$

$$x_2 = x_3$$

$$< x, egin{bmatrix} -1 \ 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} > = 0$$

$$-x_1 + x_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 = x_3$$

$$x = egin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} x_3 \ x_3 \ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 egin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^{\perp} = \mathrm{span} \left\{ egin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}
ight\}$$

Theorem: Let V be an inner product space and let M be a subspace of V. Then, we can always write $M \oplus M^{\perp} = V$. That is V can always be written as the direct sum of a subspace and its orthogonal complement.

Proof: We need to show two things:

- 1. M and M^{\perp} are linearly independent.
- 2. Any $x \in V$ can be written as $x = m + m^{\perp}$ where $m \in M$ and $m^{\perp} \in M^{\perp}$.

See lecture notes for the full proof.

#EE501 - Linear Systems Theory at METU