

CMP 694 Graph Theory
Hacettepe University

Lecture 7: Vertex Coloring and Upper Bounds

Lecturer:
Lale Özkahya

Resources:
“Introduction to Graph Theory” by Douglas B. West

- 1 Vertex Coloring and Upper Bounds
- 2 Edge Coloring

k-coloring of a graph G : A labeling $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$, where $|S| = k$.
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

Definitions

k -coloring of a graph G : A labeling $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$, where $|S| = k$.
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

proper coloring: A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

k -coloring of a graph G : A labeling $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$, where $|S| = k$.
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

proper coloring: A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

k -colorable: A graph is k -colorable if it has a proper k -coloring.

Definitions

k -coloring of a graph G : A labeling $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$, where $|S| = k$.
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

proper coloring: A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

k -colorable: A graph is k -colorable if it has a proper k -coloring.

chromatic number of a graph G , $\chi(G)$: The least k such that G is k -colorable.

k -coloring of a graph G : A labeling $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$, where $|S| = k$.
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

proper coloring: A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

k -colorable: A graph is k -colorable if it has a proper k -coloring.

chromatic number of a graph G , $\chi(G)$: The least k such that G is k -colorable.

Examples: bipartite graphs have chromatic number 2, odd cycles, Petersen graph have chromatic number 3. Why? What is the chromatic number of Q_n ?

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Remark: Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities?

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Remark: Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$. $\omega(G) = s + 2$ and $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$.

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Remark: Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$. $\omega(G) = s + 2$ and $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$.

The chromatic number of the *disjoint union* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G + H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Remark: Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$. $\omega(G) = s + 2$ and $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$.

The chromatic number of the *disjoint union* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G + H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

The chromatic number of the *join* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G \vee H) = \chi(G) + \chi(H).$$

Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of G and H , $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting an edge between the vertices uv and $u'v'$ iff

- 1 $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or
- 2 $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.

Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of G and H , $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting an edge between the vertices uv and $u'v'$ iff

- 1 $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or
- 2 $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.

Can you draw the cartesian product of two paths, say $P_3 \square P_4$?

Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of G and H , $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting an edge between the vertices uv and $u'v'$ iff

- ① $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or
- ② $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.

Can you draw the cartesian product of two paths, say $P_3 \square P_4$?

The **chromatic number** of the *cartesian product* of two graphs (Vizing, 1963, Aberth, 1964):

$$\chi(G \square H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

Upper Bounds

Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Upper Bounds

Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Proposition (Welsh-Powell, 1967)

If a graph G has a degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, then

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min\{d_i, i - 1\}.$$

Proof idea: Apply greedy coloring to the vertices ordered with nonincreasing degrees.

Upper Bounds

Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Proposition (Welsh-Powell, 1967)

If a graph G has a degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, then

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min\{d_i, i - 1\}.$$

Proof idea: Apply greedy coloring to the vertices ordered with nonincreasing degrees.

Note: Every graph has some vertex ordering for which greedy coloring uses exactly $\chi(G)$ colors. (Exercise 33)

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Proof idea: Assume, there is a vertex with degree $k - 2$ or less, find a contradiction.

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Proof idea: Assume, there is a vertex with degree $k - 2$ or less, find a contradiction.

Theorem (Szekeres-Wilf, 1968)

For any graph G ,

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Proof idea: Assume, there is a vertex with degree $k - 2$ or less, find a contradiction.

Theorem (Szekeres-Wilf, 1968)

For any graph G ,

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

Proof idea: Let H' be a k -critical subgraph of G .

$$\chi(G) - 1 = \chi(H') - 1 \leq \delta(H') \leq \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

Brook's Theorem

Theorem (Brooks, 1941)

If G is a connected graph other than a complete graph or an odd cycle, then $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Sketch of the proof: Let $k = \Delta(G)$. For $k \geq 3$, trivial for $k = 1, 2$.

- **Case 1: G is not k -regular.** Let $\deg(v_n) < k$, construct a spanning tree of G using BFS starting at v_n , label the vertices v_i with decreasing index i as they are added to the tree. Greedy algorithm uses at most k colors.

Brook's Theorem

Theorem (Brooks, 1941)

If G is a connected graph other than a complete graph or an odd cycle, then $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Sketch of the proof: Let $k = \Delta(G)$. For $k \geq 3$, trivial for $k = 1, 2$.

- **Case 1: G is not k -regular.** Let $\deg(v_n) < k$, construct a spanning tree of G using BFS starting at v_n , label the vertices v_i with decreasing index i as they are added to the tree. Greedy algorithm uses at most k colors.
- **Case 2: G is k -regular and has a cut-vertex:** Say x is a cut-vertex and H_1 is a component of $G - x$ and $H_2 = G - \{x\} - H_1$. Color $H_1 \cup \{x\}$ and $H_2 \cup \{x\}$ separately. Permute colors in both colorings such that x has the same color in both. Done.

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n by coloring v_1 and v_2 the same. Done.

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n by coloring v_1 and v_2 the same. Done.

Claim

Every k -regular 2-connected graph has a triple as v_1, v_2, v_n .

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n by coloring v_1 and v_2 the same. Done.

Claim

Every k -regular 2-connected graph has a triple as v_1, v_2, v_n .

Proof: Since G is not complete, there are two vertices of distance 2, say v_1 and v_2 . We let the common neighbor of them be v_n .

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

From a k -chromatic triangle-free graph G , Mycielski's construction produces a $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

- U is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some u_i and neighbors in $N(v_i)$, contradiction, because G has no triangle.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

From a k -chromatic triangle-free graph G , Mycielski's construction produces a $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

- U is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some u_i and neighbors in $N(v_i)$, contradiction, because G has no triangle.
- We can easily extend a k -coloring of G to color U . Then, color w with an extra color. So, at most $k + 1$ colors are sufficient.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

From a k -chromatic triangle-free graph G , Mycielski's construction produces a $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

- U is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some u_i and neighbors in $N(v_i)$, contradiction, because G has no triangle.
- We can easily extend a k -coloring of G to color U . Then, color w with an extra color. So, at most $k + 1$ colors are sufficient.
- Also, at least $k + 1$ colors are needed. To show that start with a proper coloring of G' and obtain a proper coloring of G using less colors.

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Remark: Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$. $\omega(G) = s + 2$ and $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$.

The chromatic number of the *disjoint union* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G + H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number, $\omega(G)$: maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in G .

Proposition

For every graph G , $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ and $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$.

Remark: Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$. $\omega(G) = s + 2$ and $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$.

The chromatic number of the *disjoint union* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G + H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

The chromatic number of the *join* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G \vee H) = \chi(G) + \chi(H).$$

Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of G and H , $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting an edge between the vertices uv and $u'v'$ iff

- 1 $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or
- 2 $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.

Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of G and H , $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting an edge between the vertices uv and $u'v'$ iff

- ① $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or
- ② $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.

Can you draw the cartesian product of two paths, say $P_3 \square P_4$?

Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of G and H , $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting an edge between the vertices uv and $u'v'$ iff

- ① $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or
- ② $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.

Can you draw the cartesian product of two paths, say $P_3 \square P_4$?

The **chromatic number** of the *cartesian product* of two graphs (Vizing, 1963, Aberth, 1964):

$$\chi(G \square H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Upper Bounds

Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Proposition (Welsh-Powell, 1967)

If a graph G has a degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, then

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min\{d_i, i - 1\}.$$

Proof idea: Apply greedy coloring to the vertices ordered with nonincreasing degrees.

Upper Bounds

Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Proposition (Welsh-Powell, 1967)

If a graph G has a degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, then

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min\{d_i, i - 1\}.$$

Proof idea: Apply greedy coloring to the vertices ordered with nonincreasing degrees.

Note: Every graph has some vertex ordering for which greedy coloring uses exactly $\chi(G)$ colors. (Exercise 33)

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Proof idea: Assume, there is a vertex with degree $k - 2$ or less, find a contradiction.

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Proof idea: Assume, there is a vertex with degree $k - 2$ or less, find a contradiction.

Theorem (Szekeres-Wilf, 1968)

For any graph G ,

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

Color-critical (or k -critical) graphs

If $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$ for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$, then G is called **k -critical (or color-critical)**.

Example: Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any K_n is n -critical.

Lemma

If H is a k -critical graph, then $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$.

Proof idea: Assume, there is a vertex with degree $k - 2$ or less, find a contradiction.

Theorem (Szekeres-Wilf, 1968)

For any graph G ,

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

Proof idea: Let H' be a k -critical subgraph of G .

$$\chi(G) - 1 = \chi(H') - 1 \leq \delta(H') \leq \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

Brook's Theorem

Theorem (Brooks, 1941)

If G is a connected graph other than a complete graph or an odd cycle, then $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Sketch of the proof: Let $k = \Delta(G)$. For $k \geq 3$, trivial for $k = 1, 2$.

- **Case 1: G is not k -regular.** Let $\deg(v_n) < k$, construct a spanning tree of G using BFS starting at v_n , label the vertices v_i with decreasing index i as they are added to the tree. Greedy algorithm uses at most k colors.

Brook's Theorem

Theorem (Brooks, 1941)

If G is a connected graph other than a complete graph or an odd cycle, then $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Sketch of the proof: Let $k = \Delta(G)$. For $k \geq 3$, trivial for $k = 1, 2$.

- **Case 1: G is not k -regular.** Let $\deg(v_n) < k$, construct a spanning tree of G using BFS starting at v_n , label the vertices v_i with decreasing index i as they are added to the tree. Greedy algorithm uses at most k colors.
- **Case 2: G is k -regular and has a cut-vertex:** Say x is a cut-vertex and H_1 is a component of $G - x$ and $H_2 = G - \{x\} - H_1$. Color $H_1 \cup \{x\}$ and $H_2 \cup \{x\}$ separately. Permute colors in both colorings such that x has the same color in both. Done.

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n by coloring v_1 and v_2 the same. Done.

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n by coloring v_1 and v_2 the same. Done.

Claim

Every k -regular 2-connected graph has a triple as v_1, v_2, v_n .

Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3: G is k -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex v_n has neighbors v_1 and v_2 , that are not adjacent, and $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ rooted at v_n such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n by coloring v_1 and v_2 the same. Done.

Claim

Every k -regular 2-connected graph has a triple as v_1, v_2, v_n .

Proof: Since G is not complete, there are two vertices of distance 2, say v_1 and v_2 . We let the common neighbor of them be v_n .

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

From a k -chromatic triangle-free graph G , Mycielski's construction produces a $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

- U is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some u_i and neighbors in $N(v_i)$, contradiction, because G has no triangle.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

From a k -chromatic triangle-free graph G , Mycielski's construction produces a $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

- U is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some u_i and neighbors in $N(v_i)$, contradiction, because G has no triangle.
- We can easily extend a k -coloring of G to color U . Then, color w with an extra color. So, at most $k + 1$ colors are sufficient.

Graphs with large chromatic number

Construction (Mycielski's construction)

For an input graph G with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a new graph G' is obtained by adding vertices $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and another vertex w . The edge set of G' contains $E(G)$, the edges between u_i and $N_G(v_i)$ for all i . Moreover, let $N(w) = U$.

Remark: This construction obtains a $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is k -chromatic. Examples: $G = K_2$ and $G = C_5$.

Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

From a k -chromatic triangle-free graph G , Mycielski's construction produces a $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

- U is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some u_i and neighbors in $N(v_i)$, contradiction, because G has no triangle.
- We can easily extend a k -coloring of G to color U . Then, color w with an extra color. So, at most $k + 1$ colors are sufficient.
- Also, at least $k + 1$ colors are needed. To show that start with a proper coloring of G' and obtain a proper coloring of G using less colors.

1 Vertex Coloring and Upper Bounds

2 Edge Coloring

A *k -edge coloring* of a graph G is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of G using k colors.

Definitions

A k -edge coloring of a graph G is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of G using k colors.

A coloring is called proper if incident edges have different colors.

Definitions

A *k -edge coloring* of a graph G is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of G using k colors.

A coloring is called *proper* if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is *k -edge-colorable* if it has a proper k -edge coloring.

Definitions

A k -edge coloring of a graph G is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of G using k colors.

A coloring is called proper if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is k -edge-colorable if it has a proper k -edge coloring. The edge chromatic number of G , $\chi'(G)$, is the least k such that G is k -edge-colorable.

Definitions

A **k -edge coloring** of a graph G is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of G using k colors.

A coloring is called **proper** if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is **k -edge-colorable** if it has a proper k -edge coloring. The **edge chromatic number of G , $\chi'(G)$** , is the least k such that G is k -edge-colorable.

Observation: $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$ for all graphs.

Definitions

A **k -edge coloring** of a graph G is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of G using k colors.

A coloring is called **proper** if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is **k -edge-colorable** if it has a proper k -edge coloring. The **edge chromatic number of G , $\chi'(G)$** , is the least k such that G is k -edge-colorable.

Observation: $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$ for all graphs.

Example: Edge-coloring of K_{2n} is a modeling of scheduling problem.

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of G' one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of G' one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of G' and G .

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of G' one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of G' and G .

Observation:

The chromatic number of Petersen graph is 4. (Note that if 3 colors were enough, then every color class would contain exactly five edges. Remove one matching and discuss the remaining graph.)

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of G' one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of G' and G .

Observation:

The chromatic number of Petersen graph is 4. (Note that if 3 colors were enough, then every color class would contain exactly five edges. Remove one matching and discuss the remaining graph.)

Theorem (Vizing, 1964)

If G is a simple graph, then $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$.

Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

If G is bipartite, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph G' .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of G' one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of G' and G .

Observation:

The chromatic number of Petersen graph is 4. (Note that if 3 colors were enough, then every color class would contain exactly five edges. Remove one matching and discuss the remaining graph.)

Theorem (Vizing, 1964)

If G is a simple graph, then $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$.

Thus, there are two types of graphs: the ones that have edge-chromatic number $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$.