(1a) [10 points] The gross consumer surplus of consumer type 1 and type 2 when they consumer q units are

$$gcs_1(q) = \frac{8+p}{2} q = \frac{8+8-2q}{2} q = q(8-q), \quad \text{for } q \le 4; \quad gcs_1(q) = 16 \quad \text{for } q \ge 4 \quad \text{and} \quad gcs_2(q) = \frac{4+p}{2} q = \frac{8+4-0.5q}{2} q = \frac{q(16-q)}{4} \quad \text{for } q \le 8.$$

Using the above formulas, If a bundle contains exactly  $q^b=3$  units,  $gcs_1(3)=15$  and  $gcs_2(2)=39/4<15$ . Setting a low price  $p^b=39/4$  implies that both consumers buy this bundle. Hence, profit is  $y_{1,2}=2(39/4-2\cdot 3)=15/2$ . Setting a high price  $p^b=15$  implies that only type 1 buys this bundle. Hence, profit is  $y_1=15-2\cdot 3=9>15/2$ .

If a bundle contains  $q^b=4$  units,  $gcs_1(4)=16$  and  $gcs_2(4)=12$ . Setting a low price  $p^b=12$  implies that both consumers buy this bundle. Hence, profit is  $y_{1,2}=2(12-2\cdot 4)=8$ . Setting a high price  $p^b=16$  implies that only type 1 buys this bundle. Hence, profit is  $y_1=16-2\cdot 4=8$ .

The above computations reveal that the profit-maximizing contains  $q^b=3$  units, sold for a price  $p^b=15$ ¢, thus generating a profit of  $y_1=9$ ¢.

(1b) [5 points] Both consumers will buy the 5-stick pack because

$$gcs_1(2) - 10 = 12 - 10 = 2 < 3 = 16 - 13 = gcs_1(5) - 13$$
  
 $gcs_2(2) - 10 = 7 - 10 = -3 < 0.75 = \frac{55}{4} - 13 = gcs_1(5) - 13$ 

The resulting profit is  $y=2(13-2\cdot 5)=6 \ell <9 \ell$ . Hence, selling these two packs won't enhance the producer's profit because both consumers end up buying the same packages (no market segmentation).

Remark:  $gcs_1(5) = gcs_1(4) = 16$ .

(1c) [5 points] For  $p \leq 4 \ell$ , the aggregate demand facing the producer is

$$Q = q_1 + q_2 = \frac{8-p}{2} + 2(4-q) = \frac{24-5p}{2}$$
 or  $p = \frac{2(12-Q)}{5}$ .

For  $p \leq 4$ , the monopoly solves

$$MR = \frac{2(12 - 2Q)}{5} = 2 = \mu$$
 yielding  $Q = \frac{7}{2}$ ,  $p = \frac{17}{5} < 4$ .

Hence,

$$y_{1,2} = \left(\frac{17}{5} - 2\right)\frac{7}{2} = \frac{49}{10} = 4.9$$
¢.

Selling at a price  $p>4\ell$  would exclude consumer 2 from the market. In this case, the monopoly solves

$$MR_1 = 8 - 4q_1 = 2 e = \mu$$
, yielding  $q_1 = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $p_1 = 5 e > 4 e$ .

Under this price, the monopoly earns

$$y_1 = (5-2)\frac{3}{2} = \frac{9}{2} = 4.5$$
¢ < 4.9¢.

Hence, the profit maximizing price is p = 17/5 = 3.4¢.

- (2) [20 points] See textbook Exercise 3.9 on p.112. Notice an error in the solution for part (b) on p.386. The correct answer is  $q_2=230$  (instead of  $q_2=240$ ). For this reason, I gave full credit to both solutions.
- (3a) [5 points] To break even, we solve

$$y_1 = (120 - 40)70 = (110 - 40)(q_1 + \Delta q)$$
 yielding  $\Delta q = 10$ .

Alternatively, you can use the break even formula

$$\Delta q = \frac{-q_1 \Delta p}{p_1 + \Delta p - \mu} = \frac{-70(-10)}{120 - 10 - 40} = 10.$$

(3b) [5 points] The firm earns non-negative profits if

$$y = (p - \mu)q - \phi = (110 - 40)q - 3500 \ge 0$$
 iff  $q \ge 50$  units.

(4) [10 points] Remark: The figures here are the same as on the third column of Table 5.3 on p.162, with the demand functions taken from equation (5.12) on p.160.

At p=\$2 per ride, a type 1 visitor buys  $q_1=(8-2)/2=3$  rides. A type 2 visitor buys  $q_2=2(4-2)=4$  rides. Next,

$$gcs_1(3) = \frac{8+2}{2}3 = 15$$
 and  $gcs_2(4) = \frac{4+2}{2}4 = 12$ .

Therefore, the maximal admission (fixed) fee that can be charged from each consumer type is

$$f_1 = gcs_1(3) - 2 \cdot 3 = 15 - 6 = \$9$$
 and  $f_2 = gcs_2(4) - 2 \cdot 4 = 12 - 8 = \$4$ .

Setting the "low" fixed fee, f=\$4 yields a profit

$$y_{1,2} = 2[4 + 3(2 - 2)] + 5[4 + 4(2 - 2)] = $28.$$

Setting the "high" fixed fee, f=\$9 yields a profit

$$y_1 = 2[9 + 3(2 - 2)] = $18 < $28.$$

Hence, the profit-maximizing admission fee is f=\$4.

- (5) [20 points] See Exercise 2 on page 223 (solution on pp.396–397).
- (6) [20 points] See Exercise 1 on page 355 (solution on pp.414–415).

THE END