

runfast_2024_mm_dd.dfw

#1: CaseMode := Sensitive

#2: InputMode := Word

time

#3: $t \in \text{Real } [0, \infty)$

cost reduction parameter

#4: $\rho \in \text{Real } (0, 1]$

interest bank pays to depositors

#5: $rd \in \text{Real } (0, \infty)$

probability of a run = investment failure

#6: $\phi \in \text{Real } (0, 1)$

speed of fund withdrawal during a run

#7: $\sigma \in \text{Real } (0, \infty)$

Risky interest bank earns on investment

#8: $rk \in \text{Real } (0, \infty)$

bank investment return concavity parameter

#9: $\alpha \in \text{Real } (0, 1)$

*** Section 3: The model

equation (1): amount withdrawn at t during a run

#10: $dt = 1 + rd - \sigma \cdot t$

equation (2): bank liquidity at t during a run

$$\#11: q_t = q - \sigma \cdot t$$

time when bank becomes insolvent

$$\#12: 0 = q - \sigma \cdot t_q$$

$$\#13: \text{SOLVE}(0 = q - \sigma \cdot t_i, t_q)$$

eq (3)

$$\#14: t_q = \frac{q}{\sigma}$$

eq (4): Bank profit (bottom: no run, prob $1-\phi$). Otherwise: upper: profit=0 with prob ϕ

$$\#15: \text{profit} = r_k \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha - r_d$$

eq (5) top: Depositor welfare under a run and slow bailout $t_b \geq t_q$

$$\#16: wds = r_d - \lambda \cdot (tbs - t_q) \cdot (1 + r_d - q)$$

eq (5) bottom: Depositor welfare under fast bailout and under no run

$$\#17: wdf = r_d$$

eq (6) top bailout cost $t_b < \tau_{bar}$

$$\#18: cb = 1 + r_d - q$$

eq (6) bottom: bailout cost $t_b \geq \tau_{bar}$ (reduced cost)

$$\#19: cb = \rho \cdot (1 + r_d - q)$$

*** Section 4: Bailout policy

eq (8): total welfare

$$\#20: w = wd + \text{profit} - cb$$

** Subsection 4.1: Optimal bailout time (q is given)

Deriving Result 1 and eq (9)

eq (11) top: $tb = \tau_{\text{bar}}$ (welfare under slow bailout)

$$\#21: ws = (rd - \lambda \cdot (\tau_{\text{bar}} - tq) \cdot (1 + rd - q)) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q)$$

eq (11) bottom: $tb < td$ (welfare under fast bailout)

$$\#22: wf = rd + 0 - (1 + rd - q)$$

$$ws - wf =$$

$$\#23: (rd - \lambda \cdot (\tau_{\text{bar}} - tq) \cdot (1 + rd - q)) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) - (rd + 0 - (1 + rd - q))$$

subs for tq

$$\#24: \left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) - (rd + 0 - (1 + rd - q))$$

$$\#25: - \frac{q^2 \cdot \lambda - q \cdot (rd \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot (\sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + 1) + \rho \cdot \sigma - \sigma) + \sigma \cdot (rd \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1) + \lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\sigma}$$

≥ 0 if

$$\#26: q^2 \cdot \lambda - q \cdot (rd \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot (\sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + 1) + \rho \cdot \sigma - \sigma) + \sigma \cdot (rd \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1) + \lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1) \leq 0$$

$$\#27: \text{SOLVE}(q^2 \cdot \lambda - q \cdot (rd \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot (\sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + 1) + \rho \cdot \sigma - \sigma) + \sigma \cdot (rd \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1) + \lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1) \leq 0, q)$$

$$\#28: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} \leq q \leq rd + 1 \vee rd + 1 \leq q \leq \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda}$$

eq (9) and Result 1

$$\#29: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} \leq q$$

Deriving Assumption 2 and eq (7) showing that q_{title} is between 0 and 1

$$\#30: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} < 1$$

$$\#31: \text{SOLVE} \left(\frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} < 1, \tau_{\text{bar}} \right)$$

$$\#32: \tau_{\text{bar}} < \frac{\lambda - \sigma \cdot (\rho - 1)}{\lambda \cdot \sigma}$$

$$\#33: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} > 0$$

$$\#34: \text{SOLVE} \left(\frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} > 0, \tau_{\text{bar}} \right)$$

$$\#35: \tau_{\text{bar}} > \frac{1 - \rho}{\lambda}$$

difference between upper bound and lower bound (nonempty interval) because

$$\#36: \frac{\lambda - \sigma \cdot (\rho - 1)}{\lambda \cdot \sigma} - \frac{1 - \rho}{\lambda}$$

$$\#37: \frac{1}{\sigma} > 0$$

** Subsection 4.2: Effect of faster runs on optimal bailout time
go back to eq (9) q_{tilde} to prove Result 2

$$\#38: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} = q_{\text{tilde}}$$

$$\#39: \frac{d}{d\sigma} \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda}$$

$$\#40: \frac{\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1}{\lambda}$$

> 0 if [Yes, by Assumption 2]

$$\#41: \lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1 > 0$$

$$\#42: \text{SOLVE}(\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1 > 0, \tau_{\text{bar}})$$

$$\#43: \tau_{\text{bar}} > \frac{1 - \rho}{\lambda}$$

*** Section 5: Optimal liquidity requirement

eq (12): Expected total welfare

$$\#44: ew = \phi \cdot w_{\text{run}} + (1 - \phi) \cdot w_{\text{no_run}}$$

eq (13) computing qmax

$$\#45: \frac{q_{\max}}{\sigma} = \tau_{\text{bar}}$$

$$\#46: \text{SOLVE} \left(\frac{q_{\max}}{\sigma} = \tau_{\text{bar}}, q_{\max} \right)$$

$$\#47: q_{\max} = \sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}}$$

eq (14)

$$\#48: w_{\text{no_run}} = rd + rk \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha} - rd + 0$$

$$\#49: w_{\text{no_run}} = rk \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha}$$

w_run for high q: $q > q_{\text{tilde}}$. top of eq (15)

$$\#50: w_{\text{run_high_q}} = (rd - \lambda \cdot (\tau_{\text{bar}} - tq) \cdot (1 + rd - q)) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q)$$

$$\#51: w_{\text{run_high_q}} = \left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q)$$

w_run for low q: $q < q_{\text{tilde}}$. bottom of eq (15)

$$\#52: w_{\text{run_low_q}} = rd + 0 - (1 + rd - q)$$

$$\#53: w_{\text{run_low_q}} = q - 1$$

* Proof of Result 3:

max ew for $q \geq q_{\text{tilde}}$ by adding 14 to top part of (15)

$$\#54: \quad ew = \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha)$$

$$\#55: \quad \frac{d}{dq} \left(ew = \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha) \right)$$

$$\#56: \quad 0 = rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 1} \cdot (\phi - 1) - \frac{\phi \cdot (2 \cdot q \cdot \lambda - rd \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot (\sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + 1) - \rho \cdot \sigma)}{\sigma}$$

Above FOC cannot be explicitly solved for q

$$\#57: \quad \frac{d}{dq} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(ew = \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha) \right)$$

$$\#58: \quad 0 > rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - \phi) \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 2} \cdot (\alpha - 1) - \frac{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \phi}{\sigma}$$

Use IFT to evaluate $dq/d\sigma = - dF/d\sigma \text{ div } dF/dq$

$$\#59: \quad \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 1} \cdot (\phi - 1) - \frac{\phi \cdot (2 \cdot q \cdot \lambda - rd \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot (\sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + 1) - \rho \cdot \sigma)}{\sigma} \right)$$

$$\#60: \quad \frac{\lambda \cdot \phi \cdot (2 \cdot q - rd - 1)}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\#61: \quad \frac{d}{dq} \left(rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 1} \cdot (\phi - 1) - \frac{\phi \cdot (2 \cdot q \cdot \lambda - rd \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot (\sigma \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + 1) - \rho \cdot \sigma)}{\sigma} \right)$$

$$\#62: \quad rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - \phi) \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 2} \cdot (\alpha - 1) - \frac{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \phi}{\sigma}$$

Hence $dq/d\sigma =$

$$\#63: \quad - \frac{\frac{\lambda \cdot \phi \cdot (2 \cdot q - rd - 1)}{\sigma^2}}{rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - \phi) \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 2} \cdot (\alpha - 1) - \frac{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \phi}{\sigma}}$$

denominator < 0 , Hence, $dq/d\sigma < 0$ if

$$\#64: \quad \frac{\lambda \cdot \phi \cdot (2 \cdot q - rd - 1)}{\sigma^2} < 0$$

$$\#65: \quad \text{SOLVE} \left(\frac{\lambda \cdot \phi \cdot (2 \cdot q - rd - 1)}{\sigma^2} < 0, q \right)$$

$$\#66: \quad q < \frac{rd + 1}{2}$$

$$\#67: \quad \text{SOLVE} \left(q < \frac{rd + 1}{2}, rd \right)$$

$$\#68: \quad rd > 2 \cdot q - 1$$

max ew for $q < q_{\text{tilde}}$ by adding (14) to top part of (15). Proof of Result 3, Appendix A

$$\#69: \quad ew = \phi \cdot (q - 1) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha)$$

$$\#70: \quad \frac{d}{dq} (ew = \phi \cdot (q - 1) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha))$$

eq (A.1)

$$\#71: \quad 0 = rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 1} \cdot (\phi - 1) + \phi$$

$$\#72: \quad \frac{d}{dq} \frac{d}{dq} (ew = \phi \cdot (q - 1) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha))$$

$$\#73: \quad 0 > rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - \phi) \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 2} \cdot (\alpha - 1)$$

$$\#74: \quad \text{SOLVE}(0 = rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - q)^{\alpha - 1} \cdot (\phi - 1) + \phi, q)$$

eq (16)

$$\#75: \quad q = 1 - \left(\frac{rk \cdot \alpha \cdot (1 - \phi)}{\phi} \right)^{1/(1 - \alpha)}$$

=> no effect of σ !!! [this is confirmed in Figure 6]

Result 4 and Appendix : measuring the effect σ on $EW(\text{high } q) < \text{or } > EW(\text{low } q)$

analyzing for which q : $EW(\text{high } q) > EW(\text{low } q)$ eq (A.2)

$$\#76: \quad \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau \text{bar} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha) \geq \phi \cdot (q - 1) +$$

$$(1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha)$$

$$\#77: \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau \text{bar} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha) = \phi \cdot (q - 1) +$$

$$(1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha)$$

$$\#78: \text{SOLVE} \left(\phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau \text{bar} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha) = \phi \cdot (q - 1) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha), q \right)$$

$$\#79: \quad q = rd + 1 \vee q = \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau \text{bar} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda}$$

do it again in a different way:

$$\#80: \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau \text{bar} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + (1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha) \geq \phi \cdot (q - 1) +$$

$$(1 - \phi) \cdot (rk \cdot (1 - q)^\alpha)$$

delete the right hand terms on both sides:

$$\#81: \phi \cdot \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau \text{bar} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) \geq \phi \cdot (q - 1)$$

delete ϕ both sides

$$\#82: \left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \geq q - 1$$

$$\#83: rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \geq q - 1$$

$$\#84: \left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) - (q - 1) \geq 0$$

$$\#85: \text{SOLVE} \left(\left(rd - \lambda \cdot \left(\tau_{\text{bar}} - \frac{q}{\sigma} \right) \cdot (1 + rd - q) + 0 - \rho \cdot (1 + rd - q) \right) - (q - 1) \geq 0, q \right)$$

$$\#86: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} \leq q \leq rd + 1 \vee rd + 1 \leq q \leq \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda}$$

$$\#87: \frac{\sigma \cdot (\lambda \cdot \tau_{\text{bar}} + \rho - 1)}{\lambda} \leq q$$