

## 1 Mike Questions

1. Is there a difference between  $\vec{c}_i$  and  $c_i$ ? Should we just use  $\vec{c}$  and  $c_i$ ?
2. Why does a  $\vec{c}_i$  term appear in the fitness? is  $\omega_{\vec{c}_i}$  a function of  $\vec{c}_i$  in this notation?
3. Where do the mutation terms go? I think I'll include them for completeness. Just turn  $\exp \left[ - \sum_j \beta_{c_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{c}_{i,j}) \phi \right]$  into  $\exp \left[ - \sum_j (m_{c_j} - \beta_{c_{i,0}} \omega(\vec{c}_{i,j})) \phi \right]$ ?

## 2 Notation

$\vec{c}$  is the vector of codons (of length  $n$ , the length of the gene)

$\vec{c}_{i,j}$  is the codon in the  $j^{th}$  position of the  $i^{th}$  synonymous gene

$\vec{c}_{i,j,\ell}$  denotes the  $\ell^{th}$  synonym to codon  $\vec{c}_{i,j}$

$\vec{\theta}$  is our given conditions, including  $\beta, \phi, \omega$ , and the effective population size

$\sum_j$  is shorthand for  $\sum_{j=1}^n$ , the sum over the whole gene

$\beta_{c_{i,j}}$  or  $\beta_{c_{i,j,k}}$  is the cost of codon translation for codon  $c_{i,j}$  or  $c_{i,j,k}$ . In the NSE model, this is constant. In the ROC model, this is the main variable. Note that the  $j$  subscript doesn't matter,  $\beta$  is position independent.

$\omega(\vec{c}_{i,j})$  is the odds of a nonsense error for codon  $\vec{c}_{i,j}$ ,  $\frac{p_{\vec{c}_{i,j}}}{1 - p_{\vec{c}_{i,j}}}$

$\phi$  is the expression level of the gene

$\mathbb{C}$  is the set of all possible synonymous sequences

$\nu$  is the number of synonymous codons to  $\vec{c}_i$

## 3 Calculation

"The probability of seeing codon  $\vec{c}_i$  at position  $i$  (given our conditions) is approximately the fitness of that sequence divided by the sum of the fitness of all possible synonymous sequences"

$$P(\vec{c}_i | \vec{\theta}) \approx \frac{\exp \left[ - \sum_j \beta_{c_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{c}_{i,j}) \phi \right]}{\sum_{K \in \mathbb{C}} \exp \left[ - \sum_j \beta_{K_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{c}_{i,j}) \phi \right]}$$

Rewrite the sum as the sum across the synonyms. Added notation:

$\nu$  is the number of synonyms for the given codon  $i$

$$= \frac{\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{C} | \kappa_i = c_i} \exp \left[ - \sum_j \beta_{\kappa_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{\kappa}_j) \phi \right]}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \sum_{K \in \mathbb{C} | K_{i,j,\ell} = c_{i,j,\ell}} \exp \left[ - \sum_j \beta_{K_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{K}_{i,j}) \phi \right]}$$

Pull out the term for the current codon in the chain, where  $c_j = c_i$

$$= \frac{\left[ \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{C}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{\kappa_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{\kappa}_j) \phi \right] \right] (\exp [-\beta_{c_i} \omega(\vec{c}_i) \phi])}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \sum_{K \in \mathbb{C} | K_{i,j,\ell} = c_{i,j,\ell}} \exp \left[ - \sum_j \beta_{K_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{K}_{i,j}) \phi \right]}$$

Additional expansion that wasn't on the board. Pull out the  $K_{i,j,\ell}$  term, knowing that  $K_{i,j,\ell} = c_{i,j,\ell}$

$$= \frac{\left[ \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{C}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{\kappa_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{\kappa}_j) \phi \right] \right] (\exp [-\beta_{c_i} \omega(\vec{c}_i) \phi])}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \sum_{K \in \mathbb{C} | K_{i,j,\ell} = c_{i,j,\ell}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{K_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{K}_{i,j}) \phi \right] \exp [-\beta_{c_{i,j,\ell}} \omega_{c_{i,j,\ell}}(\vec{c}_{i,j,\ell}) \phi]}$$

The  $\ell$  term in the denominator can be pulled out of the inner sum, leaving

$$= \frac{\left[ \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{C}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{\kappa_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{\kappa}_j) \phi \right] \right] (\exp [-\beta_{c_i} \omega(\vec{c}_i) \phi])}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \left( \exp \left[ -\beta_{c_{i,j,\ell}} \omega_{c_{i,j,\ell}}(\vec{K}_{i,j,\ell}) \phi \right] \sum_{K \in \mathbb{C} | K_{i,j,\ell} = c_{i,j,\ell}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{K_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{K}_{i,j}) \phi \right] \right)}$$

Since the inner sum (sum over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) no longer relies on  $\ell$ , we can restate the above as

$$= \frac{\left[ \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{C}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{\kappa_{i,j}} \omega(\vec{\kappa}_j) \phi \right] \right] (\exp [-\beta_{c_i} \omega(\vec{c}_i) \phi])}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \left( \exp \left[ -\beta_{c_{i,j,\ell}} \omega_{c_{i,j,\ell}}(\vec{c}_{i,j,\ell}) \phi \right] \right) \left( \sum_{K \in \mathbb{C}} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{K_j} \omega(\vec{K}_{i,j}) \phi \right] \right)}$$

Which cancels to

$$P(\vec{c}_i | \vec{\theta}) \approx \frac{\exp [-\beta_{c_i} \omega(\vec{c}_i) \phi]}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \exp [-\beta_{c_{i,j,\ell}} \omega_{c_{i,j,\ell}}(\vec{c}_{i,j,\ell}) \phi]}$$