QUERY EXECUTION

We wont to translate the SQL quent into on elpelusic query to define the order of aperitions.

Then we do a legical transferantian, typicall puch the selection operation towards the leaves, so that the join is computed later.

finally, you penerate afferible access plan, dissing the correct algorithm, meaning that we sunotate each wash with the algo that we are going to use.

The order of the join is the most important docision in terms of speed of the query.

LOGICAL NEW ACCESS
LOGICAL NEW (OR PHYSICAL)
PLAN
PLAN
PLAN

Physical operators

Esde physical operator is a specific implementation of a logical operator.

- · R Toble Scon (R)
- · Tx (E) Project (OE X) ~ ayrmut OE sud premeter X
- · En Mand Ez Nested Loop (On, Oz, cond)
 - Tulex Neited Loop (On, Oz, car)
 - Reyedoin (On, Oz, card)

How do you implement , tree of operators?

One way is "one operation at a time"

or "unsteristication of the result of each operation.

Very simple to implement but requires a let of read/write.

Not how a DBTIS work.

What is used is the iterator open/next/close, collect a iterator style or acursor interface.

for every who we use the iterston, for example

filter (Province = PI) and it just alls west on the Toble Sam,

which remember the last curror position

Table Scan (Street)

The filter boesnit used to usep everything in memory. The Toble Scan just stores the position of the curson.

We write the occess plan like , tree, when the organient of an operator is its child

Children of openfors

Observe that each operation has = fixet number of dilthem.

For example

. Toble Scan is slusys à leaf.

· Iulex Filter (R, Wx, Y) ~ it gets out of the index idx all the volves that satisfy the condition 4

> ~ ludex Filter (Students, ld Agestud, 29e> 25) open the index If feetful, retrieve RIOS with ope > 25, reads corresponding statements from the toba Students

~ this is ALWAYS a leaf, because it always reads from bisk, count be combined & priori

Project and Filter

They are the simplest operators.

They are the simplest operators.

Project ({A})

A produces
enoltizets

(No diphiste elimination)

Table Scan (R)

Copied Plan

Capied Plan

The Lecione SQL

Produces

And I produces
enouthizets

(No diphiste elimination)

Table Scan (R)

SELECT

FROM
WHERE

Distinct elicuiention

If we want to to hiplieste diminition, it is mon complisted

This is needed because whenever

| Sort ([A]) \rightarrow this is needed because whenever
| Sort ([A]) \rightarrow only fixed by the last element,

| So distinct con compan
| only with the last element
| Tableson (R)

What is the cost of distinct? Or because it happens in the unin memory.

And Sort? It is 2. Npage, because it needs the disk,

so we try to reduce the use of sort.

And avoid it if data is already sorted

Aud Filter? Ø And TableScan? Ngag SELECT *
feor R

with ldx minhx on A

WHERE A BETWEEN 50 AND 100

The project (*)

Out

I lake Filter (R, 164, 4)

R

I ludex Oaly filter combiner access to index with projection.

It just gives the values that it finds in the index,
without going to the date. Much man efficient.

Go to the lukex sur project to the same stributer of the index.

It does not very our table.

Whit is the difference between filter (0,4) and Indexfilter (R. Edx, 4)? The first filter the records on the piven around 0, while the second access the records of R

through the index, with No syrumst.

the selectivity factor

About the cost,

filter (TobleScan(R), y) ~ Npoy (R)

Index Filter (R, 18x, 4) ~ CI + CD = CI + sf * NRec (R)

[u sddition, Intexfilter (Ridx, 4) gives dot already sort by A, then it wight be not need to sort again.

Group Ba

The amounted may is by sorting and then break when the attribute changes.

SELECT A, COUNT (*)
FROM R
WHERE
GROUP BY

0 count (*)>1 -, Filter (court (*)>1)

fA} { count(*)} -> (crouply(fA3, count(x)))

| Sort

| Ou

| Filter (4)

| R

GROVED mems that when there is a break I will never get that value again.

SORTING implies GROUPED, it is stroupen.

If you are not sure that data is prouped, then you need to sort.

But since prompet data is enough, it might but be required to sort.

Sort
The sort operator is TyAiz
and its cost is 24 Npg

Nested LOOP (JOIN) this is the simplest and west expensive also. Algo: a cater relation for each record r in R to - inner relation . for each record in 5 do if vi = Si there 2dd (r, s > to the result The cost is Npg (R) + NRec (R) * NBg (S) Every left for every record I we scon the entire side inver petation (outer sel.) 5. the cost is quadratic, and you will never use it. Page Nosted Leap (JOIN) Instead of somning the entire relation once for every second

of the outer relation, you sent mentire page of of the outer relation and join the entite page.

More difficult to implement and still quadratic, but less expusive since Npge < Nuccess. The cost is Neg(R) + Neg(R) * Neg(S)



Julex Nested Loop

for every t-ple of R. read the triple R.A, there use the index of S.B.

Index Neited Coop requires two seguents,
on the feft, something that allows "next", like TableScor,
on the right. Index filter (S, Idx, S.B.= R.A) and
obs
This is the only operator where
data flows also from feft to right,
and not only bothern-up.

this information.

77' med hefore

It is the 'open' openson that worries

obsence that here 5.B opens the index, is a country, while R.A is the otherwise

opension that for every open inext lose

- the coudition i

flerge Join (JOIN 4) (ses SoftRerge)

The cost is just that of sent, that is Z* Npop, liver in the number of popes.

Meyordoin (R.A = S.B)

Sort (R.A)

Sort (S.B)

Toble Scm (R)

Toble Scm (S)

of Before II, be sure that
the input is sorted
exactly on the join attribute.

Should we use Index North Loop a Metgejoin?
The cit is respectively Npg (R) + K * NRec (R) and Z* Npg.

So if S his NRec=100.000 and NPg=1.000 and R has NRec=3 and NPg=1. Then INL is very convenient, because the cost is 1+ k x 3. While MJ's cost is 2 x 1.000.

But if R is 25 hig 25 S, like

R with NRec: 100.000 md NP2 = 1.000,

Then We will be morn! 200.000

md MJ is 2 * 1.000 = 2.000

In the transactional application, using few records, use INL, while analytical applications use ID.

Of course, to vie INI. We need on INI.

But typically every DBTIS put an index on every key and foreign key.

Operators of JOIN (review)

1. Nested Loop (OE, O, Ψ) } NOT USED

2. PsycNested Loop (OE, O, Ψ)

3. Inlex Nested Loop (OE,O,, Ψ) } USED
4. Sort Morge (O€,O,, Ψ)

avery Optimization

Typicolly men moving the restriction of before the join, that is expensive. This is the logical optionization.

then we have the physical plan peneration of the plan with the optimal east.

Actually we just awaid terrible plans.