Data Mining-HW1

P76061425 林聖軒

主程式: assoc_analysis.py

執行參數:

Result compare:

Dataset: data.ntrans 1 (IBM Quest Data)

Number_of_transactions:1000

Minimum Support = 3, Minimum Confidence = 0.5

執行時間:

Apriori Algorithm:

real 1m50.782s

FP-Growth:

real 0m5.904s

Minimum Support = 4, Minimum Confidence = 0.5

執行時間:

Apriori Algorithm:

real 0m13.639s

FP-Growth:

real 0m1.349s

Minimum Support = 5, **Minimum Confidence = 0.5**

執行時間:

Apriori Algorithm:

real 0m0.947s

FP-Growth:

real 0m0.610s

上面幾個例子可以很明顯的看到,FP-Growth 演算法的執行時間較少,效率明顯高於 Apriori Algorithm,而 minimum support 越低則需要越長的時間來找 association rule。

程式驗證:

與 weka 結果相同

```
Associator output
            weka.associations.FPGrowth -P 2 -I -1 -N 10 -T 0 -C 0.5 -D 0.05 -U 1.0 -M 0.4 -S
 Scheme:
 Relation:
           fp test datal
 Instances:
 Attributes:
 === Associator model (full training set) ===
 FPGrowth found 14 rules
  1. [e=1]: 3 ==> [b=1]: 3 <conf:(1)> lift:(1.33) lev:(0.19) conv:(0.75)
  4. [e=1, c=1]: 2 ==> [b=1]: 2 <conf:(1)> lift:(1.33) lev:(0.13) conv:(0.5)
5. [c=1, b=1]: 2 ==> [e=1]: 2 <conf:(1)> lift:(1.33) lev:(0.13) conv:(0.5)
 13. [b=1]: 3 ==> [e=1, c=1]: 2 <conf:(0.67)> lift:(1.33) lev:(0.13) conv:(0.75)
 14. [e=1, b=1]: 3 ==> [c=1]: 2 <conf:(0.67)> lift:(0.89) lev:(-0.06) conv:(0.38)
```

```
shengxuan@gpuserval-System-Product-Name:~/DM/DM_HW1$ py assoc_analysis.py -p fpg -msp 2 -f example_data.txt
policy: fpg
min_support: 2
min_conf: 0.5
data_file: example_data.txt

frequent itemset:
1_itemset_count: 4
2_itemset_count: 4
3_itemset_count: 1
all_itemset_count: 9

association_list:
('b', 'c') -> [('e',)]
('c', 'e') -> [('b',)]
('b', 'e') -> [('c',)]
('c',) -> [('c',)]
('c',) -> [('c',)]
('b',) -> [('c', 'e'), ('c',), ('e',)]
('e',) -> [('c', 'b'), ('c',), ('b',)]
('a',) -> [('c',)]
found 14 rules
```