# STAT 3006: Statistical Computing Lecture 8\*

### 5 March

For a transition matrix P, if there exists a distribution  $\{\pi_k^*\}$  such that  $\pi_i^* p_{ij} = \pi_j^* \pi_{ji}$  for  $\forall i, j$ , then we say  $\pi^*$  satisfies the detailed balance condition. Furthermore, if  $\pi^*$  satisfies the detailed balance condition, it is also stationary. Noteworthy, a stationary distribution does not necessarily satisfy the detailed balance condition.

## 7 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) Algorithm

For a distribution  $\pi(x)$ , the idea of MCMC algorithm to sample from  $\pi(x)$  is constructing a Markov chain (or equivalently finding a transition distribution) with  $\pi(x)$  being the chain's limiting distribution. When the chain  $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$  proceeds long enough, samples  $\{X_n : n \geq B\}$  (B is a large number) can approximately be treated as samples from  $\pi(x)$ . In the following, we give the definition of the MCMC method.

**Definition 7.1.** A Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method for simulating f is any method producing an irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent Markov chain  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  whose stationary distribution is f.

#### Remarks and Problems:

- A stationary distribution f in the irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain is also the chain's limiting distribution.
- The samples are not independent.
- If you want to draw n samples from f, no need to generate n chains and obtain one sample from each chain.
- How long should we stop the Markov chain?

<sup>\*</sup>If you have any question about the note, please send an email to xyluo@link.cuhk.edu.hk

### 7.1 Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm

The Metropolis-Hasting (MH) algorithm as a MCMC method provides us with a general approach to constructing a Markov chain  $\{X^{(t)}\}$  with f(x) being the limiting distribution.

**Algorithm**: MH algorithm to sample from f.

**Input**: the pdf (or pmf) f, a starting point  $x^{(0)}$  and a proposal distribution q(y|x).

Initialize:  $t \leftarrow 0$ .

Repeat

```
generate y_t \sim q(\cdot|x^{(t)});
calculate \rho(x^{(t)}, y_t) = \min\left\{\frac{f(y_t)}{f(x^{(t)})}\frac{q(x^{(t)}|y_t)}{q(y_t|x^{(t)})}, 1\right\};
accept y_t as x^{(t+1)} with probability \rho(x^{(t)}, y_t);
otherwise, reject y_t and let x^{(t+1)} be x^{(t)}.
t \leftarrow t+1;
```

Until some criteria are met.

**Output:**Given a large number  $B, \{x^{(B)}, x^{(B+1)}, \ldots\}$  are samples from the distribution f.

We can prove that the transition distribution k(x,y) in MH algorithm satisfies the detailed balance condition f(x)k(x,y) = f(y)k(y,x). Therefore, f(x) is the limiting distribution of the Markov chain in MH algorithm.

Proof. When 
$$x = y$$
,  $f(x)k(x,y) = f(x)k(x,x) = f(y)k(y,x)$ . When  $x \neq y$ , 
$$f(x)k(x,y) = f(x)q(y|x)\rho(x,y)$$
$$= f(x)q(y|x)\min\left\{\frac{f(y)q(x|y)}{f(x)q(y|x)}, 1\right\}$$
$$= \min\left\{f(y)q(x|y), f(x)q(y|x)\right\}$$
$$= min\left\{f(x)q(y|x), f(y)q(x|y)\right\}$$
$$= f(y)k(y,x).$$

Sometimes, the proposal distribution q(y|x) = g(y) does not depend on x. It leads to the independent MH algorithm.

**Algorithm**: Independent MH algorithm to sample from f.

**Input**: the pdf (or pmf) f, a starting point  $x^{(0)}$  and a proposal distribution g(y).

Initialize:  $t \leftarrow 0$ .

Repeat

```
generate y_t \sim g(\cdot);

calculate \rho(x^{(t)}, y_t) = \min\left\{\frac{f(y_t)}{f(x^{(t)})} \frac{g(x^{(t)})}{g(y_t)}, 1\right\};

accept y_t as x^{(t+1)} with probability \rho(x^{(t)}, y_t);

otherwise, reject y_t and let x^{(t+1)} be x^{(t)}.

t \leftarrow t+1;
```

Until some criteria are met.

**Output:**Given a large number  $B, \{x^{(B)}, x^{(B+1)}, \ldots\}$  are samples from the distribution f.

Remark 1. In this algorithm,  $y_t$ s are independent, but  $x_t$ s are still dependent.

Moreover, when q(y|x) = q(x|y), we have Metropolis algorithm.

```
Algorithm: MH algorithm to sample from f.
```

**Input**: the pdf (or pmf) f, a starting point  $x^{(0)}$  and a proposal distribution q(y|x).

Initialize:  $t \leftarrow 0$ .

### Repeat

```
generate y_t \sim q(\cdot|x^{(t)});
calculate \rho(x^{(t)}, y_t) = \min\left\{\frac{f(y_t)}{f(x^{(t)})}, 1\right\};
accept y_t as x^{(t+1)} with probability \rho(x^{(t)}, y_t);
otherwise, reject y_t and let x^{(t+1)} be x^{(t)}.
t \leftarrow t + 1;
```

Until some criteria are met.

**Output:**Given a large number  $B, \{x^{(B)}, x^{(B+1)}, \ldots\}$  are samples from the distribution f.

As you can see, the computation of the acceptance probability becomes easier due to the symmetry of q(y|x).

#### 7.2Gibbs Sampler

When  $f(\mathbf{x})$  has a large number of variates, the transition distribution in the MH algorithm is high dimensional. A state space with a high dimensionality and a poor transition distribution often lead to very slow convergence of Markov chain to its limiting distribution. Gibbs sampler can get around the problem by iteratively sampling from full conditional functions.

```
Algorithm: Gibb sampler to sample from f(\mathbf{x}).
```

```
Input: the pdf (or pmf) f(\mathbf{x}) and a starting point \mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_p^{(0)}).
```

Initialize:  $t \leftarrow 0$ .

### Repeat

```
generate x_1^{(t+1)} \sim f_1(x_1|x_2^{(t)}, x_3^{(t)}, \dots, x_p^{(t)});
generate x_2^{(t+1)} \sim f_2(x_2|x_1^{(t+1)}, x_3^{(t)}, \dots, x_p^{(t)});
generate x_p^{(t+1)} \sim f_p(x_p|x_1^{(t+1)}, x_2^{(t+1)}, \dots, x_{p-1}^{(t+1)});
t \leftarrow t + 1;
```

Until some criteria are met.

**Output:**Given a large number B,  $\{\mathbf{x}^{(B)}, \mathbf{x}^{(B+1)}, \ldots\}$  are samples from the distribution f.

In the algorithm, univariate conditional functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_p$  are called full conditional functions.

Theorem 7.1. The Gibbs sampler is equivalent to the composition of p-MH algorithms with acceptance rate equal to one.

Example (bivariate normal distribution): use Gibbs sampler to sample from  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , where

 $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$  and  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we derive the distribution's full conditional functions, it becomes easy for us to implement Gibbs sampler:

$$f(x_1|x_2) = N(x_1; \mu_1 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_2^2}(x_2 - \mu_2), \sigma_1^2 - \frac{\sigma_{12}^2}{\sigma_2^2}),$$
  
$$f(x_2|x_1) = N(x_2; \mu_2 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1^2}(x_1 - \mu_1), \sigma_2^2 - \frac{\sigma_{12}^2}{\sigma_1^2}),$$

where  $N(x; \mu, \sigma^2)$  represents the density function of the normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

Example: use MH algorithm to sample from  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ . We just need to specify the proposal distribution. Given  $(x_1, x_2)$ , sample  $y_1$  from  $N(x_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and sample  $y_2$  from  $N(x_2, \sigma_2^2)$ . The  $(y_1, y_2)$  is the proposal. We can see that the proposal distribution  $q(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$  is symmetric, so the MH algorithm becomes Metropolis algorithm listed in the last subsection.