RMSC4002 Tutorial 8

Chapter 5

November 9, 2017

1 Binary Logistic Regression

1.1 Model Description

Define $\pi_i = Pr(Y_i = 1|x_i)$ to be the probability of success given x_i . The assumption of logistic regression is that log-odd ratio of success probability is a linear function of x_i :

$$ln(\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} = \mathbf{x}_i' \beta$$

where $\pi_i = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)}$

```
> summary(glm(HSI~EY+CFTP+ln_MV+DY+BTME+DTE,data=d,binomial))
glm(formula = d$HSI \sim d$EY + d$CFTP + d$ln_MV + d$DY + d$BTME +
    d$DTE, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                               Median
    -8.490e+00
                 -2.107e-08 -2.107e-08
                                             -2.107e-08 8.490e+00
Coefficients:
Estimate Std. Error z value (Intercept) -4.121e+15 1.066e+07 -386410689
                                      z value Pr(>|z|)
                                                <2e-16 ***
            1.516e+13 6.431e+05
                                    23570628
                                                <2e-16 ***
                                                <2e-16 ***
d$CFTP
            -6.364e+13 1.483e+06
                                    -42902735
                                                <2e-16 ***
d$1n_MV
            4.945e+14 1.625e+06
                                    304287297
                                                <2e-16 ***
d$DY
            -1.144e+14 7.085e+05 -161536188
                                                <2e-16 ***
d$BTME
            -7.907e+12
                        3.155e+05
                                    -25063060
             8.744e+12 7.168e+05
                                                <2e-16 ***
d$DTE
                                    12198713
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 258.08 on 679 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1153.40 on 673 degrees of freedom
AIC: 1167.4
```

```
> lreg<-glm(HSI~EY+CFTP+ln_MV+DY+BTME+DTE,data=d,binomial)
> names(lreg)
                            # display the items in lreg
> names(Ireg)
[1] "coefficients"
[4] "effects"
[7] "qr"
[10] "deviance"
[13] "iter"
[16] "df.residual"
                                                         "fitted.values"
"rank"
                                "residuals'
                                "family"
                                                          "linear.predictors"
                                "aic"
                                                          "null.deviance"
                                "weights"
                                                          "prior.weights"
                                "df.null"
[19] "converged" [22] "call"
                                                          "model"
                                "boundary"
                                "formula'
                                                          "terms"
[25] "data"
                                "offset"
                                                          "control"
[28] "method"
                                                          "xlevels"
                                "contrasts'
> pr<-(lreg$fitted.values>0.5)
                                             # set pr=True if fitted >0.5 or otherwise
                                            # Cross tabulation of pr and HSI
> table(pr,d$HSI)
  FALSE 634 2
TRUE 14 30
```

1.2 Outliers Detection

To improve the result of logistic regression, the main method is to remove the outliers from the big sample size.

- Calculate the Mahalanobis distance of those in big sample size.
- Set the cut-off value to be the $[(1 \alpha) \times 100]$ th percentile of Chi-square with degree of freedom p, where p is the number of independent variables.
- Remove all data with Mahalanobis distance greater than the cut-off value.
- Put the remaining data into logistic regression model again.

(When doing your project, it is a good idea to clean the data first.)

```
mdist<-function(x) {
                            # transform x to a matrix
   t < -as.matrix(x)
   m < -apply(t, 2, mean)
                            # compute column mean
                            # compute sample covariance matrix
   s<-var(t)
                           # using built-in mahalanobis function
   mahalanobis(t,m,s)
> d<-read.csv("fin-ratio.csv")</pre>
                                    # read in dataset
> d0 < -d[d$HSI=0,]
                                    # select HSI=0
> d1 < -d[d$HSI=1,]
                                    # select HSI=1
> dim(d0)
[1] 648
> dim(d1)
[1] 32 7
> source("mdist.r")
                                   # load the mdist function
> x<-d0[,1:6]
                                   # save d0 to x
                                   # compute mdist
> md < -mdist(x)
> plot(md)
                                   # plot md
> (c<-qchisq(0.99,df=6)) # p=6, and type-I error = 0.01
 [1] 16.81189
> d2 < -d0[md < c,]
                          # select cases from dO with md<c
> dim(d2)
                          # we have throw away 648-626=22 cases
[1] 626
> d3<-rbind(d1,d2)
                          # combine d1 with d2 to form a cleaned dataset
> dim(d3)
[1] 658
#save the cleaned dataset to "fin-ratiol.csv"
> write.csv(d3, file="fin-ratio1.csv", row.names=F)
```

```
> summary(glm(HSI~CFTP+ln_MV+BTME,data=d3,binomial))
glm(formula = HSI ~ CFTP + ln_MV + BTME, family = binomial, data = d3)
Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                   10
                           Median
                                                       Max
          -1.943e-04 -8.005e-06 -3.054e-07
                                                1.738e+00
-2.377e+00
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -69.9309
                       21.3821 -3.271 0.00107 **
                                        0.01262 *
CFTP
             -3.0376
                         1.2178
                                -2.494
ln_MV
              7.2561
                         2.2284
                                  3.256
                                        0.00113 **
BTME
             1.3222
                        0.6418
                                 2.060 0.03940 *
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1
```

```
> lreg<-glm(HSI~CFTP+ln_MV+BTME,data=d3,binomial) # save the output
> pr<-(lreg$fit>0.5) # prediction
> table(pr,d3$HSI) # classification table
pr 0 1
FALSE 624 3
TRUE 2 29
```

1.3 Lift Chart

```
ysort<-d3$HSI[order(lreg$fit,decreasing=T)]</pre>
                                                  # sort y according to lreg$fit
n<-length(ysort)
                                                  # get length of ysort
perc1<-cumsum(ysort)/(1:n)</pre>
                                                  # compute cumulative percentage
plot(percl,type="l", col=' blue' )
                                                       # plot perc with line type
abline(h=sum(d3$HSI)/n)
                                             # add the baseline
yideal <- c(rep(1,sum(d3$HSI)),rep(0,length(d3$HSI)-sum(d3$HSI))) # the ideal case
perc ideal <- cumsum(yideal)/(1:n)</pre>
                                                      # compute cumulative percentage
of ideal case
lines(perc_ideal, type="1", col="red")
                                                  # plot the ideal case in red line
perc2<-cumsum(ysort)/sum(ysort)</pre>
                                            # cumulative perc. of success
pop < -(1:n)/n
                                       # x-coordinate
plot(pop,perc2,type="1")
                                            # plot
                                       # add the reference line
lines(pop,pop)
perc_ideal2 <- cumsum(yideal)/sum(yideal) # cumulative perc. of success for ideal</pre>
case
lines(pop,perc_ideal2, type="1",col="red") # plot the ideal case in red line
```

1.4 Model Selection

```
> d<-read.csv("fin-ratiol.csv")
> lreg<-glm(HSI~.,data=d,binomial)
                                                                    # read in data
# save the logistic reg
> step(lreg)
                                                                    # perform stepwise selection
Start: AIC=36.47
HSI ~ EY + CFTP + ln_MV + DY + BTME + DTE
            Df Deviance
1 22.495
1 22.769
1 22.822
22.468
1 27.628
1 30.586
                                AIC 34.495 34.769
- DTE
- DY
- EY
                                 34.822
                                36.468
39.628
42.586
<none>
- CFTP
- 1n_MV 1
                  245.018 257.018
Step: AIC=31.09
HSI ~ CFTP + ln_MV + BTME
             Df Deviance
<none> 23.087 31.087
- BTME 1 28.051 34.051
- CFTP 1 33.623 39.623
- ln_MV 1 246.700 252.700
Call: glm(formula = HSI ~ CFTP + ln_MV + BTME, family = binomial, data = d)
Coefficients:
                                                  ln_MV
7.256
                               CFTP
                                                                        BTME
(Intercept)
-69.931
                             -3.038
                                                                      1.322
```

1.5 Measure of Accuracy & Decision Threshold

Measure Accuracy:

Test \True	True	False
Positive	True Positive (TP)	False Positive (FP)
Negative	False Negative (FN)	True Negative (TN)

- Precision = TP/(TP + FP)
- Recall = TP/(TP + FN)
- $F1 = \frac{2}{1/Precision + 1/Recall}$

Decision Threshold:

Decision \True	True	False
Accept	correct	cost: c_2
Reject	cost: c_1	correct

When predicting the class given \mathbf{x} , we first compute the probability $p(\mathbf{x})$ of acceptance, then compare the two possible loss:

- Rejecting a true sample: $c_1(1-p(\mathbf{x}))$
- Accepting a false sample: $c_2p(\mathbf{x})$

Choose the smaller misclassification cost as the decision.

Exercise 2013-14 final Q4(a)(b) A logistic regression with stepwise selection is fitted to the dataset with the following output:

- Let $x_1 = (Vmail, DayMins, EveMins, CustServCalls) = (0, 255, 230, 3)$, compute $Pr(Change = 0|x_1)$ and $Pr(Change = 1|x_1)$, where Change is the target variable.
- Suppose the cost of misclassifying a customer with Change = 1 to Change = 0 is 3 times as high as the cost of misclassifying a customer with Change = 0 to Change = 1. What is the classification rule based on this logistic regression. How would you predict the target variable of x_1 in the first question.