Lab assignment 2: Multilayer perceptron for classification problems

Academic year 2022/2023

Subject: Introduction to computational models 4th course Computer Science Degree (University of Córdoba)

19th October 2022

Abstract

This lab assignment serves as familiarisation for the student with neural network computational models applied to classification problems, in particular, with the multilayer perceptron implemented in the previous lab assignment. On the other hand, it is required to implement the *off-line* version of the training algorithm. The student must implement these modifications and check the effect of different parameters over a given set of real-world datasets, with the aim of obtaining the best possible results in classification. Delivery will be made using the task in Moodle authorized for this purpose. All deliverables must be uploaded in a single compressed file indicated in this document. The deadline for the submission is **31st October 2022**. In case two students submit copied assignments, neither of them will be scored.

1 Introduction

The work to be done in this lab assignment consists on adapting the back-propagation algorithm implemented in the previous lab assignment to classification problems. Concretely, a probabilistic meaning will be given to this algorithm by means of two elements:

- Use of the *softmax* activation function in the output layer.
- Use of the cross-entropy error function.

Furthermore, the *off-line* version of the algorithm will also be implemented.

The student should develop a programme able to train a model with the aforementioned modifications. This programme will be used to train models able to classify as accurate as possible a set of databases available in Moodle. Also, an analysis about the obtained results will be included. This analysis will greatly influence the qualification of this assignment.

In the statement of the assignment, indicative values are provided for all parameters. However, it will be positively evaluated if the student finds other values for these parameters able to achieve better results. The only condition is that the maximum number of iterations for the outer loop can not be modified (established to 1000 iterations for the XOR problem and *ildp*, and 500 iterations for the *noMNIST* dataset).

Section 2 describes a series of general guidelines when implementing the back-propagation algorithm. Section 3 explains the experiments to be carried out once the algorithm's modifications are implemented. Finally, section 4 specifies the files to be delivered for this assignment.

2 Implementation of the back-propagation algorithm

Follow the instructions on the class slides in order to add the following characteristics to the algorithm implemented in the previous lab assignment:

1. *Softmax function*: The possibility to use the *softmax* function in the neurons of the output layer should be incorporated, being its output defined as:

$$net_{j}^{H} = w_{j0}^{H} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{H-1}} w_{ji}^{H} out_{i}^{H-1},$$
(1)

$$out_j^H = o_j = \frac{\exp(net_j^H)}{\sum_{l=1}^{n_H} \exp(net_l^H)}.$$
 (2)

You should implement the model optimised by the number of weights, in this way, for the last output (output n_H), $net_{n_H}^H=0$ will be considered. This allows us to reduce the number of weights, in this sense, we can discard the weights $w_{n_H0}^H, w_{n_H1}^H, \ldots, w_{n_Hn_{H-1}}^H$. Although it is possible to avoid the allocation of one neuron on the output layer, it is recommended to establish to NULL all the vectors associated to that neuron. Use $net_{n_H}^H=0$ when NULL is found in the forward-propagation (only for the last layer and when using softmax function) and ignore the neuron in the rest of the methods.

2. *Error function based on the cross-entropy*: The possibility to use the cross-entropy as error function must be introduced, this is:

$$L = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{o=1}^{k} d_{po} \ln(o_{po}) \right), \tag{3}$$

where N is the number of patterns of the database, k is the number of outputs, d_{po} is set to 1 if the pattern p belongs to the o class (and 0 otherwise) and o_{po} is the probability value obtained by the model for the pattern p and the class o.

- 3. Working mode: Apart from working in on-line mode (previous lab assignment), the algorithm should include the possibility of working in off-line mode or batch. This is, for each training pattern (inner loop), the error will be computed and the change accumulated, but we will not adjust the network weights. Once all the training patterns are processed (and the changes accumulated), then the weights will be adjusted and the stopping condition of the outer loop will be checked (in the case that the stopping condition is not satisfied, we will start again by the first pattern). Remember to average the derivates during the weight adjustment for the off-line mode, as it is explained in the slides.
- 4. The rest of the algorithm characteristics (use of the *training* files and *test* files), *stopping* condition, copies of the weights and seeds for the random numbers) will be the same specified in the previous lab assignment. However, for this assignment, it is highly recommended to take the default values for the learning rate and the following momentum factors: $\eta = 0.7$ and $\mu = 1$, adjusting them if necessary until convergence is achieved.

It is recommended to implement the previous points, checking that everything work fine (at least with two datasets) before moving forward to the next point.

3 Experiments

We will test different configurations of the neural network and execute each configuration with five seeds (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5). Based on the results obtained, the average and standard deviation of the error will be obtained. Although the training is guided by the cross-entropy or the MSE, the programme must show the percentage of correct classified patterns (CCR), given that for classification problems this is the most appropriate performance measure. ¹ The percentage of

¹The bad thing is that it is not derivable and we can not use it to adjust weights.

correct classified patterns can be expressed as follows:

$$CCR = 100 \times \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (I(y_p = y_p^*)),$$
 (4)

where N is the number of patterns of the dataset considered, y_p is the target class for the pattern p (this is, the index of the maximum value of the vector \mathbf{d}_p , $y_p = \arg\max_o d_{po}$, or what is the same, the index of the position with a 1) and y_p^* is the class obtained for the pattern p (this is, the index of the maximum value of the vector \mathbf{o}_p or the output neuron that achieves the highest probability for the pattern p, $y_p^* = \arg\max_o o_{po}$).

To assess how the implemented algorithm works, we will run it on three different datasets:

- *XOR problem*: this dataset represents the problem of non-linear classification of the XOR. The same file will be used for train and test. As can be seen, this file has been adapted to 1-to-*k* codification, finding two outputs instead of one.
- *idlp dataset*: *idlp* contains 405 training patterns and 174 test patterns. The dataset was collected from northeast Andhra Pradesh, India². The class label is used to divide patients into two groups (liver or non-liver patients). 441 records correspond to men, while 142 correspond to women. Any patient whose age exceeds 89 years appears as age "90". There are a total of 10 input variables including:
 - 1. Age: Age of the patient.
 - 2. TB: Total bilirubin.
 - 3. DB: Direct bilirubin.
 - 4. AAP: Alkaline phosphotase.
 - 5. Sgpt: Alamine aminotransferase.
 - 6. Sgot: aspartate aminotransferase.
 - 7. TP: Total protiens.
 - 8. ALB: Albumin.
 - 9. A/G Ratio: Ratio of albumin and globulin.
 - 10. Gender: Gender of the patient.
- noMNIST dataset: originally, this dataset was composed by 200.000 training patterns and 10.000 test patterns, with a total of 10 classes. Nevertheless, for this lab assignment, the size of the dataset has been reduced in order to reduce the computational cost. In this sense, the dataset is composed by 900 training patterns and 300 test patterns. It includes a set of letters (from a to f) written with different typologies or symbols. They are adjusted to a squared grid of 28×28 pixels. The images are in grey scale in the interval $[-1.0; +1.0]^3$. Each of the pixels is an input variable (with a total of $28 \times 28 = 784$ input variables) and the class corresponds to a written letter $(a, b, c, d, e \ y \ f$, with a total of 6 classes). Figure 1 represents a subset of 180 training patterns, whereas figure 2 represents a subset of 180 letters from the test set. Moreover, all the letters are arranged and available in Moodle in the files train_img_nomnist.tar.gz and test_img_nomnist.tar.gz, respectively.

Note that the database *ildp* is not normalised, so you will have to use the normalisation of the input variables that you did in the previous practice. Both XOR and noMNIST are already normalised. Outputs are never normalised in classification.

A table for each dataset must be built, comparing the average and standard deviation of the following four measures:

²For more information, see https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/ILPD+(Indian+Liver+Patient+Dataset)

 $^{^3}$ Check http://yaroslavvb.blogspot.com.es/2011/09/notmnist-dataset.html for more information.



Figure 1: Subset of letters belonging to the training dataset.



Figure 2: Subset of letters belonging to the test dataset.

- Training and test errors. The *MSE* or the cross-entropy will be used, according to the decision made by the user to adjust the weights.
- Training and test *CCR*.

The momentum factor must always be used. It is highly recommended to use the values $\eta=0.7$ y $\mu=1$, adjusting them if necessary until convergence is achieved. At least, the following configuration must be tested:

- *Network architecture*: For this first run, use the cross-entropy error function and the *softmax* activation function in the output layer, using the *off-line* version of the algorithm.
 - For the XOR problem, use the architecture achieving the best performance in the previous lab assignment.
 - For the *ildp* and *noMNIST* problems, 8 different architectures (one or two hidden layers with 4, 8, 16 o 64 neurons) must be tested.
- Once decided the best architecture, test the following combinations (with the *off-line* algorithm):
 - *MSE* error function and *sigmoidal* activation function in the output layer.
 - *MSE* error function and *softmax* activation function in the output layer.
 - Cross-entropy error function and *softmax* activation function in the output layer.
 - Do not try the combination of cross-entropy error function and *sigmoidal* activation function in the output layer, given that it will lead to a bad performance (explain why).
- Once decided the best combination (achieved using the *off-line* version of the algorithm), compare the results against the *on-line* version of the algorithm.

Attention: Depending on the error function, it could be necessary to adapt the values for the learning rate (η) and the momentum factor (μ).

As a guideline, the training CCR and the test CCR achieved by a logistic regression (using Weka) over the three datasets is shown:

- XOR problem: $CCR_{training} = CCR_{test} = 50\%$.
- ildp dataset: $CCR_{training} = 73.3333\%$; $CCR_{test} = 68.9655\%$.
- noMNIST dataset: $CCR_{\text{training}} = 80.4444\%$; $CCR_{\text{test}} = 82.6667\%$.

The student should be able to improve this error values with some of the configurations.

3.1 File format

The datasets files will follow the same format than the previous assignment. Note that for this lab assignment, all the files have multiple outputs (one for each class).

4 Assignments

The files to be submitted will be the following:

- Report in a pdf file describing the programme implemented, including results, tables and their analysis.
- Executable file and source code.

4.1 Report

The report for this lab assignment must include, at least, the following content:

- Cover with the lab assignment number, its title, subject, degree, faculty department, university, academic year, name, DNI and email of the student
- Index of the content with page numbers.
- Description of the neural network models used (architecture and layer organisation) (1 page maximum).
- Pseudocode description of the back-propagation algorithm and all those relevant operations. The pseudocode must necessarily reflect the implementation and development done and not a generic description extracted from the slides or any other source. (3 pages maximum).
- Experiments and results discussion:
 - Brief description of the datasets used.
 - Brief description of the values of the parameters considered.
 - Results obtained, according to the format specified in the previous section.
 - Discussion/analysis of the results. The analysis must be aimed at justifying the results obtained instead of merely describing the tables. Take into account that this part is extremely decisive in the lab assignment qualification. The inclusion of the following comparison items will be appreciated:
 - * Test confusion matrix of the best neural network model achieved for the *noMNIST* database.
 - * Also for *noMNIST*, analyse the errors, **including the images of some letters for which the model mistakes**, to visually check if they are confusing.
 - * Convergence charts: they reflect, on the x-axis, the iteration number of the algorithms, and, in the y-axis, the CCR on the training set and/or on the test set.

• Bibliographic references or any other material consulted in order to carry out the lab assignment different to the one provided by the lecturers (if any).

Although the content is important, the presentation, including the style and structure of the document will also be valued. The presence of too many spelling mistakes can decrease the grade obtained.

4.2 Executable and source code

Together with the report, the executable file prepared to be run in the UCO's machines (concretely, test using ssh on ts.uco.es) must be included. In addition, all the source code must be included. The executable should have the following characteristics:

- Its name will be la2.
- The programme to be developed receive twelve arguments on command line (that could appear in any order). ⁴ The first nine arguments have not changed with respect to the previous assignment. The last three arguments incorporate the modifications included in this assignment:
 - Argument t: Indicates the name of the file that contains the training data to be used.
 This argument is compulsory, and without it, the program can not work.
 - Argument T: Indicates the name of the file that contains the testing data to be used. If it is not specified, training data will be used as testing data.
 - Argument i: Indicates the number of iterations for the outer loop. If it is not specified, use 1000 iterations.
 - Argument 1: Indicates the number of hidden layers of the neural network. If it is not specified, use 1 hidden layer.
 - Argument h: Indicates the number of neurons to be introduced in each hidden layer. If it is not specified, use 5 neurons.
 - Argument e: Indicates the value for the eta (η) parameter. By default, use $\eta = 0.1$.
 - Argument m: Indicates the value for the mu (μ) parameter. By default, use $\mu=0.9$.
 - Argument o: Boolean that indicates if the *on-line* version is applied. By default, use the *off-line* version.
 - Argument f: Indicates the error function to be used (0 for the MSE and 1 for the cross-entropy). By default, use MSE.
 - Argument s: Boolean that indicates if the *softmax* function is used for the output layer.
 By default, use the sigmoidal function.
 - Argument n: Boolean indicating that the data (training and test) will be normalised after reading. Inputs will be normalised to the interval [-1,1] (outputs are not normalised in classification).
- Optionally, another argument could be included to save the configuration of the trained model (it would be necessary to obtain the predictions for the Kaggle competition):
 - Argument w: Indicates the name of the file in which the configuration will be stored and the value of the weights of the trained model.
- An example of execution can be seen in the following output:

⁴Use the function getopt () from libc to process the input sequence.

```
1
  i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/workspace/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train_xor.dat -T ../test_xor.
2
       dat -i 1000 -l 1 -h 16 -e 0.7 -m 1 -f 1 -s
  SEED 1
4
   ******
   Iteration 1
                      Training error: 0.366974
   Iteration 2
                      Training error: 0.394686
  Iteration 3
                      Training error: 0.357086
   Iteration 4
                      Training error: 0.366077
   Iteration 5
10
                      Training error: 0.349135
  Iteration 6
                      Training error: 0.351968
  Iteration 7
                      Training error: 0.343165
12
13
   Iteration 8
                      Training error: 0.343468
  Iteration 9
                      Training error: 0.338281
  Iteration 10
                      Training error: 0.337465
15
17
18
   Iteration 996
                      Training error: 0.00102801
  Iteration 997
                      Training error: 0.00102678
20
  Iteration 998
                      Training error: 0.00102554
22
   Iteration 999
                      Training error: 0.00102431
   Iteration 1000
                      Training error: 0.00102309
23
  NETWORK WEIGHTS
25
26
  Layer 1
   1.932040 -1.737134 1.926088
28
   0.326163 -0.146441 -0.182055
   -0.490515 0.503036 -0.586897
  -0.163416 0.775937 0.142567
31
   -1.648976 1.859996 1.620257
  1.865697 1.598651 1.845814
33
34
  -2.915683 2.762668 -2.906463
   -2.120769 -2.339651 2.162988
35
   -2.523937 -2.326467 -2.534853
  -1.837088 2.108107 1.788306
   -0.238769 1.013190 0.253558
   -1.826408 2.054005 1.775930
  2.317019 2.528668 -2.357329
   2.145112 1.922300 2.156072
41
   -2.327206 2.158176 -2.320141
42
  0.708153 0.410257 1.074749
  Layer 2
44
45
   -2.145596 \ 0.658908 \ 0.172077 \ -0.855432 \ -2.428730 \ 2.420198 \ 4.861758 \ 3.750326
       -3.734557 -3.039142 -0.680678 -2.974963 -4.075474 3.229442 3.288709 1.130524
       0.243718
  Desired output Vs Obtained output (test)
48
   ______
   1 -- 0.997629 0 -- 0.00237091
  0 -- 0.00168976 1 -- 0.99831
50
  1 -- 0.99817 0 -- 0.00183032
   0 -- 0.00228516 1 -- 0.997715
  We end!! => Final test CCR: 100
53
   *****
55
   SEED 2
   *****
  Iteration 1
                      Training error: 0.373712
                      Training error: 0.402586
   Iteration 2
58
   Iteration 3
                      Training error: 0.368335
  Iteration 4
                      Training error: 0.369898
  Iteration 5
                      Training error: 0.361395
61
   Iteration 6
                      Training error: 0.358421
63 Iteration 7
                      Training error: 0.356269
64 | Iteration 8
                      Training error: 0.353413
```

```
65 | Iteration 9
                      Training error: 0.352642
   Iteration 10
                     Training error: 0.350569
67
                      Training error: 0.00111981
   Iteration 997
70
                     Training error: 0.00111843
71
   Iteration 998
  Iteration 999
                     Training error: 0.00111706
   Iteration 1000
                     Training error: 0.0011157
73
74
   NETWORK WEIGHTS
   -----
   Layer 1
   -2.561168 2.538790 -2.589251
78
   -0.770332 -0.212399 0.075913
   1.886265 -1.926663 -1.888277
80
   1.233641 1.415421 -1.243881
81
  1.888271 -1.880845 1.937680
   -2.398075 -2.444980 2.397720
83
   0.675080 -0.449499 -0.187134
   -1.626142 1.626569 -1.680457
   -0.432686 0.870079 0.746575
86
   -2.467137 -2.423554 -2.444506
87
   -2.905327 2.927639 2.908822
   0.178809 0.807696 -0.499326
89
   -2.263792 2.236110 -2.289030
   2.141228 -2.166324 -2.146262
   -0.961022 0.368665 -0.519352
92
   1.671652 1.605829 1.578243
  Layer 2
94
95
   4.218926 0.256066 2.682362 -1.515218 -2.665360 3.794517 0.199035 2.051653 -0.533876
       -3.897894 -5.539269 -0.179128 3.387229 3.200096 0.315796 1.884826 -0.745766
97 Desired output Vs Obtained output (test)
   1 -- 0.997695 0 -- 0.00230545
  0 -- 0.0022113 1 -- 0.997789
   1 -- 0.997915 0 -- 0.00208488
101
   0 -- 0.00231397 1 -- 0.997686
102
  We end!! => Final test CCR: 100
104
   *****
105
   SEED 3
   *****
106
107
109
   ******
110
111
   SEED 4
112
   *****
113
114
   . . . .
115
   *****
   SEED 5
117
118
   *****
119
120
121
122 Iteration 996
                      Training error: 0.00120944
  | Iteration 997
                      Training error: 0.00120797
123
   Iteration 998
                      Training error: 0.0012065
  Iteration 999
                      Training error: 0.00120504
125
126
   Iteration 1000
                     Training error: 0.00120358
   NETWORK WEIGHTS
   _____
128
129 Layer 1
130 ---
```

```
131 | 1.900581 -1.882362 1.857397
   -2.842675 -2.859711 -2.889926
    -0.465074 -0.098927 0.013740
133
   2.268086 -2.294572 -2.254044
   2.243438 -2.213363 2.180051
    -0.256642 -0.105276 -0.120501
136
137
    0.114388 -0.534062 -0.351653
    -0.911573 -0.958489 -1.080537
138
139
    -1.433847 1.444481 1.471491
    -1.661529 -1.637269 1.715131
   -0.052632 0.301068 -0.963939
141
   2.370791 2.352868 -2.385332
    -0.288566 -1.009871 0.350465
143
    -2.658619 2.639775 -2.608652
144
   0.887022 1.042201 1.112214
    2.932930 -2.951392 -2.921607
146
147
   Layer 2
    -2.509621 \ -4.920168 \ 0.292810 \ 3.478740 \ -3.292094 \ 1.022310 \ 0.478927 \ -1.150676
149
        -1.462800\ \ 2.385706\ \ 0.120623\ \ -3.790206\ \ 0.620506\ \ 4.659517\ \ 1.236610\ \ 5.406729
        0.433783
   Desired output Vs Obtained output (test)
150
151
   1 -- 0.997546 0 -- 0.00245364
152
153
   0 -- 0.00234317 1 -- 0.997657
   1 -- 0.99751 0 -- 0.00249031
   0 -- 0.0023299 1 -- 0.99767
155
   We end!! => Final test CCR: 100
    WE HAVE FINISHED WITH ALL THE SEEDS
   FINAL REPORT
158
159
    ******
    Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.00114768 +- 0.000104197
160
   Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.00114768 +- 0.000104197
161
   Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 100 +- 0
    Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 100 +- 0
163
164
166
167
    i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train_nomnist.dat -T ../test_nomnist.
        dat -i 500 -l 1 -h 4 -f 1 -s
168
169
   FINAL REPORT
170
171
    ********
    Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.0751722 +- 0.00948445
    Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.124133 +- 0.0127144
173
   Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 86.7778 +- 4.27236
174
175
    Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 78.4 +- 5.96937
176
177
178
    i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train_nomnist.dat -T ../test_nomnist.
179
        dat -i 500 -l 1 -h 4 -e 1 -m 2 -f 1 -s
180
181
182
183
   FINAL REPORT
    *****
184
   Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.048567 +- 0.00473395
185
    Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.113218 +- 0.0124186
    Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 92.1333 +- 0.535182
    Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 83.6667 +- 1.31233
188
189
190
191
192
193
```

```
| i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train_nomnist.dat -T ../test_nomnist.
        dat -i 500 -l 1 -h 4 -e 1 -m 2 -f 0 -s
195
197
   FINAL REPORT
198
    *****
199
   Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.0390912 +- 0.00594294
200
   Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.0544008 +- 0.00626936
    Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 85.0222 +- 3.20243
   Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 77.5333 +- 4.09336
203
205
206
207
    i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/la2/Debug$ /la2 -t ../train_nomnist.dat -T ../test_nomnist.dat
208
         -i 500 -1 1 -h 8 -e 0.1 -m 2 -f 1 -s -o
209
210
   FINAL REPORT
211
    Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.0425087 +- 0.0151428
213
   Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.151445 +- 0.0202287
   Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 91.8222 +- 3.62144
215
   Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 84 +- 4.26224
218
219
    i02gupep@NEWTS: ~ /imc/workspace/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train_ildp.dat -T ../
       test_ildp.dat -i 1000 -l 1 -h 8 -e 1 -m 2 -f 1 -s
221
222
   FINAL REPORT
223
   Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.295362 +- 0.0111121
    Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.303772 +- 0.012969
225
    Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 71.8519 +- 0
   Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 70.6897 +- 0
228
229
230
231
   |i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/workspace/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train_ildp.dat -T ../
        test_ildp.dat -i 1000 -l 1 -h 4 -e 1 -m 2 -f 1 -s -n
232
233
   FINAL REPORT
   Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.246918 +- 0.00507569
235
   Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.276381 +- 0.00283158
    Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 73.4321 +- 1.66186
   Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 71.2644 +- 0.9087
```

4.3 [OPTIONAL] Obtaining the predictions for Kaggle

The same executable of the assignment will allow obtaining the predictions for a given dataset. This output must be saved in a .csv file that must be uploaded to Kaggle to participate in the competition (check the file format of sampleSubmission.csv on Kaggle). This prediction mode uses different parameter than those mentioned previously:

- Argument p: Flag indicating that the program will run in prediction mode.
- Argument T: Indicates the name of the file containing the test data to be used (test_kaggle.dat).
- Argument w: Indicates the name of the file containing the configuration and the values for the weights of the trained model that will be used to predict the outputs.

Below is an example of how the training mode is executed using the parameter w, which saves the configuration of the model.

```
i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/workspace/la2/Debug$ ./la2 -t ../train.dat -T ../test.dat -i 1000 -
       1 1 -h 4 -e 0.7 -m 1 -f 1 -s -w weights.txt
3
   SEED 1
4
   *****
   SEED 2
10
12
13
14
15
   ******
   SEED 3
17
18
19
20
21
   ******
22
   SEED 4
23
   *****
25
   . . .
26
   *****
   SEED 5
28
29
   *****
31
32
   FINAL REPORT
33
34
   Train error (Mean +- SD): 0.061885 +- 0.00883649
   Test error (Mean +- SD): 0.0627513 +- 0.00875778
36
   Train CCR (Mean +- SD): 83.04 +- 1.93203
37
   Test CCR (Mean +- SD): 81.97 +- 1.8529
```

Below is an example of the output using the prediction mode:

```
i02gupep@NEWTS:~/imc/practica1/Debug$ ./la2 -T ../kaggle.dat -p -w weights.txt
   Id, Category
   0,9
3
   1,5
4
   2,2
   3,4
   4,8
   5,3
   . . .
11
   1994,3
12
13
   1995,0
   1996,1
14
   1997,1
   1998,4
16
   1999,6
```