Homework Assignment N°4

BML36
Thibault Douzon
Rajavarman Mathivanan

September 26th, 2018

Contents

1 Exercise 1: Decision Trees

1.1 Part a

a Entropy of a particular class x inside a dataset is given by the following formula:

$$H(x) = -p(x)\ln_2(p(x))$$

Where $\ln_2$ is the base 2 logarithm and $p(x)$ is the proportion of x inside the dataset.
In our case, $p(x) = \frac{4}{9}$, thus

$$H(x_+) = -p(x_+)\ln_2(p(x_+)) = -\frac{4}{9}\ln_2(\frac{4}{9}) \approx 0.520$$

b First let's compute the entropy of the dataset:

$$H_0 = \sum_{i \in C^{\text{target}}} -p(i)\ln_2(p(i)) = -p(x_+)\ln_2(p(x_+)) - p(x_-)\ln_2(p(x_-)) \approx 0.520 + 0.471 = 0.991$$

The entropy of the whole dataset is 0.991

Now we can look at feature a_1 and the repartition of its value accross the target class.
We will use the same method as in the lectures, a table where each row represents a possible value for the feature a_1 and the two firsts column give the number of elements, the two following the probabilities and the last one the entropy for this value.

Feature a_1	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
T	3	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.811
F	1	4	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	0.722

We can now compute the mean entropy if we split on a_1:

$$H_{a_1} = \sum_{i \in C^{a_1}} p(i)H(i_+, i_-) \approx \frac{4}{9} \times 0.811 + \frac{5}{9} \times 0.722 \approx 0.762$$

The new entropy if we slit on a_1 is 0.762
We can now compute the information gain:

$$IG(a_1) = H_0 - H_{a_1} \approx 0.991 - 0.762 \approx 0.229$$

The information gain on splitting on a_1 is 0.229

We apply the same method to compute the information gain on splitting on a_2.
We get the following table:

Feature a_2	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
T	2	3	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	0.971
F	2	2	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	1

The entropy if we split on a_2 is the following:

$$H_{a_2} = \sum_{i \in C^{a_2}} p(i)H(i_+, i_-) \approx \frac{5}{9} \times 0.971 + \frac{4}{9} \times 1 \approx 0.984$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on $a_2 = H_0 - H_{a_2} \approx 0.991 - 0.984 \approx 0.007$
The best split to make between a_1 and a_2 is a_1 because it has the largest information gain.

c For feature a_3, we need to list all possible splits and compute the information gain for each of them. Based on the dataset, there are 7 distinct values (5 appears twice and 7 also does). We can split between every two consecutive values (6 different splits). We can also put a threshold that is less than the minimal value, or greater than the maximum value. In a nutshell, there are $6 + 2 = 8$ different splits.
We can expect the two extreme splits (less than min and more than max) to be useless, because it corresponds to not splitting at all the dataset, but for the sake of the exercise, we will compute their information gain also.
The method will alway be the same, fill the table, then compute the mean entropy and finally the information gain.

☐ Split at threshold = 0.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	0	0	0	0	0
$x >$ threshold	4	5	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{5}{9}$	0.991

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 0.5) \approx 0 \times 0 + 1 \times 0.991 \approx 0.991$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3
with $(\text{threshold} = 0.5) = H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 0.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.991 \approx 0.0$

☐ Split at threshold = 1.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	1	0	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{0}{1}$	0
$x >$ threshold	3	5	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{5}{8}$	0.954

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 1.5) \approx \frac{1}{9} \times 0 + \frac{8}{9} \times 0.954 \approx 0.848$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3
with $(\text{threshold} = 1.5) = H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 1.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.848 \approx 0.143$

☐ Split at threshold = 3.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
$x >$ threshold	3	4	$\frac{3}{7}$	$\frac{4}{7}$	0.985

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 3.5) \approx \frac{2}{9} \times 1 + \frac{7}{9} \times 0.985 \approx 0.988$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3 with (threshold $= 3.5) = H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 3.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.988 \approx 0.003$

☐ Split at threshold = 4.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	2	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0.918
$x >$ threshold	2	4	$\frac{2}{6}$	$\frac{4}{6}$	0.918

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 4.5) \approx \frac{3}{9} \times 0.918 + \frac{6}{9} \times 0.918 \approx 0.918$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3 with (threshold $= 4.5) = H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 4.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.918 \approx 0.073$

☐ Split at threshold = 5.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	2	3	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	0.971
$x >$ threshold	2	2	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	1

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 5.5) \approx \frac{5}{9} \times 0.971 + \frac{4}{9} \times 1 \approx 0.984$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3 with (threshold $= 5.5) = H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 5.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.984 \approx 0.007$

☐ Split at threshold = 6.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	3	3	$\frac{3}{6}$	$\frac{3}{6}$	1
$x >$ threshold	1	2	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0.918

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 6.5) \approx \frac{6}{9} \times 1 + \frac{3}{9} \times 0.918 \approx 0.973$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3 with (threshold $= 6.5) = H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 6.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.973 \approx 0.018$

☐ Split at threshold = 7.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	4	4	$\frac{4}{8}$	$\frac{4}{8}$	1
$x >$ threshold	0	1	$\frac{0}{1}$	$\frac{1}{1}$	0

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 7.5) \approx \frac{8}{9} \times 1 + \frac{1}{9} \times 0 \approx 0.889$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3 with (threshold = 7.5) = $H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 7.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.889 \approx 0.102$

☐ Split at threshold = 8.5:

Feature a_3	+	-	p_+	p_-	entropy
$x <$ threshold	4	5	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{5}{9}$	0.991
$x >$ threshold	0	0	0	0	0

$$H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 8.5) \approx 1 \times 0.991 + 0 \times 0 \approx 0.991$$

And the information gain uppon splitting on a_3 with (threshold = 8.5) = $H_0 - H_{a_3}(\text{threshold} = 8.5) \approx 0.991 - 0.991 \approx 0.0$

The best split we can do on a_3 is a split between values 1 and 3. It gives us an information gain of 0.143

d The best split is the one which maximize the information gain. From the previous questions, we can say that the best split is on a_1 because its information gain is 0.229 which is greater than the gain on a_2 and the best gain on a_3.

e The formula to compute the error rate is the following:

$$\text{error}(t) = 1 - \max_{i \in C^t}[p(i|t)]$$

☐ Error rate on a_1:
We can directly apply the formula on each new node created by the split:

- Node T:
$$\text{Error}_{\text{rate}}(T) = 1 - \max(\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\}) = \frac{1}{4}$$
- Node F:
$$\text{Error}_{\text{rate}}(F) = 1 - \max(\{\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\}) = \frac{1}{5}$$

Now we can compute the mean error rate:

$$\text{Error}_{\text{rate}}(a_1) = \frac{4}{9} \times \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{9} \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{2}{9}$$

☐ Error rate on a_2:
We can directly apply the formula on each new node created by the split:

– Node T:

$$\text{Error}_{\text{rate}}(T) = 1 - \max(\{\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\}) = \frac{2}{5}$$

– Node F:

$$\text{Error}_{\text{rate}}(F) = 1 - \max(\{\frac{2}{4}, \frac{2}{4}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Now we can compute the mean error rate:

$$\text{Error}_{\text{rate}}(a_2) = \frac{5}{9} \times \frac{2}{5} + \frac{4}{9} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{4}{9}$$

Again, according to the classification error rate, the best split between a_1 and a_2 is a_1 because its classification error rate is lower than a_2's

f The formula to compute the Gini index is the following:

$$\text{Gini}(t) = 1 - \sum_{i \in C^t} [p(i|t)]^2$$

☐ Gini index on a_1:
We can directly apply the formula on each new node created by the split:

– Node T:

$$\text{Gini}(T) = 1 - \left(\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\right) = 0.375$$

– Node F:

$$\text{Gini}(F) = 1 - \left(\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^2\right) = 0.320$$

Now we can compute the mean Gini index:

$$\text{Gini}(a_1) = \frac{4}{9} \times 0.375 + \frac{5}{9} \times 0.320 = 0.344$$

☐ Gini index on a_2:
We can directly apply the formula on each new node created by the split:

– Node T:

$$\text{Gini}(T) = 1 - \left(\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^2\right) = 0.480$$

– Node F:

$$\text{Gini}(F) = 1 - \left(\left(\frac{2}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2}{4}\right)^2\right) = 0.5$$

Now we can compute the mean Gini index:

$$\text{Gini}(a_2) = \frac{5}{9} \times 0.480 + \frac{4}{9} \times 0.5 = 0.489$$

Again, as for the entropy and the error rate, the best split to make according to the Gini index is the split on a_1 which minimizes the Gini index value.

1.2 Part b

This question a bit ambiguous and could be interpreted as computing the Gini index solely on the payment history data. Which would result in the inherant Gini index of the feature. As we want to predict the risk, this interpretation does not make sense, and we will compute the Gini index of the payment history feature relatively to the risk target.
We will follow the same method again

Feature payment history	low	high	p_{low}	p_{high}	Gini
bad	1	3	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.375
average	3	2	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	0.480
good	3	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.375

Overall Gini average index for the split is:

$$\text{Gini(payment history)} = 5/20 \times 0.375 + 8/20 \times 0.480 + 7/20 \times 0.375 = 0.417$$

1.3 Part c

We want to compute the 95% confidence interval of the accuracy of a decision tree given that the measured accuracy $\hat{p}_n = 0.87$ and the size of the dataset $n = 220$.
We want to estimate the confidence interval of a proportion, thus the formula is the following:

$$CI_{1-\alpha}(p) = \left[\frac{2\hat{p}_n + Z_{\alpha/2}^2/n \pm Z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{Z_{\alpha/2}^2/n^2 + 4\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)/n}}{2(1 + Z_{\alpha/2}^2/n)} \right]$$

When we replace with our values:

$$CI_{0.95}(p) = \left[\frac{2 \times 0.87 + 1.96^2/220 \pm 1.96\sqrt{1.96^2/220^2 + 4 \times 0.87(1-0.87)/220}}{2(1 + 1.96^2/220)} \right]$$

$$CI_{0.95}(p) = [0.8191; 0.9082]$$

Because n is big compared to $Z_{\alpha/2}$, it is possible to use a simplified formula:

$$CI_{1-\alpha}(p) \approx \left[\hat{p}_n \pm Z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)/n}\right]$$

Which provide this approximation:

$$CI_{0.95}(p) \approx [0.8256; 0.9144]$$

For this exercise, we will keep our first answer: $[0.8191; 0.9082]$.
It means that there is 95% chance that the real accuracy of our decision tree is inside this interval.

2 Exercise 2: Confusion matrix

2.1 Part a

The accuracy of the classifier
Accuracy = $\frac{sumofalltruepositive}{sumofalltheresults} = \frac{110+130+120}{110+8+7+16+130+10+26+5+120} = 0.8333(83.3\%)$

2.2 Part b

The precision for class C2
Precision C2 =$\frac{truepositiveofC2}{sumofallpredictedpositiveofC2} = \frac{130}{130+8+5} = 0.909(90.9\%)$

2.3 Part c

The precision for class C3
Recall C3 = $\frac{Truepositive}{TotalActualPositive} = \frac{5}{26+5+120} = 0.033(3.3\%)$