# **H2:** Numerical linear algebra

# 2.1 systems of linear equations

### 2.1.1 introduction and notation

system of linear equations

a system of m equations with n variables can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ & \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_n \end{cases}$$

But simpler as a matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

non-singular nxn-matrix

A matrix is non-singular if it satisfies one of the conditions:

- **A** has an inverse  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$  (the identity matrix)
- $det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$
- rank(A) = n (the **rank** of matrix is the maximum number of linearly independent rows or columns it contains)
- ullet for any vector  ${f z} 
  eq 0$ ,  ${f A}{f z}$  also must be nonzero.

> non-singular systems always have one unique solution:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$
.

## 2.1.2 solving linear systems

### 2.1.2.1 strategy

solution strategy

Multiply both sides if Ax = b by any non-singular matrix M

> gives us a new equation: MAz = Mb with the same answer:

$$\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}$$

> which matrix M makes the equation simpler??

triangular linear system

= system for which the matrix is triangular:

- matrix L = lower triangular:  $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- matrix U = upper triangular:  $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

> there are strategies to get triangular matrices

### 2.1.2.2 elementary elimination matrices

Gauss transformation

= matrix  $M_{ka}$  eliminates entries in a vector from the kth position:

$$\mathbf{M_{ka}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & -m_{k+1} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -m_n & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ a_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where:

$$m_i = rac{a_i}{a_k}$$
  $i = k+1, \cdots, n$ 

> useful properties:

- $\mathbf{M}_k = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{e}_k^T$ , where  $\mathbf{m}_k = [0, \cdots, 0, m_{k+1}, \cdots, m_n]^T$  and  $\mathbf{e}_k$  is the kth column of the identity matrix
- $\mathbf{M}_k^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{e}_k^T$ , which means that  $\mathbf{M}_k^{-1}$ , denoted as  $\mathbf{L}_k$ , is the same as  $\mathbf{M}_k$ , except that the signs of the multipliers are reversed.

| U factorization   |
|---|
| $ = \text{process in which the matrix A is triangulated using Gaussian matrices M}_k $ $ stel \ dat \ A = \begin{bmatrix} a1 & a4 & a7 \\ a2 & a5 & a8 \\ a3 & a6 & a9 \end{bmatrix} $ $ maak \ eerst \ M1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m1 & 1 & 0 \\ m2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} met \ m1 = -\frac{a2}{a1} \ en \ m2 = -\frac{a3}{a1} $ $ Bereken \ nu \ M1.A = \begin{bmatrix} a1 & b2 & b5 \\ 0 & b3 & b6 \\ 0 & b4 & b7 \end{bmatrix} $ $ maak \ dan \ M2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} met \ n1 = -\frac{b4}{b3} $ bereken \ dan \ M1. M2. A = \dots \ \ \   |
| dan is U = M1.M2  |
|   |
| <ul><li>1: the process breaks down if the leading diagonal entry is zero</li><li>2: in finite-precision arithmetic, we wish to limit the size of the multipliers</li><li>&gt; otherwise the previous rounding errors get amplified</li></ul>  |
| 1: if a diagonal entry is zero, we interchange columns in the matrix 2: always choose the entry of the largest magnitude on or below the diagonal   |
|   |
| = variation of Gaussian elimination that eliminates both the entries above and below the diagonal   |
| Pos: - on parallel computers the workload stays the same > final solutions can be calculated all at once - can be used to calculate the inverse of a matrix   |
| neg: is 50% more computationally expensive  |
| 2.1.3 special types of linear systems   |
| = linear systems some special properties<br>> easier way to solve<br>• Symmetric: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$ , i.e. $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i,j$<br>• Positive definite: $\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$<br>• Banded: $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $ i-j  > \beta$ , with $\beta$ the bandwidth of $\mathbf{A}$<br>• Sparse: most entries of $\mathbf{A}$ are zero   |
| systems: Cholesky factor  |
| = if matrix A is symmetric and positive definite<br>> then: U = L <sup>T</sup> , thus A = LL <sup>T</sup>   |
| We this property we can find for example in 2D: $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} \\ 0 & l_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^2 & l_{11}l_{21} \\ l_{11}l_{21} & l_{21}^2 + l_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix}$ thus: $ \bullet \ l_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}} \\ \bullet \ l_{21} = a_{12}/l_{11} \\ \bullet \ l_{22} = \sqrt{a_{22} - l_{21}^2} \\ \text{with the properties:} \\ \bullet \ \text{The $n$ square roots are all of positive numbers, so the algorithm is well-defined} \\ \bullet \ \text{Pivoting is not required} \\ \bullet \ \text{Only the lower triangle of $\mathbf{A}$ is accessed, and hence the strict upper triangular portion need not be stored} \\ \bullet \ \text{Only about $n^3/6$ multiplications and a similar number of additions are required.} \\ >> \text{we can do this in more dimensions} $ |
|   |

| 2.1.3.2 Computational complexit | У   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Computational cost              | as seen in examples:  |
|                                 | <ul> <li>LU factorization of an n × n matrix takes about n³/3 floating point operations (flops)</li> <li>A complete matrix inversion takes about n³ flops and thus is 3 times as expensive</li> <li>Solving an LU-factorized system using forward and backward substitution takes about n² flops. For large systems, this is negligible compared to the factorization phase.</li> <li>Cramer's rule (in which the system is solved using ratios of determinants) is astronomically expensive</li> </ul> |
|                                 | 2.1.4 sensitivity and conditioning  |
| 2.1.4.1 vector norms            |   |
| vector norm                     | for an integer p>0:   |
|                                 | $\ \mathbf{x}\ _p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \ x_i\ ^p ight)^{1/p}$   |
|                                 | ex: - 1-norm/Manhattan norm:  |
|                                 | $\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \ x_i\ $   |
|                                 | - 2-norm/Euclidean norm:  |
|                                 | $\ \mathbf{x}\ _2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \ x_i\ ^2}$ > distance   |
|                                 | - ∞-norm: $\ \mathbf{x}\ _{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \ x_i\ $  |
|                                 | 12624   |
| properties of vector norms      | In general, for any $n$ -vector ${f x}$ :   |
|                                 | $\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 \geq \ \mathbf{x}\ _2 \geq \ \mathbf{x}\ _\infty$   |
|                                 | and   |
|                                 | $egin{aligned} \ \mathbf{x}\ _1 &\leq \sqrt{n} \ \mathbf{x}\ _2 \ \ \mathbf{x}\ _2 &\leq \sqrt{n} \ \mathbf{x}\ _\infty \ \ \mathbf{x}\ _1 &\leq n \ \mathbf{x}\ _\infty \end{aligned}$   |
|                                 | And for all p-norms, the following properties hold:   |
|                                 | • $\ \mathbf{x}\  > 0$ if $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$<br>• $\ \gamma\mathbf{x}\  =  \gamma  \cdot \ \mathbf{x}\ $ for any scalar $\gamma$   |
|                                 | • $\ \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\  \leq \ \mathbf{x}\  + \ \mathbf{y}\ $ (triangle inequality)  |
| 2.1.4.2 matrix norms            |   |
| matrix norm                     | for a mxn matrix A: $\ \mathbf{A}\  = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{x}\ }$   |
|                                 |   |
|                                 | = maximum stretching the matrix does to a vector:   |
|                                 | ex: • $\ \mathbf{A}\ _1$ , which corresponds the maximum absolute <i>column</i> sum of the matrix:  |
|                                 | $\ \mathbf{A}\ _{1} = \max_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ a_{ij}\  \tag{61}$  |
|                                 | • $\ \mathbf{A}\ _{\infty}$ , which corresponds the maximum absolute $row$ sum of the matrix:   |
|                                 | $\ \mathbf{A}\ _{\infty}=\max_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\ a_{ij}\ $ (62)   |

| and a series of making a sure          | LAUS OF A / O   |
|--|---|
| properties of matrix norms             | $ \bullet \ \ \mathbf{A}\  > 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{A} \neq 0 $ $ \bullet \ \ \gamma\mathbf{A}\  =  \gamma  \cdot \ \mathbf{A}\  \text{, for any scalar } \gamma  $                            |
|  | $\bullet \ \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\  \le \ \mathbf{A}\  + \ \mathbf{B}\ $   |
|  | $ \bullet \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\  \le \ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{B}\  $ $ \bullet \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\  \le \ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{x}\ , \text{ for any vector } \mathbf{x} $ |
| 2.1.4.3 matrix condition number        |   |
| condition number                       | = a measure of how close a matrix is to being singular  |
|  |   |
|  | for a nonsingular square matrix A with respect to a given matrix norm > the condition number is defined by:   |
|  | $\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{A}^{-1}\ $  |
| 2.1.4.4 error estimation               |   |
| condition number and error             | For a non-singular system Ax=b with solution x  |
|  | > let x' be the solution to the perturbed system:   |
|  | $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{b} + \Delta \mathbf{b}$ , with $\Delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'$ -x the difference in solutions  |
|  |   |
|  | This results in:  |
|  | $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}\Delta\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} + \Delta\mathbf{b}$                                       |
|  | Consequently, $\mathbf{A}\Delta\mathbf{x}=\Delta\mathbf{b}$ , and hence $\Delta\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{A}^{-1}\Delta\mathbf{b}$ .  |
|  | Now taking norms we find:   |
|  | • $\ \mathbf{b}\  = \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\  \le \ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{x}\ $ or $\ \mathbf{x}\  \ge \frac{\ \mathbf{b}\ }{\ \mathbf{A}\ }$   |
|  | • $\ \Delta \mathbf{x}\  = \ \mathbf{A}^{-1}\Delta \mathbf{b}\  \le \ \mathbf{A}^{-1}\  \cdot \ \Delta \mathbf{b}\ $  |
|  | combining these gives us:   |
|  | $rac{\ \Delta \mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{x}\ } \leq \mathrm{cond}(\mathbf{A}) rac{\ \Delta \mathbf{b}\ }{\ \mathbf{b}\ }$  |
|  |   |
|  | > condition number acts as an amplification factor for the relative change in solution with respect to a relative change in the right hand sided vector                                       |
| condition number and matrix error      | For deviations E to the matrix A, such that:  |
|  | $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E})\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{b},$  |
|  | we find:  |
|  | $rac{\ \Delta\mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{x}'\ } \leq \operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) rac{\ \mathbf{E}\ }{\ \mathbf{A}\ }$   |
|  | $\ \mathbf{x}'\  = \text{solid}(\mathbf{x}) \ \mathbf{A}\ $   |
| 2.1.4.5 residual                       |   |
| residual r                             | for an approximate solution x' of the system $Ax = b$ , residual r is defined as:   |
|  | $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}'$ > r=0 if $\ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\  = 0$ .   |
|  | if we multiply Ax=b with a number, the solution remains the same > however, the residual will be multiplied by the same number  |
| relative residual                      | $= \frac{\ \mathbf{r}\ }{(\ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{x}'\ )}$   |
| relative residual and condition number | We can calculate:   |
|  | $\ \Delta\mathbf{x}\  = \ \mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\  = \ \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{b})\  = \ -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}\  \le \ \mathbf{A}^{-1}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{r}\ $ |
|  | > dividing both by   x'   gives us:   |
|  | $egin{aligned} rac{\ \Delta \mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{x}'\ } \leq \mathrm{cond}(\mathbf{A}) rac{\ \mathbf{r}\ }{\ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{x}'\ } \end{aligned}$                          |
|  | 11 11 11 11   |
| software                               | To solve linear systems in python, see git.   |

|                                       | 2.2 Linear Least Squares   |      |
|---------------------------------------|--|------|
|                                       | 2.2.1 introduction   |      |
| overdetermined problem                | = problem Ax=b for which A is no longer square, but a mxn matrix with m>n ie: there are more measurement data points than unknown variables  |      |
|                                       | <ul> <li>&gt; there is noise on the measurements</li> <li>&gt; we want to model the data as closely as possible</li> <li>&gt; minimize the norm of the residual r = b-Ax</li> </ul>  |      |
|                                       | 2.2.2 normal equations   |      |
| objective function φ(x)               | define: $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \ \mathbf{r}\ _2^2 = \mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T$   | Ax   |
|                                       | to minimize this function, we need to find the point that satisfies $ abla\phi(\mathbf{x})=0$  | . •  |
|                                       | $0 =  abla \phi(\mathbf{x}) = 2\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{b}$   |      |
|                                       | Where we used the identity $ \bullet \ (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A})^T = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{B}^T $ and   |      |
|                                       | $egin{aligned} ullet &  abla (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) = 2 \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \ ullet &  abla (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b} \ ullet &  abla (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b} \ ullet &  abla (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) = 0 \end{aligned}$ |      |
|                                       | To minimize $\mathbf{x}$ for $\phi$ we need to satisfy the nxn symmetric linear system:  |      |
|                                       | $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{b}$  |      |
|                                       | 2.2.3 problem transformations  |      |
| 2.2.3.1 orthogonal transformations    | ·  |      |
| orthogonal transformation             | = preserves the Euclidean norm of any vector v   |      |
|                                       | $\ \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v}\ _2^2 = (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v})^T\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}} = \ \mathbf{v}\ _2^2$  |      |
|                                       | A square real matrix Q is orthogonal if the columns are orthogonal ie: $Q^TQ = I$  |      |
|                                       | >> useful in numerical computations, since these matrices don't amplify error > BUT they are computationally more expensive  | ors  |
| 2.2.3.2 triangular least squares prob | lems   |      |
| triangular systems in least squares   | are triangular systems a suitable target for our transformation?   |      |
| problems                              | consider:  |      |
|                                       | $\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R}\\\mathbf{O}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}\cong\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{c_1}\\\mathbf{c_2}\end{bmatrix}$  | (12) |
|                                       | with ${f R}$ an $n	imes n$ upper triangular matrix and ${f O}$ a $(m-n)	imes n$ null matrix.   |      |
|                                       | the least squares residual is given by   |      |
|                                       | $\ \mathbf{r}\ _2^2 = \ \mathbf{c_1} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _2^2 + \ \mathbf{c_2}\ _2^2$  | (13) |
|                                       | If we solve the triangular system $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{c_1}$ (which can easily be achieved with back-substitution) we have found the least squares solution $\mathbf{x}$ and we can conclude that the minimum sum of squares is   |      |

 $\|\mathbf{r}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{c_2}\|_2^2$ 

(14)

| 2.2.3.3 QR-Factorization                               |  |                      |
|--|--|----------------------|
| QR-factorization                                       | transformation to a triangular form A:   |                      |
|  | $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix}$ (   |                      |
|  | where ${f Q}$ is an $m	imes m$ orthogonal matrix and ${f R}$ is an $n	imes n$ upper triangular matrix.   |                      |
|  | Then the residual equals   |                      |
|  | $\ \mathbf{r}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Q}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R}\\\mathbf{O}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{b}} - \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R}\\\mathbf{O}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{c}_{1} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{c}_{1} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{c}_{1} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} = \ \mathbf{c}_{1} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^{2} + \ \mathbf{c}_{3} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}^$ | $\mathbf{c_2}\ _2^2$ |
|  | the solution to $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c_1}$ gives the least squares solution $\mathbf{x}$ for the original problem   | n                    |
| 2.2.3.4 Householder transformati                       | ons  |                      |
| Householder matrix                                     | = orthogonal transformation which annihilates targeted components of a vecto   | r                    |
|  | $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - 2\frac{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T}{\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{v}} \tag{18}$  |                      |
|  | with ${\bf v}$ a nonzero vector. It can be shown that ${\bf H}={\bf H}^{-1}={\bf H}^{\bf T}$ , which means that ${\bf H}$ is orthogonal and symmetric.   |                      |
| annihilating all but the first component of a vector   | We want a visush that it annihilates all the components of a vector a except the $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_1$ Using the definition of $\mathbf{H}$ we find $\alpha \mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{a} = \left(\mathbf{I} - 2\frac{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T}{\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{v}}\right)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} - 2\mathbf{v}\frac{\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{v}}$ and thus $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{a} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_1)\frac{\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{v}}{2\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{a}}$ The scalar factor is irrelevant as it cancels out in the expression for $\mathbf{H}$ , so we find $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{a} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_1)$ To preserve the norm and avoid cancellation $\alpha = -\mathrm{sign}(a_1)\ \mathbf{a}\ _2$  | e first:             |
| annihilating all but the first k component of a vector | If we split up a given $m$ -vector ${f a}$ as ${f a} = {f a}_1 \\ {f a}_2 \end{bmatrix} \eqno(24)$ where ${f a}_1$ is a $(k-1)$ -vector with $1 \le k < m$ .   |                      |
|  | $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_k \tag{25}$  |                      |
|  | where $lpha=-	ext{sign}(a_k)\ \mathbf{a}_2\ _2$ , then the resulting Householder transformation annihilates the last $m-k$ components of $\mathbf{a}$ .  |                      |

| QR factorization using householder transformations | By sequentially performing this transformation for all the columns from left to right matrix $\bf A$ , we can get the desired upper triangular matrix:  | of a  |
|--|---|-------|
|  | $\mathbf{H}_n \dots \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{A} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix}$  | (26)  |
|  | The product of orthogonal householder transformations is itself an orthogonal matr which we define as   | ix,   |
|  | $\mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{H}_n \dots \mathbf{H}_1 \qquad 	ext{or, equivalently} \qquad \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H}_n^T \dots \mathbf{H}_1^T$  | (27)  |
|  | Such that   |       |
|  | $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix}$   | (28)  |
|  | which shows that we have indeed calculated the QR factorization of ${f A}.$   |       |
|  | To solve the least squares system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\cong\mathbf{b}$ , we solve the equivalent system  |       |
|  | $egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \cong \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{b} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c_1} \ \mathbf{c_2} \end{bmatrix}$  | (29)  |
|  | 2.2.4 rank deficiency   |       |
| rank deficiency                                    | So far we assumed rank(A) = n > if rank(A) ≠ n, we can still perform QR factorization of A > However: the upper triangular matrix will be singulae  |       |
|  | 2.2.5 Singular value decomposition  |       |
| single value decomposition                         | = strategy where we reduce to a diagonal linear least square system > for a mxn matrix A this has the form:   |       |
|  | $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V^T}$  | (34)  |
|  | where ${f U}$ is an $m	imes m$ orthogonal matrix, ${f V}$ is an $n	imes n$ orthogonal matrix, and ${f \Sigma}$ $m	imes n$ diagonal matrix, with   | is an |
|  | $\sigma_{ij} = egin{cases} 0, & 	ext{for } i  eq j \ \sigma_i \geq 0, & 	ext{for } i = j \end{cases}$   | (35)  |
|  | The diagonal entries $\sigma_i$ are called the <b>singular values</b> of <b>A</b> and are usually ordered   |       |
|  | $\sigma_{i-1} \geq \sigma_i, i=2,\ldots,\min\{m,n\}$ , i.e. from largest value (upper left) to smallest value (bottom right). The columns $\mathbf{u_i}$ of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{v_i}$ of $\mathbf{V}$ are the corresponding left and rigsingular vectors. |       |
| 2.2.5.1 other applications of SVD                  |   |       |
| Euclidean matrix norm                              | As stated before in the linear systems notebook, the matrix norm corresponding to the Euclidean vector norm is equal to the largest singular value of the matrix,   |       |
|  | $\ \mathbf{A}\ _{2} = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ _{2}}{\ \mathbf{x}\ _{2}} = \sigma_{\max}$ (40)  |       |
| Euclidean condition number                         | for a matrix A this is given by:  |       |
|  | $\operatorname{cond}_{2}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}} \tag{41}$  | .)    |
|  | Note that, just as before, we find ${ m cond}_2({\bf A})=\infty$ for singular matrices, because there, $\sigma_{\min}=0.$   |       |
| rank determination                                 | the rank of a matrix is equal to the number of nonzero singular values it has   |       |

| pseudoinverse                | we can define an inverse fir non-square matrices as the pseudoinverse:  |          |
|------------------------------|---|----------|
|                              | <ul> <li>Define the pseudoinverse of a scalar σ as 1/σ (or 0 if σ = 0)</li> <li>Define the pseudoinverse of a (possibly rectangular) diagonal matrix by transposing the matrix and taking the scalar pseudo-inverse of each entry.</li> </ul> |          |
|                              | now:  |          |
|                              | The <b>pseudoinverse</b> of a general matrix ${f A}$ is given by  |          |
|                              | $\mathbf{A}^+ = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^+\mathbf{U^T}$  | (43)     |
|                              | $ullet$ If the matrix ${f A}$ is square and nonsingular this definition agrees with ${f A}^{-1}$ .  |          |
|                              | $ullet$ In all cases, the solution to a least squares problem $Ax\cong b$ is given by $A^+b$  |          |
|                              | An other (computationally less good) way to find the pseudo-inverse can be obtain via the normal equations  | ed       |
|                              | $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{b}$   | (44)     |
|                              | we see that   |          |
|                              | $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$   | (45)     |
|                              | is a solution of the least squares problem $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\cong\mathbf{b}.$   |          |
|                              | Consequently, the pseudoinverse ${f A}^+$ is also given by  |          |
|                              | $\mathbf{A}^+ = (\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T$  | (46)     |
|                              | 2.2.6 sensitivity and condition number  |          |
| calculating condition number | Generalizing the definition of a condition number to an $m 	imes n$ matrix with $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{a}) = n$ , we define   |          |
|                              | $\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \ \mathbf{A}\ _2 \cdot \ \mathbf{A}^+\ _2$   |          |
|                              | By convention, $\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \infty$ if $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) < n$  |          |
|                              | Let's now also generalize the expression,   |          |
|                              | $\frac{\ \Delta\mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{x}'\ } \leq \operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\ \mathbf{r}\ }{\ \mathbf{A}\  \cdot \ \mathbf{x}'\ }$   |          |
|                              | 2.2.8 which method to use   |          |
| which method to use          | - normal equations: easiest method to implement > computationally expensive > error proportional to [cond(A)] <sup>2</sup>  |          |
|                              | - Householder method: most efficient and accurate  > for square systems it requires the same amount of work  > for strongly overdetermined it's only half as efficient  | <b>:</b> |
|                              | - SVD: most expensive, but most robust and reliable   |          |