

## Project 1 report

*Paavan Kumar Sirigiri, 109596437 Vivek Pradhan, Varsha Paidi*

## 1 Implementation

**Q 1 to Q4 :**

A generic algorithm which returns the list of actions to reach the goal has been implemented for all the search strategies. Parentnode State is stored in the dictionary of explored nodes. This information of parent node states is used to trace the path from the start state to the goal state. Each search strategy differs in the data structure that is used to maintain the fringe or the frontier. Depth first search uses stack, Breadth first search uses queue, Uniform cost search uses Priority Queue, A star uses Priority Queue with function as their respective data structures.

**Q5:**

State has been chosen to be tuple of (position, unvisitedcorners). When the number of unvisited corners is zero, the goal state has been reached.

**Q6:** We assume a relaxed problem where there are no walls in the maze. The heuristic for the corners problem is chosen to be sum of the manhattan distance to the closest corner from the pacman position and manhattan distances between the unvisited corners.

Corners heuristic =

*Manhattandistancetoclosestcornerfromthepacmanpositionavailable+Sumofthemanhattandistancesbetw*

This heuristic is admissible as it is always less than the actual cost of collecting all the food by pacman. The heuristic is also consistent because the difference between heuristics for two consecutive states is always less than the actual step cost between the states.

**Q7:** We assume a relaxed problem where there are no walls in the maze. Food heuristic is taken to be the minimum possible distance that will be traversed by the pacman to collect all the food. Pacman position is also taken to be as a food dot for calculation of the minimum distance. For the heuristic estimate, we considered the sum of the maximum vertical difference of the food dots in each column of the maze grid . To this, we add the maximum horizontal distance of the all the food dots in the maze . Also, we add the vertical gaps the pacman has



Search nodes expanded: 16

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 500

Average Score: 500.0

Scores: 500

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**MediumMaze :**

[SearchAgent] using function depthFirstSearch

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 130 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 146

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 380

Average Score: 380.0

Scores: 380

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**BigMaze :**

[SearchAgent] using function depthFirstSearch

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 210 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 391

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 300

Average Score: 300.0

Scores: 300

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**Q 2 :**

**MediumMaze :**

[SearchAgent] using function bfs

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 68 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 270

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 442

Average Score: 442.0

Scores: 442

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

### **BigMaze :**

[SearchAgent] using function bfs

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 210 in 0.1 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 621

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 300

Average Score: 300.0

Scores: 300

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

### **Q 3 :**

#### **MediumMaze :**

[SearchAgent] using function ucs

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 68 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 269

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 442

Average Score: 442.0

Scores: 442

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**MediumDottedMaze :**

Path found with total cost of 1 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 187

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 646

Average Score: 646.0

Scores: 646

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**MediumScaryMaze :**

Path found with total cost of 68719479864 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 108

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 418

Average Score: 418.0

Scores: 418

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**Q4 :****Manhattan Heuristic :**

[SearchAgent] using function astar and heuristic manhattanHeuristic

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 210 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 539

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 300

Average Score: 300.0

Scores: 300

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**Null Heuristic :**

[SearchAgent] using function astar and heuristic nullHeuristic

[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem

Path found with total cost of 210 in 0.1 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 620

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 300

Average Score: 300.0

Scores: 300

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

### **Q5 :**

#### **tinyCorners :**

[SearchAgent] using function bfs

[SearchAgent] using problem type CornersProblem

Path found with total cost of 28 in 0.0 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 253

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 512

Average Score: 512.0

Scores: 512

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

#### **mediumCorners :**

[SearchAgent] using function bfs

[SearchAgent] using problem type CornersProblem

Path found with total cost of 106 in 0.4 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 1967

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 434

Average Score: 434.0

Scores: 434

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**Q6 :**

Path found with total cost of 106 in 0.1 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 775

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 434

Average Score: 434.0

Scores: 434

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

**Q7 :**

Path found with total cost of 60 in 11.4 seconds

Search nodes expanded: 6850

Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 570

Average Score: 570.0

Scores: 570

Win Rate: 1/1 (1.00)

Record: Win

### 3 Critical Analysis

The following observations and inferences can be made from the statistics.

1. Breadth first search has expanded more nodes than Depthfirst search before reaching the goal state as Depth first search searches deeper nodes faster.
2. A-star search finds the goal state by expanding lesser number of nodes if there is a good approximation of heuristic. As observed, in Q4, Manhattan heuristic expands very less number of nodes(539) compared to null heuristic(620). Hence, choosing a admissible and consistent heuristic that better approximates the actual distance to goal state is crucial.
3. For the food heuristic problem, we observed that giving a better approximation of the distance to the goal state drastically reduces the number of expanded nodes. Initially we approximated the heuristic to be the sum of the maximum vertical difference of the food dots in each column of the maze grid and were able to expand 13534 nodes. We improved this to 8157 nodes by adding maximum horizontal distance of the food dots to the heuristic estimate. Further improvement to 6864 nodes has been observed by adding the vertical gap distance the

pacman has to travel to reach from one column to the adjacent column.

We tried to consider the closest food dot to pacman position for the heuristic estimate. Doing this way would have given better approximation of the heuristic but that was computationally intensive and took more time to expand all the nodes. Therefore we considered pacman also as a food dot.