


CS 213 – Spring 2016

Lecture 13 – Mar 1

UML Class Diagram - II


Aggregation and Composition

- Aggregation is a special kind of *association that represents a has-a or whole-parts relationship* – the *whole* is the aggregate class instance, and the *parts* are the component class instances




Each instance of ClassA aggregates one or more instance of ClassB

- Composition is a stronger form of aggregation, in which the *components* live or die with the containing class (the whole)—a deletion of the whole will lead to the deletion of the parts (an object may be a part of only one composite at a time)



Each instance of ClassA is composed of one or more instance of ClassB

Aggregation and Composition



Employee is a “first class” object - it has an independent existence. Even if the Office object goes away, Employee objects will still remain. Hence aggregation instead of composition.


Aggregation and Composition

One possible implementation of a composition is to define the composed object (e.g. elevator/office) as an inner class of the composing object (e.g. building)

Elevator and Office are defined as non-static inner classes – creating an object of either requires a Building object

Deleting the whole must result in deleting the parts – implementation wise this applies to languages that do NOT have garbage collection (e.g. C++) because memory for components must be explicitly freed


```
public class Building {  
    private class Elevator {  
        ...  
    }  
  
    private class Office {  
        private ArrayList<Employee>  
            employees;  
        ...  
    }  
  
    private Elevator[] elevators;  
    private Office[] offices;  
  
    public Building(int enum,  
                    int onum) {  
        elevators = new Elevator[enum];  
        offices = new Office[onum];  
        ...  
    }  
    ...  
}
```



Aggregation and Composition


Employee contains a reference to the Office instance with which an employee is associated. (This is not shown in the UML, so it is optional – later on we will see how to make this explicit in the UML)

```
public class Employee {  
    private String name;  
    ...  
    private Office office;  
}
```





Similar implementation in the Job class, which holds a reference to all employees (could be none) who hold this job

```
public class Job {  
    private String title;  
    ...  
    private ArrayList<Employee>  
        employees;  
}
```




Examples of Aggregation

From “Object-Oriented Software Engineering” 2nd ed. by Bruegge and Dutoit



Example of Aggregation and Composition


From “UML Distilled” By Martin Fowler with Kendall Scott



Point participates in two composition relationships:
what could this mean?

All associations have a directional arrow: what could this mean?

Class participates in multiple compositions



```
public class Polygon {
    // implementation must
    // ensure at least 3 points
    // in sequence
    private Point[] points;
    ...
}
```

```
public class Point {
    private int x,y;
    ...
}
```


```
public class Circle {
    private Point center;
    private int radius;
    ...
}
```

Point participates in two compositions. The qualifier (design wise) is that the Point instance in the Circle is different from any of the Point instances in Polygon.

So that if a Circle instance, or Polygon instance, is no longer active, the contained Point instances can be safely destroyed.

However, in Java, which implements automatic garbage collection, this restriction does not apply. An instance of Point used in Circle can also be used in Polygon: if the Polygon instance goes out of scope, only the contained instances of Point that DO NOT have a reference from elsewhere will go out of scope as well. (If an instance is referred from a Circle instance, it will not be garbage collected.)

The meaning of directed associations



Polygon “knows” about its Style and Point associations (and so they are fields), but Style and Point do not know about their Polygon associations


```

public class Point {
  private int x,y;
  ...
  // NO REFERENCE TO
  // Polygon or Circle
}

public class Style {
  private Color color;
  private boolean isFilled;
  ...
  // NO REFERENCE TO
  // Polygon or Circle
}
  
```

Circle “knows” about its Style and Point associations (so, fields), but Style and Point do not know about their Circle associations

Alternative Notation for Composition




This notation makes it more obvious that the **Point** instances contained in **Polygon** are (design wise) different than the **Point** instances contained in **Circle**

Unidirectional Association


(From From “UML basics: The class diagram” by Donald Bell)

<http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/rational/library/content/RationalEdge/sep04/bell/>



OverdrawnAccounts *knows about* BankAccount,
but BankAccount does not know about OverdrawnAccounts.


Reflexive Association



Bidirectional Association and Navigability

From “UML basics: The class diagram” by Donald Bell

<http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/rational/library/content/RationalEdge/sep04/bell/>




Flight knows about Plane, and *Plane knows about Flight*. When implemented, it will be easy to know which Plane is assigned to a Flight, and which Flights a Plane has been assigned to.

In other words, it is possible to **navigate** from Flight to Plane, and vice versa

Dependency

- Class A depends on class B if A uses B in such a way that a change in B will effect A



The Book class uses (depends on) on the Promotion class – changing Promotion would affect Book

- Say A depends on B. Typically then, B would appear as a parameter, return type, or local variable in a method of A
- Dependencies are the weakest kind of relationships, and the “dependee” class (e.g. Promotion) is subordinate to the “depender/dependent” class (e.g. Book) In other words, the Book class can be defined meaningfully even without the Promotion class

Modeling a University

From “The Unified Modeling Language User Guide” by Booch, Rumbaugh, and Jacobson

