
CS 213 Spring 2016

Lecture 26: April 21

Multithreaded Programming II


Resource Sharing Between Threads

- A common design situation in multi-threaded programs is in the sharing of some data resource between two or more threads
- Typically, a buffer is shared between a producer thread which puts data in the buffer, and a consumer thread that takes data out of the buffer



- While this is an excellent opportunity for asynchronous data filling and retrieval, care has to be taken to ensure that the producer and consumer "talk" to each other so they don't work in ways that result in inconsistent or incorrect results
- Example: A shared array into which a producer puts data one element at a time, first to last, and from which a consumer takes data out, one element at a time, first to last. The consumer must ensure there is at least one unretrieved element available before it can take anything out

Prime Counter: Producer/Consumer



Prime Counter: Producer


```
package primesync;
public class PrimeGenerator implements Runnable {
                                                data buffer that is
   private PrimeCentral primeCentral;
                                                shared by producer
   private int bound;
                                                and consumer
   public PrimeGenerator(PrimeCentral primeCentral, int bound) {
      this.primeCentral = primeCentral;
      this.bound = bound;
      new Thread(this).start();
   }
   public void run() {
      int n=bound;
      while (n > 1) {
         int d;
         for (d=2; d \le n/2; d++) {
            if ((n % d) == 0) {
               break;
             }
         }
         if (d > n/2) {
            primeCentral.put(n);
         n--;
      }
   }
}
```

This thread generates prime numbers between 2 and bound, for a given bound. Every time a prime number is identified, it is dumped into a buffer called primeCentral.


Prime Counter: Consumer

```
package primesync;
public class PrimeAcceptor implements Runnable {
   private PrimeCentral primeCentral;
   private int bound, numIntervals;
   public PrimeAcceptor (PrimeCentral primeCentral,
                        int bound, int numIntervals) {
      this.primeCentral = primeCentral;
      this.numIntervals = numIntervals; this.bound = bound;
      new Thread(this).start();
   }
  public void run() {
      int range = bound/numIntervals;
      int lo = bound-(bound%numIntervals+range-1);
      int rangeCount=0, totalCount=0, hi=bound;
      while (true) {
          int prime = primeCentral.get();
          if (prime == 2) {
              rangecount++;
              break;
          if (prime < lo) {</pre>
              System.out.println("#Primes in range [" + lo +
                                  "," + hi + "] :\t" + rangeCount);
              totalCount += rangeCount;
              rangeCount=0; hi=lo-1; lo=hi-range+1;
          rangeCount++;
      System.out.println("#Primes in range [2," + hi + "] : \t" +
                          rangeCount);
      totalCount += rangeCount;
      System.out.println("Total number of primes <= " +
                          bound + " : " + totalCount);
   }
}
```

Prime Counter: Shared Resource



Prime Counter: Shared Resource



Thread Synchronization

- A synchronized method implements mutual exclusion: i.e. only one thread can execute the method at any time. A synchronized method is said to implement a critical section, i.e. code that can be executed by several concurrent threads on the same object.
- To enter a synchronized method, a thread must acquire a lock on the object on which this method is invoked
- If a lock on the object is already held by another thread (because it is executing this, or some other synchronized method on the same object), the requesting thread will be blocked

Thread Synchronization

- A blocked thread may be unblocked when the thread that is currently holding the object lock finishes executing the synchronized method/block (the blocked thread will have to compete with other blocked threads to reacquire the lock)
- Two or more threads may concurrently execute different methods on the same object as long as <u>at</u> <u>most one</u> of these methods is synchronized. (For instance, one thread may be executing a synchronized method while another may be executing a non-synchronized method at the same time.)

Waiting and Notification


- wait() is an Object class method: only a thread that is holding a lock on an object may issue a wait()
- A thread that issues a wait() relinquishes its lock on the object and is taken out of contention for execution (not *runnable*)
- A *waiting* thread may be released from its wait when the thread that is currently *holding* the object lock calls the notify or notifyAll method on that object
- If several threads are *waiting* on a lock for the same object:
 - A notify call by the *holding* thread will release one of the (arbitrarily chosen) waiting threads, which will then become **blocked** (in contention with other **blocked** threads to acquire lock)
 - A notifyAll call by the holding thread will release all the waiting threads, which will become blocked, in contention with other blocked threads for acquiring a lock on the object

- Invoking the start method on a thread puts it in the runnable state
- A thread being in the runnable state only means that it will share cpu time with other runnable threads – a runnable thread is not actually running when another runnable thread is executing on the cpu
- A thread terminates (safely) by completing its run method, and goes into the terminated state

- A thread becomes *not runnable* when one of the following occurs:
 - It is in a *blocked* state because another thread is holding a lock on an object (target) on which this thread is trying to acquire a lock
 - It is in timed_waiting state, because the sleep method is invoked on it. In this case, the thread becomes runnable once the sleep time has elapsed (Note: sleep is independent of synchronization. If the sleep is invoked within a method that is synchronized, the lock is not given up by the sleeping thread.)
 - It is in the timed_waiting state because the wait method was invoked on it with timeout.
 - It is in the waiting state, because it invoked the wait method on the target object
 - It is blocking on I/O

A thread becomes *not runnable* when one of the following occurs:

- 1. It is in a blocked state because another thread is holding a lock on an object (target) on which this thread is trying to acquire a lock
- 2. It is in timed_waiting state, because the sleep method is invoked on it. In this case, the thread becomes runnable once the sleep time has elapsed (Note: sleep is independent of synchronization. If the sleep is invoked within a method that is synchronized, the lock is *not given up* by the sleeping thread.)
- 3. It is in the timed_waiting state because the wait method was invoked on it with timeout.
- 4. It is in the waiting state, because it invoked the wait method on the target object
- 5. It is blocking on I/O




- Sometimes it is necessary to synchronize a single statement or block of statements instead of an entire method
- Example: A linked list that has been implemented without consideration of multiple threads using it
- If an application uses instances of this linked list, and finds a need to run multiple threads through them, it needs to enforce *thread safety* in its code, if the linked list code comes in a library that is not modifiable. (What could go wrong if the application did not do anything different how could multiple threads lead to incorrect data in the list?)

• Here's a possible way to enforce thread safety:

• An add is done to the front of the linked list only after acquiring a lock on the linked list instance so that the two threads don't get into a conflict while trying to add at the same time

• Another example: suppose a method in an object contains a block of code that needs to run with mutual exclusion (one thread at a time), but there is a significant amount of other code that CAN be run by multiple threads at the same time



• One way to solve the problem is to separate out the non-critical blocks into their own non-synchronized methods:

Another way is to do this: