Recitation 5

Abstract Classes, OO Design

1. Abstract Classes.

For each of the following, tell whether the code will compile. If not, explain why.

```
1. public abstract class X { }
   ANSWER
   Yes.
2. public class X {
      public abstract void stuff();
   }
```

No. Since the class has an abstract method, the header should have the abstract keyword.

```
3. public abstract class X {
      public abstract void stuff() {
          System.out.println("abstract");
      }
    }
```

ANSWER

ANSWER

No. An abstract method cannot have a body.

```
4. public abstract class X {
     public void stuff() {
         System.out.println("go figure");
     }
}
```

ANSWER

Yes.

```
public abstract class X {
    public abstract void stuff();
}
public class Y extends X { }
```

ANSWER

No. If Y is not abstract, it must override the inherited method stuff with an implemented body. (Otherwise, Y should be declared as abstract.)

```
public interface I {
    void stuff();
}
public abstract class X {
    public abstract void stuff();
}

public class Y extends X implements I {
    public void stuff() { }
}
```

ANSWER

Yes.

```
7. public abstract class X {
      private int i,j;
      public void stuff1() { }
      public void stuff2() { }
    }

    ANSWER

    Yes.
8. public abstract class C {
      public void write() {
```

System.out.println("C");

C c = new C().write();

public static void main(String[] args) {

ANSWER

}

No. C is an abstract class, cannot be instantiated.

```
9. public abstract class C {
      public abstract void write();
   }
   public class D extends C {
      public void write() {
         System.out.println("D");
      }
      public static void main(String[] args) {
         C c = new D();
         c.write();
      }
}
```

ANSWER

Yes.

2. Suppose you design a class, Set, whose members behave like finite, unordered mathematical sets of integers, and can support the operations of membership query, union of two sets, intersection of two sets, and difference of two sets.

Consider the intersection operation. There are at least two ways of declaring such an operation in the class Set:

```
public Set intersect(Set otherSet)

or

public static Set intersect(Set firstSet, Set secondSet)
```

Give one pro and one con for the static version.

SOLUTION

The static version of the method is semantically closer to the mathematical idea of set operations. The static approach makes it clear to programmers that the intersect method is a symmetric operation. The drawback of a

static definition is that it cannot be overridden by subclasses if we wanted to extend the Set class and apply polymorphism.

3. There is an application that defines a Person class and a Student class. The Student class is defined as a subclass of Person. Every person has a home address, while every student has a school address as well.

Consider printing addresses of all people in the application, assuming there is a single array list that stores all Person and Student objects. How would the address that is printed for students depend on the way the Student class address methods are designed/implemented? What alternatives in design can you think of, and what are the pros and cons of these alternatives in printing the addresses?

SOLUTION

Every Person is expected to have one default home address, but Student can have another address for school.

There are two ways to think about this.

• One way is to think purely from the polymorphism point of view. If you were to run a loop through all objects in a mixed collection of Person and Student objects to print address, you will statically type the stepping reference as Person, say like this:

```
for (Person p: ) {
    System.out.println(p.getAddress());
}
```

This means, you want to look at every entity, including a Student, as a Person, which then implies that all addresses printed should be home addresses. If you take this point of view, then the Student class should not override the inherited getAddress method from Person, and should have a new getSchoolAddress method.

- The other way to think about this is from the point of view of class design independent of how applications might use objects at run time. In this case, the method getAddress for a Student would override the inherited-from-Person implementation to return the school address instead. And a new getHomeAddress method would be coded to return the home address.
- 4. This problem gives an example where polymorphism is useful. Consider the class hierarchy given below:

```
return Math.PI*radius*radius;
    }
}
public class Rectangle extends Shape {
    double height;
    double length;
    public Rectangle(double 1,double h) {
        length = 1;
        height = h;
    public void print() {
        System.out.println("Rectangle");
    public double getArea() {
        return length*height;
}
public class App {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Shape[] s = new Shape[3];
        s[0] = new Circle(7);
        s[1] = new Rectangle(5,10);
        s[2] = new Circle(4);
        System.out.println("The biggest area of all shapes is : "+Shape.biggest(s));
        return;
    }
}
```

Complete the method

```
public static Shape biggest(Shape[] s)
```

in the Shape class. This method should return the shape with the largest area. Note that Shape implements the Comparable interface. Different Shapes should be compared using their area. Now if we extend the Shape hierarchy to include more shapes, say rhombus, then will your method run without any problems?

SOLUTION

```
public static final Shape biggest(Shape[] s) {
    if (s.length == 0) { return null; }
    Shape biggestShape = s[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < s.length; i++) {
        if (biggestShape.compareTo(s[i]) < 0) {
            biggestShape = s[i];
        }
    }
    return biggestShape;
}

public int compareTo(Shape s) {
    double areaDifference = getArea() - s.getArea();
    if (areaDifference == 0) {
        return 0;
    }
}</pre>
```

return areaDifference < 0 ? -1 : 1;
}</pre>