

Gait Analysis using LSTM*

Pablo A. Iturralde
Bioengineering Department
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA
pai7@pitt.edu

Yin Zhong
The Robotics Institute
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA
yinzhong@andrew.cmu.edu

Jakob Bauer
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA
jsbauer@andrew.cmu.edu

ABSTRACT

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

*We would like to thank the University of Pittsburgh's Human Movement Research Laboratory and its PI, Dr. Gelsy Torres-Oviedo, for providing us with the motion capture data used for the experiments in this paper. The collection of these data was approved by the university's Institutional Review Board and all participating subjects gave prior consent to the use of their data for research purposes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to study human gait, it is necessary to divide the gait cycle into swing phase and stance phase. The transition between the phases is marked by two events: the subject's heel hitting the ground (heel strike) and the subject's toe lifting off the ground (toe off). It is paramount to accurately identify these events because otherwise, no meaningful comparison of different stride cycles is possible.

There are three basic approaches to event detection. The first approach uses visual inspection to manually label the events. Although quite accurate, the cost associated with this method is prohibitive for all but the smallest amounts of data. For this reason, it is not usually used as a stand-alone method but rather as a postprocessing step for automated event detection systems or as a means to generate small sets of hand-labeled test data. The second approach uses dedicated hardware such as force plates that measure ground reaction forces and foot switches that are pressed when the foot is in contact with the ground. Due to its high accuracy, hardware-based methods are considered to be state of the art for gait event identification. However, their usefulness is limited by the fact that many laboratories do not have access to the necessary equipment. Furthermore, there is a risk of affecting the gait because some of the devices require the modification of normal footwear. The third approach consists in automated event detection based on solely on the data. If successful, this approach is superior to the other two because it scales easily, does not require additional equipment and does not pose a risk of affecting the gait.

Given these apparent advantages, it is not surprising that several data-based methods have been proposed in the literature. Although some of those methods achieve results that are accurate enough to be useful in practice, they also have drawbacks such as relying heavily on questionable heuristics or requiring an undue amount of data preprocessing. For this reason, we present a new approach to gait event detection using a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) recurrent neural network (RNN). We believe that our method is superior to existing approaches both in terms of accuracy and in terms of only requiring a small amount of training data and preprocessing.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses some of the existing data-based methods for event detection; Section 3 gives a short overview over LSTM networks in general; Section 4 contains a description the dataset; Sections 5 and

6 describe the architecture and training of our network; Section 7 presents the experimental results and compares them to existing baselines; Section 8 concludes and shows possible paths for future work.

2. PREVIOUS WORK

2.1 Foot Velocity Algorithm

The Foot Velocity Algorithm (FVA) proposed by O'Connor et al. [2] belongs to a category of algorithms that use heuristics such as the velocity and acceleration of heel and toe markers to detect motion events. There are other examples of such algorithms, notably the one developed by Hreljac and Marshall [1]. As these algorithms are quite similar, we restrict the discussion to FVA.

FVA takes as its input the location of the heel and toe markers as a function of time. After passing the data through a simple low pass filter, a new virtual marker representing the foot center is created by taking the mean of the heel and toe markers. Finally, the velocity of this virtual marker is calculated. Due to the quasi-periodic nature of walking, the graph of the velocity signal shows a repeating pattern in which the toe off event is marked by a global maximum and the heel strike by a local minimum. This makes it possible to first detect the toe off event for each cycle and then, in a second step, go through all the possible candidates for the heel strike. By using a constraint on the heel strike time, select the one that satisfies the constraint

seem to be popular in practice

easy to implement, no training, no learning

problem: sensitive to cut-off questionable assumptions doesn't work well with pathological gaits

baseline1

2.2 Neural Network

description

preprocessing (dimensionality reduction)

baseline2

3. LSTM

4. DATA

5. NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

6. NETWORK TRAINING

7. RESULTS

8. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

References

- [1] Alan Hreljac and Robert N. Marshall. "Algorithms to determine event timing during normal walking using kinematic data". In: *Journal of Biomechanics* 33 (2000), pp. 783–786.
- [2] Ciara M. O'Connor et al. "Automatic detection of gait events using kinematic data". In: *Gait & Posture* 25.3 (Mar. 1, 2007), pp. 469–474.