Project 2

Pablo López Landeros 178863 Fernando Stein Vallarta 165455 Diego González 167429

Due Date: May 17,2020

Dr. Andreas Wachtel

Introduction

The purpose of this project is to implement the following algorithms and test their reliability when solving unconstrained optimization problems.

- Trust Region Method with symmetric rank 1 updates.
- Line Search method
- BFGS method
- Limited Memory BFGS method

Once implemented, our algorithms will try to solve two aritificial unconstrained optimization test problems: the Dixmaana function and the Extended Rosenbrock function. The Dixmaana function has eight different free parameters. Our team was assigned the ${\bf L}$ set of parameters by the professor. Each method has a different implementation that can be summarized as follows:

- Trust Region Method with symmetric rank 1 updates: The rank-1 update maintains symmetry of the matrix and satisfies the secant equation. The SR1 does not guarantee the positive definiteness. The ability to generate indefinite Hessian approximations is one advantage of the method.
- Line Search Method: In a broad manner, the line search strategy is an algorithm that chooses a direction p_k and searches along this direction from the current iteration x_k for a new iteration with a lower function value.
- BFGS method: The optimization problem is to minimize f, where x is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n , and f is a differentiable scalar function. There are no constraints on the values that x can take. The algorithm begins at x_0 and proceeds iteratively to try to reach a better estimate at each stage. The search direction p_k at stage k is given by the solution of the analogue of the Newton equation.
- Limited Memory BFGS method: The algorithm starts with an initial value, x_0 , and proceeds iteratively to find a better estimate through a sequence of better estimates $x_1, x_2 ...$ The derivatives of the function $g_k := \nabla f(x_k)$ are used as key to identify the direction of steepest descent, and to form an estimate of the Hessian matrix of f(x).

Test Functions

Dixmaana-Dixmaanl functions

As our team was assigned the ${\bf L}$ set of parameters, the Dixmaana function is given by:

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha x_i^2 \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{k_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta x_i^2 (x_{i+1} + x_{i+1}^2)^2 \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{k_2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \gamma x_i^2 x_{i+m}^4 \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{k_3} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma x_i x_{i+2m} \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{k_4} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma x_i x_{i+2m} \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{k_4$$

Applied Analysis

where:

$$m = n/3$$

$$\alpha = 1$$

$$\beta = 0.26$$

$$\gamma = 0.26$$

$$\sigma = 0.26$$

$$k_1 = 2$$

$$k_2 = 0$$

$$k_3 = 0$$

$$k_4 = 2$$

And our starting point is given by:

$$x_0 = [2, 2, ..., 2]$$

Because m is defined as $\frac{n}{3}$ and then utilized as an index for our x vector in the function, we must note that n (the dimension of our x vector) must be a multiple of three. Otherwise, the indexes for the terms x_{i+2m} and x_{i+m}^4 , as well as the upper limit on two of the sums, would be a non-integer number.

Extended Rosenbrock function

The Extended Rosenbrock function is given by the following equation:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} c(x_{2i} - x_{2i-1}^2)^2 + (1 - x_{2i-1})^2$$

where:

$$c = 100$$

And our staring point is given by:

$$x_0 = [-1.2, 1, -1.2, ..., 1]$$

Simulations

In order to run the simulations we require the following scripts:

- 1. pcauchy.m
- 2. rcSR1.m
- 3. lineSearch.m
- 4. lsBFGS.m
- 5. lineLM BFGS.m
- $6. \ apGrad.m$

Simulation with the Extended Rosenbrock Function

We must test out our three main codes (lineLM_BFGS, lsBFGS, rcSR1) with the Extended Rosenbrock function as our objective function. We will do so for $n \in \{2, 8, 32, 128\}$. For each n we will measure $||\nabla f(x_k)||_2$, $f(x_k)$, the error $||x_k - x^*||$ and the execution time. The results can be replicated by running the **Ejercicio2_2** script.

BFGS method rcSR1 method Limited Memory BFGS method

- In terms of execution time. Which method performs best?
- Which is the best method if we want the least number of iterations possible?
- Is there a direct relationship between n, the number of iterations and the execution times of the algorithms?

Trying to answer the questions we wrote a Matlab script named **Ejercicio2_2** which presents the following results.

1 2	Resultados del Problema Rosenbrock							
3	n	Iterac	cion delta(f(xk)) f((xk) Error	Tiempo		
5	2	49	1.073882e-02	5.133837e-06	5.038410e-03	5.934000e-03		
8	2	50	4.690883e-02	1.565613e-06	1.533072e-03	4.802600e-03		
9 10 11	2	51	4.880273e-03	1.482286e-08	1.213086e-04	4.719000e-03		
12	2	52	1.671177e-04	3.414982e-11	1.006118e-05	5.078400e-03		
14 15	2	53	5.605332e-07 Resultados con	1.554585e-16 lsBFGS v n=2		5.421400e-03		
16 17	n	Iterac		·	(xk) Error	Tiempo		
18 19	2	29	1.470635e-01	2.003461e-04		5.109300e-03		
20 21	2	30	1.804512e-01	1.650296e-05	5 1.109200e-03	3.197400e-03		
22 23	2	31	1.689129e-02	7.720936e-07	1.775396e-03	2.941300e-03		
24 25	2	32	1.850017e-04	4.767048e-09	1.542597e-04	4.008100e-03		
26 27	2	33	7.735128e-06	3.404058e-13		3.629300e-03		
28 29			Resultados con	lsLM_BFGS y r	n=2			
30 31	n	Iterac	cion delta(f(xk)) f((xk) Error	Tiempo		
32 33	2	30	5.587682e-02	3.339242e-05	1.259786e-02	4.842100e-03		
34 35	2	31	4.437723e-02	1.337054e-06	1.330459e-03	5.986700e-03		
36 37	2	32	1.946428e-02	1.966543e-07	1.947513e-04	5.074900e-03		
38 39	2	33	6.284128e-05	1.333972e-11	7.548277e-06	6.312200e-03		
40 41	2	34	1.477557e-07 Resultados con	2.543210e-15 SCR y n=8		8.157000e-03		
42 43	n	Iterac	cion delta(f(xk)) f((xk) Error	Tiempo		
44 45	8	119	2.136366e-03	2.157770e-06	3.285032e-03	2.189560e-02		
46 47	8	120	8.274661e-03	3.717385e-07	1.300324e-03	2.304860e-02		
48 49	8	121	1.340287e-03	1.082690e-09	3.055484e-05	2.275750e-02		
50 51	8	122	3.586172e-05	7.444295e-13	3 7.253680e-07	2.311620e-02		
52 53	8	123	9.669313e-07	4.807388e-15		2.307430e-02		
54 55			Resultados con	TSRLCS A u=8				

56	n	Itera	cion	delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
57 58	8	77	2.436	566e-02	9.556968e	-07	1.817906e-03	1.750550e-02		
59 60	8	78	1.783	614e-03	3.592762e	-08	4.148025e-04	1.341240e-02		
62	8	79	2.105	841e-04	5.001890e	-10	4.893871e-05	1.294540e-02		
63 64	8	80	4.874	504e-05	2.808151e	-12	2.848699e-06	1.308370e-02		
65 66	8	81		079e-06			3.717778e-07	1.354330e-02		
67 68	Resultados con lsLM_BFGS y n=8									
69 70	n	Itera	cion	delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
71 72	8	55	2.901	700e-05	2.697914e	-12	3.380164e-06	1.384900e-02		
73 74	8	56	2.144	549e-05	1.605581e	-12	2.627442e-06	1.142140e-02		
75	8	57	3.883	064e-05	8.194562e	-13	5.843109e-07	1.107100e-02		
76 77	8	58	2.376	171e-05	4.079397e	-13	7.915684e-07	1.045160e-02		
78 79	8	59		112e-07 ltados con			1.205919e-07	1.125090e-02		
80 81			nesu	itados con	501 y 11-52					
82 83	n	Itera	cion	delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
84 85	32	90	4.6	60258e-04	9.87801	2e-09	2.212361e-04	4.950720e-02		
86 87	32	91	3.9	52877e-04	9.84637	0e-09	2.212220e-04	5.093330e-02		
88 89	32	92	9.0	56803e-05	9.76428	9e-09	2.211289e-04	5.397050e-02		
90 91	32	93	2.6	92810e-05	1.80019	7e-11	9.400799e-06	5.716120e-02		
92	32	94			2.26731			5.512920e-02		
93 94			ĸesu	ltados con	rspres à u	-32				
95 96	n	Itera	cion	delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
97 98	32	181	6.3	15315e-05	3.54671	8e-09	1.332624e-04	1.209894e-01		
99	32	182	5.5	28508e-05	2.24016	0e-09	1.059031e-04	1.025323e-01		
100	32	183	3.7	04025e-05	8.51265	7e-10	6.527946e-05	9.343610e-02		
102 103 104	32	184	1.6	01396e-05	1.85049	6e-10	3.043777e-05	9.467410e-02		
104 105 106	32	185		96154e-06	7.43193			1.003144e-01		
107		Resultados con lsLM_BFGS y n=32								
108 109	n	Itera	cion	delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
110	32	82	1.1	88136e-05	3.21336	6e-12	3.966640e-06	5.292140e-02		

111 112 113	32	83	1.409718e-05	2.432883e-12	3.417549e-06	4.594300e-02		
114	32	84	6.350781e-05	2.113737e-12	7.483853e-07	4.778080e-02		
115 116	32	85	1.193512e-05	1.617535e-13	6.814473e-07	4.619730e-02		
117	32	86	2.170545e-06 -Resultados con S	2.038054e-14	3.015623e-07	4.812110e-02		
119 120			- nesultados con a	ock y 11-126				
121 122	n	Iterac	ion delta(f((xk)) f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
123 124	128	213	2.451734e-04	3.230149e-11	3.413554e-06	8.776225e-01		
125	128	214	1.167828e-04	9.131948e-12	3.418664e-06	6.783124e-01		
126 127	128	215	3.090244e-05	1.648406e-12	2.422717e-06	6.695967e-01		
128 129	128	216	1.400741e-05	1.259176e-12	2.411593e-06	7.869590e-01		
130 131	128	217	8.560446e-06	4.981042e-13	1.518918e-06	6.557690e-01		
132	Resultados con lsBFGS y n=128							
133	n	Iterac	ion delta(f((xk)) f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
135	128	521	1.639818e-05	4.671679e-11	1.527676e-05	1.814087e+00		
137	128	522	2.043138e-05	3.840090e-11	1.383277e-05	1.357265e+00		
139	128	523	2.017345e-05	2.764737e-11	1.172589e-05	1.370283e+00		
141 142	128	524	1.268579e-05	2.048246e-11	1.011014e-05	1.201405e+00		
143 144 145	128	525	7.216804e-06		9.654409e-06	1.244498e+00		
146	Resultados con lsLM_BFGS y n=128							
147 148	n	Iterac	ion delta(f((xk)) f(xk)	Error	Tiempo		
149 150	128	148	6.983084e-05	6.393092e-11	1.755608e-05	2.847144e-01		
151	128	149	1.040635e-05	6.112395e-11	1.749134e-05	2.891835e-01		
152 153	128	150	1.212340e-05	6.097550e-11	1.746738e-05	3.020333e-01		
154 155	128	151	1.544758e-05	6.092971e-11	1.745485e-05	2.900884e-01		
156 157	128	152	8.395051e-06	6.078876e-11	1.744625e-05	2.958195e-01		

NOTE: A computer with 8GB of RAM memory was used to obtain the aforementioned results. The CPU of the Computer was : Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-9300H CPU @ $2.40 \,\mathrm{GHz}$, $2400 \,\mathrm{Mhz}$, with 4 principal and 8 logical components, while de CPU Cache is as follows: L1 = $256 \,\mathrm{Kb}$, L2 = $1.0 \,\mathrm{MB}$, L3 = $8.0 \,\mathrm{Mb}$.

With the previous tables we can then answer the questions that were posed. Firstly, for smaller sizes of n we can see that all methods converge in similar iterations and time

spans. However as the size of n gets larger we can state that the BFGS method with Limited Memory converges faster and with less iterations. This result seems to be consistent with the theory since the Limited Memory method uses less memory to find the results. The previous statements allow us to answer both the first and the second question. As far as an answer for the third question, we could say that as n gets larger the number of iterations increases independently of the method used. However, there seems to be no exact relation between the size of n and the time elapsed.

Simulation with the Dixmaana function

For the second simulation, we just need to implement the Limited Memory BFGS method for $n \in \{240, 960\}$ and for each n we use $m \in \{1, 3, 5, 17, 29\}$. For each n dimension we will measure $||\nabla f(x_k)||_2$, $f(x_k)$, the error $||x_k-x^*||$ and the execution time. To run this simulation we used the **EjercicioDixmanna.m** script which outputs the following tables:

Resultados con n=240							
m	Iteracio	ones delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Tiempo		
1	488	8.046335e-06	1.000000e+00	1.5	93685e+02		
3	512	9.266914e-06	1.000000e+00	1.1	24351e+02		
5	334	9.346795e-06	1.000000e+00	2.1	62487e+02		
17	326	8.771203e-06	1.000000	e+00	1.314825e+02		
29		9.003821e-06			1.287973e+02		
		Resultados con n=	960				
m	Iteracio	ones delta(f(xk))	f(xk)	Tiempo		
1	257	7.030674e-06	1.000000e+00	2.1	61423e+01		
3	182	9.675509e-06	1.000000e+00	2.2	5318 6 e+01		
5	347	9.975379e-06	1.000000e+00	1.5	16246e+01		
17	229	9.720331e-06	1.000000	e+00	1.450204e+01		
29	248	9.131316e-06	1.000000	e+00	1.413731e+01		

We must note that the time elapsed in order to present the results with n=960 was approximately 15 minutes. Whereas the with n=240 the elapsed time was around 4 minutes.