Usability review

Granada info Score

1

Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.

N/A = not applicable or can't be assessed

Features & functionality

Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives.

Good

2 Features and functionality support users desired workflows.

Moderate

Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available).

Excellent

Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users).

Very poor

Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable.

Moderate

Homepage / starting page

The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.

Excellent

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Good
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Moderate
Nav	vigation	
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Good
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Excellent
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Very poor
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Moderate
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Good
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Excellent
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Moderate

16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Poor
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Moderate
Sea	arch	
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Good
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi- parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Good
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Poor
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Moderate
Co	ntrol & feedback	
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Poor
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Good

24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Very poor
For	ms	
25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Excellent
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Very poor
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Moderate
28	Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	N/A
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Moderate
Err	ors	
30	Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Moderate
31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Excellent

Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.	Good				
Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Poor				
Content & text					
Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Good				
Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Good				
Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Moderate				
Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Moderate				
Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Excellent				
Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Poor				
	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors. Intent & text Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals. Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context. Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience. Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout). Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast. p Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms). Where				

40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Poor	
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	N/A	
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Good	
Per	formance		
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Moderate	
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Moderate	
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.	Very poor	
Ο	verall usability score (out of 100) *	66	-