

August 2016:

- ① Let G group, $|G| = 140$, prove G have a cyclic normal subgroup of order 35.

$$|G| = 140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, \text{ by the 3rd Sylow Theorem: } n_5=1, n_7=1. \quad \left. \begin{matrix} n_5=1 \\ n_7=1 \end{matrix} \right\} \text{details}$$

Since $\gcd(5, 7) = 1$, H_5 and H_7 cannot have nontrivial intersection: $H_5 \cap H_7 = \{e\}$.

$|H_5 \times H_7| = 35$, so we only need to prove $H_5 \times H_7 \trianglelefteq G$, because: $\left. \begin{matrix} H_5 \times H_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35} \\ \gcd(5, 7) = 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \text{details}$

$$H_5 \times H_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35}, \text{ so it is cyclic.}$$

$$\gcd(5, 7) = 1$$

Consider A a conjugate of $H_5 \times H_7$, then $|A| = 35$, so by the 1st Sylow Theorem we have $A_5, A_7 \leq A$ subgroups of A of orders 5 and 7.

Note: $A_5 \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G$, so A_5, A_7 are subgroups of G of order 5, 7 respectively.

Since $n_5=1=n_7$, we must have $A_5 = H_5, A_7 = H_7$. Now:

$$\begin{array}{lll} H_5 \trianglelefteq A, \text{ since } H_5 \trianglelefteq G \text{ then } H_5 \trianglelefteq A, \text{ so } H_5 \times H_7 \trianglelefteq A, \text{ so} \\ H_7 \trianglelefteq A & H_7 \trianglelefteq G & H_7 \trianglelefteq A \end{array}$$

by cardinality $H_5 \times H_7 = A$. So $H_5 \times H_7 \trianglelefteq G$.

Alternatively: use elements and that since $H_5, H_7 \trianglelefteq G$ then $H_5 H_7 = H_5 \times H_7$.

Claim: $H_5 H_7 \trianglelefteq G$ by direct computation: let $g \in G$, then:

$$g(hk)g^{-1} = ghg^{-1}gk^{-1}g^{-1} = h'k' \quad \left. \begin{matrix} h \in H_5, k \in H_7 \\ h' \in H_5, k' \in H_7 \end{matrix} \right\} \quad \begin{matrix} H_5 \times H_7 = H_5 H_7 \\ \text{since } H_5, H_7 \trianglelefteq G \\ \text{and } H_5 \cap H_7 = \{e\} \end{matrix}$$

- ② $f: R \rightarrow S$ homo. of commutative rings, P prime ideal of S , M maximal ideal of S .

(2) - $f: R \rightarrow S$ homo. of commutative rings, P prime ideal of S , M maximal ideal of S .

(a) $f^{-1}(P)$ prime ideal of R .

Pick $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in f^{-1}(P)$, then $f(ab) = f(a)f(b) \in P$, since P prime either $f(a) \in P$ or $f(b) \in P$, then either $a \in f^{-1}(P)$ or $b \in f^{-1}(P)$.

Details: prove/claim $f^{-1}(P)$ is an ideal of R .

(b) If $R \subseteq S$ and f inclusion, use (a) to prove $P \cap R$ is a prime ideal of R .

Note $f^{-1}(P) = P \cap R$, so it is an ideal. If $g \in P \cap R$ we have $g \in R \Rightarrow f(g) = g \in P \Rightarrow g \in f^{-1}(P)$. If $r \in f^{-1}(P)$ then $r \in R$ and since $r = f(r) \in P$ we have $r \in P$, so $r \in P \cap R$.

(c) If f surjective, then $f^{-1}(M)$ is a maximal ideal of R .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\ & \phi \searrow & \downarrow \pi \\ & \phi & S/M \end{array} \quad \text{by 1st Iso Theorem: } R \xrightarrow{\phi} S/M \quad \text{④}$$

ϕ is surjective, $\exists!$ ring hom. ϕ which is iso since ϕ is injective because f is.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & R/f^{-1}(M) \\ \phi \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ S/M & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \rightarrow f^{-1}(M) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/f^{-1}(M) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{is a short exact sequence.} \end{array}$$

⑤ $\frac{R}{\ker(\phi)} \cong \frac{S}{M}$, so $\ker(\phi)$ maximal.
field since M maximal

Claim: $\ker(\phi) = f^{-1}(M) \quad \phi = \pi \circ f$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\phi) &= \{r \in R \mid \phi(r) \in M\} = \{r \in R \mid f(r) + M = M\} = \\ &= \{r \in R \mid f(r) \in M\} = \{r \in R \mid r \in f^{-1}(M)\} = f^{-1}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively: $f^{-1}(M)$ prime because M max. means M prime, use (a).

Suppose $f^{-1}(M)$ is not maximal, get contradiction using

Suppose $f^{-1}(M)$ is not maximal, get contradiction using $f(S) = S$.

Alternatively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S \\ f^{-1}(M) & & M \end{array} \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

$$(r + f^{-1}(M)) \xrightarrow{\quad} (f(r) + M)$$

③ - R comm. ring, $I \subseteq R$ ideal, $J = \langle I \rangle_{R[x]}$

$$(a) \frac{R[x]}{J} \cong (\frac{R}{I})[x]$$

linear combinations of elements in $R[x]$ with coefficients in I .

Idea: construct $\phi: R[x] \rightarrow (\frac{R}{I})[x]$, prove $\ker(\phi) = J$, use 1st. Iso Thm.

Alternatively, construct $\psi: R[x] \xrightarrow{\cong} (\frac{R}{I})[x]$, this is very long. Standard idea

$\phi: R[x] \rightarrow (\frac{R}{I})[x]$, extend by linearity:
if there is $x \mapsto x$ standard trick

are maps, $r \mapsto r \mapsto r + I =: \bar{r}$

by linearity $\phi(r_n x^n + \dots + r_0) := \bar{r}_n \bar{x}^n + \dots + \bar{r}_0$ just requires it to be given on: $\phi(x + c) = \phi(x) + \phi(c)$.
will be a map This is surjective since any $\bar{r}_n \bar{x}^n + \dots + \bar{r}_0 = \phi(r_n x^n + \dots + r_0) - \phi(x^{n+1}) = \phi(r_n x^n)$.

Prove $\ker(\phi) = J$: pick $f(x) \in J$, then $f(x) = a_1 f_1(x) + \dots + a_m f_m(x)$ for some $a_i \in I$, $f_i(x) \in R[x]$.

$f_i(x) = r_{i,n} x^n + \dots + r_{i,0}$ (we can assume n fixed by setting $r_{i,m} = 0$ if necessary).

$\phi(a_i f_i(x)) = \overline{a_i r_{i,n}} \bar{x}^n + \dots + \overline{a_i r_{i,0}} = 0$, so $f(x) \in \ker(\phi)$.

$a_i \in I$ means $a_i r_{i,n} \in I$ so $\overline{a_i r_{i,n}} = 0$.

Let $g(x) \in \ker(\phi)$, so $g(x) = g_n x^n + \dots + g_0$ with $g_i \in R$; $\phi(g(x)) = 0$ so

$\overline{g_n} \bar{x}^n + \dots + \overline{g_0} = 0$ so $\overline{g_i} = 0$ so $g_i \in I$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

so $g(x) \in J$.

R. 1st. Iso. Thm.: $\phi(R[x]) = (\frac{R}{I})[x] \cong \frac{R[x]}{\langle \dots \rangle} = \frac{R[x]}{J}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \otimes N \\ I \otimes J & \cong & I/J \end{array}$$

$\ker(\pi)$

So $g(x) \in J$

$$\text{By the 1st. Iso. Thm.: } \phi(R[x]) = \left(\frac{R}{I}\right)[x] \cong \frac{R[x]}{Ker(\phi)} = \frac{R[x]}{J}.$$

(b) I prime implies J prime.

$\frac{R}{I}$ is integral domain because I prime.

It is enough to check that $\frac{R[x]}{J}$ is integral domain. By (a), it is enough to check $\left(\frac{R}{I}\right)[x]$ is integral domain.

Pick $f(x) = f_n x^n + \dots + f_0$, $g(x) = g_m x^m + \dots + g_0$ non-zero elements such that
 $\deg(f) = n$, $\deg(g) = m$, $f_n, g_m \neq 0$, $\bar{f}_i, \bar{g}_i \in \frac{R}{I}$,
 $(\bar{f}_n, \bar{g}_m) \neq 0$.
 $(\text{So } f(x), g(x) \in (\frac{R}{I})[x]).$

and $f(x) \cdot g(x) = 0$. Then: $\deg(f(x) \cdot g(x)) = m+n$ and it has coefficient
 $\bar{f}_n \cdot \bar{g}_m \neq 0$ because $\frac{R}{I}$ is integral domain. Either contradiction if we assumed
 $f(x) \cdot g(x) = 0$, or we find $f(x) \cdot g(x) \neq 0$ for all $f(x), g(x)$ non-zero.

④ - p_1, \dots, p_n distinct primes

(a) (i) Show $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_n})$ is Galois over \mathbb{Q} .

Note: $x^2 - p_1, \dots, x^2 - p_n$ have no splitting field K_n , and this is a family of
separable polynomials. Therefore $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_n})$ is Galois over \mathbb{Q} .

$$(ii) \text{Gal}(K_n / \mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$$

$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}) / \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, because $[\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ is the only
group of order 2.

$$\text{Induction hypothesis: } \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_{n-1}}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}.$$

For n , notice: $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_{n-1}}) \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_n})$ and $x^2 - p_n$ irreducible in
this extension. Then this is a degree 2 extension: $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_{n-1}})(\Gamma_{p_n}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_n})$.

this extension. Then this is a degree 2 extension: $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_{n-1}})(\Gamma_{p_n}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

Now: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)} \leq \text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q})$, and also $[K_n : K_{n-1}] = 2$.

just primitive $\pm \sqrt{p_i} \leftrightarrow \pm \Gamma_{p_i}$

Call $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{n-1}/\mathbb{Q})$, then extend it to $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(K_n, \mathbb{Q})$
by giving it one of the choices: $\begin{cases} \Gamma_{p_n} \mapsto \Gamma_{p_n} \\ \Gamma_{p_n} \mapsto -\Gamma_{p_n} \end{cases}$

We then have 2^n total elements in $\text{Gal}(K_n, \mathbb{Q})$ so since every element in $\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q})$ has order 2:

$$\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)}.$$

Alternatively: $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K_n)$, it permutes roots but then $\Gamma_{p_i} \mapsto \pm \Gamma_{p_i}$.

Define: $\phi: \text{Aut}(K_n) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)}$, this is an iso.
 $\sigma \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \sigma(\Gamma_{p_j}) = \Gamma_{p_j} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma(\Gamma_{p_j}) = -\Gamma_{p_j} \end{array} \right)_{j=1}^n$

(iii) There are 2^{n-1} quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} contained in K_n . Determine explicitly.

The quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} inside $\mathbb{Q}(\Gamma_{p_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{p_n})$ are the splitting fields of $x^2 - q_{i_1} \dots q_{i_k}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i_s \neq i_t$ for all $s, t = 1, \dots, k$.

pick k different primes. $\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{degree 2}}$

Such a splitting field is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}})$, and $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}) \subseteq K_n$.

Claim: Since we are adjoining Γ_{p_i} , the only thing we can recover in \mathbb{Q} when taking quadratic powers is $\sqrt{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}$.

By the Fundamental Galois Theorem says deg. 2. extensions correspond to subgroups of $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)}$ of order 2^{n-1} . There are 2^{n-1} such

subgroups of $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ of order 2^{n-1} . There are 2^{n-1} such subgroups (that's just the non-empty subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$).

For the same reason, q_1, \dots, q_m corresponds to a non-empty subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

(5) Determine explicitly for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})$: $2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30$.

(5)- \star generator of \mathbb{F}_{4^k} , $k \geq 1$. Prove that $x^{2^k} + x + z^{2^k} + z$ has exactly 2^k roots in \mathbb{F}_{4^k} .

\mathbb{F}_{4^k} has characteristic 2. (because it has 4^k elements, which is a power of 2).

$$x^{2^k} + x + z^{2^k} + z = (x^{2^k} + z^{2^k}) + (x + z) = (x + z)^{2^k} + (x + z) = (x + z)((x + z)^{2^{k-1}} + 1).$$

Note $z \in \mathbb{F}_{4^k}$ is a root of $x + z$ (because \mathbb{F}_{4^k} has characteristic 2), and not a root of $(x + z)^{2^{k-1}} + 1$. So we found one root, we only need to prove that $(x + z)^{2^{k-1}} + 1$ factors into 2^{k-1} distinct monomials in \mathbb{F}_{4^k} .

Recall: we sometimes used that translations preserve the number of roots.

Recurrent trick: $y \leftrightarrow y+1$; $y-1 \leftrightarrow y$; $x \mapsto x+1$

Claim: $y+z$ is a root of $(x+z)^{2^{k-1}} + 1$ iff y is a root of $x^{2^{k-1}} + 1$.

Then it will be good enough to show that $x^{2^{k-1}} + 1$ splits into 2^{k-1} factors in \mathbb{F}_{4^k} .

{ Suppose y is a root of $x^{2^{k-1}} + 1$, then: $((y+2)+2)^{2^{k-1}} + 1 = y^{2^{k-1}} + 1 = 0$.

{ Suppose $y+z$ is a root of $(x+z)^{2^{k-1}} + 1$, then:

$$y^{2^{k-1}} + 1 = (y+0)^{2^{k-1}} + 1 = ((y+2)+2)^{2^{k-1}} + 1 = 0.$$

Recall \mathbb{F}_{4^k} is the splitting field of x^{4^k} , so $x^{4^{k-1}} + 1$ has 4^{k-1} distinct roots.

So if $x^{2^{k-1}} + 1$ divides $x^{4^{k-1}} + 1$, then it has 2^{k-1} distinct roots.

Note:

$$x^{4^{k-1}} + 1 = (x^{2^{k-1}} + 1)(x^{2^{k-1}} + 1) \cdots (x^{2^{k-1}} + 1)$$

Trick: Problem 5(b), August 2015: knowing $\phi(n) = (q^d - 1)(q^{d-1} + q^{d-2} + \cdots + q + 1)$

Trick: Problem 5(4), August 2015: knowing of n: $q^{n-1} = (q^{n-1})(\bar{q} + q^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + \dots + q^0)$

So indeed $x^{2^k-1}+1$ divides $x^{4^k-1}+1$.

$$x^{2^k-1} = (x^{2^k-1}) (\bar{q} + q^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + \dots + q^0)$$

in our case $d=2^k$,
 $n=4^k$,

and $+1 = -1$ because
 $\text{char}(1F_{4^k}) = 2$.

⑥ - TBD.

⑦ - Show that \mathbb{Q} is not a projective \mathbb{Z} -mod.

\mathbb{Z} is a P.I.D. Hungerford II. 6.3. It suffices to show that \mathbb{Q} is not a free \mathbb{Z} -mod.

Suppose it is: there is $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ iso. of \mathbb{Z} -mods, call $e_i := \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq i \\ j^{-1} & j = i \end{cases}$.

Since ϕ is iso., there is $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $\phi(y) = e_i$.

Since \mathbb{Q} is divisible (abelian group), there exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $2 \cdot x = y$. Then:

$2 \cdot \phi(x) = \phi(2x) = \phi(y) = e_i$, living in $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$.

However, no element $z \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $2 \cdot z = e_i$, contradiction. So \mathbb{Q} is not free.

Let D an integral domain, \mathbb{Q} its field of fractions. Then \mathbb{Q} is not projective as D -mod.

Proof: If \mathbb{Q} is projective then for some other D -mod R we have:

$R + \mathbb{Q} \cong D^n$. This, by restriction, induces a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow D^n$, which induces a homomorphism $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow D$.

However, no such homomorphism $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow D$ exists.

□.

$$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R + \mathbb{Q} \cong D^n \longrightarrow D$$

⑧ - (a) For free group of rank $m \geq 2$, show that a nontrivial normal subgroup cannot be cyclic.

Proof by contrapositive: pick a cyclic subgroup of $F_m = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$, we show it is not normal.

Suppose first the cyclic subgroup is $\langle a_i \rangle$. Since $m \geq 2$, there is $a_j \neq a_i$ where $a_j a_i a_j^{-1}$ is a reduced word. However, all reduced words in $\langle a_i \rangle$ are of the form a_i^n , $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$\dots \rightarrow a_i^{-1} \rightarrow a_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_i^n \rightarrow \dots$$

a reduced word. However, all reduced words in $\langle a_i \rangle$ are of the form $a_i^{\pm n} a_{i+1}^{\pm m} \dots a_k^{\pm l}$, so $a_j a_i a_j^{-1} \notin \langle a_i \rangle$, so $\langle a_i \rangle \ntriangleleft F_m$.

Suppose we have $\langle g \rangle$ for some general $g \in F_m$: $g = a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} \dots a_k^{r_k}$, where $r_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$.

$m=3$: pick $a_{i_1} \neq a_{i_2} \neq a_{i_3}$. Then $\langle g, a_{i_1} \rangle$ is a free group on more than one generator containing the cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$, so by the above, $\langle g \rangle \ntriangleleft \langle g, a_{i_1} \rangle$ so $\langle g \rangle \ntriangleleft F_m$.

$m=2$: If $a_{i_1} = a_{i_2} =: a_i$, then $\langle g, a_i \rangle$ is a free group on two generators, so by above $\langle g \rangle \ntriangleleft F_m$.

If $a_{i_1} \neq a_{i_2}$, rename $a_{i_1} =: a_i$ if $r_i > 0$, $a_{i_1} =: a_i^{-1}$ if $r_i < 0$; similarly relabel $a_{i_2} =: a_2, a_2^{-1}$. Then:

$$g^n = \underbrace{a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} \dots a_1^{r_{k-1}} a_2^{r_k}}_1 \underbrace{a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} \dots a_1^{r_{k-1}} a_2^{r_k}}_2 \dots \underbrace{a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} \dots a_1^{r_{k-1}} a_2^{r_k}}_n$$

$$\bar{g}^n = \underbrace{a_2^{-r_k} a_1^{-r_{k-1}} \dots a_2^{-r_2} a_1^{-r_1}}_1 \dots \underbrace{a_2^{-r_k} a_1^{-r_{k-1}} \dots a_2^{-r_2} a_1^{-r_1}}_2 \dots \underbrace{a_2^{-r_k} a_1^{-r_{k-1}} \dots a_2^{-r_2} a_1^{-r_1}}_n$$

$a_1 a_2^{-1} = a_1^{r_1+1} a_2^{r_2} \dots a_1^{r_{k-1}} a_2^{r_k-1}$ is not \bar{g}^n for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, but it is not trivial. So $a_1 a_2^{-1} \notin \langle g \rangle$, so $\langle g \rangle \ntriangleleft F_2$.

(b) Show that a solvable group cannot contain F_2 as a subgroup.

We will use that subgroups of free groups are free (hint), and that subgroups of solvable groups if they have a non-finite number of generators, are also solvable. Hungerford II.7.11. So it is enough to prove that F_2 is not solvable. everything still follows.

Def: A group G is solvable if its derived series:

$$G \triangleright G^{(1)} \triangleright G^{(2)} \triangleright \dots \text{ eventually has } 1 \text{ in it.}$$

$$G^{(i+1)} := [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}].$$

A group G is solvable if it has a subnormal series whose quotient groups are abelian:
 $G^{(1)} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_k = G$ with $G_j \triangleleft G_j$ and $\frac{G_j}{G_{j-1}}$ is abelian
 $\dots \triangleleft G_k$ $G_{j-1} : j = 1, \dots, k$.

$\cup_{i=1}^k G_i = G_0 < G_1 < \dots < G_k = G$ with $G_j \triangleleft G_i$ and G_j/G_{j-1} is abelian
 $j=1, \dots, k$

Consider $[F_2, F_2] \triangleleft \bar{F}_2$, it is a normal subgroup. Since it is a subgroup of a free group, it must also be free. Since \bar{F}_2 is not abelian, $[F_2, F_2] \neq \{e\}$, and since $[F_2, F_2] \triangleleft \bar{F}_2$ by part (a) it is not cyclic, so $[F_2, F_2] \cong F_m$ for $m \geq 2$.

Inductively, if F_{nk} a free group on $m_k \geq 2$ generators, then $[F_{nk}, F_{nk}] \triangleleft F_{nk}$ that is also a free group. By (a), it cannot be cyclic, then $[F_{nk}, F_{nk}] \cong F_{nk+1}$.

Now :

$\bar{F}_2 \triangleright \bar{F}_{21}^{(1)} \triangleright \bar{F}_{211}^{(1)} \triangleright \dots \triangleright \bar{F}_{m_1}^{(1)} \triangleright \dots$ where $\bar{F}_{m_1} \neq \text{et}$, so the derived series will never have et.

① - $f(x) = x^5 + x + 1$

(a) Find $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$, k splitting field of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

$$x^5 + x + 1 = \underbrace{(x^2 + x + 1)}_{\text{both irreducible}} \underbrace{(x^3 - x^2 + 1)}_{\text{irreducible}}, \text{ so } [k: \mathbb{Q}] = [k: k_3] \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_3} [k_3: \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 6 = 12$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{roots} & \text{roots} \\ w, \bar{w} & \varsigma, \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \end{array}$$

splitting field of $x^3 - x^2 + 1$: $K_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon, \alpha)$, and now:

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6.$$

splitting field of $x^2 + x + 1$: $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon, \alpha)(\omega) = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon, \alpha, \omega)$
 (over K_3)

this is also: $k = K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \alpha, w)$. (2)

(b) Ant φ (k) of $f(x)$.

Notice: $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta)/\mathbb{Q}) = S_3$

Hungerford II.4.7.

Hungerford II.4.7.

The discriminant of $x^3 - x^2 + 1$ is -23 , which is never a square in \mathbb{Q} .

Then by the Extension of Isomorphism Theorem:

$$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \times S_3.$$