

January 2014:

⑤ - M invertible $n \times n$ matrix with real entries and $\det(M) > 0$. We want $M = RK$ where R is a rotation (some \mathbf{J}_n in $SO(n)$) and K upper triangular, with positive entries in the diagonal.

M is invertible, so its column vectors form a basis. By orthogonalization, we can find a change of basis matrix (which will be R), and then what remains will be K . What remains to check is that the diagonal of K has positive entries.

Say $M = [v_1 \dots v_n]$, where $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ form a basis. By Gram-Schmidt we can find an orthonormal basis:

$$x_1 := \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle}}, \text{ then}$$

$$x_i := \frac{v_i}{\sqrt{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle}} - \sum_{j < i} \frac{\langle x_j, v_i \rangle}{\langle x_j, x_j \rangle} \cdot x_j$$

Then the matrix $R = [x_1 \dots x_n]$ is orthogonal
 (because Gram-Schmidt says so). orthogonal

We want:

$$[v_1 \dots v_n] \underset{\textcircled{1}}{=} [x_1 \dots x_n] \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \dots & w_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n1} & \dots & w_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now: $v_i = x_i \cdot \sqrt{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle}$, so $w_{ii} := \sqrt{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle}$
 $w_{ij} := 0$ for $j \neq i$.

Note: $\frac{\langle x_i, v_j \rangle}{\langle x_i, x_i \rangle} \cdot x_i = \langle x_i, v_j \rangle \cdot x_i$

because x_i has norm 1 by construction.

Using this in the definition of x_i we find:

$$\textcircled{2} \quad v_i = x_i \cdot \sqrt{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle} + \sum_{j < i} \langle x_j, v_i \rangle \cdot x_j$$

this is the multiplication $\textcircled{1} !!!$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore m_{ij} = \langle x_j, v_i \rangle$ for $j < i$. (above diagonal).

$m_{ii} = \sqrt{\langle v_i, v_i \rangle}$ (for $i = 1, \dots, n$). (diagonal).

$m_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$. (below diagonal).

defines K .

Indeed the diagonal of K has all positive entries.

Q) - $f(x) = x^4 - 4x^2 + 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Given Galois group is $\mathbb{Z}_{(4)}$, find a generator and determine action on roots.

Roots: $\pm \sqrt{2 \pm \sqrt{2}}$. Then $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\pm \sqrt{2 \pm \sqrt{2}})$.

Say $\alpha := \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$. Now: $\alpha^2 \in \mathbb{F}$ so $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Then: $\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$

$$-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} = -\alpha \quad ; \quad \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$$

$$; \quad \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{-\alpha} = -\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$$

So $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

G permutes the roots, so it suffices to see where an element of G sends α .

id: $\alpha \longmapsto \alpha$ the identity.

σ : $\alpha \longmapsto -\alpha$ has order 2.

τ : $\alpha \longmapsto \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha}$ has order 4. \leftarrow generated by them all

γ : $\alpha \longmapsto \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{-\alpha}$ has order 4. $\tau^2 = \sigma$.
 $\tau^3 = \gamma$.
 $\tau^4 = \text{id}$.

Why do τ or γ exist?

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$$

"

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$$

$$\text{So } G \cong \langle \tau \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/(4)$$

In $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ we do have:

$$\text{id}|_{\mathbb{F}}: 2 + \sqrt{2} \longmapsto \alpha^2 = 2 + \sqrt{2}$$

$$\delta: 2 + \sqrt{2} \longmapsto -\alpha^2 \quad \text{And these guys do exist.}$$

In $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$ we do have:

$$\text{id}|_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ extends by sending } \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \mapsto \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \quad \vdots$$

$$\text{id}: \mathbb{F}_2 + 2 \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2 + 2 \quad \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \mapsto \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{-\alpha}$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha$$

$$\sigma: \mathbb{F}_2 + 2 \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2 + 2$$

$$\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$$

$$\varsigma \text{ extends by sending } \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \mapsto \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \quad \vdots$$

$$\tau: \mathbb{F}_2 + 2 \mapsto -\mathbb{F}_2 - 2 \quad \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \mapsto \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{-\alpha}$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} = \tau\left(\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\tau(\alpha^2 - 2)}{\tau(\alpha)} = \frac{\tau(\alpha^2) - 2}{\tau(\alpha)} =$$

$$= \frac{-\alpha^2 - 2}{\tau(\alpha)} \Rightarrow \tau(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha(-\alpha^2 - 2)}{\alpha^2 - 2} =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha \cdot (-\mathbb{F}_2 - 2 - 2)}{\mathbb{F}_2 + 2 - 2} =$$

$$\gamma: \mathbb{F}_2 \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$$

$$= \alpha \cdot \frac{-\mathbb{F}_2 - 4}{\mathbb{F}_2}$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{-\alpha}$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha} \text{ annihilates it.}$$

$$\alpha^2 = \sqrt{2} + 2 \text{ annihilates it.}$$

$$x^4 - 4x^2 + 2 = f(x) = \underbrace{(x^2 - \sqrt{2} - 2)}_{= (x + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})} \underbrace{(x^2 + \sqrt{2} - 2)}_{= (x - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})(x - \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}})}$$

$$= (x + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})(x - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})(x - \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}})$$

$$(x + \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}})$$

⑧ - p, q prime numbers

(a) Define surj. map:

$$\phi: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$$

that is \mathbb{Q} -linear and ring homomorphism.

$$\phi: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$$

$$(a + b\sqrt{p}, c + d\sqrt{q}) \mapsto ac + bc\sqrt{p} + ad\sqrt{q} + bd\sqrt{pq}$$

This is \mathbb{Q} -balanced (\mathbb{Q} -bilinear and for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$\phi(\alpha \cdot r, \beta) = r \cdot \phi(\alpha, \beta) = \phi(\alpha, r \cdot \beta)).$$

This gives $\phi: \mathbb{Q}(\bar{r}_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\bar{s}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\bar{r}_1, \bar{s}_1)$.

a surjective group homomorphism with $\phi(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \alpha \beta$.

$\mathbb{Q}(\bar{r}_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\bar{s}_1)$ has identity, addition as a \mathbb{Q} -v.s., and component-wise multiplication:

$$\alpha \otimes \beta \cdot \gamma \otimes \delta := (\alpha \gamma) \otimes (\beta \delta)$$

$$c \otimes 1 \cdot 1 \otimes s := c \otimes s = cs \otimes 1 = sc \otimes 1 = \\ = s \otimes c = s \otimes 1 \cdot 1 \otimes c$$

for all $c, s \in \mathbb{Q}$. So multiplication is well defined.

This gives $\mathbb{Q}(\bar{r}_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\bar{s}_1)$ a ring structure.

For ϕ to be a ring homomorphism we need:

$$(i) \quad \phi(1 \otimes 1) = 1. \quad \leftarrow \text{true}$$

$$(ii) \quad \phi(\alpha \otimes \beta + \gamma \otimes \delta) = \phi(\alpha \otimes \beta) + \phi(\gamma \otimes \delta) \quad \leftarrow \text{group hom.}$$

$$(iii) \quad \phi((\alpha \otimes \beta) \cdot (\gamma \otimes \delta)) = \phi(\alpha \otimes \beta) \cdot \phi(\gamma \otimes \delta) \quad \leftarrow \text{true.}$$

(iv) If $r_1 \neq s_1$ distinct, show ϕ is iso.

If p, q distinct primes, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$ has dimension 4.

Also $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ has dimension 4:

$\{1 \otimes 1, \sqrt{p} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes \sqrt{q}, \sqrt{p} \otimes \sqrt{q}\}$ is a basis.

Since ϕ is surj, it is inj, and ϕ is iso.

(c) If $p = q$, find a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\ker(\phi)$.

Look at matrix representation of ϕ :

$$e_1 \quad 1 \otimes 1 \longmapsto 1$$

$$e_2 \quad \sqrt{p} \otimes 1 \longmapsto \sqrt{p}$$

$$e_3 \quad 1 \otimes \sqrt{p} \longmapsto \sqrt{p}$$

$$e_4 \quad \sqrt{p} \otimes \sqrt{p} \longmapsto \sqrt{p^2} = p, \text{ basis on } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \text{ is } \{1, \sqrt{p}\}.$$

$$\begin{matrix} 4 & \xrightarrow{M} & 2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} * \\ * \\ * \\ *\end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{bmatrix} * \\ * \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

This says that $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ has dimension 2. So we need to find two linearly independent vectors in $\text{Ker}(\phi)$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi(v_1 - \frac{1}{p}v_4) = 0 \\ \phi(v_2 - v_3) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{and } v_1 - \frac{1}{p}v_4, v_2 - v_3 \text{ are linearly independent.}$$

So $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \langle v_1 - \frac{1}{p}v_4, v_2 - v_3 \rangle$

January 2013:

① $|G| = 56 = 2^3 \cdot 7$. Show G is not simple.

By Sylow 3 we have: $n_7 = 1 \text{ or } 8$.

If $n_7 = 1$, we are done.

If $n_7 = 8$, we look at $n_2 = 1 \text{ or } 7$.

We have $8 \cdot 6 = 48$ elements of order 7. We then have 8 elements left, since the Sylow 2-subgroup must have order 8; we must have $n_2 = 1$. We are done.

② - $|G| = 200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2$. We want $\phi: G \rightarrow S_8$ with proper, non-trivial kernel.

We have a Sylow 5-subgroup H with 25 elements, by Sylow 1. Take $A := \{g_1H, \dots, g_8H\}$ the left cosets, we have $\delta = \frac{200}{25} = [G : H]$ of them. take $g_1 = e \in G$

We have a left translation inducing $G \cap A$. This

induces a group homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow S_8$
 $g \mapsto (\bar{g}: A \xrightarrow{\sim} A)$
 $g_iH \mapsto (gg_i)H$

Notice that any $h \in H$ is in $\ker(\phi)$:

$\phi(h)(H) = (hg_1)H = hH = H$ but $h \neq e$. Thus $\ker(\phi)$ is not trivial.
 $g_iH = H$ iff $gi \in H$.

Suppose $g \in G \setminus H$, we want to see that $gh \notin H$. Since

being in other cosets is an equivalence class, this holds.

③ - Examples of:

(i) Eisenstein $p=5$ over \mathbb{Q} : $x^2 + 5x + 10$.

(ii) UFD not PID: $k[x, y]$ is UFD.
 (x, y) is not principal.

(iii) Finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ that is normal, not separable:

An extension E/K is normal if it satisfies
any of the following:

1. Every embedding $\sigma: E \rightarrow \bar{k}$ over K
induces an automorphism of E . ($\sigma(E) = E$).
2. E is the splitting field of K for some
polynomials in $K[x]$.
3. Every irreducible poly. of $K[x]$ with a root
in E must split in E .

An extension E/K is separable whenever every
element of E is separable over K , that is the
irreducible polynomial over K of every element in
 E has no repeated roots (in \bar{k}).

Candidate: $t^p - x$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$.

The splitting field of $t^p - x$ is natural
 but not separable (since $t^p - x$) has only
 one root.

Q) - R comm. ring with 1 ≠ 0. M is f.g., N Noetherian.

Show $M \otimes N$ is Noetherian.

We want to see that every submodule of $M \otimes N$ is finitely generated.

Take $L \subseteq M \otimes N$ an R-submodule. We want to see
 that L is f.g., that is, L is the homomorphic
 image of a free module, that is, there is $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with
 $R^\ell \xrightarrow{\phi} L$.

So it is good enough to find some exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \phi \rightarrow R^\ell \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Idea: use functor $? \otimes_R N$.

Note: M is f.g. So we have $\Psi: R^m \rightarrow M$ a module homomorphism, surjection, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now:

$0 \rightarrow \ker \Psi \rightarrow R^m \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Apply $? \otimes_R N$, we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \ker \Psi \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & R^m \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{\Psi \otimes I_N} & M \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{?} & & \uparrow & & \text{is exact.} \\ & & N^m & & & & \end{array}$$

$\square M$ f.g.

$\square N$ Noetherian.

we want this
to be Noetherian -

Recall: direct sums of Noetherian modules are Noetherian.

Hungerford VIII.1.7.

So N^m is Noetherian.

Homomorphic images of Noetherian modules are Noetherian.

Hungerford VIII.1.6.

So $M \otimes_R N \cong \text{Im}(\Psi) \text{ is Noetherian.}$
 $= \Psi(N^m)$

Alternatively: ascending chain condition.

⑤ - TBD

⑥ -

⑦ -

⑧ - R ring with 1 $\neq 0$, M a f.g. R -mod.

(a) Suppose M is projective, we want elements $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$ and $f_i: M \rightarrow R$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that:

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) m_i.$$

M is f.g. so $R^k \xrightarrow{\phi} M$ a surjective homomorphism exists.

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i m_i \text{ by } M \text{ f.g., } m_i \text{ generators over } R.$$

$r_i \in R$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & h & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ F = R^k & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \xrightarrow{1_M} 0 \end{array}$$

By projectivity of M there

is $h: M \rightarrow F$ with
 $\phi h = 1_M$.

Define $f_i(m) := \phi(h(r_i))$ for $f_i: M \rightarrow R$.

Write:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m = 1_M(m) &= \phi h(m) = \phi h\left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i m_i\right) = \\
 &= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i h(m_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi(r_i h(m_i)) = \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \phi(h(m_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) m_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Prove that the converse is true.

We want M to be projective knowing that there are

$m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$ and $f_i: M \rightarrow R$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ with

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) m_i.$$