

Generalities on Hopf algebras

Recall that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_q(sl_2) = k[E, F, K, K^{-1}]/R$

where R is the ideal generated by

$$KK^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}K \quad (R1)$$

$$KEK^{-1} = q^2 E \quad (R2)$$

$$KFK^{-1} = q^{-2} F \quad (R3)$$

$$EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad (R4)$$

($q \in k \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$)

We've seen a description for the representations of \mathcal{U} both when q is a root of 1 and otherwise.

For a deeper study of $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{U})$ as well as the representation theory of quantum groups other than $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$, we need a feature of the representation category we have yet to discuss: the ability to tensor 2 representations.

This feature is already present in rep theory of groups and Lie algebras: if G is a group and $U, V \in \text{Rep}(G)$, then $U \otimes V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ by

$$g \cdot (u \otimes v) = g \cdot u \otimes g \cdot v$$

and if $U, V \in \text{Rep}(g)$ for a Lie alg g then

$u \otimes v \in \text{Rep}(g)$ by

$$x \cdot (u \otimes v) = u \otimes x \cdot v + x \cdot u \otimes v.$$

In fact, this happens because

$$\text{Rep}(G) \cong \text{Rep}(kG)$$

$$\text{Rep}(g) \cong \text{Rep}(Ug)$$

and both kG and Ug are Hopf algebras.

Quick intro to Hopf algebras

A Hopf algebra is a bialgebra which admits an antipode.

Quick intro to bialgebras

Given an k -algebra A , we can consider $\text{Rep}(A)$.

A bialgebra is an algebra with additional structures s.t. these structures correspond to $\text{Rep}(A)$ being a monoidal category

↓ (roughly speaking)

a category \mathcal{C} with a product $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{C}$

$\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and unit $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ s.t.

$$(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \cong X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$$

$$X \otimes 1 \cong X \cong 1 \otimes X$$

For $\text{Rep}(A)$ to be a monoidal cat, we need for all $U, V \in \text{Rep}(A)$, $U \otimes V \in \text{Rep}(A)$ s.t.

$(U \otimes V) \otimes W \cong U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ as A -modules and a $\text{Rep}(A)$ structure on k s.t.

$U \otimes k \cong U \cong k \otimes U$ as A -modules.

We know that if A, B are k -algebras, then a k -alg map $f: A \rightarrow B$ induces a functor $f^*:$ $\text{Rep}(B) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(A)$.

If $U, V \in \text{Rep}(A)$, then $U \otimes V \in \text{Rep}(A \otimes A)$ so a natural way to have $U \otimes V \in \text{Rep}(A)$ is to have a k -alg map $A \xrightarrow{\Delta} A \otimes A$.

Similarly, a natural way to have $k \in \text{Rep}(A)$ is to have a k -alg map $A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} k$.

The condition that $\forall U, V, W \in \text{Rep}(A)$,

$(U \otimes V) \otimes W \cong U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ as A -modules translates to comm. diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A \otimes A \\
 \downarrow \circ & & \downarrow \circ \otimes \text{id} \\
 A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} & A \otimes A \otimes A
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

and the condition that $A \otimes k \cong A \cong k \otimes A$ as

A -modules translates to comm. diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A \otimes A \\ \Delta \downarrow & \searrow id & \downarrow id \otimes \varepsilon \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes id} & A \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Def. A bialgebra is a k -algebra A with two algebra maps (Δ, ε) satisfying (1) and (2).
 (A, Δ, ε) where $A \in \text{Vect}$ is called a coalgebra.

Δ : coproduct (1) A is coassociative

ε : counit (2) A is counital

Fact. If A is an algebra $A = (A, m, u)$
and A is a coalgebra $A = (A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$

then A is a bialgebra

$\Leftrightarrow \Delta$ and ε are algebra maps

$\Leftrightarrow m$ and u are coalgebra maps

What about the antipode?

If $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$, then $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k) \in \text{Rep}(G)$
by

$$(g \cdot \varphi)(v) = \varphi(g^{-1} \cdot v) \quad g \in G, \varphi \in V^*, v \in V.$$

Similarly, if $V \in \text{Rep } G$ then $V^* \in \text{Rep } G$ by

$$(\chi \cdot \varphi)(v) = \varphi((-\chi) \cdot v) \quad \chi \in G, \varphi \in V^*, v \in V.$$

So Rep G and Rep g have the extra properties that objects have "duals".

In a general monoidal category, $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(X, 1)$ is not an object of \mathcal{C} . Instead, we make the following definition:

Def. let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$ be a monoidal category.

A duality in \mathcal{C} is a 4-tuple

$$(X, Y, \text{ev}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow 1, \text{coev}: 1 \rightarrow Y \otimes X)$$

s.t. the following maps are identity maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X \otimes 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{coev}} & X \otimes Y \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}} & 1 \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & 1 \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}} & Y \otimes X \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}} & Y \otimes 1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Y \end{array}$$

In this case, we say that Y is a right dual of X and X is a left dual of Y .

Eg. $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vect}_k$. For any $V \in \text{Vect}_k^{\text{fd}}$, the maps

$$\text{ev}: V^* \otimes V \rightarrow k, (\varphi, v) \mapsto \varphi(v)$$

$$\text{coev}: k \rightarrow V \otimes V^*, 1 \mapsto \sum v_i \otimes v_i^*$$

make $(V^*, V, \text{ev}, \text{coev})$ a duality.

If $\tilde{\text{ev}}$ and $\tilde{\text{coev}}$ denote the flipped maps of ev and coev , then $(V, V^*, \tilde{\text{ev}}, \tilde{\text{coev}})$ is also a duality.

Def. A rigid monoidal category is a monoidal cat.

s.t. every object has a left and a right dual.

If H is a bialgebra, then for any $V \in \text{Rep}(H)^{\text{fd}}$, we want $(V^*, V, \text{ev}, \text{coev})$ and $(V, V^*, \tilde{\text{ev}}, \tilde{\text{coev}})$ to be dualities in $\text{Rep}(H)^{\text{fd}}$, i.e. $\text{Rep}(H)^{\text{fd}}$ is a rigid monoidal category. In particular, we want the four morphisms ev , coev , $\tilde{\text{ev}}$ and $\tilde{\text{coev}}$ to be $H\text{-mod}$ morphisms. This translates to the following conditions for the map S :

(i) S is bijective

$$(\text{ii}) \quad S(h_1) h_2 = \varepsilon(h) 1 = h_1 S(h_2)$$

$$(\text{iii}) \quad S^{-1}(h_1) h_2 = \varepsilon(h) 1 = h_1 S^{-1}(h_2)$$

(automatic from (i) + (ii))

In fact, from (i) + (iii), can show that S is an anti-alg map and anti-coalg map.

$$(S: H \rightarrow H^{\text{op}} / s: H \rightarrow H^{\text{cop}}).$$

Some remarks.

(1) The antipode map S is unique if it exists, since it is the convolution inverse for the identity map in $\text{Hom}(H, H)$.

(2) Since S is an alg map $H \rightarrow H^{\text{op}}$, we have an induced functor

$$\text{Mod-}H = H^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow H\text{-Mod},$$

hence S lets us switch sides.

(3) If φ is an (anti) algebra automorphism on a Hopf algebra $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$, we can define a new Hopf alg structure $(H, \varphi_\Delta, \varphi_\varepsilon, \varphi_S)$, where

$$\varphi_\Delta = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

$$\varphi_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

$$\varphi_S = \begin{cases} \varphi \circ S \circ \varphi^{-1} & \text{if } \varphi: H \rightarrow H \\ \varphi \circ S^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} & \text{if } \varphi: H \rightarrow H^{\text{op}} \end{cases}$$

(4) If H is a Hopf algebra and $M, N \in \text{Rep}(H)$, then $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is an H - H bimod by

$$h \cdot f \cdot k(m) = h \cdot f(k \cdot m)$$

Via $S: H^{\text{op}} \rightarrow H$, $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is an H - H^{op} bimod

$$\text{by } h \cdot f \cdot k(m) = h \cdot f(S(k)) \cdot m$$

and since $H\text{-Bimod-}H^{\text{op}} = (H \otimes H) - \text{Mod}$,

$\text{Hom}(M, N) \in \text{Rep}(H)$ via the map $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$:

$$h \cdot f(m) = h_1 \cdot f(S(h_2)) \cdot m. \quad (*)$$

When $N = k$, $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, k) \in \text{Rep}(H)$.

Further, the linear map

$$N \otimes M^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$$

$$n \otimes f \mapsto \varphi_{f,n}(m) = f(m)n$$

is an H -mod map when M^* , $\text{Hom}_k(M, N) \in \text{Rep} H$ via $(*)$.

For any $P \in \text{Rep}(H)$, define

$$P^H = \{ p \in P \mid h \cdot p = \varepsilon(h)p \ \forall h \in H \}$$

One can show that

$$\text{Hom}_k(M, N)^H = \text{Hom}_H(M, N).$$

$U_q(sl_2)$ as a Hopf algebra

Recall that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_q(sl_2) = k[E, F, K, K^{-1}]/R$

where R is the ideal generated by

$$KK^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}K \quad (R1)$$

$$KEK^{-1} = q^2 E \quad (R2)$$

$$KFK^{-1} = q^{-2} F \quad (R3)$$

$$EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad (R4)$$

($q \in k \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$)

Lemma 3.1. $\exists!$ k -alg map $\Delta: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$

defined by $\Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E$

$$\Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F$$

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K.$$

Pf. Let's check $\Delta(R4)$: we need

$$\Delta(E)\Delta(F) - \Delta(F)\Delta(E) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\Delta(K) - \Delta(K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= EF \otimes K^{-1} + E \cancel{\otimes} F + KF \otimes EK^{-1} + K \otimes EF \\ &\quad - FE \otimes K^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E - \cancel{E \otimes F} - K \otimes FE \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{also, } KF \otimes EK^{-1} &= KF \otimes q^2 K^{-1} E \quad (\text{by R2}) \\ &= q^2 KF \otimes K^{-1} E \\ &= FK \otimes K^{-1} E \quad (\text{by R3}) \end{aligned}$$

So we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} & (EF - FE) \otimes k^{-1} + k \otimes (EF - FE) \\ &= ((k - k^{-1}) \otimes k^{-1} + k \otimes (k - k^{-1})) / (q - q^{-1}) \quad (\text{by R4}) \\ &= \text{RHS} \end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta(R2)$ and $\Delta(R3)$, we use that $U \otimes U$ has a natural grading induced from U , and prove for each homogeneous component using the formula

$$(k \otimes k^{-1}) u (k^{-1} \otimes k) = q^{2n} u$$
$$\forall u \in (U \otimes U)_n.$$

Lemma 3.2. $\Delta: U \rightarrow U \otimes U$ is coassociative.

Pf. Straightforward calculation. \square

Lemma 3.4. $\exists!$ k -alg map $\varepsilon: U \rightarrow k$ s.t.

$$\varepsilon(E) = \varepsilon(F) = 0$$

$$\varepsilon(k) = 1$$

s.t. $U \xrightarrow{\Delta} U \otimes U$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow id & \downarrow id \otimes \varepsilon \\ U \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes id} & U \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Pf. Same as 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.6. $\exists!$ alg map $S: U \rightarrow U^{\otimes P}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} S(E) &= -K^{-1}E, & S(F) &= -FK \\ S(K) &= K^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

One has

$$S^2(u) = K^{-1}uK \quad \forall u \in U \quad (2)$$

Pf. For (1), we need to check $S(R2) = S(R4)$.

$$S(R2): \quad S(K)S(E)S(K^{-1}) \stackrel{?}{=} q^2 S(E)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= K^{-1} \cdot {}^P(-K^{-1}E) \cdot {}^P K \\ &= K(-K^{-1}E)K^{-1} = q^2(-K^{-1}E) = \text{RHS}. \\ &\quad \downarrow -K^{-1}E \in U_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } K u K^{-1} = q^{2n} u \text{ for } u \in U_n$$

$S(R3)$ and $S(R4)$ can be checked similarly.

(2) is a very simple check. \square

Rmk 3.10 (Quantum trace)

Let \mathcal{C} be a rigid monoidal category, and let's say that we have a natural isomorphism $\alpha_X: X \xrightarrow{\cong} X^{**}$ $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then we can define $\text{tr}_q(X) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{1})$, called the quantum trace of X (wrt α), to be

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_X} X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\alpha_X \otimes \text{id}} X^{**} \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{X^*}} \mathbb{1}.$$

In our case, $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(U)^{\text{fd}}$. We want an H -mod map $\alpha_M: M \xrightarrow{\cong} M^{**}$. Unfortunately, the linear map $M \xrightarrow{\Psi} M^{**}$, $m \mapsto \Psi_m(f) = f(m)$ is not H -linear,

since $u \cdot \varphi(m) = \varphi(s^2(u) \cdot m) \neq \varphi(u \cdot m)$ in general.
 However since $s^2(u) = K^{-1}uK$, we just need to make
 a slight modification: the map

$$M \xrightarrow{\varphi'} M^{**}, m \mapsto \varphi'_m(f) = f(K^{-1}m)$$

is now a \mathcal{U} -module map.

For a fd-module M , we can identify $\text{End}_k(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} M \otimes M^*$ with trace then we can identify
 the quantum trace tr_φ with the map

$$\text{End}_k(M) \rightarrow k, \varphi \mapsto \text{tr}(\varphi \circ K^{-1}).$$

Next time: \mathcal{U} as a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra.