

January 2020:

① -  $F$  finite field,  $f$  monic irreducible in  $F[x]$ ,  $a \in F$  root of  $f$ . Prove:

(a)  $F(a)$  is the splitting field for  $f$  over  $F$ .

(b) The set of roots of  $f$  is  $\{\alpha^{IF^r} \mid r \geq 1\}$ .

Technique:  $f$  degree  $n$ , if  $\{a^{IF^r} \mid r \geq 1\} = n$ , and they are roots of  $f$ , we are done.

Hungerford II.1.7. We have that  $f$  is the irreducible polynomial of  $a$  and  $[F(a):F] = \deg(f)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0. \text{ Now: } f(\alpha^{IF^r}) = a_n (\alpha^{IF^r})^n + \dots + a_1 (\alpha^{IF^r}) + a_0 = \\ |\mathbb{F}| &= p^k \text{ because } |\mathbb{F}| < \infty \\ |\mathbb{F}| &= q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (a_n IF^r)(\alpha^{IF^r})^n + \dots + (a_1 IF^r)(\alpha^{IF^r}) + (a_0 IF^r) = \\ &= (a_n \alpha^n + \dots + a_1 \alpha + a_0) IF^r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $a \in F$ :  $a = a^{IF^r}$ .  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ .

Hence:  $\{\alpha^{IF^r} \mid r \geq 1\}$  are all roots of  $f$ . Moreover  $\alpha^{IF^r} = \alpha^{IF^s}$  whenever  $r \equiv s \pmod{n}$ ,

and conversely suppose  $\alpha^{IF^r} = \alpha^{IF^s}$ , say  $s = r + t$  for some  $t \geq 0$ . Then:

$$(\alpha)^{IF^r} = \alpha^{IF^r} = \alpha^{IF^s} = \alpha^{IF^{r+t}} = (\alpha^{IF^t})^{IF^r} = (\alpha^{IF^t})^{p^{kr}} \Rightarrow \alpha = \alpha^{IF^{kt}}$$

$a, b \in F$  field of characteristic  $p$ , if  $a^p = b^p$  then  $a = b$ .

So  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^p - x$  so  $f(x) \mid x^p - x$  so  $n \mid t$  by Exercise 5(b) August 2015 Exam.

Hence  $r \equiv s \pmod{n}$ . Thus  $\{a^{IF^r} \mid r \geq 1\} = n$ , so  $f$  splits completely in  $F(a)$ , and there are exactly all the roots.

② -  $R$  local whenever  $R$  has a unique maximal ideal. Prove  $R$  local iff for all  $r, r' \in R$  if  $r+r'=1$  then  $r$  or  $r'$  is a unit.

$\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $R$  local, let  $r, r' \in R$  with  $r+r'=1$ . Suppose that  $r, r'$  are non-units, for contradiction.

Let  $M$  be the unique maximal ideal of  $R$ . Now:  $(r), (r')$  are ideals of  $R$ , so  $(r), (r') \subseteq M$

because every ideal is contained in a maximal ideal. However:  $1 = r+r' \in (r)+(r') \subseteq M$   
 $(r), (r') \not\subseteq R$   
whence  $R = (1) \subseteq M \not\subseteq R$ , a contradiction.

$\Leftarrow$  We prove the contrapositive. Suppose  $R$  is not local, that is,  $R$  has more than one maximal ideal.

Let  $M, M'$  be two distinct maximal ideals of  $R$ . Then  $R = M+M'$ , which means that  $1 = r+r'$  for some non-units  $r, r' \in R$  and  $r \in M, r' \in M'$ .

Useful facts about local rings:

(i) A ring (with unit) is local iff the set of non-unit elements is an ideal.

Useful facts about local rings:

(i) A ring (with unit) is local iff the set of non-unit elements is an ideal.

(ii) Let  $R$  ring with unit,  $M \subseteq R$  maximal ideal. If every element of  $1+M$  is a unit, then  $R$  local.