# ${\bf Math~33A} \\ {\bf Linear~Algebra~and~Applications}$

Discussion for January 24-28, 2022

## Problem 1.

Consider a matrix A of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  and  $a \neq 1$ . Find the matrix B of the linear transformation  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$  with respect to the basis

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ 1-a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a-1 \\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Interpret the answer geometrically.

**Solution:** There are two ways of seeing this, one more geometric, the other more algebraic. Geometrically, the vector  $\vec{v_1} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 1-a \end{bmatrix}$  determines a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and the vector  $\vec{v_2} = \begin{bmatrix} a-1 \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  is perpendicular to this line. The matrix A is representing a reflection about the line parallel to  $\vec{v_1}$ . In the basis  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}\}$  a reflection about this line keeps  $\vec{v_1}$  untouched and changes the sign of  $\vec{v_2}$ , and thus a reflection about this line has matrix

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

Algebraically, the matrix is given by applying the linear transformation to  $\vec{v_1}$  and putting the result in the first column, and then applying the linear transformation to  $\vec{v_2}$  and putting the result in the second column, giving

$$\begin{split} \left[T(\vec{v_1}) \quad T(\vec{v_2})\right] &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 1-a \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1-a \\ -b \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} ab+b-ba \\ b^2+a^2-a \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} a^2+b^2-a \\ ba-b-ab \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 1-a \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1-a \\ -b \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

### Problem 2.

Let A and B be square matrices, if there is an invertible matrix S such that  $B = S^{-1}AS$  we say that A is similar to B. Find an invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrix S such that

$$S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} S$$

is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

What can you say about two of those matrices?

**Solution:** Since S is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, it has four unknowns. Leaving b and d representing any two real numbers, we have the equation

$$\frac{1}{xw - yz} \begin{bmatrix} w & -y \\ -z & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

which (interestingly enough, see Problem 4 for more details about this) forces b=2 and d=5. Setting x and w as free variables, these four equations impose the restrictions 2y=w-x and 4z=w-3x. Since S has to be invertible, we have the additional restriction  $xw-yz=\det(S)\neq 0$ , which with the above solutions becomes  $w^2-12wx+3x^2\neq 0$ . Thus, as long as this invertibility condition is satisfied, we have

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} x & \frac{w-x}{2} \\ \frac{w-3x}{4} & w \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  is similar to the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

#### Problem 3.

If A is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix such that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $A \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

show that A is similar to a diagonal matrix D. Find an invertible S such that  $S^{-1}AS = D$ .

**Solution:** Consider the linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  given by A, namely  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since we are given the image of  $\vec{v_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\vec{v_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , consider the basis  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}\}$ . The matrix of T with respect to  $\mathfrak{B}$  is

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} T(\vec{v_1}) & T(\vec{v_2}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} & \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} & S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since we have changed from the standard basis to a new basis, we have  $A = SDS^{-1}$ , and thus  $D = S^{-1}AS$  so A is similar to D.

## Problem 4.

If  $c \neq 0$ , find the matrix of the linear transformation

$$T(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}$$

with respect to the basis

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Solution:** Denote this basis  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}\}$ , the matrix of T with respect to  $\mathfrak{B}$  is

$$\begin{split} \left[T(\vec{v_1}) \quad T(\vec{v_2})\right] &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right]_{\mathfrak{B}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \right]_{\mathfrak{B}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bc \\ ac + cd \end{bmatrix}_{\mathfrak{B}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bc \\ ac + cd \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a/c \\ 0 & 1/c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a/c \\ 0 & 1/c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bc \\ ac + cd \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & bc - ad \\ 1 & a + d \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

This explains what is going on in Problem 2. Setting  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , via a change of basis it will be similar to a matrix of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & bc - ad \\ 1 & a + d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ , forcing the mysterious appearance of the column  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . Moreover, this forces  $\vec{v_2} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . In particular, using as basis the vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}$ , we have that  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is a solution for Problem 2.

## Problem 5.

Is there a basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}$ -matrix B of the linear transformation

$$T(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}$$

is upper triangular?

**Solution:** No. Note first that T is a rotation of angle  $\pi/2$ . Note second that if T could be written as an upper triangular matrix in the basis  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}\}$  that would mean that  $T(\vec{v_1}) = k\vec{v_1}$  for some real scalar k. In other words,  $T(\vec{v_1})$  would be parallel to  $\vec{v_1}$ . However, since T is a rotation, this is impossible.