Adjoints.

(Section 6.3)

Goal: relate applying a linear transformation T: V → V inside the inner groduct:

 $\langle T(v), \omega \rangle = \langle v, S(\omega) \rangle$  for  $S: V \rightarrow V$  a linear transformation.

Today all vector spaces are juner product spaces and finite dimensional.

Theorem: Let T:V - IF be a linear transformation, then there exists a unique vector

uter such that T(v) = (v, ut) for all ver.

In other words, every linear transformation T: V - 17 cm be realized as an

imer product with a rector in V.

Proof: Let p=1e1,..., en) be on orthonormal basis of V. Let vev, write:

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \sigma, e_i \rangle e_i.$$

Now:

$$T(v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle w, e_i \rangle e_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle w, e_i \rangle \cdot T(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T(e_i) \cdot \langle w, e_i \rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T(e_i) \cdot \overline{\langle e_i, w \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\langle T(e_i) e_i, w \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle w, \overline{T(e_i)} e_i \rangle =$$

$$= \langle w, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{T(e_i) \cdot e_i} \rangle$$

Taking ut = = T(ei)·c; then T(v) = < v, u+> for all vev.

Suppose there is a vector six EV with T(v) = (v, u'). Them:

0 = T(v)-T(v) = (v, u+) - (v, u+) = (v, u+-u+) for all vev.

Tum un-ut EV= 101 so un-ut=0 so un=ut.

Corollary: Let T:V-W be a linear transformation. Then there is a unique vector

um eV with:  $\langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle v, uw \rangle$ . Here wew is fixed, and it holds for all vev.

 $\Box$ 

Proof: Apply the Theorem above with the linear transformation:

 $\langle T(\cdot), \omega \rangle : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$   $V \longmapsto \langle T(v), \omega \rangle$ 

Thus there is a vector no ev with LT(V), w>= LV, Nw> for all vev. . .

Definition: Let T:V-W be a linear transformation. We define the adjoint of T as

the linear transformation:  $T^*: W \longrightarrow V$  where uw is as in the Theorem  $\omega \mapsto u\omega$ 

above.

Note that: <T(0), w> = < 0, Nw> = < 0, T\*(w)>.

Remark that T\*(w1+w2) = T\*(w1) + T\*(w2) and T\*(a.w) = a.T\*(w).

Example: Let 
$$T: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$
 be given by left multiplication with  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We want  $T^*: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  such that:  $\langle T(v), \omega \rangle = \langle v, T^*(\omega) \rangle$  where the

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ t \end{bmatrix}, T^* \begin{bmatrix} x \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} y + 3z \\ 2x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = yx + 3zx + 2xb = 0$$

$$= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2b \\ \infty \\ 3a \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \quad \text{so} \quad \top^* \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2b \\ \infty \\ 3a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then 
$$T^*: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$
 is left multiplication by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\circ & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\circ & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition: Let T,S:V-V, then:

(i) 
$$(T+S)^{4} = T^{4} + S^{4}$$
.

Proposition: Let T:V-W linear transformation, thun:

(i) 
$$\ker(T^*) = (\operatorname{Im}(T))^{\perp}$$

(iv) 
$$Im(T) = (Ker(T^*))^{\perp}$$

This is saying that the matrix associated to the adjoint T\* coincides with

the conjugate transpose of the matrix associated to T:

Proof: Note that we can write  $T(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle T(e_i), f_j \rangle \cdot f_j$ . Then:

$$P = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \quad Y = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \quad k = column$$

$$[T]_{\Gamma}^{Y} = \left[ [T(e_1)]_{\mathcal{S}} \dots [T(e_n)]_{\mathcal{S}} \right] = \left[ \langle T(e_1), f_1 \rangle \dots \langle T(e_m), f_m \rangle \right] = \left[ \langle T(e_1), f_m \rangle \dots \langle T(e_m), f_m \rangle \right]$$

Namely: ([T] )j,k = (T(ex), fj).

For the same reason: 
$$([T^*]_{\delta}^{\beta})_{k,j} = \langle T^*(f_j), e_k \rangle$$
.

Now:

$$\left(\left(\overline{[\tau]_{p}^{k}}\right)^{k}\right)_{k,j} = \left(\overline{[\tau]_{p}^{k}}\right)_{j,k} = \overline{\left([\tau]_{p}^{k}\right)_{j,k}} = \overline{\left([\tau]_{p}^{k}\right)_{j,k}} = \overline{\left([\tau]_{p}^{k}\right)_{j,k}} = \overline{\left([\tau^{*}]_{p}^{k}\right)_{k,j}} = \overline{\left([\tau^{*}]_{p}^{k}\right)_{k,j}}$$

Since ([T]) and [T\*] are equal entry-wise, they are equal as matrices. [].