Recall: V v.s. U,W & V v. subspaces UnW v. subspace.

Remark: UUW is almost never a vector subspace.

Definition:  $V \times S$ , V, W are vector subspaces, the sum of V and W is:  $V \times S = \{ x + w \mid x \in V \}$ in V

Theorem 6: V v.s., U, W rector subspaces than U+W is a vector subspace.

Sketch of pool: Use Theorem 4.

"Best" possible corce: U+W=V and UnW=404.

Definition: V v.s., U,W rector subspaces, we say that V is the direct sum of U

: when i

Example: Mu(IF) = Lu(IF) @ Du(IF) @ Un (IF)

strictly lower diagonal strictly upper

Triangular.

Definition: V v.s., let 4 vi, ve, ... | = V be a subset. A vector of e V is said

to be a linear combination of 4 v, vz, ... 4 if there are non-zero

ai, ..., ain e IF such that v = ai. Vi, + ... + ain Vin. 1 coefficients 1

ひ= a',· で! +··· + a'n· で、 , び,···, でん としが, び,···)

T = 11. V1 + ... + an. VN

Definition: V v.s., let 4 vr, ve,... | = V be a subset. The your of 4 vr, ve,... |

is the set of all linear combinations of \u, ve,.....

Span | v, vz, ... } = | ai vi + ... + ain vin | ai, , ..., ain EIF ν<sub>ίι,...,</sub>ν<sub>ίκ</sub> ε ηνί,νε,... η 9. V, + ... + 9. VN

 $\vec{o}$  is the empty sum  $\vec{o} = 0 \cdot \vec{v}$ 

Theorem 7: Span & v1, v2, ... \ is a vector subspace of V.

Proof: Using Theorem 4.

(1) 0 = 0.0, E Span \ 5,00,.....................

(2)  $a_{i_1} \sigma_{i_1} + \cdots + a_{i_n} \sigma_{i_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{i_k} \sigma_{i_k} \cdots + a_{i_n} \sigma_{i_n}$ 

bj. vj. + ... + bjm. vjm = = = bjk. vjk ~~ bj. vi + ... + bm. vm

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \cdot \overline{v}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_i \cdot \overline{v}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (a_i + b_i) \cdot \overline{v}_i + \sum_{i=M+1}^{N} a_i \cdot \overline{v}_i.$$

Suppose (without loss of generality) that N = M.

This is an element of Span Yor, oz, ... Y.

(3) 
$$C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \cdot v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (c \cdot a_i) \cdot v_i$$
 is an element of Span \( v\_1, v\_2, ...\).

Definition: V v.s., v,..., vn eV, we say that v,..., vn are linearly dependent

if there are scalars a, ,..., an EIF, at least one of them non-zero,

such that  $a_1v_1+\cdots+a_n\cdot v_n=\overline{0}$ .

Definition: V v.s., v, ..., vn eV, we say that v, ..., vn are linearly independent if they are not linearly dependent.

> en these quantifiers are there exists for all

logical negations of

each other.

"L.I." if for all scalars a, ..., on EIF then a, v, +... + an v n +o.