Package 'parseGBIF'

July 30, 2023

```
Type Package
Title An R package for parsing species occurrence records
Version 0.0.1
Date 2023-06-04
Maintainer Pablo Melo <pablopains@yahoo.com.br>
Description parseGBIF package is designed to convert GBIF species occurrence data to a more com-
      prehensible format to be used for further analysis, e.g. spatial.
      The package provides tools for verifying and standardizing species scientific names and for se-
      lecting the most informative species records when duplicates are available.
License GPL (>= 2) | file LICENSE
Encoding UTF-8
LazyData true
LazyDataCompression xz
Roxygen list(markdown = TRUE)
RoxygenNote 7.2.3
Imports plyr,
      readxl,
      dplyr,
      tidyr,
      readr,
      stringr,
      textclean,
      googledrive,
      rvest,
     lubridate,
      rnaturalearthdata,
     jsonlite,
      sqldf,
      DT,
      downloader,
      tidyselect,
      utils
Remotes github::pablopains/parseGBIF
Depends R (>= 3.5.0)
```

2 collectors_get_name

R topics documented:

| Index | | 4 |
|-------|-------------------------------|---|
| | wcvp_get_data | 4 |
| | wcvp_check_name_batch | 4 |
| | wcvp_check_name | 4 |
| | standardize_scientificName | 3 |
| | select_gbif_fields | 3 |
| | select_digital_voucher_v3 | 2 |
| | select_digital_voucher_v2.1 | 2 |
| | select_digital_voucher_v2 | 2 |
| | select_digital_voucher | 2 |
| | prepare_gbif_occurrence_data | 2 |
| | generate_collection_event_key | 1 |
| | extract_gbif_issue | 1 |
| | export_data_v3 | |
| | export_data_v2.1 | |
| | export_data | |
| | EnumOccurrenceIssue | |
| | collectors_prepare_dictionary | |
| | collectors_get_name | |

collectors_get_name

Get the last name of the main collector

Description

Get the last name of the main collector in recordedBy field

Usage

```
collectors_get_name(x)
```

Arguments

Χ

recordedBy field

Details

Returns the last name

Value

last name of the main collector

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

 $\verb|collectors_prepare_dictionary|, \verb|collectors_update_dictionary||$

Examples

```
help(collectors_get_name)
collectors_get_name('Melo, P.H.A & Monro, A.')
collectors_get_name('Monro, A. & Melo, P.H.A')
```

collectors_prepare_dictionary

Prepare the list with the last name of the main collector

Description

Returns the list with the last name of the main collector associated with the unique key recordedBy. A necessary step for parsing duplicate records is generating a robust key for each unique collecting event (aka 'gathering') that will support the recognition of duplicate records. For this purpose we generate a string combining the plant family name + first collector's surname + the collection number. It is therefore essential to consistently record the collector surname and for this purpose we provide a collector dictionary. To extract the surname of the main collector based on the recordedBy field and assemble a list relating the last name of the main collector and the raw data from the recordedBy, use the collectors_prepare_dictionary function.

It is recommended to check the main collector's last name in the nameRecordedBy_Standard field. Our goal is to standardize the main collector's last name, which is automatically extracted from the recordedBy field. We do so by standardizing the text string so that it begins with an uppercase character and to replace non-ascii characters, so that collector reponsible for a collection event is always recorded using the same string of characters. If the searched recordedBy entry is present in the collector's dictionary, the function retrieves the last name of the main collector with reference to the recordedBy field (in which case the CollectorDictionary field will be flagged as 'checked'), otherwise, the function will return the last name of the main collector, extracted automatically from the recordedBy field .

Once verified, the collector's dictionary can be reused in the future.

Usage

```
collectors_prepare_dictionary(
  occ = NA,
  collectorDictionary_file =
   "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/pablopains/parseGBIF/main/collectorDictionary/CollectorsDi
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

4 EnumOccurrenceIssue

Details

If recordedBy is present in the collector's dictionary, it returns the checked name, if not, it returns the last name of the main collector, extracted from the recordedBy field. If recordedBy is present in the collector's dictionary, returns the main collector's last name associated with the single recordedBy key, otherwise, returns the main collector's last name, extracted from the recordedBy field. It is recommended to curate the main collector's surname, automatically extracted from the recordedBy field. The objective is to standardize the last name of the main collector. That the primary botanical collector of a sample is always recognized by the same last name, standardized in capital letters and non-ascii characters replaced

Value

Ctrl_nameRecordedBy_Standard, Ctrl_recordedBy, Ctrl_notes, collectorDictionary, Ctrl_update, collectorName, Ctrl_fullName, Ctrl_fullNameII, CVStarrVirtualHerbarium_PersonDetails

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
collectors_get_name, generate_collection_event_key
```

Examples

EnumOccurrenceIssue

Enumeration GBIF issue An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records.

Description

There are many things that can go wrong and we continously encounter unexpected data. In order to help us and publishers improve the data, we flag records with various issues that we have encountered. This is also very useful for data consumers as you can include these issues as filters in occurrence searches. Not all issues indicate bad data. Some are merley flagging the fact that GBIF has altered values during processing. On the details page of any occurrence record you will see the list of issues in the notice at the bottom.

Usage

data(EnumOccurrenceIssue)

Format

A data frame with 69 rows and 9 columns

Details

constant GBIF issue constant

description GBIF issue description

definition Our definition for classifying geographic issues

type Type issue

priority Impact of the issue for the use of geospatial information

score Impact, in number, of the issue for the use of geospatial information

selection_score Value used to calculate the quality of the geospatial information according to the classification of the issue

reasoning Reasoning of the impact of the theme for the use of geospatial information **notes** Notes

Source

- GBIF Infrastructure: Data processing
- An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records

export_data

Export of results

Description

For each unique collection event key, complete or incomplete, outputs will be created which combine information from duplicate records and generate a single unique collection event record to replace them. The main output fields relating to taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates:

- parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name = scientific name chosen as taxonomic identification for unique collection event
- parseGBIF_number_taxon_names = number of scientific names found in duplicates of unique collection event

parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name_status = status of choice of 'identified', 'divergent identifications', 'unidentified'

- parseGBIF_unidentified_sample = if unique collection event has taxonomic identification
- parseGBIF_decimalLatitude = latitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_decimalLongitude = longitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_useful_for_spatial_analysis = whether the coordinates are useful for spatial analysis. How is the taxon binomial attributed to the unique collection event selected?
- 1. Where the unique collection event key is complete: The accepted TAXON_NAME selected is that which is most frequently applied to the duplicate vouchers at or below the rank of species. Where two named are applied with equal frequency then a mechanical approach, using alphabetical order, is applied, the first listed TAXON_NAME being chosen. Where there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event, the unique collection event is indicated as unidentified.
- 2. Where the unique collection event key is incomplete: Where the unique collection event key is incomplete, then each record is treated as a unique collection event. If there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event is classified as unidentified. __Geospatial information __ If the master voucher does not have geographic coordinates, we will seek coordinates from the duplicate records associated with it. Finally, the records are separated into three sets of data: useable_data Where unique collection event with taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates are complete. This represents the useable dataset. unusable_data Where unique collection event without taxonomic identification and/or geographic coordinates. duplicates The duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete

With this, it is possible to perform: Merge information between fields of duplicates of a unique collection event to create a synthetic record for each unique collection event, Compare the frequency of content in fields Generate a work package summary

For each complete unique collection event key, data fields that are empty in the digital voucher record will be populated with data from the respective duplicates. During content merging, we indicate fields associated with the description, location, and data of the unique collection event. By default, fields_to_merge parameter of export_data function contains:

- · Ctrl_fieldNotes
- Ctrl_year
- Ctrl_stateProvince
- · Ctrl_municipality
- · Ctrl locality
- · Ctrl_countryCode
- Ctrl_eventDate
- Ctrl_habitat
- Ctrl_level0Name
- Ctrl_level1Name
- Ctrl_level2Name
- Ctrl_level3Name

For each unique collection event key, complete or incomplete, outputs will be created which combine information from duplicate records and generate a single unique collection event record to replace them. The main output fields relating to taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates:

 parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name = scientific name chosen as taxonomic identification for unique collection event

- parseGBIF_number_taxon_names = number of scientific names found in duplicates of unique collection event
- parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name_status = status of choice of 'identified', 'divergent identifications', 'unidentified'
- parseGBIF_unidentified_sample = if unique collection event has taxonomic identification
- parseGBIF_decimalLatitude = latitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_decimalLongitude = longitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_useful_for_spatial_analysis = whether the coordinates are useful for spatial analysis. How is the taxon binomial attributed to the unique collection event selected?
- 1. Where the unique collection event key is complete: The accepted TAXON_NAME selected is that which is most frequently applied to the duplicate vouchers at or below the rank of species. Where two named are applied with equal frequency then a mechanical approach, using alphabetical order, is applied, the first listed TAXON_NAME being chosen. Where there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event, the unique collection event is indicated as unidentified.
- 2. Where the unique collection event key is incomplete: Where the unique collection event key is incomplete, then each record is treated as a unique collection event. If there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event is classified as unidentified. __Geospatial information __ If the master voucher does not have geographic coordinates, we will seek coordinates from the duplicate records associated with it. Finally, the records are separated into three sets of data: useable_data Where unique collection event with taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates are complete. This represents the useable dataset. unusable_data Where unique collection event without taxonomic identification and/or geographic coordinates. duplicates The duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete

With this, it is possible to perform: Merge information between fields of duplicates of a unique collection event to create a synthetic record for each unique collection event, Compare the frequency of content in fields Generate a work package summary

For each complete unique collection event key, data fields that are empty in the digital voucher record will be populated with data from the respective duplicates. During content merging, we indicate fields associated with the description, location, and data of the unique collection event. By default, fields_to_merge parameter of export_data function contains:

- Ctrl_fieldNotes
- Ctrl_year
- Ctrl_stateProvince
- · Ctrl_municipality
- Ctrl_locality
- Ctrl_countryCode
- · Ctrl_eventDate
- Ctrl_habitat
- Ctrl_level0Name
- Ctrl level1Name
- Ctrl_level2Name
- Ctrl_level3Name

Usage

```
export_data(
  occ_digital_voucher_file = "",
  occ_digital_voucher = NA,
 merge_unusable_data = FALSE,
  fields_to_merge = c("Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_stateProvince",
    "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_locality", "Ctrl_countryCode", "Ctrl_eventDate",
    "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
    "Ctrl_level3Name"),
 fields_to_compare = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_scientificName", "Ctrl_recordedBy",
    "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified",
    "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
   "Ctrl_datasetName", "Ctrl_language", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank",
   "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
    "wcvp_reviewed", "wcvp_searchNotes"),
 fields_to_parse = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_bibliographicCitation", "Ctrl_language",
    "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
   "Ctrl\_basisOfRecord", "Ctrl\_catalogNumber", "Ctrl\_recordNumber", "Ctrl\_recordedBy", \\
   "Ctrl_occurrenceStatus", "Ctrl_eventDate", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_month", "Ctrl_day",
    "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_eventRemarks", "Ctrl_countryCode",
    "Ctrl_stateProvince", "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_county", "Ctrl_locality",
    "Ctrl\_level0Name", "Ctrl\_level1Name", "Ctrl\_level2Name",\\
     "Ctrl_level3Name",
    "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified", "Ctrl_scientificName",
   "Ctrl_decimalLatitude", "Ctrl_decimalLongitude", "Ctrl_nameRecordedBy_Standard",
    "Ctrl_recordNumber_Standard", "Ctrl_key_family_recordedBy_recordNumber",
  "Ctrl_geospatial_quality", "Ctrl_verbatim_quality", "Ctrl_moreInformativeRecord",
  "Ctrl_coordinates_validated_by_gbif_issue", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank",
   "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
    "wcvp_reviewed",
     "wcvp_searchNotes", "parseGBIF_digital_voucher",
    "parseGBIF_duplicates", "parseGBIF_num_duplicates",
   "parseGBIF_non_groupable_duplicates", "parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status"),
  silence = TRUE
)
export_data(
  occ_digital_voucher_file = "",
  occ_digital_voucher = NA,
 merge_unusable_data = FALSE,
  fields_to_merge = c("Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_stateProvince",
    "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_locality", "Ctrl_countryCode", "Ctrl_eventDate",
    "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
    "Ctrl_level3Name"),
 fields_to_compare = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_scientificName", "Ctrl_recordedBy",
    "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified",
    "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
   "Ctrl_datasetName", "Ctrl_language", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank",
   "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
    "wcvp_reviewed", "wcvp_searchNotes"),
 fields_to_parse = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_bibliographicCitation", "Ctrl_language",
    "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
```

```
"Ctrl_basisOfRecord", "Ctrl_catalogNumber", "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_recordedBy", "Ctrl_occurrenceStatus", "Ctrl_eventDate", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_month", "Ctrl_day",
    "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_eventRemarks", "Ctrl_countryCode",
    "Ctrl_stateProvince", "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_county", "Ctrl_locality",
    "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
     "Ctrl_level3Name",
    "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified", "Ctrl_scientificName",
   "Ctrl_decimalLatitude", "Ctrl_decimalLongitude", "Ctrl_nameRecordedBy_Standard",
    \verb|"Ctrl_recordNumber_Standard", "Ctrl_key_family_recordedBy_recordNumber", \\
   "Ctrl_geospatial_quality", "Ctrl_verbatim_quality", "Ctrl_moreInformativeRecord",
   "Ctrl_coordinates_validated_by_gbif_issue", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank",
   "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
    "wcvp_reviewed",
     "wcvp_searchNotes", "parseGBIF_digital_voucher",
    "parseGBIF_duplicates", "parseGBIF_num_duplicates",
   "parseGBIF_non_groupable_duplicates", "parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status"),
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

Details

Each data frame should be used as needed Each data frame should be used as needed

Value

list with 10 data frames

- all_data All records processed, merged Unique collection events complete / incomplete and their duplicates
- useable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events complete
- useable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events complete
- duplicates Duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete
- unusable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events incomplete, It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE.

- unusable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events incomplete
- parseGBIF_general_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_useable_data
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_unusable_data It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE

list with 10 data frames

- all_data All records processed, merged Unique collection events complete / incomplete and their duplicates
- useable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events complete
- useable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events complete
- duplicates Duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete
- unusable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events incomplete, It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE.
- unusable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events incomplete
- parseGBIF_general_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_useable_data
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_unusable_data It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

export_data_v2.1

export_data_v2.1

Export of results

Description

For each unique collection event key, complete or incomplete, outputs will be created which combine information from duplicate records and generate a single unique collection event record to replace them. The main output fields relating to taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates:

- parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name = scientific name chosen as taxonomic identification for unique collection event
- parseGBIF_number_taxon_names = number of scientific names found in duplicates of unique collection event
- parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name_status = status of choice of 'identified', 'divergent identifications', 'unidentified'
- parseGBIF_unidentified_sample = if unique collection event has taxonomic identification
- parseGBIF_decimalLatitude = latitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_decimalLongitude = longitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_useful_for_spatial_analysis = whether the coordinates are useful for spatial analysis. How is the taxon binomial attributed to the unique collection event selected?
- 1. Where the unique collection event key is complete: The accepted TAXON_NAME selected is that which is most frequently applied to the duplicate vouchers at or below the rank of species. Where two named are applied with equal frequency then a mechanical approach, using alphabetical order, is applied, the first listed TAXON_NAME being chosen. Where there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event, the unique collection event is indicated as unidentified.
- 2. Where the unique collection event key is incomplete: Where the unique collection event key is incomplete, then each record is treated as a unique collection event. If there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event is classified as unidentified. __Geospatial information __ If the master voucher does not have geographic coordinates, we will seek coordinates from the duplicate records associated with it. Finally, the records are separated into three sets of data: useable_data Where unique collection event with taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates are complete. This represents the

12 export_data_v2.1

useable dataset. unusable_data - Where unique collection event without taxonomic identification and/or geographic coordinates. duplicates The duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete

With this, it is possible to perform: Merge information between fields of duplicates of a unique collection event to create a synthetic record for each unique collection event, Compare the frequency of content in fields Generate a work package summary

For each complete unique collection event key, data fields that are empty in the digital voucher record will be populated with data from the respective duplicates. During content merging, we indicate fields associated with the description, location, and data of the unique collection event. By default, fields_to_merge parameter of export_data function contains:

- · Ctrl fieldNotes
- Ctrl_year
- Ctrl_stateProvince
- Ctrl_municipality
- Ctrl locality
- Ctrl_countryCode
- · Ctrl eventDate
- Ctrl_habitat
- Ctrl_level0Name
- Ctrl_level1Name
- Ctrl level2Name
- Ctrl_level3Name

Usage

```
export_data_v2.1(
  occ_digital_voucher_file = "",
  occ_digital_voucher = NA,
  merge_unusable_data = FALSE,
  fields_to_merge = c("Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_stateProvince",
    "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_locality", "Ctrl_countryCode", "Ctrl_eventDate",
     "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
     "Ctrl_level3Name"),
  fields_to_compare = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_scientificName", "Ctrl_recordedBy",
     "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified",
     "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
   "Ctrl_datasetName", "Ctrl_language", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank", "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
     "wcvp_reviewed", "wcvp_searchNotes"),
 fields_to_parse = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_bibliographicCitation", "Ctrl_language",
     "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
   "Ctrl_basisOfRecord", "Ctrl_catalogNumber", "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_recordedBy", "Ctrl_occurrenceStatus", "Ctrl_eventDate", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_month", "Ctrl_day",
     "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_eventRemarks", "Ctrl_countryCode",
     "Ctrl_stateProvince", "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_county", "Ctrl_locality",
     "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
      "Ctrl_level3Name",
```

export_data_v2.1

```
"Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified", "Ctrl_scientificName",
"Ctrl_decimalLatitude", "Ctrl_decimalLongitude", "Ctrl_nameRecordedBy_Standard",
    "Ctrl_recordNumber_Standard", "Ctrl_key_family_recordedBy_recordNumber",
"Ctrl_geospatial_quality", "Ctrl_verbatim_quality", "Ctrl_moreInformativeRecord",
    "Ctrl_coordinates_validated_by_gbif_issue", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank",
    "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
    "wcvp_reviewed",
    "wcvp_searchNotes", "parseGBIF_digital_voucher",
    "parseGBIF_duplicates", "parseGBIF_num_duplicates",
    "parseGBIF_non_groupable_duplicates", "parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status",
    "parseGBIF_unidentified_sample", "parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name",
    "parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name_status", "parseGBIF_number_taxon_names",
    "parseGBIF_useful_for_spatial_analysis", "parseGBIF_decimalLatitude",
    "parseGBIF_decimalLongitude", "parseGBIF_dataset_result"),
    silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

```
occ_digital_voucher_file

CSV fila result of function select_digital_voucher()$occ_digital_voucher

occ_digital_voucher

data frame result of function select_digital_voucher()$occ_digital_voucher

merge_unusable_data
 include records unique collection events incomplete in merge processing

fields_to_merge
 fields to merge

fields_to_compare
 fields to compare content frequency

fields_to_parse
 all fields

silence if TRUE does not display progress messages
```

Details

Each data frame should be used as needed

Value

list with 10 data frames

- all_data All records processed, merged Unique collection events complete / incomplete and their duplicates
- useable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events complete
- useable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events complete
- duplicates Duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete
- unusable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events incomplete, It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE.
- unusable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events incomplete
- parseGBIF_general_summary

- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_useable_data
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_unusable_data It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

export_data_v3

Export of results

Description

For each unique collection event key, complete or incomplete, outputs will be created which combine information from duplicate records and generate a single unique collection event record to replace them. The main output fields relating to taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates:

- parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name = scientific name chosen as taxonomic identification for unique collection event
- parseGBIF_number_taxon_names = number of scientific names found in duplicates of unique collection event
- parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name_status = status of choice of 'identified', 'divergent identifications', 'unidentified'
- parseGBIF_unidentified_sample = if unique collection event has taxonomic identification
- parseGBIF_decimalLatitude = latitude in decimal degrees
- parseGBIF_decimalLongitude = longitude in decimal degrees

 $export_data_v3$ 15

• parseGBIF_useful_for_spatial_analysis = whether the coordinates are useful for spatial analysis. How is the taxon binomial attributed to the unique collection event selected?

- 1. Where the unique collection event key is complete: The accepted TAXON_NAME selected is that which is most frequently applied to the duplicate vouchers at or below the rank of species. Where two named are applied with equal frequency then a mechanical approach, using alphabetical order, is applied, the first listed TAXON_NAME being chosen. Where there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event, the unique collection event is indicated as unidentified.
- 2. Where the unique collection event key is incomplete: Where the unique collection event key is incomplete, then each record is treated as a unique collection event. If there is no identification, at or below the rank of species, then the unique collection event is classified as unidentified. __Geospatial information __ If the master voucher does not have geographic coordinates, we will seek coordinates from the duplicate records associated with it. Finally, the records are separated into three sets of data: useable_data Where unique collection event with taxonomic identification and geographic coordinates are complete. This represents the useable dataset. unusable_data Where unique collection event without taxonomic identification and/or geographic coordinates. duplicates The duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete

With this, it is possible to perform: Merge information between fields of duplicates of a unique collection event to create a synthetic record for each unique collection event, Compare the frequency of content in fields Generate a work package summary

For each complete unique collection event key, data fields that are empty in the digital voucher record will be populated with data from the respective duplicates. During content merging, we indicate fields associated with the description, location, and data of the unique collection event. By default, fields_to_merge parameter of export_data function contains:

- Ctrl_fieldNotes
- Ctrl_year
- Ctrl_stateProvince
- · Ctrl_municipality
- Ctrl_locality
- · Ctrl_countryCode
- Ctrl_eventDate
- Ctrl_habitat
- Ctrl_level0Name
- Ctrl_level1Name
- Ctrl_level2Name
- Ctrl level3Name

Usage

```
"Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
        "Ctrl_level3Name"),
     fields_to_compare = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_scientificName", "Ctrl_recordedBy",
        "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified",
        "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
       "Ctrl_datasetName", "Ctrl_language", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank", "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
        "wcvp_reviewed", "wcvp_searchNotes"),
     fields_to_parse = c("Ctrl_gbifID", "Ctrl_bibliographicCitation", "Ctrl_language",
        "Ctrl_institutionCode", "Ctrl_collectionCode", "Ctrl_datasetName",
      "Ctrl_basisOfRecord", "Ctrl_catalogNumber", "Ctrl_recordNumber", "Ctrl_recordedBy",
      "Ctrl_occurrenceStatus", "Ctrl_eventDate", "Ctrl_year", "Ctrl_month", "Ctrl_day",
        "Ctrl_habitat", "Ctrl_fieldNotes", "Ctrl_eventRemarks", "Ctrl_countryCode",
        "Ctrl_stateProvince", "Ctrl_municipality", "Ctrl_county", "Ctrl_locality",
        "Ctrl_level0Name", "Ctrl_level1Name", "Ctrl_level2Name",
         "Ctrl_level3Name"
        "Ctrl_identifiedBy", "Ctrl_dateIdentified", "Ctrl_scientificName",
       "Ctrl_decimalLatitude", "Ctrl_decimalLongitude", "Ctrl_nameRecordedBy_Standard",
        "Ctrl_recordNumber_Standard", "Ctrl_key_family_recordedBy_recordNumber",
      "Ctrl_geospatial_quality", "Ctrl_verbatim_quality", "Ctrl_moreInformativeRecord",
       "Ctrl_coordinates_validated_by_gbif_issue", "wcvp_plant_name_id", "wcvp_taxon_rank",
       "wcvp_taxon_status", "wcvp_family", "wcvp_taxon_name", "wcvp_taxon_authors",
        "wcvp_reviewed",
         "wcvp_searchNotes", "parseGBIF_digital_voucher",
        "parseGBIF_duplicates", "parseGBIF_num_duplicates",
       "parseGBIF_non_groupable_duplicates", "parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status",
        "parseGBIF_unidentified_sample", "parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name",
        "parseGBIF_sample_taxon_name_status", "parseGBIF_number_taxon_names",
        "parseGBIF_useful_for_spatial_analysis", "parseGBIF_decimalLatitude",
        "parseGBIF_decimalLongitude"),
      silence = TRUE
Arguments
   occ_digital_voucher_file
                    CSV fila result of function select_digital_voucher()$occ_digital_voucher
   occ_digital_voucher
                    data frame result of function select_digital_voucher()$occ_digital_voucher
   merge_unusable_data
                    include records unique collection events incomplete in merge processing
   fields_to_merge
                    fields to merge
   fields_to_compare
                    fields to compare content frequency
   fields_to_parse
                    all fields
```

Details

silence

Each data frame should be used as needed

if TRUE does not display progress messages

export_data_v3 17

Value

list with 10 data frames

• all_data All records processed, merged Unique collection events complete / incomplete and their duplicates

- useable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events complete
- useable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events complete
- duplicates Duplicates of unique collection events complete / incomplete
- unusable_data_merge Merged Unique collection events incomplete, It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE.
- unusable_data_raw Raw Unique collection events incomplete
- parseGBIF_general_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_useable_data
- parseGBIF_merge_fields_summary_unusable_data It is NA if merge_unusable_data is FALSE

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

18 extract_gbif_issue

extract_gbif_issue

Extracting GBIF issues

Description

Extract GBIF validation rules for occurrence records

GBIF recognises and documents several issues relating to the data fields for an individual record. The issue field stores terms that represent an enumeration of GBIF validation rules. Issues can lead to errors or unexpected data. The issues fields are therefore a valuable source of information when assessing the quality of a record. In order to help GBIF and the data publishers improve the data, GBIF flag records with various issues that they have encountered. These issues can be used as filters applied to occurrence searches. Not all issues indicate bad data, some flagthe fact that GBIF has altered values during processing. The values of EnumOccurrenceIssue will be used by the function extract_gbif_issue as a model to tabulate the GBIF issues of each record, individualizing them, in columns.TRUE or FALSE, flagging whether the issue applies or not for each record.

Usage

```
extract_gbif_issue(occ = NA, enumOccurrenceIssue = NA)
```

Arguments

осс

GBIF occurrence table with selected columns as select_gbif_fields(columns = 'standard')

enumOccurrenceIssue

An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records by GBIF file, if NA, will be used, data(EnumOccurrenceIssue)

Details

https://gbif.github.io/parsers/apidocs/org/gbif/api/vocabulary/OccurrenceIssue.html

Value

list with two data frames: summary, with the frequency of issues in the records and occ_gbif_issue, with issues in columns with TRUE or FALSE for each record.

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
prepare_gbif_occurrence_data, select_gbif_fields
```

Examples

```
library(ParsGBIF)
help(extract_gbif_issue)
```

Description

This generates a key to identify the physical and digital duplicates, of a given collection event. It combines the primary collector's surname, the collector's number and the botanical family, a key is created (family + recordByStandardized + recordNumber_Standard) that allows grouping the duplicates of the same unique collection event.

It also identifiesnew collectors to be added to the collector dictionary and that can be reused in the future.

Include recordedByStandardized field with verified main collector's last name. Include recordNumber_Standard field with only numbers from recordNumber. Create the collection event key to group duplicates in the key_family_recordedBy_recordNumber field, composed of the fields: family + recordedByStandardized + recordNumber_Standard.

This generates a key to identify the physical and digital duplicates, of a given collection event. It combines the primary collector's surname, the collector's number and the botanical family, a key is created (family + recordByStandardized + recordNumber_Standard) that allows grouping the duplicates of the same unique collection event.

It also identifiesnew collectors to be added to the collector dictionary and that can be reused in the future.

Include recordedByStandardized field with verified main collector's last name. Include recordNumber_Standard field with only numbers from recordNumber. Create the collection event key to group duplicates in the key_family_recordedBy_recordNumber field, composed of the fields: family + recordedByStandardized + recordNumber_Standard.

Usage

```
generate_collection_event_key(
  occ = NA,
  collectorDictionary_checked_file = NA,
  collectorDictionary_checked = NA,
  collectorDictionary_file =
  "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/pablopains/parseGBIF/main/collectorDictionary/CollectorsDi
  silence = TRUE
)
generate_collection_event_key(
 occ = NA,
  collectorDictionary_checked_file = NA,
  collectorDictionary_checked = NA,
  collectorDictionary_file =
  "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/pablopains/parseGBIF/main/collectorDictionary/CollectorsDi
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

if TRUE does not display progress messages

Details

silence

Fields created for each incident record: nameRecordedBy_Standard, recordNumber_Standard, key_family_recordedBy_key_year_recordedBy_recordNumber

Fields created for each incident record: nameRecordedBy_Standard, recordNumber_Standard, key_family_recordedBy_key_year_recordedBy_recordNumber

Value

list with three data frames: occ_collectorsDictionary, with update result fields only, summary and CollectorsDictionary_add, with new collectors that can be added to the collector dictionary that can be reused in the future.

list with three data frames: occ_collectorsDictionary, with update result fields only, summary and CollectorsDictionary_add, with new collectors that can be added to the collector dictionary that can be reused in the future.

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
collectors_get_name, prepare_collectorsDictionary
collectors_get_name, prepare_collectorsDictionary
```

Examples

```
prepare_gbif_occurrence_data
```

Preparing occurrence data downloaded from GBIF for use by parseG-BIF

Description

Prepare occurrence data downloaded from GBIF to be used by ParsGBIF functions

Usage

```
prepare_gbif_occurrence_data(gbif_occurrece_file = "", columns = "standard")
```

Arguments

gbif_occurrece_file

The name of the file from which the with occurrence data downloaded from GBIF (by default "occurrence.txt")

columns

Character vector of strings to indicate column names of the GBIF occurrence file. Use 'standard' to select basic columns for use in the package, 'all' to select all available columns. The default is 'standard'

Details

Select data fields and rename field names prefixed with "Ctrl_"

Value

data.frame with renamed selected fields with prefix "Ctrl_"

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
select_gbif_fields, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

Description

select_digital_voucher

To group duplicates and choose the digital voucher: Unique collection events can result in many 'duplicate' GBIF records. We designate one of these 'duplicate' records as the master digital voucher, to which data from other duplicate vouchers can be merged (see export_data):

Selecting the master digital voucher

Where the collection event key for grouping duplicates is complete, then duplicates can be grouped / parsed. To do so, we evaluate record completeness. Record completeness is calculated based on data-quality scores for the information in the following fields: recordedBy, recordNumber, year, institutionCode, catalogNumber, locality, municipality, countryCode, stateProvince and fieldNotes. The spatial coordinates associated with each duplicate are ranked using a score for the quality of the geospatial information. This score is calculated using the issues listed in the GBIF table, EnumOccurrenceIssue. A score is calculated based on these issues (see above). The duplicate with the highest total score is assigned as the master voucher for the unique collection event. Missing information contained in duplicate records of the unique collection event can then be merged into the master digital voucher (see export_data).

Where the collection event key is incomplete, unique collection event duplicates cannot be parsed. In this case, each record is considered as a unique collection event, without duplicates. However, to know the integrity of the information, record completeness and quality of the geospatial information, are evaluated as described above.

How is the quality score calculated? parseGBIF_digital_voucher = The duplicate with the highest total score, sum of record completeness + quality of geospatial information.

How is record completeness calculated? The quality of the duplicate records associated with each collection event key is measured as the completeness of a record, using the sum of a number of flags (see below) equal to TRUE.

Flags used to calculate record completeness

- Is there information about the collector?
- Is there information about the collection number?
- Is there information about the year of collection?
- Is there information about the institution code?
- Is there information about the catalog number?
- Is there information about the locality?
- Is there information about the municipality of collection?
- Is there information about the state/province of collection?
- Is there information about the field notes?

The quality of geospatial information is based on geographic issues raised by GBIF. GIBF issues relating to geospatial data were classified into three classes based on the data quality scores that we assigned to each of the following GBIF issues recorded in the EnumOccurrenceIssue.

- Issue does not affect coordinating accuracy, with selection_score equal to -1
- Issue has potential to affect coordinate accuracy, with selection_score equal to -3
- Records with a selection_score equal to -9 are excluded.

Usage

```
select_digital_voucher(
  occ = NA,
  occ_gbif_issue = NA,
  occ_wcvp_check_name = NA,
  occ_collectorsDictionary = NA,
  enumOccurrenceIssue = NA,
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

```
occ GBIF occurrence table with selected columns as select_gbif_fields(columns = 'standard')

occ_gbif_issue = result of function extract_gbif_issue()$occ_gbif_issue

occ_wcvp_check_name

= result of function batch_checkName_wcvp()$occ_wcvp_check_name

occ_collectorsDictionary

= result of function update_collectorsDictionary()$occ_collectorsDictionary

enum0ccurrenceIssue

An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records by GBIF file, if NA, will be used, data(EnumOccurrenceIssue)

silence if TRUE does not display progress messages
```

Details

- parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status "groupable", "not groupable: no recordedBy and no recordNumber", "not groupable: no recordNumber" or "not groupable: no recordedBy"
- parseGBIF_num_duplicates number of duplicates records
- parseGBIF_duplicates TRUE/FALSE
- parseGBIF non groupable duplicates TRUE/FALSE

Value

list with two data frames: occ_digital voucher_and: occ_digital_voucher, with all data processing fields and occ_results, only result fields.

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue

Examples

```
select_digital_voucher_v2

Selecting the master digital voucher
```

Description

To group duplicates and choose the digital voucher: Unique collection events can result in many 'duplicate' GBIF records. We designate one of these 'duplicate' records as the master digital voucher, to which data from other duplicate vouchers can be merged (see export_data):

colnames(res_digital_voucher_and_sample_identification\$occ_digital_voucher)

Where the collection event key for grouping duplicates is complete, then duplicates can be grouped / parsed. To do so, we evaluate record completeness. Record completeness is calculated

based on data-quality scores for the information in the following fields: recordedBy, recordNumber, year, institutionCode, catalogNumber, locality, municipality, countryCode, stateProvince and fieldNotes. The spatial coordinates associated with each duplicate are ranked using a score for the quality of the geospatial information. This score is calculated using the issues listed in the GBIF table, EnumOccurrenceIssue. A score is calculated based on these issues (see above). The duplicate with the highest total score is assigned as the master voucher for the unique collection event. Missing information contained in duplicate records of the unique collection event can then be merged into the master digital voucher (see export_data).

Where the collection event key is incomplete, unique collection event duplicates cannot be parsed. In this case, each record is considered as a unique collection event, without duplicates. However, to know the integrity of the information, record completeness and quality of the geospatial information, are evaluated as described above.

How is the quality score calculated? parseGBIF_digital_voucher = The duplicate with the highest total score, sum of record completeness + quality of geospatial information.

How is record completeness calculated? The quality of the duplicate records associated with each collection event key is measured as the completeness of a record, using the sum of a number of flags (see below) equal to TRUE.

Flags used to calculate record completeness

- Is there information about the collector?
- Is there information about the collection number?
- Is there information about the year of collection?
- Is there information about the institution code?
- Is there information about the catalog number?
- Is there information about the locality?
- Is there information about the municipality of collection?
- Is there information about the state/province of collection?
- Is there information about the field notes?

The quality of geospatial information is based on geographic issues raised by GBIF. GIBF issues relating to geospatial data were classified into three classes based on the data quality scores that we assigned to each of the following GBIF issues recorded in the EnumOccurrenceIssue.

- Issue does not affect coordinating accuracy, with selection_score equal to -1
- Issue has potential to affect coordinate accuracy, with selection_score equal to -3
- Records with a selection_score equal to -9 are excluded.

Usage

```
select_digital_voucher_v2(
  occ = NA,
  occ_gbif_issue = NA,
  occ_wcvp_check_name = NA,
  occ_collectorsDictionary = NA,
  enumOccurrenceIssue = NA,
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

```
occ GBIF occurrence table with selected columns as select_gbif_fields(columns = 'standard')

occ_gbif_issue = result of function extract_gbif_issue()$occ_gbif_issue

occ_wcvp_check_name = result of function batch_checkName_wcvp()$occ_wcvp_check_name

occ_collectorsDictionary = result of function update_collectorsDictionary()$occ_collectorsDictionary

enumOccurrenceIssue

An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records by GBIF file, if NA, will be used, data(EnumOccurrenceIssue)

silence if TRUE does not display progress messages
```

Details

- parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status "groupable", "not groupable: no recordedBy and no recordNumber", "not groupable: no recordNumber" or "not groupable: no recordedBy"
- parseGBIF_num_duplicates number of duplicates records
- parseGBIF_duplicates TRUE/FALSE
- parseGBIF non groupable duplicates TRUE/FALSE

Value

list with two data frames: occ_digital voucher_and: occ_digital_voucher, with all data processing fields and occ_results, only result fields.

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

head(res_digital_voucher_and_sample_identification\$occ_digital_voucher) colnames(res_digital_voucher_and_sample_identification\$occ_digital_voucher)

See Also

```
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

select_digital_voucher_v2.1

Selecting the master digital voucher

Description

To group duplicates and choose the digital voucher: Unique collection events can result in many 'duplicate' GBIF records. We designate one of these 'duplicate' records as the master digital voucher, to which data from other duplicate vouchers can be merged (see export_data):

Where the collection event key for grouping duplicates is complete, then duplicates can be grouped / parsed. To do so, we evaluate record completeness. Record completeness is calculated based on data-quality scores for the information in the following fields: recordedBy, recordNumber, year, institutionCode, catalogNumber, locality, municipality, countryCode, stateProvince and fieldNotes. The spatial coordinates associated with each duplicate are ranked using a score for the quality of the geospatial information. This score is calculated using the issues listed in the GBIF table, EnumOccurrenceIssue. A score is calculated based on these issues (see above). The duplicate with the highest total score is assigned as the master voucher for the unique collection event. Missing information contained in duplicate records of the unique collection event can then be merged into the master digital voucher (see export_data).

Where the collection event key is incomplete, unique collection event duplicates cannot be parsed. In this case, each record is considered as a unique collection event, without duplicates. However, to know the integrity of the information, record completeness and quality of the geospatial information, are evaluated as described above.

How is the quality score calculated? parseGBIF_digital_voucher = The duplicate with the highest total score, sum of record completeness + quality of geospatial information.

How is record completeness calculated? The quality of the duplicate records associated with each collection event key is measured as the completeness of a record, using the sum of a number of flags (see below) equal to TRUE.

Flags used to calculate record completeness

- Is there information about the collector?
- Is there information about the collection number?
- Is there information about the year of collection?
- Is there information about the institution code?
- Is there information about the catalog number?
- Is there information about the locality?
- Is there information about the municipality of collection?
- Is there information about the state/province of collection?
- Is there information about the field notes?

The quality of geospatial information is based on geographic issues raised by GBIF. GIBF issues relating to geospatial data were classified into three classes based on the data quality scores that we assigned to each of the following GBIF issues recorded in the EnumOccurrenceIssue.

- Issue does not affect coordinating accuracy, with selection_score equal to -1
- Issue has potential to affect coordinate accuracy, with selection_score equal to -3
- Records with a selection_score equal to -9 are excluded.

Usage

```
select_digital_voucher_v2.1(
  occ = NA,
  occ_gbif_issue = NA,
  occ_wcvp_check_name = NA,
  occ_collectorsDictionary = NA,
  enumOccurrenceIssue = NA,
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

```
occ GBIF occurrence table with selected columns as select_gbif_fields(columns = 'standard')

occ_gbif_issue = result of function extract_gbif_issue()$occ_gbif_issue

occ_wcvp_check_name

= result of function batch_checkName_wcvp()$occ_wcvp_check_name

occ_collectorsDictionary

= result of function update_collectorsDictionary()$occ_collectorsDictionary

enumOccurrenceIssue

An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records by GBIF file, if NA, will be used, data(EnumOccurrenceIssue)

silence if TRUE does not display progress messages
```

Details

- parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status "groupable", "not groupable: no recordedBy and no recordNumber", "not groupable: no recordNumber" or "not groupable: no recordedBy"
- parseGBIF_num_duplicates number of duplicates records
- parseGBIF_duplicates TRUE/FALSE
- parseGBIF_non_groupable_duplicates TRUE/FALSE

Value

list with two data frames: occ_digital voucher_and: occ_digital_voucher, with all data processing fields and occ_results, only result fields.

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

```
help(select_digital_voucher)
head(occ)
head(res_gbif_issue$occ_gbif_issue)
```

```
select_digital_voucher_v3

Selecting the master digital voucher
```

Description

To group duplicates and choose the digital voucher: Unique collection events can result in many 'duplicate' GBIF records. We designate one of these 'duplicate' records as the master digital voucher, to which data from other duplicate vouchers can be merged (see export_data):

colnames(res_digital_voucher_and_sample_identification\$occ_digital_voucher)

Where the collection event key for grouping duplicates is complete, then duplicates can be grouped / parsed. To do so, we evaluate record completeness. Record completeness is calculated based on data-quality scores for the information in the following fields: recordedBy, recordNumber, year, institutionCode, catalogNumber, locality, municipality, countryCode, stateProvince and fieldNotes. The spatial coordinates associated with each duplicate are ranked using a score for the quality of the geospatial information. This score is calculated using the issues listed in the GBIF table, EnumOccurrenceIssue. A score is calculated based on these issues (see above). The duplicate with the highest total score is assigned as the master voucher for the unique collection event. Missing information contained in duplicate records of the unique collection event can then be merged into the master digital voucher (see export_data).

Where the collection event key is incomplete, unique collection event duplicates cannot be parsed. In this case, each record is considered as a unique collection event, without duplicates. However, to know the integrity of the information, record completeness and quality of the geospatial information, are evaluated as described above.

How is the quality score calculated? parseGBIF_digital_voucher = The duplicate with the highest total score, sum of record completeness + quality of geospatial information.

How is record completeness calculated? The quality of the duplicate records associated with each collection event key is measured as the completeness of a record, using the sum of a number of flags (see below) equal to TRUE.

Flags used to calculate record completeness

- Is there information about the collector?
- Is there information about the collection number?
- Is there information about the year of collection?
- Is there information about the institution code?

- Is there information about the catalog number?
- Is there information about the locality?
- Is there information about the municipality of collection?
- Is there information about the state/province of collection?
- Is there information about the field notes?

The quality of geospatial information is based on geographic issues raised by GBIF. GIBF issues relating to geospatial data were classified into three classes based on the data quality scores that we assigned to each of the following GBIF issues recorded in the EnumOccurrenceIssue.

- Issue does not affect coordinating accuracy, with selection_score equal to -1
- Issue has potential to affect coordinate accuracy, with selection_score equal to -3
- Records with a selection_score equal to -9 are excluded.

Usage

```
select_digital_voucher_v3(
  occ = NA,
  occ_gbif_issue = NA,
  occ_wcvp_check_name = NA,
  occ_collectorsDictionary = NA,
  enumOccurrenceIssue = NA,
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

```
occ_gbif_issue = result of function extract_gbif_issue()$occ_gbif_issue
occ_wcvp_check_name
= result of function batch_checkName_wcvp()$occ_wcvp_check_name
occ_collectorsDictionary
= result of function update_collectorsDictionary()$occ_collectorsDictionary
enumOccurrenceIssue
An enumeration of validation rules for single occurrence records by GBIF file,
if NA, will be used, data(EnumOccurrenceIssue)
silence
if TRUE does not display progress messages
```

Details

- parseGBIF_duplicates_grouping_status "groupable", "not groupable: no recordedBy and no recordNumber", "not groupable: no recordNumber" or "not groupable: no recordedBy"
- parseGBIF_num_duplicates number of duplicates records
- parseGBIF_duplicates TRUE/FALSE
- parseGBIF_non_groupable_duplicates TRUE/FALSE

Value

list with two data frames: occ_digital voucher_and: occ_digital_voucher, with all data processing fields and occ_results, only result fields.

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

colnames(res_digital_voucher_and_sample_identification\$occ_digital_voucher)

See Also

```
batch_checkName_wcvp, extract_gbif_issue
```

Examples

```
select_gbif_fields
```

Description

Select columns in GBIF occurrence data

Usage

```
select_gbif_fields(columns = "standard")
```

Arguments

columns

'standard' basic columns about what, when, where, and who collected, 'all' all available columns or list column names

Details

```
"standard" : indicated by (standard) or 'all':
```

- 'gbifID' (standard)
- · 'abstract'

- · 'accessRights'
- · 'accrualMethod'
- · 'accrualPeriodicity'
- · 'accrualPolicy'
- 'alternative'
- · 'audience'
- · 'available'
- 'bibliographicCitation' (standard)
- 'conformsTo'
- 'contributor'
- 'coverage'
- · 'created'
- · 'creator'
- 'date'
- · 'dateAccepted'
- · 'dateCopyrighted'
- 'dateSubmitted'
- 'description'
- · 'educationLevel'
- 'extent'
- 'format'
- 'hasFormat'
- 'hasPart'
- · 'hasVersion'
- 'identifier'
- 'instructionalMethod'
- 'isFormatOf'
- 'isPartOf'
- 'isReferencedBy'
- 'isReplacedBy'
- 'isRequiredBy'
- 'isVersionOf'
- 'issued'
- 'language' (standard)
- 'license'
- 'mediator'
- 'medium'
- 'modified'
- 'provenance'
- 'publisher'

- · 'references'
- · 'relation'
- · 'replaces'
- · 'requires'
- 'rights'
- · 'rightsHolder'
- 'source'
- 'spatial'
- 'subject'
- · 'tableOfContents'
- · 'temporal'
- 'title'
- 'type'
- · 'valid'
- 'institutionID'
- · 'collectionID'
- 'datasetID'
- 'institutionCode' (standard)
- 'collectionCode' (standard)
- 'datasetName' (standard)
- · 'ownerInstitutionCode'
- 'basisOfRecord' (standard)
- 'informationWithheld' (standard)
- 'dataGeneralizations' (standard)
- · 'dynamicProperties'
- 'occurrenceID' (standard) # occ_search(occurrenceId='BRA:UNEMAT:HPAN:6089')
- 'catalogNumber' (standard)
- 'recordNumber' (standard)
- 'recordedBy' (standard)
- · 'recordedByID'
- · 'individualCount'
- 'organismQuantity'
- 'organismQuantityType'
- 'sex'
- 'lifeStage'
- 'reproductiveCondition'
- · 'behavior'
- 'establishmentMeans'
- 'degreeOfEstablishment'
- · 'pathway'

- 'georeferenceVerificationStatus' (standard)
- 'occurrenceStatus' (standard)
- · 'preparations'
- · 'disposition'
- 'associatedOccurrences'
- 'associatedReferences'
- 'associatedSequences'
- · 'associatedTaxa'
- 'otherCatalogNumbers'
- 'occurrenceRemarks'
- 'organismID'
- 'organismName'
- · 'organismScope'
- · 'associatedOrganisms'
- 'previousIdentifications'
- · 'organismRemarks'
- 'materialSampleID'
- 'eventID'
- 'parentEventID'
- 'fieldNumber'
- 'eventDate' (standard)
- 'eventTime'
- 'startDayOfYear'
- · 'endDayOfYear'
- 'year' (standard)
- 'month' (standard)
- 'day' (standard)
- 'verbatimEventDate'
- 'habitat' (standard)
- · 'samplingProtocol'
- · 'sampleSizeValue'
- 'sampleSizeUnit'
- 'samplingEffort'
- 'fieldNotes' (standard)
- 'eventRemarks' (standard)
- 'locationID' (standard)
- 'higherGeographyID'
- 'higherGeography' (standard)
- · 'continent'
- 'waterBody'

- 'islandGroup' (standard)
- 'island' (standard)
- 'countryCode' (standard)
- 'stateProvince' (standard)
- 'county' (standard)
- 'municipality' (standard)
- 'locality' (standard)
- 'verbatimLocality' (standard)
- · 'verbatimElevation'
- 'verticalDatum'
- · 'verbatimDepth'
- 'minimumDistanceAboveSurfaceInMeters'
- 'maximumDistanceAboveSurfaceInMeters'
- 'locationAccordingTo'
- 'locationRemarks' (standard)
- 'decimalLatitude' (standard)
- 'decimalLongitude' (standard)
- 'coordinateUncertaintyInMeters'
- 'coordinatePrecision'
- 'pointRadiusSpatialFit'
- 'verbatimCoordinateSystem' (standard)
- 'verbatimSRS'
- 'footprintWKT'
- 'footprintSRS'
- 'footprintSpatialFit'
- · 'georeferencedBy'
- 'georeferencedDate'
- 'georeferenceProtocol'
- 'georeferenceSources'
- 'georeferenceRemarks'
- 'geologicalContextID'
- 'earliestEonOrLowestEonothem'
- 'latestEonOrHighestEonothem'
- 'earliestEraOrLowestErathem'
- 'latestEraOrHighestErathem'
- 'earliestPeriodOrLowestSystem'
- · 'latestPeriodOrHighestSystem'
- 'earliestEpochOrLowestSeries'
- 'latestEpochOrHighestSeries'
- 'earliestAgeOrLowestStage'

- 'latestAgeOrHighestStage'
- 'lowestBiostratigraphicZone'
- 'highestBiostratigraphicZone'
- 'lithostratigraphicTerms'
- 'group'
- · 'formation'
- 'member'
- 'bed'
- · 'identificationID'
- 'verbatimIdentification' (standard)
- 'identificationQualifier' (standard)
- 'typeStatus' (standard)
- 'identifiedBy' (standard)
- 'identifiedByID'
- 'dateIdentified' (standard)
- 'identificationReferences'
- 'identificationVerificationStatus'
- 'identificationRemarks'
- 'taxonID'
- · 'scientificNameID'
- 'acceptedNameUsageID'
- 'parentNameUsageID'
- 'originalNameUsageID'
- 'nameAccordingToID'
- 'namePublishedInID'
- 'taxonConceptID'
- 'scientificName' (standard)
- 'acceptedNameUsage'
- 'parentNameUsage'
- · 'originalNameUsage'
- · 'nameAccordingTo'
- 'namePublishedIn'
- 'namePublishedInYear'
- · 'higherClassification'
- 'kingdom'
- 'phylum'
- · 'class'
- 'order'
- 'family' (standard)
- · 'subfamily'

- 'genus'
- 'genericName'
- 'subgenus'
- 'infragenericEpithet'
- 'specificEpithet'
- · 'infraspecificEpithet'
- 'cultivarEpithet'
- 'taxonRank' (standard)
- 'verbatimTaxonRank'
- · 'vernacularName'
- 'nomenclaturalCode' (standard)
- 'taxonomicStatus' (standard)
- 'nomenclaturalStatus'
- 'taxonRemarks'
- · 'datasetKey'
- 'publishingCountry'
- · 'lastInterpreted'
- 'elevation'
- 'elevationAccuracy'
- · 'depth'
- · 'depthAccuracy'
- 'distanceAboveSurface'
- 'distanceAboveSurfaceAccuracy'
- 'issue' (standard)
- 'mediaType' (standard)
- 'hasCoordinate' (standard)
- 'hasGeospatialIssues' (standard)
- 'taxonKey'
- 'acceptedTaxonKey'
- 'kingdomKey'
- 'phylumKey'
- 'classKey'
- 'orderKey'
- · 'familyKey'
- 'genusKey'
- 'subgenusKey'
- 'speciesKey'
- 'species'
- 'acceptedScientificName'
- 'verbatimScientificName' (standard)

- 'typifiedName'
- 'protocol'
- 'lastParsed'
- 'lastCrawled'
- · 'repatriated'
- 'relativeOrganismQuantity'
- 'level0Gid'
- 'level0Name' (standard)
- 'level1Gid'
- 'level1Name' (standard)
- · 'level2Gid'
- 'level2Name' (standard)
- 'level3Gid'
- 'level3Name' (standard)
- 'iucnRedListCategory'

Value

list of the columns names

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
extract_gbif_issue, prepare_gbif_occurrence_data
```

Examples

```
# select_gbif_fields()
help(select_gbif_fields)
col_sel <- select_gbif_fields(columns = 'all')
col_sel <- select_gbif_fields(columns = 'standard')</pre>
```

```
standardize_scientificName
```

standardize_scientificName

Description

standardize binomial name, variety, subspecies, form and hybrids, authorship to allow comparison with names of taxa in the World Checklist of Vascular Plants (WCVP) database

Usage

```
standardize_scientificName(
  searchedName = "Alomia angustata (Gardner) Benth. ex Baker"
)
```

Arguments

searchedName scientific name, with or without author

Details

Standardize scientific name according to WCVP format. Separate generic epithet, specific epithet, variety, subspecies, form, hybrid and author, in the scientific name, if any. Standardize, according to WCVP, abbreviation of infrataxon, if any: variety to var., subspecies to subsp., FORM to f., hybrid separator separate x from the specific epithet.

Value

 $searched Name, \ standardize Name, \ taxon Authors, \ taxon Authors_last$

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
get_wcvp, checkName_wcvp
```

Examples

```
standardize_scientificName('Leucanthemum *superbum (Bergmans ex J.W.Ingram) D.H.Kent')
standardize_scientificName('Alomia angustata (Gardner) Benth. ex Baker')
standardize_scientificName('Centaurea *aemiliae Font Quer')
```

40 wcvp_check_name

wcvp_check_name

Check species names against World Checklist of Vascular Plants (WCVP) database

Description

Use the World Checklist of Vascular Plants WCVP database to check accepted names and update synonyms.

The World Checklist of Vascular Plants (WCVP) database is available from the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. It can be downloaded to a folder of the user's choice or into memory using the get_wcvp function. The output has 33 columns.

Usage

```
wcvp_check_name(
  searchedName = "Hemistylus brasiliensis Wedd.",
  wcvp_names = "",
  if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

searchedName

scientific name, with or without author

wcvp_names

WCVP table, wcvp_names.csv file from http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data-repositories/WCVP/ If NA, automatically load the latest version of the database by the function parseGBIF::wcvp_get_data(read_only_to_memory = TRUE)\$wcvp_names.

if_author_fails_try_without_combinations

option for partial verification of the authorship of the species. Remove the authors of combinations, in parentheses

Details

About the World Checklist of Vascular Plants https://powo.science.kew.org/about-wcvp search-Notes values:

- Accepted When only one authorless scientific name is present in the list of TAXON_name with and TAXON_STATUS equal to "Accepted", verified_speciesName = 100.
- Accepted among homonyms When more than one authorless scientific name is present in the TAXON_name list, but only one of the homonyms displays TAXON_STATUS equal to "Accepted", verified_speciesName = number of matches/100.
- Homonyms When more than one authorless scientific name is present in the TAXON_name list and more than one, or none among the homonyms, display TAXON_STATUS equal to "Accepted", verified_speciesName = number of matches/100. Before searching for homonyms, there was a failure in trying to find the matching match between authorless scientific name in TAXON_name and author in TAXON_AUTHORS, in these cases verified_author equal to 0 (zero),
- Not Found: When the authorless scientific name is not present in the TAXON_NAME LIST
- Unplaced: o When only one authorless scientific name is present in the list of TAXON_name with and TAXON_STATUS = "Unplaced"

wcvp_check_name 41

 Updated: When only one authorless scientific name is present in the list of TAXON_name and ACCEPTED_PLANT_NAME_ID are not empty (and ACCEPTED_PLANT_NAME_ID is different from the ID of the species consulted) taxon_status_of_searchedName, plant_name_id_of_searchedName and taxon_authors_of_searchedName values:

- When searchNotes equals "Updated" The fields record the information of the scientific name originally consulted.
- When searchNotes equals "Homonyms" Fields record the information of homonymous synonyms separated by "I".
- verified_author values:
 - When value equal to 100 when there is matched match between authorless scientific name in TAXON_name and author in TAXON_AUTHORS.
 - When value equal to 50 when there is combined correspondence between authorless scientific name in TAXON_name and author, without (combination), in TAXON_AUTHORS.
 - When value equal to 0 regardless of the correspondence between authorless scientific name in TAXON_name, author is not present in TAXON_AUTHORS.

Value

Data frame with WCVP fields

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
wcvp_check_name_batch, wcvp_get_data
```

Examples

```
# These examples take >10 seconds to run and require 'parseGBIF::wcvp_get_data()'
library(parseGBIF)
help(wcvp_check_name)
wcvp_names <- wcvp_get_data(read_only_to_memory = TRUE)$wcvp_names</pre>
# 1) Updated
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Hemistylus brasiliensis Wedd.',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# 2) Accepted
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Hemistylus boehmerioides Wedd. ex Warm.',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# 3) Unplaced - taxon_status = Unplaced
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Leucosyke australis Unruh',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# 4) Accepted among homonyms - When author is not informed. In this case, one of the homonyms, taxon_status is ac
```

```
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Parietaria cretica',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# When author is informed
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Parietaria cretica L.',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# When author is informed
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Parietaria cretica Moris',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# 5) Homonyms - When author is not informed. In this case, none of the homonyms, taxon_status is Accepted
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Laportea peltata',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# When author is informed
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Laportea peltata Gaudich. & Decne.',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
# When author is informed
wcvp_check_name(searchedName = 'Laportea peltata (Blume) Gaudich.',
               wcvp_names = wcvp_names,
               if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE)
```

Description

Species' names can be checked against WCVP database one by one, or in a batch mode. To verify individual names, the function wcvp_check_name is used.

Usage

```
wcvp_check_name_batch(
  occ = NA,
  wcvp_names = "",
  if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE,
  wcvp_selected_fields = "standard",
  silence = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

occ

GBIF occurrence table with selected columns as select_gbif_fields(columns = 'standard')

Details

See help(checkName_wcvp)

- about WCVP database
- World Checklist of Vascular Plants
- · WCVP database
- (about WCVP)

Value

list with two data frames: summary, species list and occ_wcvp_check_name, with WCVP fields

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
wcvp_get_data, wcvp_check_name
```

Examples

if_author_fails_try_without_combinations = TRUE,

44 wcvp_get_data

wcvp_get_data

Get WCVP database

Description

Download World Checklist of Vascular Plants (WCVP) database

Usage

```
wcvp_get_data(
  url_source = "http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data-repositories/WCVP/",
  read_only_to_memory = FALSE,
  path_results = "C:/parseGBIF",
  update = FALSE,
  load_distribution = FALSE
)
```

Arguments

update

url_source http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data-repositories/WCVP/

read_only_to_memory

TRUE to in-memory read-only, not writing a copy to local disk

path_results download destination folder, if read_only_to_memory FALSE

TRUE to update and load files, FALSE to keep local version and load files, if

read_only_to_memory FALSE

load_distribution

TRUE to load file with geographical distribution of species, if read_only_to_memory

FALSE

Details

http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data-repositories/WCVP/ This is the public SFTP (Secure File Transfer Protocol) site of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. This space contains data resources publicly accessible to the user 'anonymous'. No password required for access. Use of data made available via this site may be subject to legal and licensing restrictions. The README in the top-level directory for each data resource provides specific information about its terms of use.

Value

list with two data frames: wcvp_names and wcvp_distribution

wcvp_get_data 45

Author(s)

Pablo Hendrigo Alves de Melo, Nadia Bystriakova & Alexandre Monro

See Also

```
wcvp_check_name, wcvp_check_name_batch
```

Examples

Index

```
* datasets
    EnumOccurrenceIssue, 4
batch_checkName_wcvp, 10, 14, 17, 24, 26,
         28, 31
checkName_wcvp, 39
collectors_get_name, 2, 4, 21
collectors_prepare_dictionary, 2, 3
collectors_update_dictionary, 2
EnumOccurrenceIssue, 4
export_data, 5
export_data_v2.1, 11
export_data_v3, 14
extract_gbif_issue, 10, 14, 17, 18, 22, 24,
         26, 28, 31, 38
generate_collection_event_key, 4, 19
get_wcvp, 39
prepare_collectorsDictionary, 21
{\tt prepare\_gbif\_occurrence\_data}, {\tt 18}, {\tt 21}, {\tt 38}
select_digital_voucher, 22
select_digital_voucher_v2, 24
select_digital_voucher_v2.1, 27
select_digital_voucher_v3, 29
select_gbif_fields, 18, 22, 31
\verb|standardize_scientificName|, 39|
wcvp_check_name, 40, 43, 45
wcvp_check_name_batch, 41, 42, 45
wcvp_get_data, 41, 43, 44
```