**Problem Set 6**

**Name**: SANDEEP PABOLU

**Class ID**: 19

1. Assume that we use a Bernoulli (i.e., binary) Naive Bayes model. Compute the following feature probabilities:
2. P(Xfrancisco=true | Class=SFO)
   * P(Xlondon=true | Class=SFO)
   * P(Xfrancisco=true | Class=JFK)

Answer:

PROBABILITY OF (Xfrancisco=true | Class=SFO) = =1.0

PROBABILITY OF (Xlondon=true | Class=SFO) == 0.5

PROBABILITY OF (Xfrancisco=true | Class=JFK) == 1.0

1. Assume that we use a multinomial NB model instead. Compute the following probabilities:
   * P(X=francisco | Class=SFO)
   * P(X=london | Class=SFO)
   * P(X=francisco | Class=JFK)

Answer:

FOR THE CASE OF NO TOKENISATION:

P(X=francisco | Class=SFO) = 4/14

P(X=london | Class=SFO) = 1/14

P(X=francisco | Class=JFK) = 1/8

Consider a standard Naive Bayes classifier trained on the training set and applied to a similar test set. How accurate is this classifier for: (i) the Bernoulli model, and (ii) the multinomial model?

Answer:

Bernoulli model:

Not very accurate, because it ignores frequency information, which is important in this domain.

Multinomial model:

More accurate, because it uses frequency information. However, it ignore position information, so doesn’t distinguish between a city name occurring at the beginning/end of the itinerary from one occurring in the middle

d. Construct a non-standard feature representation that is 100% accurate for either model.

Answer:

To get 100% accurate non-standard feature representation. We should use as a feature the term that occurs in the last position of each document.

II. Suppose we build a Naive Bayes classifier (multinomial or Bernoulli) with no smoothing of the respective P(word | class) probabilities. If a word was unseen in a class, it will thus have a probability of 0. Describe in words the decision procedure of this classifier (emphasizing the effect of the lack of smoothing, and how its decisions will differ from a smoothed Naive Bayes classifier).

Answer:

It will never choose a category unless all words in a document were seen for that category for the training set (unless there is no category for which all words were seen, and then all categories are tied for the classifier). It will rank between classes for which all words were seen similarly to the smoothed classifier (but with possible differences due to the smoothing).

1. Suppose we take a smoothed multinomial classifier and double the amount of smoothing (e.g., for a variant of “add 1 smoothing”, add 2 to each count, and add to the denominator 2k, where k is the number of samples). What qualitative effect will this have on decisions of the classifier?

Answer:

It'll be more likely to choose categories for which some/many of the words in the document were unseen.

III. An IR system returns 3 relevant documents, and 2 irrelevant documents. There are a total of 8 relevant documents in the collection.

1. What is the precision of the system on this search, and what is its recall?

Answer:

The precision is given by tp/(tp+fp) = 3/5

The recall is given by tp/(tp+fn) = 3/8

1. Instead of using recall/precision for evaluating IR systems, we could use accuracy of classification. Consider a classifier that classifies documents as being either relevant or non-relevant. The accuracy of a classifier that makes c correct decisions and i incorrect decisions is defined as: c/(c+i).
   1. Why do the recall and precision measures reflect the utility (i.e., quality or usefulness) of an IR system better than accuracy does?

Answer:

An IR system which always returns no results will have high accuracy for most queries, since the corpus usually contains only a few relevant documents. Documents that are truly relevant are the only ones that will be mistakenly classified as nonrelevant, and thus the accuracy is close to 1. Recall and precision are two different measures that can jointly capture the tradeoff between returning more relevant results and returning fewer irrelevant results.

Suppose that we have a collection of 10 documents, and two different boolean retrieval systems A and B. Give an example of two result sets, Aq and Bq, assumed to have been returned by the system in response to a query q, constructed such that Aq has clearly higher utility and a better score for precision than Bq, but such that Aq and Bq have the same scores on accuracy.

Answer:

There are many correct answers. One simple correct answer is

Assume document 1 is the only relevant document.

Aq = {1,2,3}

Bq = {3}

Both Aq and Bq made 2 mistakes, so they have the same accuracy: 80%

the precision of Aq is 1/3, the precision for Bq is 0. Since Bq didn’t return any relevant documents, it is of no utility.