

# CFDS® – Chartered Financial Data Scientist Introduction to Python

Prof. Dr. Natalie Packham

1 December 2022

## **Table of Contents**

- ▼ 5 Model validation and measures of fit
  - 5.1 Mean-square error and overfitting
  - 5.2 The classification setting
  - 5.3 Choosing the optimal model
  - 5.4 Cross-validation

# 5 Model validation and measures of fit

- In Data Science, there is no one single statistical method that performs best across all data sets.
- It is an important -- and at times difficult -- task to select the appropriate method or model for a given data
- We therefore study a number of measures to assess the quality of fit, which in turn allows to compare methods and models.
- For a more in-depth treatment, see Chapters 2.2, 5.1 and 6.1.3 of

James, Witten, Hastie, Tibshirani: An Introduction to Statistical Learning. Springer, 2013.

# 5.1 Mean-square error and overfitting

 A commonly used measure for assessing how well predictions match observed data is the mean squared error (MSE), which you know e.g. from Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) in linear regression:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2,$$

where  $\hat{f}(x_i)$  is the prediction that the fitted method  $\hat{f}$  gives for the *i*-th observation.


## **Training and tests MSE**

- In Linear Regression (a statistics method), the whole data set is used for finding a linear function  $\hat{f}$  that minimises the MSE.
- In Data Science (i.e., statistical learning, machine learning, artificial intelligence), it is common to split the data set into a **training data set** and a **test data set**.
- This reflects that we do not really care how well a method works on the training data, but rather we are interested in the accuracy of the prediction when applying the method to previously unseen data (the test data).
- In other words, first we fit the training data  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$  to obtain the estimate  $\hat{f}$ , e.g. by minimising the MSE on the training data.
- Then we calculate the MSE on the test data, which are data points  $\{(x_0, y_0)\}$  that were not used to traing the method.
- We want to choose the method that gives the lowest test MSE.

#### Remarks

- Sometimes an additional **validation data set** is added to allow for tuning parameters such as the number of hidden units in a neural network.
- In econometrics, instead of the terminology training and test data set, we speak of in-sample and out-of-sample testing.

## Training and test MSE



#### Left:

• **Test data**, simulated from f (black smooth line) are shown as black dots.


- Estimates of f:
  - linear regression line (orange)
  - smoothing spline I (blue)
  - smoothing spline II (green)

#### Right:

- Training MSE (grey)
- Test MSE (red)
- Flexibility denotes the complexity of the models (e.g. number of parameters)

### **Training and test MSE**

- The green smoothing spline will have the smallest MSE on the training data set.
- It does not perform well, however, when extrapolating to the test data set.
- · This effect is called overfitting.
- Overfitting refers to choosing a model that fails to capture the *general* effects due to a too many *degrees* of freedom.
- In other words, a less flexible model performs better on the test data than a more flexible model.
- · A second example is shown on the next slide.



# 5.2 The classification setting

- The measure MSE applies in a regression setting.
- In a classification setting, we seek to estimate f on the basis of training observations  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ , where  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are qualitative.
- Here, the training **error rate**, which denotes the proportion of mistakes when applying the  $\hat{f}$  to the training observations is a measure of **accuracy**:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\mathbf{1}_{y_i\neq\hat{y}_i},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is an *indicator function* taking value 1 if A is true and 0 otherwise.

- The **test error rate** is giving as the error rate from applying  $\hat{f}$  to the test data set.


### The Bayes classifier

• The test error rate is minimised, on average, by the **Bayes classifier** which assigns each observation to the most likely class given its predictor value, i.e., for an observation  $x_k$ , and classes  $1, \ldots, j$ , it determines the conditional probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1 | X = x_k), \dots, \mathbb{P}(Y = J | X = x_k)$$

and assigns the class, for which the conditional probability is highest.

## The Bayes classifier



Source: James et al.: An Introduction to Statistical Learning. Springer, 2013.

- Two-dimensional predictors  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  and two classes (blue, orange).
- Dashed line is the Bayes decision boundary.

# 5.3 Choosing the optimal model

# AIC, BIC, Adjusted $R^2$

• If the optimal model is chosen based on the training data set, then measures such as MSE or  $\mathbb{R}^2$  might be misleading.

- This is the case for example in a standard regression setting, where we do not differentiate between training and test data sets.
- In a regression setting, the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  will always improve if more independent variables are added.
- There are a number of techniques for *adjusting* the training error according to model size (e.g. different number of independent variables).
- · These can be used to select amongst models of different size.
- Typical measures are:
  - Akaike information criterion (AIC)
  - Bayesian information criterion (BIC)
  - adjusted R<sup>2</sup>

# AIC, BIC, Adjusted $R^2$

- Consider the problem of finding the appropriate predictors (independent variables) in a regression model.
- Should you include all variables? Or just a subset of the variables?
- Define the residual sum of squares (RSS) as


RSS = 
$$\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \dots + \epsilon_n^2 = (y_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_1)^2 + (y_2 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_2)^2 + \dots + (y_n - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_n)^2$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_i$  and  $x_k$  may be vectors.

# AIC, BIC, Adjusted $R^2$

- Then, the measures above are defined as
  - AIC =  $\frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}^2}$ (RSS +  $2d\hat{\sigma}^2$ );
  - BIC =  $\frac{1}{n}$ (RSS + ln(n) $d\hat{\sigma}^2$ );
  - Adjusted  $R^2 = 1 \frac{\text{RSS}/(n-d-1)}{\text{TSS}/(n-1)}$ , with  $\text{TSS} = \sum (y_i \bar{y})^2$  the \_total sum of squares of the response.
- A further measure, which in OLS regression yields an equivalent model choice as AIC is:
  - $C_p = \frac{1}{n} (RSS + 2d\hat{\sigma}^2)$ , with  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  an estimate of the error variance and d the dimension of  $x_k$ .
- All measures have in common that they place a penalty on a more complex model, measured by the number of explanatory variables *d*.
- Each measure has a theoretical justification; this is beyond the scope of the course, however.

# AIC, BIC, Adjusted $R^2$




Source: James et al.: An Introduction to Statistical Learning. Springer, 2013.

- Estimates of  $C_p$  (proportional to AIC), BIC and Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for a data set of credit card defaults with predictors such as age, income, marital status, etc.
- A lower  $C_p$  and BIC indicate a superior model; likewise a higher Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 5.4 Cross-validation

- Cross validation (CV) refers to several methods of building the test and training data sets.
- In k-fold CV, the data set is randomly divided in k groups or folds of approximately equal size.
- In k iterations, each first fold is treated as the test or validation data set, while the k-1 other folds are taken as the training data.
- In this way, k MSE's of the test error are estimated and the k-fold CV estimate is given by

$$CV_{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} MSE_{i}.$$



Source: James et al.: An Introduction to Statistical Learning. Springer, 2013.

- A schematic display of 5-fold CV.
- A set of *n* observations is randomly split into five non-overlapping groups.
- Each of these fifths acts as a validation set (shown in beige), and the remainder as a training set (shown in blue). \* The test error is estimated by averaging the five resulting MSE estimates.