CS 430 Course Notes: Applications Software Engineering

Fall 2025 - Collin Roberts

Talha Yildirim, tyildir [at] uwaterloo [dot] ca

Contents

1	The Scope of Software Engineering	3
	1.1 The Classical and Object Oriented Paradigms	3
2	Software Life Cycle Models	5
	2.1 Iteration and Incrementation	5
	2.2 Life-Cycle Models	6
3	The Software Process	10
	3.1 Unified Process	10
	3.2 Summary of Requirements, Analysis, Design, and Implementation Workflows	11
	3.3 Unified Process Part II	13
	3.4 One versus Two Dimensional Life-Cycle Models	16
	3.5 Levels of CMM	
4	Teams	17
	4.1 Team Organization	17
	4.2 Chief Programmer and Democratic Teams	19

1 The Scope of Software Engineering

1.1 The Classical and Object Oriented Paradigms

Definition 1.1.1 (Classical (Waterfall) Life Cycle Model)

1. Requirements Phase

- Elicit Client Requirements
- Understand client needs

2. Analysis (specification) phase

- Analyze client requirements
- Draft specification Documentation
- Draft Software Project Management Plan

3. Design phase

- Design architecture: Divide software functionality into components
- Draft detailed design for each component

4. Implementation phase

- Coding (development): Code and document each component
- · Unit test each individual component
- Integration (system) testing: Combine components, test interfaces among components
- Acceptance testing: Use live data in client's test environment. Clients participate in testing & verification of test results, and sign off when they are happy with the results.
- Deploy to production environment

5. Post delivery maintenance

• Maintain the software while it's being used to perform the tasks for which it was developed

6. Retirement

• Product is removed from service: functionality provided by S/W is no longer useful / further maintenance is no longer economically feasible

Problem. Why does the Waterfall life cycle model not have any of the following phases?

- Planning
- Testing
- Documentation

Solution.

- All three activities are crucial to project success
- Therefore all three activities must happen throughout the project and cannot be limited to just one project phase.

Remark

Difference between Classical and Object Oriented paradigms

Classical paradigm \rightarrow One monolithic thing

Object Oriented Paradigm \rightarrow Many smaller classes that work together

Definition 1.1.2 (Corrective maintenance)

Removal of residual faults while software functionality and specification remain relatively unchanged.

a.k.a fix production problems

Definition 1.1.3 (Perfective Maintenance)

- 1. Implement changes the client thinks will improve effectiveness of the software product. (e.g. Additional functionality, reduce response time)
- 2. Specifications must be changed

Definition 1.1.4 (Adaptive Maintenance)

- 1. Change the software to adapt to changes in environment (e.g. new policy, tax rate, regulatory requirements, changes in systems environment) may not necessarily add to functionality. You allow software to survive.
- 2. Specifications may change to address the new environment

☐ Important

The Importance of Post delivery Maintenance

- Shelf life of good software: 10, 20, even 30 years
- Good software is a model of the real world, and the real world keeps changing, therefore software must change too
- Cost of post delivery maintenance continues to go up, while cost of Implementation is nearly flat

Proposition 1.1.5 (Problems with the Classical Paradigms)

- 1. Works well for small systems (\leq 5000 lines of code), but does not scale effectively to larger systems
- 2. Fails to address growing costs of post-delivery maintenance

Proposition 1.1.6 (Team Development Aspects)

- 1. Communication becomes challenging when teams are far apart geographically, especially when they are in different time zones
- 2. Interpersonal problems can undermine team effectiveness
- 3. If a call to a module written by another developer mentions the arguments in the wrong order

4 of 19

Proposition 1.1.7 (Ethical issues)

Software engineers commit to these ethical principles:

- Public
- 2. Client and Employer
- 3. Product
- 4. Judgement
- 5. Management
- 6. Profession
- 7. Colleagues
- 8. Self

2 Software Life Cycle Models

2.1 Iteration and Incrementation

Proposition 2.1.1 (Idealized Software Development)

 $\emptyset \to \text{Requirements} \to \text{Analysis} \to \text{Design} \to \text{Implementation}$

The *Classical model* is most effective when the IT team can work without accepting change to the requirements after the requirements are complete.

Changing requirements negatively affects software:

- Ouality
- Delivery dates
- Budget

Definition 2.1.2 (Moving Target Problem)

The **moving target problem** occurs when the requirements change while the software is being developed

Definition 2.1.3 (Scope Creep)

Scope creep or feature creep is a succession of small, almost trivial requests for additions to the requirements

Definition 2.1.4 (Fault)

A **fault** is the observable result of a mistake made by any project staff member while working on any artifact

5 of 19

Definition 2.1.5 (Regression Fault)

A **regression fault** occurs when a change in one part of the software product induces a fault in an apparently unrelated part of the software product

Definition 2.1.6 (Regression Test)

A **regression test** provides evidence that we have not unintentionally changed something that we did not intend to change

Definition 2.1.7 (Miller's Law)

Miller's Law states that, at any one time, a human is only capable of concentrating on approximately seven chunks of Integration

Remark

Miller's Law Applied:

- Any large project will have much more then 7 components
- Hence we must start by working on ≤ 7 important components first temporarily ignoring the rest
- This technique is known as stepwise refinement

2.2 Life-Cycle Models

Other life-cycle models

- 1. Code and Fix Life-Cycle Model
- 2. Waterfall Life-Cycle Model
- 3. Rapid Prototyping Life-Cycle Model
- 4. Open Source Life-Cycle Model
- 5. Agile Processes
- 6. Synchronize and Stabilize Life-Cycle Model
- 7. Spiral Life-Cycle Model

Definition 2.2.1 (Code and Fix Life-Cycle Model)

Implement the software product without requirements, specification, or design

Strengths:

- 1. Thus technique may work on *very small* systems (≤ 200 lines of code)
- 2. Easy to incorporate changes to requirements
- 3. Generates a lot of lines of code

Weaknesses:

- 1. This technique is totally unsuitable for systems of any reasonable size
- 2. This technique is unlikely to yield the optimal solution
- 3. Slow
- 4. Costly
- 5. Likelihood of regression faults is high



Remark

Only really works for user base of size 1 (e.g. assignments)

Definition 2.2.2 (Waterfall (modified) Life-Cycle Model)

Augment the "vanilla" waterfall to include feedback loops during the project, and for post delivery maintenance. No phase is complete until all its documents are complete and approved by QA

Strengths:

1. Discipline enforced by QA

Weaknesses:

- 1. Specification documents may not be fully understood before they are approved
- 2. Finished product product may not actually meet the clients needs

Definition 2.2.3 (Rapid Prototyping Life-Cycle Model)

A rapid prototype is a working model that is functionally equivalent to a subset of the software product

Definition 2.2.4 (Open Source Life-Cycle Model)

- 1. Single individual has an idea for a program, builds initial version, makes it available free of charge to anyone who wants a copy
- 2. If there is sufficient interest then users become co-developers for post delivery maintenance
- 3. All participants can offer suggestions
- 4. Participation is voluntary and typically unpaid
- 5. Success depends on the interest generated by the initial version
- 6. In direct competition with enterprise software

Definition 2.2.5 (Agile Process)

- 1. Communication
- 2. Speed
- 3. MVP is always kept in mind

Scrum Method

Requirements

- User Stories
- Prioritization
- Making Backlog

Sprints

- Daily Meetings
- Reassigning Tasks

Tries to ensure frequent delivery of new versions

Strengths:

- 1. Speed
- 2. Flexibility
- 3. Team Cohesion
- 4. Some history of success with smaller projects

Weaknesses:

- 1. Heavy on meetings
- 2. Not scalable with team size

.

Definition 2.2.6 (Synchronize and Stabilize Life-Cycle Model)

- 1. Pull requirements from the clients
- 2. Write specification documentation
- 3. Divide work into four builds
 - 1. Critical
 - 2. Major
 - 3. Minor
 - 4. Trivial
- 4. Carry out each build using small teams working parallel
- 5. **Synchronize** at the end of each day
- 6. **Stabilize** at the end of each build

Strengths:

- 1. Users needs are met
- 2. Components are successfully integrated
- 3. Tolerant of changes to specifications
- 4. Encourages individual developers to be innovative and creative
- 5. Daily synchronization and build stabilization ensures developers will all work in the same direction
- 6. Good for large projects
- 7. Holay glaze what the jelly

Weaknesses:

1. Only been used successfully at Microsoft

*

Definition 2.2.7 (Spiral Life-Cycle Model)

- Minimize risk inherent in software development by the use of constant proof of concept prototypes and other means
- Proof of concept aims to determine whether an architecture design is good

We can make break this down into

- 1. Planning / Requirements
- 2. Risk Analysis
- 3. Develop and Verify
- 4. Plan Next Phase

Strengths:

- 1. Emphasis on alternative sand constraints supports reuse and software quality
- 2. This technique encourages doing the correct amount of testing

Weaknesses:

- 1. This model is only meant for internal building of large scale software
- 2. Project can look fine but really be heading for disaster
- 3. Makes assumptions that software is developed in discrete phases

*

Comparison of Life-Cycle Models

Life-Cycle Model	Strengths	Weaknesses
Evolution Tree (§2.2)	-Closely models real-world	
	software production	
	-Equivalent to iteration	
	and incrementation	
Iteration and	-Closely models real-world	
Incrementation (§2.5)	software production	
	-Underlies the Unified	
	Process	
Code-and-fix (§2.9.1)	-Fine for short programs that	-Totally unsuitable for
	require no maintenance	non-trivial programs
Waterfall (§2.9.2)	-Disciplined approach	-Delivered product may
	-Document driven	not meet client's needs
Rapid Prototyping (§2.9.3)	-Ensures the delivered	-Not yet proven beyond
	product meets the client's needs	all doubt
Open Source (§2.9.4)	-Has worked extremely well in	-Limited applicability
	a small number of instances	-Usually does not work
Agile Processes (§2.9.5)	-Works well when the client's	-Appear to work on only
	requirements are vague	small-scale projectes
Synchrionize-and-	-Future users' needs are met	-Has not been widely
stabilize (§2.9.6)	-Ensures that components	used other than at
	can be successfully integrated	Microsoft
Spiral (§2.9.7)	-Risk driven	-Can be used for only
		large-scale, in-house
		products
		-Developers have to be
		competent in risk analysis
		and risk resolution

3 The Software Process

3.1 Unified Process

Definition 3.1.1 (Software Process)

The **software process** encompasses the activities, techniques and tools used to produce software

Definition 3.1.2 (The Unified Process)

- 1. We want to explore the unified process, which will be our software development methodology for the rest of the course
 - Object Oriented
- 2. The workflows
 - Task orientation
- 3. Phases have
 - Economic context
 - Time orientation

4

Definition 3.1.3 (Artifact)

An artifact is a work product from a workflow

Corollary 3.1.3.1 (Iteration and Incrementation within the Object Oriented Paradigm)

All variations on iteration and incrementation, including the unified process, attempt to preserve some classical structure, while being more tolerant of change than the classical model.

Under the unified process

- 1. Phases are the increments
- 2. we iterate through increments to complete the project

Definition 3.1.4 (Unified Modelling Language)

UML stands for Unified Modelling Language

Definition 3.1.5 (Model)

A model is a set of UML diagrams which describes one or more aspects of the software product to be developed

Remark

What is the motivation behind these innovations

- 1. Even the best software engineers almost never get their artifacts right on the first attempt. So stepwise refinement will be needed
- 2. UML diagrams are visual, hence more intuitive than block verbiage
- 3. The visual nature of a UML model fosters collaborative refinement

3.2 Summary of Requirements, Analysis, Design, and Implementation Workflows

Requirements Workflow

Requirement artifacts can be

- 1. Incorrect (only client can detect this)
- 2. Ambiguous (e.g. AND versus OR in the inclusion criteria IT can detect this)
- 3. Incomplete (e.g. mission criterion to filter down to the program only the client can detect this)
- 4. Contradictory (e.g. conflicting sort criteria IT can detect this)

Using UML diagrams effectively helps to mitigate such problems with requirements

Analysis Workflow

1. Analyze and refine the requirements to achieve the level of detail needed to begun designing the software and maintain it effectively later

- 2. Once the analysis is complete, the cost and duration of the development are estimated \rightarrow create the Software Project Management Plan (SPMP)
- 3. Terminology: Deliverables, Milestones, and Budget

Design Workflow

- 1. Show **how** the product is to do what it must do
- 2. Refine the artifacts of the analysis workflow until the result is good enough for the developers to implement it
- 3. There are differences between the classical and the object oriented paradigms here
- 4. It is important to keep detailed records about design decisions

Implementation Workflow

- 1. Implement the target software product in the chosen implementation language
- 2. Usually code artifacts are implemented by different developers and integrated once implemented thus design shortcomings may not come to light until the time of integration

Test Workflow

1. Ensure the correctness of the artifacts from all other workflows

Requirements

- 1. Traceability: every later artifact must trace back to a requirement artifact
- 2. Until implementation there will be no code to test, only documents. Hence we test by holding a review of the document, with the key stakeholders.

Analysis

- 1. Tactic: Hold a review of analysis artifacts with key stakeholders chaired by QA
- 2. Review the SPMP too

Design

- 1. Design artifacts must trace back to analysis artifacts
- 2. Tactic: Hold a review of design artifacts

Implementation

- 1. Some projects also incorporate alpha and beta testing
- 2. Although it is tempting alpha testing should not replace thorough testing by the QA group

Post Delivery Maintenance

- 1. This is **not** an afterthought it must be planned from the start
- 2. Pitfall: Lack of adequate documentation
- 3. Testing changes must include **positive** and **regression** testing

Definition 3.2.1 (Positive Testing)

Positive testing means testing that what you intended to change was changed in the desired way

Retirement

- 1. This is triggered when post delivery maintenance is no longer feasible or cost effective
- 2. Usually a software product is replaced at this point
- 3. True retirements are rare

3.3 Unified Process Part II

The Interaction Between Phases and Workflows

- 1. Phases have a time orientation
- 2. workflows have a task orientation

Why separate the 2?

Moving target problem with iteration and incrementation leads to the splitting of tasks and time, which in turn leads us to the unified process.



Remark

Goal: Determine whether it is worthwhile to develop the target software product. Is it economically viable to build it?

Requirements Workflow - Key steps

1. Understand what is

Definition 3.3.1 (Domain)

The domain of a software product is the place

e.g. TV station, hospital air traffic

2. Build

Definition 3.3.2 (Business Model)

A business model is a description of the clients business process

3. Determine the project scope

4. The developers make the initial

Definition 3.3.3 (Business Case)

A **business case** is a document which answers these questions

- 1. Is the proposed software cost effective? Will the benefits outweigh the costs? In what time frame? What are the costs of not developing the software?
- 2. Can the proposed software be delivered on time? What impacts will be realized is the software is delivered late?
- 3. What risks are involved in developing the software, and how can these risks be mitigated?

•

Corollary 3.3.3.1 (Major Risk Categories)

- 1. Technical risks
- 2. Bad Requirements
- 3. Bad Architecture

 \Diamond

Analysis Workflow

Goal: Extract the information need to design the architecture

Design Workflow

- 1. Create the design
- 2. Answer all questions required to start implementation

Implementation Workflow

- 1. Usually little to no coding happens during the inception phase
- 2. Sometimes it will be necessary to build a proof-of-concept prototype

Test Workflow

Goal: Ensure that the requirements artifacts are correct

Deliverables from the Inception Phase

- 1. Initial version of the domain model
- 2. Initial version of the business model
- 3. Initial version of the requirements artifacts
- 4. Initial version of the analysis artifacts
- 5. Initial version of the architecture
- 6. Initial list of risks
- 7. Initial use cases

- 8. Plan for Elaboration phases
- 9. Initial version of the business case
 - Software is to be marketed this includes revenue projections, market estimates, initial cost estimates, etc
 - If software is to be used in-house, this includes the initial cost/benefit analysis

Elaboration Phase

Goals:

- 1. Refine the initial requirements
- 2. Refine the architecture
- 3. Monitor risk and refine their priorities
- 4. Refine the business case
- 5. Produce the SPMP

Deliverables:

- 1. The completed domain model
- 2. The completed business model
- 3. The completed requirements artifacts
- 4. The completed analysis artifacts
- 5. Updated the version of the architecture
- 6. Updated the list of risks
- 7. SPMP
- 8. The completed business case

Construction Phase

Goal:

Produce the first operational-quality version of the software product (the **beta** release)

Deliverables:

- 1. Initial user manual and other manuals
- 2. Completed version of the architecture
- 3. Updated list of risks
- 4. SPMP updated
- 5. If needed, the revised business case

Transition Phase

Goal:

Ensure the clients requirements have been met

Deliverables:

- 1. Final versions of all the artifacts
- 2. Final versions of all manuals / other documentation

3.4 One versus Two Dimensional Life-Cycle Models

Question:

Can one's "position" in the Life-Cycle be described along only one axis, or does it need two axes

Example:

1-D	2-D
Classical (Waterfall) (Figure 3.2a)	Unified Process (Figure 3.2b)
Code-And-Fix	Iteration and Incrementation
Open Source?	Spiral
Possibly Others	Possibly Others

Remark

- 1. Two dimensional models are more complicated, but we cant avoid them, especially the Unified Process
- 2. The Unified Process is the best model we have so far, but it will be surpassed in the future

Definition 3.4.1 (Capability Maturity Models)

CMMs are related group of strategies for improving the software process, irrespective of the choice of Life-Cycle model used.

- 1. Software-CMM (our focus)
- 2. People-CMM
- 3. Systems Engineering
- 4. Integrated Product Development-CMM
- 5. Software Acquisition-CMM

These form CMM Integration (CMMI)

Definition 3.4.2 (Software Capability Maturity Models)

Use of new software techniques alone will not result in increased productivity and profitability, because our problems stem from how we manage the software process. Improving our management of the software process should drive improvements in productivity and profitability

3.5 Levels of CMM

An organization advances incrementally through five levels of maturity

1. Initial

- 1. No sound software engineering practices are in place
- 2. Most projects are late and over budget
- 3. Most activities are responses to crises, rather than preplanned tasks

•

2. Repeatable

- 1. Basic Software Project Management practices are in place
- 2. Some measurements are taken
- 3. Managers identify problems as they arise and take immediate corrective action to prevent them from becoming crises

3. Defined

- 1. The process for software production is fully documented
- 2. There is continual process improvement
- 3. Reviews are used to achieve software quality goals
- 4. CASE environments increase quality / productivity further

Definition 3.5.1 (Computer Aided/Assisted Software Engineering (CASE))

CASE stands for Computer Aided / Assisted Software Engineering

4. Managed

- 1. The organization sets quality productivity goals for each software project
- 2. Both are measured continually and corrective action is taken when there are unacceptable deviations
- 3. Typical measure: faults / 1000 lines of code, in some time interval

5. Optimizing

- 1. The goal is continual process improvement
- 2. Statically quality / process control techniques are used to guide the organization
- 3. Positive Feedback Loop: Knowledge gained from each project is used in future projects. Therefore productivity and quality steadily improve

linebreak

4 Teams

4.1 Team Organization

To develop a software product of any significant size a team is required

Suppose that a software product requires 12 person months to build it. Does it follow that 4 programmers could complete it in 3 months?

No!!

- 1. There are new issues once a team is involved
- 2. Not all programming tasks can be fully shared in time or sequencing

Definition 4.1.1 (Brook's Law)

Brooks Law states that adding programmers to an already late project makes it later

.

Definition 4.1.2 (Classical Chief Programmer Team)

A classical Chief Programmer Team is a team organized according to some variation of the above picture, possibly with fewer or more programmers, and having the following roles

Chief Programmer:

- Highly skilled programmer
- · Successful manager
- Does architectural design
- Writes critical / complex sections of the code
- Handles all interface issues
- Reviews the work of all team member
- Handles all interface issues
- Reviews the work of all team members

Backup Programmer

- Needed in case chief programmer wins the lottery, gets sick, falls under a bus, etc
- As competent as the chief programmer in all respects
- Does tasks independet of design process

Programmer Secretary (Librarian)

• Maintain the production library, including all project docuemtnation

Programmer

• They just program

Remark

What are the strengths and weaknesses of Classical Chief Programmer Teams?

Strengths:

· Lots of success in a couple cases

Weaknesses:

- Chief/backup programmers are hard to find
- Secretaries are also hard to find
- The progammers may be frustrated at being "second class citizens" under thus model

Definition 4.1.3 (Egoless Programming)

- 1. Code belongs to the team as a whole, not any individual
- 2. Finding faults is encouraged
- 3. Reviewers show appreciation at being asked for advice, rather than ridiculing programmers for making mistakes

*

Definition 4.1.4 (Democratic Team)

A team of ≤ 10 egoless programmers constitues a democratic team

Possible Managerial Issues:

- 1. For such collaboration to flourish, there must be a strong culture of open communication
- 2. The path for career advancement may not be clear

Strengths:

- 1. Rapid detection of faults \rightarrow higher quality code
- 2. Addresses the problem of programmers being overly attached to their own code

Weaknesses:

- 1. Managerial issues
- 2. It is hard to create such a team
- 3. A certain organizational cuture is required for such a team

4.2 Chief Programmer and Democratic Teams

Chief and Democratic are opposite ends of the spectrum

Classical Chief Programmer:

Very

*