COMP417 Artificial Intelligence
Exercise Set 3

Pantourakis Michail AM 2015030185
School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Technical University of Crete

15 May 2018

Exercise 1

Sentence A

$Smoke$	$Smoke \implies Smoke$
$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$

The sentence is *valid* (reflexive property, see truth table).

Sentence B

$Smoke$	$Fire$	$Smoke \implies Fire$
$true$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$false$	$true$
$true$	$false$	$false$

The sentence is *satisfiable* (see truth table).

Sentence C

Let $B \equiv (Smoke \implies Fire)$ and $C \equiv (\neg Smoke \implies \neg Fire)$.

$Smoke$	$Fire$	B	C	$B \implies C$
$true$	$true$	$true$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$	$true$	$false$	$false$
$false$	$false$	$true$	$true$	$true$
$true$	$false$	$false$	$true$	$true$

The sentence is *satisfiable* (see truth table).

Sentence D

$Fire \vee \neg Fire \equiv true$ (law of excluded middle), therefore the sentence is *valid*:

$$Smoke \vee Fire \vee \neg Fire \equiv$$
$$Smoke \vee true \equiv$$
$$true$$

Sentence E

$$
\begin{gathered}
((Smoke \wedge Heat) \implies Fire) \iff ((Smoke \implies Fire) \vee (Heat \implies Fire)) \equiv \\
(\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) \iff ((Smoke \implies Fire) \vee (Heat \implies Fire)) \equiv \\
(\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) \iff ((Smoke \implies Fire) \vee (Heat \implies Fire)) \equiv \\
(\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) \iff ((\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \vee (\neg Heat \vee Fire)) \equiv \\
(\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) \iff (\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) \equiv \\
true
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore the sentence is *valid*.

Sentence F

$$
\begin{gathered}
(Smoke \implies Fire) \implies ((Smoke \wedge Heat) \implies Fire) \equiv \\
(\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \implies ((Smoke \wedge Heat) \implies Fire) \equiv \\
(\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \implies (\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) \equiv \\
\neg(\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \vee (\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) \equiv \\
(Smoke \wedge \neg Fire) \vee (\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) \equiv \\
(Smoke \wedge \neg Fire) \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire \equiv \\
((Smoke \vee \neg Smoke) \wedge (\neg Fire \vee \neg Smoke)) \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire \equiv \\
(true \wedge (\neg Fire \vee \neg Smoke)) \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire \equiv \\
\neg Fire \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire \equiv \\
(\neg Fire \vee Fire) \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \equiv \\
true \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \equiv \\
true
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore the sentence is *valid*.

Sentence G

$$
\begin{gathered}
Big \vee Dumb \vee (Big \implies Dumb) \equiv \\
Big \vee Dumb \vee \neg Big \vee Dumb \equiv \\
(Big \vee \neg Big) \vee (Dumb \vee Dumb) \equiv \\
true \vee Dumb \equiv \\
true
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore the sentence is *valid*.

Sentence H

$$(Big \wedge Dumb) \vee \neg Dumb \equiv$$
$$(Big \vee \neg Dumb) \wedge (Dumb \vee \neg Dumb) \equiv$$
$$\neg Dumb \vee Big \equiv$$
$$Dumb \implies Big$$

$Dumb$	Big	$Dumb \implies Big$
$true$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$false$	$true$
$true$	$false$	$false$

Therefore the sentence is *satisfiable*.

Exercise 2

Let A be a sentence, and $W_1, ..., W_n$ the worlds in which A would be false. Then the given observation is:

$$A \equiv \neg W_1 \wedge ... \wedge \neg W_n \tag{1}$$

Each world W_i can be described as a conjunction of sentences, namely:

$$W_i \equiv W_{i,1} \wedge ... \wedge W_{i,m} \tag{2}$$

From (1)∧(2):

$$A \equiv$$
$$\neg(W_{1,1} \wedge ... \wedge W_{1,k}) \wedge ... \wedge \neg(W_{n,1} \wedge ... \wedge W_{n,l}) \equiv$$
$$(\neg W_{1,1} \vee ... \vee \neg W_{1,k}) \wedge ... \wedge (\neg W_{n,1} \vee ... \vee \neg W_{n,l})$$

which is in CNF.