

# COMP417 Artificial Intelligence

## Exercise Set 3

Pantourakis Michail AM 2015030185  
School of Electrical and Computer Engineering  
Technical University of Crete

15 May 2018

### Exercise 1

#### Sentence A

$Smoke$	$Smoke \implies Smoke$
$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$

The sentence is *valid* (reflexive property, see truth table).

#### Sentence B

$Smoke$	$Fire$	$Smoke \implies Fire$
$true$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$false$	$true$
$true$	$false$	$false$

The sentence is *satisfiable* (see truth table).

#### Sentence C

Let  $B \equiv (Smoke \implies Fire)$  and  $C \equiv (\neg Smoke \implies \neg Fire)$ .

$Smoke$	$Fire$	$B$	$C$	$B \implies C$
$true$	$true$	$true$	$true$	$true$
$false$	$true$	$true$	$false$	$false$
$false$	$false$	$true$	$true$	$true$
$true$	$false$	$false$	$true$	$true$

The sentence is *satisfiable* (see truth table).

#### Sentence D

$Fire \vee \neg Fire \equiv true$  (law of excluded middle), therefore the sentence is *valid*:

$$\begin{aligned} Smoke \vee Fire \vee \neg Fire &\equiv \\ Smoke \vee true &\equiv \\ true & \end{aligned}$$

### Sentence E

$$\begin{aligned}((Smoke \wedge Heat) \implies Fire) &\iff ((Smoke \implies Fire) \vee (Heat \implies Fire)) \equiv \\(\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) &\iff ((Smoke \implies Fire) \vee (Heat \implies Fire)) \equiv \\(\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) &\iff ((Smoke \implies Fire) \vee (Heat \implies Fire)) \equiv \\(\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) &\iff ((\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \vee (\neg Heat \vee Fire)) \equiv \\(\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) &\iff (\neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire) \equiv \\&true\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sentence is *valid*.

### Sentence F

$$\begin{aligned}(Smoke \implies Fire) \implies ((Smoke \wedge Heat) \implies Fire) &\equiv \\(\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \implies ((Smoke \wedge Heat) \implies Fire) &\equiv \\(\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \implies (\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) &\equiv \\ \neg(\neg Smoke \vee Fire) \vee (\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) &\equiv \\(Smoke \wedge \neg Fire) \vee (\neg(Smoke \wedge Heat) \vee Fire) &\equiv \\(Smoke \wedge \neg Fire) \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire &\equiv \\((Smoke \vee \neg Smoke) \wedge (\neg Fire \vee \neg Smoke)) \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire &\equiv \\(true \wedge (\neg Fire \vee \neg Smoke)) \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire &\equiv \\ \neg Fire \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat \vee Fire &\equiv \\(\neg Fire \vee Fire) \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat &\equiv \\true \vee \neg Smoke \vee \neg Heat &\equiv \\&true\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sentence is *valid*.

### Sentence G

$$\begin{aligned}Big \vee Dumb \vee (Big \implies Dumb) &\equiv \\Big \vee Dumb \vee \neg Big \vee Dumb &\equiv \\(Big \vee \neg Big) \vee (Dumb \vee Dumb) &\equiv \\true \vee Dumb &\equiv \\&true\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sentence is *valid*.

## Sentence H

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Big \wedge Dumb) \vee \neg Dumb &\equiv \\
 (Big \vee \neg Dumb) \wedge (Dumb \vee \neg Dumb) &\equiv \\
 \neg Dumb \vee Big &\equiv \\
 Dumb \implies Big &
 \end{aligned}$$

<i>Dumb</i>	<i>Big</i>	<i>Dumb</i> $\implies$ <i>Big</i>
<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>

Therefore the sentence is *satisfiable*.

## Exercise 2

Let  $A$  be a sentence, and  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  the worlds in which  $A$  would be false. Then the given observation is:

$$A \equiv \neg W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \neg W_n \quad (1)$$

Each world  $W_i$  can be described as a conjunction of sentences, namely:

$$W_i \equiv W_{i,1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i,m} \quad (2)$$

From (1)  $\wedge$  (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\equiv \\
 \neg(W_{1,1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_{1,k}) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg(W_{n,1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_{n,l}) &\equiv \\
 (\neg W_{1,1} \vee \dots \vee \neg W_{1,k}) \wedge \dots \wedge (\neg W_{n,1} \vee \dots \vee \neg W_{n,l}) &
 \end{aligned}$$

which is in CNF.

## Exercise 3

The vocabulary of the first order logic expressions contains the following predicates and constants:

- $Student(x)$ :  $x$  is a student
- $Takes(x, c, s)$ : student  $x$  takes course  $c$  in semester  $s$  (used in both present and past tense)
- $French, Greek$ : constants for the corresponding courses
- $Spring2001$ : constant for the corresponding semester
- $Passes(x, c, s)$ : student  $x$  passes course  $c$  in semester  $s$
- $Score(x, c, s)$ : the score obtained by student  $x$  in course  $c$  in semester  $s$
- $> (a, b)$ :  $a$  is greater than  $b$
- $Person(x)$ :  $x$  is a person
- $Policy(x)$ :  $x$  is a policy

- $Buys(x, p, a)$ : person  $x$  buys policy  $p$  from agent  $a$
- $Smart(x)$ :  $x$  is smart
- $Expensive(x)$ :  $x$  is expensive
- $Sells(a, p, x)$ : agent  $a$  sells policy  $p$  to person  $x$
- $Agent(x)$ :  $x$  is an agent
- $Insured(x)$ :  $x$  is insured

#### Sentence A

$$\exists x \text{ Student}(x) \wedge \text{Takes}(x, \text{French}, \text{Spring2001})$$

#### Sentence B

$$\forall x, s \text{ Student}(x) \wedge \text{Takes}(x, \text{French}, s) \implies \text{Passes}(x, \text{French}, s)$$

#### Sentence C

$$\exists x \forall y \text{ Student}(x) \wedge \text{Takes}(x, \text{Greek}, \text{Spring2001}) \wedge \neg(y = x) \implies \neg \text{Takes}(y, \text{Greek}, \text{Spring2001})$$

#### Sentence D

$$\exists x \forall y, s > (\text{Score}(x, \text{Greek}, s), \text{Score}(y, \text{French}, s))$$

#### Sentence E

$$\forall x \text{ Person}(x) \wedge (\exists p, a \text{ Policy}(p) \wedge \text{Buys}(x, p, a)) \implies \text{Smart}(x)$$

#### Sentence F

$$\forall x, p, a \text{ Person}(x) \wedge \text{Expensive}(p) \wedge \text{Policy}(p) \implies \neg \text{Buys}(x, p, a)$$

#### Sentence G

$$\exists a \forall p, x \text{ Agent}(a) \wedge \text{Policy}(p) \wedge \text{Sells}(a, p, x) \implies (\text{Person}(x) \wedge \neg \text{Insured}(x))$$