

A Study on Commuting-Induced Stress and Coping Strategies in Santiago, Chile

A STUDY ON COMMUTING-INDUCED STRESS AND COPING
STRATEGIES IN SANTIAGO, CHILE

By

Niloofar Nalae B.Sc.

*A Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in the Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree M.Sc.*

McMaster University © Copyright by Niloofar Nalae February 2, 2024

McMaster University
M.Sc. (2024)
Hamilton, Ontario (School of Earth, Environment and Society)

TITLE: A Study on Commuting-Induced Stress and Coping Strategies in Santiago, Chile
AUTHOR: Niloofar Nalae (McMaster University)
SUPERVISOR: Antonio Paez
NUMBER OF PAGES: 79

Lay Abstract

Nowadays commuting as a daily travel mostly between work and home is considered as an inevitable part of modern lifestyle. This experience has been indicated to be a source of stress and anxiety as numerous studies have already revealed. Understanding commuting patterns and travel behavior is important for analyzing stress-related issues, consequences and coping strategies. As Koslowsky, Kluger, & Reich (2013) has mentioned, this is also beneficial to have a perception of commuting patterns, mode of transportation, road congestion and so on for commuting network planning from scratch. Using the relevant stress commuting variables such as experienced stress and assigned importance to this stress can help to this end.

This research aimed at providing a comprehensive and reproducible data package of travel behavior and other aspects of the urban commuting experience of respondents in Santiago, Chile. Each components of this data package serves different aspects for future research such as using demographic information in travel demand modeling, health-related information for improving health, well-being and safety in transportation planning, reasons and planning decisions information for origin-destination modeling, and so on.

The research also has been focused on an integrated list of variables choosen from demographic and health information sections of the data package. This list helps to identify how commuters interact with experiencing stress during their travels. This research also contributes to address commuting stress by identifying relevant variables, then figuring out the affected groups and analyzing their coping strategies.

Abstract

The research examines the effect of commuting on stress for both motorized and non motorized commuters and understanding how they cope with it. Understanding this effect can be helpful for decision makers in economy, transportation planning, and demographics studies to promote a safe and peaceful experience of travel for all the commuters in community by designing better transportation systems and developing infrastructure of alternative modes like walking. Moreover, understanding the emotional states of individuals during their journeys and how they navigate and manage the commuting stress feeling, can be beneficial for decision makers to enhance commuting experiences and feelings.

To this end, a bivariate ordinal model was adopted, allowing for an analysis of stress factors and their interactions with key exploratory variables, including income, age, and choice of transportation mode. Interestingly, the results obtained from the context of Santiago, Chile, a region characterized by a predominance of middle and low-income populations according to the research findings, revealed intriguing patterns. The study found that commuting stress influence people in different way regarding their age. Moreover, commuting stress at higher levels decreases at elevated age levels. This trend remains steady as commuters gain higher economic status and have access to alternative modes of transportation beyond public means. Policymakers and transportation planners should consider the complex interplay of two following clusters according to the result of this research to improve commuting experiences. The first encompasses factors such as income status, choice among different modes of transportation, and age. The second pertains to commuting stress and importance of stress from commuters' viewpoint. A salient example of the consequence of this interplay, is evident in the research, where normalization a coping strategy implying on eliminating some aspects of travel, is employed, showcasing both potential advantages and drawbacks. The findings suggest that promoting active travel options could contribute to a happier commuting experience, emphasizing the importance of understanding coping mechanisms across different commuter groups for the design of effective policies.

The implications of these findings extend to the domain of transportation system planning and urban development. By shedding light on the challenges caused by commuting stress and highlighting effective coping mechanisms, this research holds the potential to understand how people deal with commuting stress during their regular trips. Furthermore, the gained insights can inform urban planning initiatives and facilitate the commuting experience by considering commuters' experiences and the associated factors. Ultimately, the integration of these insights into policies and practices has the capacity to cultivate sustainable and resilient communities, which thrive even when facing the inevitable stresses associated with daily commuting.

This research makes a two-fold contribution. First, it compiles an extensive array of data including socio-demographics, health metrics, feelings and emotions, built environment, and work commute-related details, all presented in a comprehensive and reproducible data package format. Subsequently, the study delves into the commuting

stress analysis and identifying the various coping strategies employed by commuters. The data used for the analysis have been derived from the demographics and health information sections of the dataset. Serving as a reproducible data package, it provides a robust foundation for future research endeavors. Future researchers can have access to the data set as an open source data set allowing them to understand the representativeness of this data package and enable them to replicate various stages where needed.

Acknowledgements

Through the completion of this research, I have acquired numerous invaluable skills and cherished attributes. The journey has granted me a deeper patience and a higher comprehension of the interaction between different scopes of research like psychology and travel behavior. This experience has significantly broadened my horizons specially to grasp the essential but neglected elements of transportation planning.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Antonio Páez, for his endless encouragement, support, and for guiding me in the direction I needed to follow. His mentorship has been precious, and his insights have not only lightened my academic path, but also would be as a treasure for my future career. I am also extremely thankful to my esteemed examiners, Dr. Scott, Dr. Léa Ravensbergen, and Dr. Luc Bernier as the chair of the Master's Defence Committee, for their presence on my committee, thoughtful considerations and their constructive feedbacks on my master's proposal meeting. Their expertise and guidance have undoubtedly enhanced the quality of my research. My success would not have been possible without the support of, Dr. Beatriz Mella-Lira who had a fundamental role in my research by providing the survey, endless support and patience while answering my questions.

I extend my sincere appreciation to my friends, with a special gratitude to Dr. Shaila Jamal. Her consistent presence, insightful consultations, and valuable perspectives have been a constant source of support for me. Additionally, I would like to acknowledge Dr. Samira Hamidi Tehrani for her boundless assistance, guidance, and remarkable patience and allocated time to me during the times when I reached out for help. My gratitude extends to my family, particularly my elder brother, Dr. Keivan Nalaie. His support, assistance, and empathetic feelings towards me have been a driving force throughout my entire master's degree journey. His compassionate personality and willingness to help me whenever I was in need have made a significant influence on my academic pursuits and the life journey.

And finally, I am deeply thankful to those loved ones who played a role in my academic and personal journey. Undoubtedly, your support, encouragement, and understanding have been influential to what I have achieved so far, and I am truly grateful for your presence in my life.

Preface

This thesis includes four chapters that presents the research that I have conducted over my master's degree. The main goal of this thesis is to discuss commuting stress and identifying the coping strategies for motorized and active users. The case study for the middle chapters would be Santiago, Chile. This study contains some overlaps in terms of introduction, case study and some parts of data description as the focus of those chapters are active and motorized commuters in Santiago, Chile. The first chapter of the thesis could be considered as an introduction to give a broader viewpoint of research and its objectives for each of the two subsequent chapters. The last or forth chapter serves as a through conclusion of the research, how the study contributes to other various literature and policy implications as the summary of research findings.

As a notice to the reader, my supervisor, Dr. Antonio Páez who provided me with his continuous contribution on research ideas, critical evaluations of manuscripts and editorial reviews. Dr. Beatriz Mella-Lira conducted the survey, contributed to editorial review and let me use the data as a base for my research.

As main author of the whole research, I performed all of the fundamental research activities including the literature review, data preparation and cleaning, statistical analysis, model interpretation and writing of the all chapters.

Contents

Abstract	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Thesis rationale	4
1.4 Chapter Objectives and Contents	5
1.5 Thesis Content	5
2 A Dataset to Study Transportation, Residential Context, and Well-being in Santiago, Chile	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Data Collection, Materials and Methods	6
2.3 Data Cleaning and Preprocessing	7
2.4 Data Documentation	7
2.5 Data Storage, Data Availability and Version Control	8
2.6 Specifications Table	8
2.7 Value of the Data	9
2.8 Data	10
2.9 How data preprocessing contributes to reproducibility?	10
2.10 List of Tables in the Data Package	13
2.11 Discussion and Conclusion	33
3 Inferring Coping Strategies from Self-reported Stress and Importance of Stress in the Commute Experience in Santiago	35
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Background	38
3.2.1 Stress and Transportation	38
3.2.2 Coping with Stress: Normalization and Learned Helplessness	39
3.2.3 Stress and Coping Strategies in Transportation	40
3.2.4 Hypotheses	43
3.3 Materials and Methods	44
3.3.1 Data Preparation	45
3.3.2 Descriptive Analysis	45
3.3.3 Modelling Approach	60
3.4 Analysis and Results	61

3.5	Discussion	62
3.6	Conclusion	66
4	Conclusion	69
4.1	Research Contribution	69
4.1.1	Providing a Reproducible Data Package based on the Travel Behaviour of Santiaguinos Commuters.	69
4.1.2	Influential Attributes in Commuting Stress Experiences	70
4.1.3	Potential Coping Strategies	70
4.2	Policy Implications	70
4.2.1	Invest in Public Transport Infrastructure	70
4.2.2	Promote Alternative Transportation Modes	71
4.3	Further Research	71
4.3.1	Exploring Cross-Cultural Impacts on Travel Patterns and Commuting Stress	71
4.3.2	The Role of Technology in Commuting	72
4.3.3	Impacts of Environmental Factors on Commuting Experiences . . .	72
4.4	Study Limitations	72
4.5	Closing Remarks	73
	References	75

List of Figures

2.1	Age Groups by Gender	15
2.2	Education level by Gender	16
2.3	Occupation Types	16
3.1	Santiago the case study	46
3.2	Modes of transport	52
3.3	Income level	53
3.4	Transportation Mode by Age group	54
3.5	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Stress Level, Importance of Stress and Mode of Transport	55
3.6	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Stress Level, Importance of Stress, and Income Level	55
3.7	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Stress Level and Importance of Stress and Age Groups	56
3.8	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Mode, Age and Income	57
3.9	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Experienced Stress, Income, Mode	58
3.10	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Importance Stress and Income and Mode	58
3.11	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Importance Stress, Age and Income	59
3.12	Conditional Mosaic Plot of Experienced Stress, Income and Age	60

List of Tables

2.1	Specifications table	8
2.2	List of Tables	12
2.3	Variables regarding individual characteristics of respondents	14
2.4	Variables regarding the commuting behavior of respondents	18
2.5	Variables regarding social interactions of respondents	20
2.6	Variables regarding information and telecommunications and mode shifting of respondents	22
2.7	Variables regarding the built environment at the place of residence of respondents	24
2.8	Variables regarding health information of respondents	26
2.9	Variables regarding feelings and emotions of respondents	28
2.10	Variables regarding decision-making and planning of respondents	30
2.11	Variables regarding perspectives about nature and sustainability of respondents	32
3.1	Dependent variables and hypothesized coping strategies	44
3.2	Definitions of variables	46
3.3	Descriptive statistics of variables	51
3.4	Estimation Results	65

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Introduction

Stress and associated consequences, as an inevitable part of daily life and in work, society, economy and so on, have been investigated in various fields such as psychological, sociological and physiological studies.

In addition to stress-related factors such as workplace and labor market, personal characteristics, environmental, and interpersonal factors, commuting plays a significant role as a source of stress, classified into objective stressors and subjective moderators. The first category called impedance, includes commuting condition-related factors such as commuting time, distance or speed and the second one refers to the perception and feeling of control over the commute, predictability of the journey's conditions and personal characteristics like gender, income and age (Gottholmseder, Nowotny, Pruckner, & Theurl, 2009).

Nowadays commute has become all-pervasive for a large portion of people to reach their destinations and correspondingly commuting stress will affect a huge number of people (Legrain, Eluru, & El-Geneidy, 2015). Understanding the interaction between specified demographic and stress-related factors along with the associated coping strategies, is the main goal of this research. Apart from this, the goal of the study is also extended to analyze the method that people use to address their stress and deal with negative feelings while commuting regarding the attached importance of stress by them. The research findings suggest that commuters interested in public modes are the most suffering category while they sometimes have no choice.

This fact can be in accordance with research findings as the income of most residents of Santiago can be categorized into low and middle levels. It is also inevitable for people who live long distances from their workplace to use available motorized options such as public ones. The novelty of the research is to simultaneously investigate stress caused by travel, to what extent this importance is tangible based on a bivariate ordinal regression model, also what coping strategies apparently have been used in Santiago, Chile. The health information for this research has been extracted from a survey conducted in Santiago, Chile. This survey has been shaped into a reproducible data set for future research.

1.2 Background

As Novaco & Gonzalez (2009) have mentioned regarding the historical background of the contribution of psychology in transportation, although the field of transportation has been dominated by urban planners, engineers and economists, the contributions of psychologists and sociologists have been blended into this arena. Novaco & Gonzalez (2009) also indicated that as families, individuals and organizations were concerned about the hidden costs of traffic congestion, air pollution and noise, it can be important to investigate how to optimize transportation systems and evaluate the impacts of travel constraints. Also they indicated in their research that the contribution of psychologists in transportation began in the 1920s when transportation firms and government agencies looked for improvement in driver selection of public transport vehicles and employed psychometric tests to choose the operators.

Novaco & Gonzalez (2009) also pointed out that the term “commuting stress” has been mentioned in a very appropriate way in the book by Koslowsky, Kluger, and Reich (1995, as cited in Novaco & Gonzalez, 2009), who provide an engaging composition of the environmental, psychological, personal health, and organizational factors involved. They offer an elaborate structural model and provide a rich discussion of coping at both the individual, organizational, and governmental levels.

Over the years commuting stress has been increasingly taken into consideration in research whereas it has been recently proposed to integrate bivariate or multivariate relationships between commuting variables and outcome measures.

According to the model presented by Koslowsky (1997) to understand the relationship among commuting variables, moderators, and outcome variables, it is expected to explain the impact of stress on people’s travel behaviour. As commuting experience may have a highly significant effect on commuters in terms of psychological, physiological and behavioural factors, a comprehensive model would be beneficial to understand the linkages among them and to develop coping strategies based on that. As it has been previously indicated subjective refers to personal perceptions and cognitive appraisal, while objective stressors primarily refer to the condition of travel such as time and distance between work and home or even speed of travel.

The necessity of including both characteristics in the modelling process has been indicated according to a notion explaining the lower error in strain prediction after adding subjective outcomes as both subjective and objective stressors are required to predict their related responses which cannot be covered by only one of them (Koslowsky, 1997).

The moderator as another component of this model interacts with independent variables to influence an outcome which cannot be predicted by each one of the variables alone. This study covers a number of commuting stressors such as stress while commuting and the assigned importance level to this as a negative experience by commuters and the interaction of them with moderators such as income, age and choice of transportation mode to understand how commuters in Santiago, Chile deal with commuting stress.

In terms of the method for dealing with stress among travelers, the concept of normalization as a coping strategy derived from mobility research would be responsive as it is able to moderate both stress and stimulation during a trip. Normalization tries to remove the stimulating and rewarding dimensions of travel. This is a multifaceted process which happens on many levels and may have both positive and negative outcomes for commuters (Gustafson, 2014). Stress-coping theory splits into problem-focused and emotion-focused strategies. The former seeks to tackle the problem by doing an act such as taking advantage of the radio to be more aware of the conditions of travel and traffic ahead, listening to music when driving to make people anxious or changing the mode of transport to experience less negative effects of travel. While emotion-based coping strategies concentrate on minimizing the emotional outcomes of the problem through various mental processes such as wishful thinking, self-blame and avoidance (Nakano, 1991).

According to the current research's findings, while a group of people experience high levels of stress, they may have no more options to avoid stress and to some extent will continue using the same stressful mode of transport.

As Gustafson (2014) mentioned, the concept of normalization of travel can be observed on three different levels societal, organisational and individual level.

The first level happens on the level of society where leisure travel is becoming ubiquitous and more exciting than work-related travel. Previously people could not travel as much as nowadays because of financial matters or other issues. But these days travelling has become more prevalent even travelling for work due to economic and political internationalization as well as organizational trends reinforcing the normalization of travel on a societal level.

The second level of normalization can be seen on an organizational level. Travel based on occupational targets has been increased and has become a more normal activity in organizations.

The individual level is the third level of normalization to be appeared on. People's experience of travel extensively varies from one to another as some of them consider it as a routine and normal component of daily life due to the high repetition of the activity such as business travels. Normalization may have multiple and apparently paradoxical consequences. Thanks to the normalization some individuals may experience less stressful travels and learn how to manage travel-related practicalities. The term "travel competence" as an appropriate example accounts for the positive side of employing the concept of normalization leading to less annoying travel. However, it has been indicated that normalization simultaneously may deprive people of experiencing excitement and fade the attraction away.

As stress and coping strategies have been considered key determinants of public health and life quality, this area of research has become significant in both theoretical and practical implications. Investigating the methods of dealing with stress by people would be advantageous in understanding the mechanism by which coping strategies

address the negative impact of stress on health and well-being. Also, this knowledge would be useful for the development of effective health-related policies and programs to prevent stress-induced illnesses, decrease health service expenses and boost public health (Iwasaki, MacKay, & Mactavish, 2005).

The study here will focus on analyzing the subjective moderators and commuting stress-related variables regarding the literature. Modelling the process based on our outcome and exploratory variables may consider both theoretical and empirical implications beneficial in understanding the coping mechanism for an expected cluster of commuters.

1.3 Thesis rationale

Certainly, it is important to understand and identify the variables affecting commuting stress and the relevant coping strategies. For instance, perceived stress during trips would have serious social and public health implications. Accordingly, by investigating the stress across different mode choices, the strongest contribution of that particular mode to the potential health and social issues can be indicated. In a study conducted by Legrain et al. (2015) this process is done by using an ordered logistic regression to develop a general model of stress and three mode-specific models. This study concluded that driving is the most stressful mode of transportation compared to any other options and the stressors vary from one mode to another.

The knowledge of specific factors indicating that a certain mode is stressful will help transportation and public health experts make travelling a more safer, exciting and less stressful activity helpful in minimizing potential health outcomes of a stressful commute. Specifically, having this knowledge allows them to mitigate stressors such as crowded conditions, long waiting times, and uncomfortable seating. Income also has been indicated as an influential variable in identifying the effect of commuting time and accordingly commuting stress on salary satisfaction. Sha, Li, Law, & Yip (2019) found that salary satisfaction is highly significant when it comes to the mediation of the association between commuting time and life satisfaction. The knowledge of the interaction between commuting time and subjective well-being is of high importance when formulating policies. As commuting stress has been specified to be generated by prolonged commuting time, the satisfaction of salary and consequently life satisfaction may decrease.

In another study, Useche, Marin, & Llamazares (2023) identified that age differences coherently are associated with commuting stress as previous literature has pointed out. Individuals in different age groups vary in terms of cognitive appraisal of commuting stress. They also found that the association between age and commuting stress tends to be negative and younger commuters may remain the most affected group to address the issue by commuter stress-alleviating actions and interventions. Westerman & Haigney (2000) reported that there are age-related changes in driving behavior. For example,

older individuals tend to drive more slowly, potentially compensating for intense feelings of stress during commuting.

1.4 Chapter Objectives and Contents

This research aims to investigate the perceived commuting stress among active and motorized commuters and the relevant coping strategies. The specific objectives are as follows:

- To develop a reproducible data package including various travel behavioral and psychological aspects of commuters' daily movements.
- To analyse the interaction between stress-related outcomes and exploratory variables.
- To identify coping strategies for a particular group of commuters and specifically how people's attitude differs in terms of demographic characteristics.

1.5 Thesis Content

The following chapters of thesis are organized as follows:

Chapter 2 contains a comprehensive and reproducible data package including commuters' experiences of living and moving in an urban environment such as socio-economic and demographic information, feelings and emotions, various self-assessed health questions and so on. This information was gained through a traditionally conducted survey with a notable number of respondents providing a nice foundation of psychological and demographic information for the subsequent chapter.

Chapter 3 includes an exploratory analysis of perceived stress by motorized and active commuters and the importance of the experienced stress assigned by them. This analysis will be done using a modelling process to identify the relevant exploratory variables. furthermore, this chapter assesses the significant role of coping strategies and tries to understand the methods used by people to cope with stressful commuting.

The last chapter concludes the research using a brief overview of the contents accompanied by the thesis contributions. This chapter also discusses the study's limitations and potentials for further research in terms of investigating commuting stress and coping strategies.

Chapter 2

A Dataset to Study Transportation, Residential Context, and Well-being in Santiago, Chile

2.1 Introduction

Large-scale travel surveys are invaluable sources of information to understand travel behaviour and other aspects of the urban experience, such as the residential context. By their nature, they often shy away from overloading respondents with additional questions.

The data presented in this article result from an effort to purposefully collect data on various aspects of the experience of living and moving in a major city in the Global South. This data set comprises a wide range of sections which the health information section serves as the foundation for the analysis in the next chapter.

The data set contains essential socio-economic and demographic information about the respondents, as well as their built environment and commuting behaviours to work.

Additionally, the survey (conducted during November and December 2016) includes information about the respondents' feelings and emotions in relation to their commuting experience, the social experience of a variety of transportation modes, various self-assessed health questions, patterns of use of information and telecommunication technologies, and questions about sustainability and the environment.

2.2 Data Collection, Materials and Methods

The study is based on a paper-based survey conducted face-to-face in Santiago in 2016 by Dr. Beatriz Mella-Lira. The survey collected information on a wide range of travel-related issues (socio-demographics, health-related, perceptions and travel behaviour, travel choices and planning, social interaction factors, built environment, and so on).

The data collection considered a quota-sampling method based on the information from the Pre-census of 2012, and with a total of 451 persons. This paper considers the first part of the survey, with information about the basic socio-economic data, travel choices, activities and commuting information, and the question related to the levels of stress experienced while travelling.

2.3 Data Cleaning and Preprocessing

Data cleaning and preprocessing played an important role in ensuring the quality and reproducibility of the Santiago data set. Initially, the raw survey data, which was provided in an Excel file format, was imported into the data processing pipeline.

One of the primary tasks involved the translation of the data set’s variables; as the original survey was conducted in the Spanish language in Santiago, Chile, all variables needed to be renamed to their English counterparts for consistency and clarity.

Following this, the `dplyr` package in R was employed to split the data set into distinct tables, each corresponding to a different dimension of the survey. To enhance interpretability and according to the original document, the sub-scales of each variable were labelled leading to easier variable grouping and analysis.

Furthermore, in terms of geographic dimensions, the data was geolocated to the level of communes (equivalent to municipalities) and macro zones, enabling region-specific analyses. Lastly, to address the challenge of multiple answers within a single cell, the `multi-separate` function in R was employed to effectively separate and organize complex responses.

These comprehensive data cleaning and preprocessing measures were undertaken to ensure that the Santiago data set meets the quality standards to be utilized in future research and is well-prepared for subsequent analysis.

2.4 Data Documentation

In terms of data documentation, some comprehensive measures were taken to ensure that the Santiago data set was not only well-structured but also thoroughly understood. To achieve this, the data was thoroughly documented into a Quarto Markdown (QMD) file, providing clear and concise definitions for each data table. Moreover, the `skim` package in R was employed to generate tables, which not only revealed the number of missing answers but also presented the rate of completeness. To enhance comprehension, the documentation was supplemented with informative graphs showcasing some of the demographic distributions. Overall, the data documentation efforts were aimed at ensuring transparency, facilitating reproducibility, and empowering future researchers to navigate and utilize the Santiago data set effectively.

2.5 Data Storage, Data Availability and Version Control

To foster collaboration and version control, a dedicated GitHub repository for the data set was established and the Santiago data set is securely stored and publicly available on that using <https://paezha.github.io/bSantiago/>. The CSV format of survey is also available through the same link to the github repository. Researchers interested in using this data set for their own work can access it through the provided URL to the repository. For any inquiries or assistance related to data set access, please feel free to contact the data set creator at [Niloofar Nalae](#). The version control using Git to track changes made to the data set and associated code.

2.6 Specifications Table

See Table 2.1 for details of the data set.

TABLE 2.1: Specifications table

Items	Explanation
Subject area	Transportation, Geography, Public Health and Health Policy, Urban development
More specific subject area	Transport inequalities, Stress and limited horizons, Travel behaviour, Global South
Type of data	R Data Package
How data was acquired	The survey was conducted using a questionnaire. The instrument contains some quantitative variables regarding the individual characteristics of respondents and mostly 5-point Likert scale responses in the rest of the questionnaire
Data format	Thematic tables and documentation in native R format. The thematic tables can be linked by means of a common ID field

Items	Explanation
Parameters for data collection	The survey was collected using a quota-sampling method based on the information from the Pre-census of 2012, and in total, 451 persons validly completed the face-to-face survey in Santiago, Chile in 2016. The survey collected information on a wide range of travel-related issues (socio-demographics, health-related, perceptions and travel behaviour, travel choices and planning, social interaction factors, built environment, and so on)
Description of data collection	Data was acquired through the 5-Likert scale questionnaire regarding most sections of the questionnaire, using a face-to-face and quota-sampling method for individual characteristics
Data source location	Santiago, Chile
Data accessibility	https://paezha.github.io/bSantiago/

2.7 Value of the Data

A large body of data has made inroads investigating the psychological impact on travellers ranging from positive feelings of enjoyment in some to the sensation of stress in many others that can affect the effectiveness of policy measures (in the case of positive feelings) and are known to affect health outcomes (in the case of stress). This would be interesting for those with transport policy concerns as public feelings like happiness and satisfaction rating of travel mode switching have been studied previously (Abou-Zeid, Witter, Bierlaire, Kaufmann, & Ben-Akiva, 2012).

The data set contributes to the psychological impact on travellers on both active and motorized modes of transportation to examine not only the feeling of stress but also how these effects are experienced by travellers and investigate the importance that travellers attach to their feelings of stress which makes it valuable for researchers who focused on public sector development and health-related policies.

The data set provides a wide range of travel-related issues such as socio-demographics, health-related, perceptions and travel behaviour, travel choices and so on.

2.8 Data

The data package described in this paper contains 9 data objects in tabular format, which are listed in Table 2.2. Each object corresponds to a theme and includes information about the individual characteristics, travel-to-work related information, and perceptions of the built environment.

Furthermore, other themes include social interactions by mode of transportation, mode shifting and use of information technologies, a number of self-assessed health responses, feelings and emotions as they relate to the commute experience, attitudes with respect to how well transportation systems satisfy the needs of respondents, and attitudes about nature and sustainability.

As seen in table 2.2, the number of variables in these tables ranges from 5 to 24. The tables share a common unique identifier (ID) that can be used to link several tables in a consistent way for multi-theme analysis of the data.

2.9 How data preprocessing contributes to reproducibility?

As mentioned earlier, the current study is based on a survey. In data preprocessing step we went through addressing some issues such as splitting multiple answers in a single cell of the survey. Having the answers separated into distinct columns, let future researchers gain flexibility in analyzing the data and generating tables tailored to their research questions or goals. The specific analyses and tables created will depend on the nature of the data and the research objectives.

When starting from scratch after splitting and reshaping the data, researchers can use various techniques for a more granular analysis of individual responses and choosing the specific answer among multiple ones in a single cell.

One idea is using frequency tables as they can observe the occurrences of each response for each split variable useful in understanding the distribution of the response.

The second approach way is to take advantage of cross-tabulations for split variables against each other, as it gives insights about relationships and patterns in the data.

Also calculating summary statistics for each split variable allows us to understand central tendency and variability. There are many other ways, such as visualization, comparisons, tests, and data exploration, to specify the appropriate answer out of multiple ones.

When starting from the raw data and following the same preprocessing and analysis steps, researchers could generally end up with similar tables and results. However, several factors can influence whether the results are exactly the same such as differences in data cleaning and handling missing data, parameters or thresholds according to the analysis, random sampling (if applicable).

In summary, while exact replication is not always guaranteed, careful documentation, consistency in preprocessing, and transparent reporting of analysis steps contribute to the reproducibility of results. Additionally, using the bSantiago GitHub repository and shared codes can facilitate collaboration and make it easier for future researchers to reproduce the results.

TABLE 2.2: List of Tables

Table	Theme
Santiago_IC	A table with 22 variables that describe the individual characteristics of respondents
Santiago_TW	A table with 8 variables regarding the commuting behavior of respondents
Santiago_SI	A table with 5 variables about social interaction by mode of transportation
Santiago_IS	A table with 10 variables related to mode-shifting and use of information technologies
Santiago_BE	A table with 23 variables about the built environment at the place of residence of respondents
Santiago_H	A table with 13 variables about health attributes of respondents
Santiago_FE	A table with 23 variables with information about the feelings and emotions of respondents
Santiago_RPD	A table with 24 variables with information about attitudes with respect to the effectiveness of the transportation system
Santiago_NS	A table with 11 attitudinal variables that refer to nature and sustainability aspects of the city
comunas_1	A table with 11 geographical variables that refer to location of the respondents

2.10 List of Tables in the Data Package

The theme of table **Santiago_IC** is the individual characteristics of respondents and includes several essential socio-economic and demographic attributes. (see Table 2.3) presents a summary of these variables, including information about the neighbourhood of residence of respondents. All respondents were successfully geo-located to the level of communes (large administrative partitions equivalent to municipalities) and macro zones (larger aggregations of communes used for descriptive purposes). The street address information is less complete. The place of work (geo-coded at the level of Communes) is missing only in a small number of cases (complete rate 0.96) and few respondents report a *second* place of work/studies where they travel (**r01_WORK_COM_2**).

As seen in the table (see Table 2.3), most respondents' information is quite complete. Only 16 respondents reported facing some disability, and of these, only 11 completed the section asking them about the type (**r00_TYPE_DIS**). In terms of gender, the sample includes approximately 15.7% more women than men. Distributions of age, education level and occupation can be seen through the following bar charts (see Figure 2.1), (see Figure 2.2), and (see Figure 2.3).

Other variables regarding the respondents include their height (cm) and weight (kg), and the household context in terms of the number of adults (between 1 and 7) and minors in the family (75% of respondents report no children, and of the remainder, the number of children varies between 1 and 6).




FIGURE 2.1: Age Groups by Gender

The theme of the next table, **Santiago_TW**, deals with commuting and work variables (see Table 2.4) and consists of seven ordinal categorical variables (factors). Variable **r8A_ACCESSJOB** refers to the impact that respondents feel the transportation network has had on their chances of having better jobs. The most common responses were **SOME IMPACT** and **NO IMPACT**, but we see that approximately 14.2% of respondents feel that the network has had a major impact.

This variable tracks to some extent with the responses to job opportunities in the commune of residence (**r8B_JOBOPP**), suggesting a possible correlation between local opportunities and the impact of the transportation network on job outcomes. When asked about their ideal level of accessibility in the commune of the residence (**r8C_ACC_COM**), a majority of respondents opt for excellent and very good.

In terms of the level of satisfaction with their current job, we see that almost 60% of respondents are at least highly satisfied. We also see that long commutes are frequent in this sample, with about one-third of respondents spending 1 h or more travelling and about one-quarter of respondents spending between 40 minutes and one hour in their daily commute. This distribution is noteworthy because time spent commuting has been recognized as a factor that can affect physical and mental health and well-being in particular in association with motorized transportation (Brutus, Javadian, & Panaccio, 2017).

The most common time of the day for commuting is between 7 am and 9 am, but there are also 171 missing responses in this column, so not much can be read from it. Finally,




FIGURE 2.2: Education level by Gender




FIGURE 2.3: Occupation Types

we note that many people spend 35,000-75,000 peso monthly on their transportation expenditure.

TABLE 2.4: Variables regarding the commuting behavior of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor_ordered	factor.n_unique	factor.top_counts
factor	TW_JobAccess	15	0.9667406	TRUE	5	SOME IMPACT: 124, NO IMPACT : 123, MINOR IMPACT: 66, MAJOR IMPACT: 64
factor	TW_Job_Opportunity	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	GOOD: 169, POOR: 86, FAIR: 85, VERY GOOD: 64
factor	TW_Interested_Access	15	0.9667406	TRUE	5	EXCELLENT: 184, VERY GOOD: 109, GOOD: 93, FAIR: 27
factor	TW_Jobsatisfaction	37	0.9179601	TRUE	5	HIGH SATISF: 135, VERY HIGH SATISF: 130, MEDIUM SATISF: 116, LOW SATISF: 20
factor	TW_Commute_duration	10	0.9778271	TRUE	4	1h and more : 133, 40-60 min: 113, 20-40 min: 103, 0-20 min: 92
factor	TW_Commute_schedule	171	0.6208426	TRUE	6	7:00 - 9:00: 168, Others: 47, 9:00 - 13:00: 39, 18:00 - 21:00: 14
factor	TW_Commute_monthlycost	7	0.9844789	TRUE	4	35,000-75,000: 199, LESS THAN 35,000: 166, 75,000-125,000: 46, MORE THAN 125,000: 33

Table **Santiago_SI** includes five variables that capture various aspects of social interaction while commuting (see Table 2.5). Social interaction is a topic of interest for mode-related choices given earlier evidence that for some commuters privacy is an important consideration and/or a way to manage social stressors (Gardner & Abraham, 2007; Lowe & Mosby, 2016; Páez & Whalen, 2010).

When asked to rate the level of interaction with other people during their usual trips, respondents in terms of the level of interaction people have with others during their usual trips, most of them presume a good level and they moderately feel it is important when they consider the presence of other people during their usual travels (**r4A_INTERACC**) a plurality of responses are “POOR” or “FAIR” (187) and only 73, that is 16.2% of respondents, rate their level of interaction as “GOOD” or “EXCELLENT” report poor or fair (there are 13 missing responses).

With respect to the presence of other people during their travels, the responses tend to be somewhat more ambivalent, and the difference between those for whom this is less or more important is smaller (157 responses are “NOT” or only “SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT” whereas 132 responses are “IMPORTANT” or “VERY IMPORTANT”).

The next two variables in this table deal with feelings and the experience of discrimination: “Have you felt discriminated against while travelling?” (**r4C_DISCRIM**) and if so, “while using which mode of transportation” (**r4D_MODE**).

We can see in Table 2.5, that slightly fewer than one-quarter of respondents (24.2%) report having felt discriminated while commuting (only 4 responses are missing), and of those close to half (44%) had that experience in public transportation (however, note that about 58% of those who felt discriminated against did not state the mode).

TABLE 2.5: Variables regarding social interactions of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor_ordered	factor_n_unique	factor_top_counts
factor	SI_Interactionlevel	13	0.9711752	TRUE	5	GOOD: 178, FAIR: 102, POOR: 85, VERY GOOD: 53
factor	SI_imp_interaction	12	0.9733925	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 150, NOT IMPORTANT: 88, IMPORTANT: 83, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 69
factor	SI_Discrimination	4	0.9911308	FALSE	2	NO: 338, YES: 109
factor	SI_Discrim_mode	377	0.1640798	FALSE	7	BUS: 33, METRO: 15, CAR: 8, TAXI: 7

The information, telecommunications and mode shifting of respondents (see Table 2.6) reveal numerous factorial variables using the Likert scale to identify the exact level of respondents' viewpoints. We can see a considerable number of missing values in the quality of changing mode of travel because of people's decision on mostly saying yes to shift between transport modes on their usual trips and for those who changed the quality of these interchanges was good.

Many people assign a good level when they are asked to assess the waiting times, time of shifts and total travel time in their regular trips. Most people have access to technology tools such as smartphones and the internet, with which they can view information on transportation services and they assign a good level of technological information available to see transportation alternatives (eg smartphone applications, internet, signs) and most of them assume it is very important to have access to technological information for their usual trips.

This section will give insights on designing a strong transportation network to facilitate people's different activities such as work, school, grocery shopping and so forth. Also, experts can keep users informed about traffic circumstances, road information and the cost of transportation by using various modes.

Following this, car-use deduction has been turned into the political agenda around the world due to the increasing negative effects of motorized modes of travel on the environment and public health. Therefore, improvements in the public transportation sector are needed to achieve this goal regarding previous studies that have shown participants are more likely to use buses with increased frequencies, shorter travel time, and high access to bus stops(Ettema et al., 2011).

TABLE 2.6: Variables regarding information and telecommunications and mode shifting of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor.ordered	factor.n_unique	factor.top_counts
factor	IS_Shiftmode	11	0.9756698	FALSE	2	YES: 247, NO: 193
factor	IS_Qualityshift	198	0.5609756	TRUE	5	GOOD: 107, FAIR: 61, VERY GOOD: 38, POOR: 34
factor	IS_Waitingtime	41	0.9060909	TRUE	5	GOOD: 124, POOR: 101, FAIR: 94, VERY GOOD: 61
factor	IS_Shift_time	102	0.7738359	TRUE	5	GOOD: 126, FAIR: 77, POOR: 62, VERY GOOD: 59
factor	IS_Travel_time	28	0.9379157	TRUE	5	GOOD: 138, POOR: 113, FAIR: 75, VERY GOOD: 54
factor	IS_Diffculty_modechange	76	0.8314856	FALSE	7	ALL THE PREVIOUS ONES: 107, TOO LONG SHIFTS: 89, UNCOMFORTABLE SHIFTING: 87, BAD INFRASTRUCTURE FOR WAITING TIMES: 33
factor	IS_Techtool	8	0.9822616	FALSE	2	YES: 372, NO: 71
factor	IS_Info_techtool	15	0.9667406	TRUE	5	GOOD: 153, VERY GOOD: 118, FAIR: 63, EXCELLENT: 63
factor	IS_Imp_techtool	10	0.9778271	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 198, IMPORTANT: 122, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 68, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 33

The theme of table **Santiago_BE** is perceptions of the built environment. The way environments are perceived, and not just their objective attributes has been shown to correlate with travel behaviour (e.g., Jamal, Mohiuddin, & Paez, 2020). Surveying perceptions can be a valuable remedy for the lack of standardized or widely accepted canonical datasets serving as references, especially in regions of the world where attributes of the built environment are not systematically collected.

There are in total 22 variables in this table, and their descriptive statistics appear in (see Table 2.7). The variables are organized in pairs: one asks about the perception of an attribute and the second the importance of that attribute to the respondent.

In this way, **r7AA_AUTOSPACE** is about the perception of space for autos, and **r7BA_AUTOSPACE** is about the importance that respondents assign to this attribute. Aspects of the built environment covered by this table, in addition to space for autos, are number of parking spaces, quality of highways, space for pedestrians, quality of sidewalks, cleanliness of bus stops and seating areas, protection from inclement weather at bus stops, amount and quality of cycleways, and bike sharing schemes.

The descriptive statistics suggest that most respondents have positive evaluations of space for cars and parking spaces, at the same time that they assign a high level of importance to these attributes. Respondents also show a slight tendency to assess positively the space for pedestrians and the quality of sidewalks located near their home, and these features are also regarded as very important or important by a majority of respondents. In contrast, although respondents feel strongly about the importance of facilities related to buses and cycling, the perception of these attributes tends to be poor.

TABLE 2.7: Variables regarding the built environment at the place of residence of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor.ordered	factor.n_unique	factor.top_counts
factor	BE_Autospace	10	0.9778271	TRUE	5	GOOD: 127, VERY GOOD: 117, FAIR: 72, EXCELLENT: 67
factor	BE_Parking_num	10	0.9778271	TRUE	5	GOOD: 119, FAIR: 96, VERY GOOD: 88, POOR: 85
factor	BE_Quality_highway	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY GOOD: 142, GOOD: 141, FAIR: 67, EXCELLENT: 49
factor	BE_Space_pedestrian	10	0.9778271	TRUE	5	GOOD: 141, VERY GOOD: 102, FAIR: 82, EXCELLENT: 68
factor	BE_Quality_sidewalk	9	0.9800443	TRUE	5	GOOD: 119, FAIR: 110, VERY GOOD: 99, POOR: 67
factor	BE_Clean_bustop	9	0.9800443	TRUE	5	POOR: 129, FAIR: 108, GOOD: 102, VERY GOOD: 67
factor	BE_Seat_bustop	9	0.9800443	TRUE	5	POOR: 142, FAIR: 122, GOOD: 94, VERY GOOD: 53
factor	BE_Climateprotection_bustop	10	0.9778271	TRUE	5	POOR: 156, FAIR: 139, GOOD: 88, VERY GOOD: 36
factor	BE_cycloway_num	9	0.9800443	TRUE	5	POOR: 189, FAIR: 96, GOOD: 84, VERY GOOD: 37
factor	BE_Quality_cycloway	9	0.9800443	TRUE	5	POOR: 171, FAIR: 96, GOOD: 96, VERY GOOD: 44
factor	BE_Bikeshare	9	0.9800443	TRUE	5	POOR: 177, GOOD: 100, FAIR: 69, EXCELLENT: 49
factor	BE_Inv_Autospace	12	0.9733925	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 140, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 104, IMPORTANT: 95, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 56
factor	BE_Inv_Parking_num	12	0.9733925	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 152, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 102, IMPORTANT: 91, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 51
factor	BE_Inv_Quality_highway	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 214, IMPORTANT: 100, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 82, NOT IMPORTANT: 23
factor	BE_Inv_Space_pedestrian	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 278, IMPORTANT: 103, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 41, NOT IMPORTANT: 10
factor	BE_Inv_Quality_sidewalk	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 297, IMPORTANT: 86, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 35, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 12
factor	BE_Inv_Clean_bustop	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 286, IMPORTANT: 92, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 39, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 13
factor	BE_Inv_Seat_bustop	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 258, IMPORTANT: 92, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 56, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 19
factor	BE_Inv_Climateprotection_bustop	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 298, IMPORTANT: 83, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 34, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 14
factor	BE_Inv_cycloway_num	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 296, IMPORTANT: 76, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 42, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 19
factor	BE_Inv_Quality_cycloway	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 308, IMPORTANT: 67, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 44, NOT IMPORTANT: 11
factor	BE_Inv_Bikeshare	12	0.9733925	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 259, IMPORTANT: 78, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 66, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 22

Table **Santiago_H** contains responses to twelve questions related to health. Health information could be useful in investigating the effects of transportation policy decisions on public health. Also being aware of specific factors making modes stressful will help transportation and public health experts make commuting a safer, more enjoyable and less stressful activity. Consequently, planners and transportation experts could mitigate the potentially serious health outcomes of a stressful commute (Legrain et al., 2015). Having such a detailed data set and understanding the relationship between health and mode choice in commuting would help them to adopt reform policies and management strategies in accordance with healthy modes of travel as active and public transportation (Mattisson et al., 2018).

Similar to the set of questions about the built environment, these questions have two parts: first, the respondents were asked to assess their experience of some aspect of their commute, and then the importance they assign to this aspect of their commute.

For example, variable **r1A_STRESS** refers to the level of stress that respondents experience in their usual trips; its companion variable is **r1GA_IMPSTRESS**, which refers to how important stress is to their experience. As seen in (see Table 2.8), a majority of respondents rate the stress in their commutes as medium or lower.

This aspect of the experience is rated as very important or important by a majority of respondents. Other variables in this table contain information about the physical effort involved in their regular trips (to work or home) (**r1B_EFFORT** and **r1GB_IMPEFFORT**); proximity to other travellers in their usual trips (**r1C_PROX** and **r1GC_IMPPROX**); the environmental pollution they are exposed to in their usual trips (**r1D_CONTAM** and **r1GD_IMPCONTAM**); how safe they feel in their usual trips (**r1E_SAFETY** and **r1GE_IMPSAFETY**); and finally how comfortable their trips are (**r1F_COMFORT** and **r1GF_IMPCOMFORT**).

TABLE 2.8: Variables regarding health information of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor_ordered	factor_n_unique	factor_top_counts
factor	H_Stress	2	0.9955654	TRUE	5	MOD: 145, LOW: 107, HIGH: 92, VERY HIGH: 54
factor	H_Effort	2	0.9955654	TRUE	5	NEUTRAL: 152, POSITIVE: 117, VPOSITIVE: 72, NEGATIVE: 67
factor	H_Proximity	8	0.9822616	TRUE	5	POOR: 130, GOOD: 103, FAIR: 95, EXCELLENT: 60
factor	H_Contam	4	0.9911308	TRUE	5	VDISSATISFIEDSDISSATISFIED: 149, DISSATISFIEDSDISSATISFIED: 138, UNSURE: 107, SATISFIED: 83
factor	H_Safety	1	0.9977827	TRUE	5	VDISSATISFIEDSDISSATISFIED: 149, DISSATISFIEDSDISSATISFIED: 138, UNSURE: 107, SATISFIED: 83
factor	H_Comfort	1	0.9977827	TRUE	5	VDISSATISFIEDSDISSATISFIED: 149, DISSATISFIEDSDISSATISFIED: 138, UNSURE: 107, SATISFIED: 83
factor	H_imp_stress	6	0.9866962	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 243, IMPORTANT: 108, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 109, UNSURE: 103, SATISFIED: 73
factor	H_imp_effort	5	0.9889135	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 156, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 121, IMPORTANT: 121, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 26
factor	H_imp_prox	7	0.9844789	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 169, IMPORTANT: 130, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 97, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 46
factor	H_imp_contam	4	0.9911308	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 258, IMPORTANT: 86, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 64, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 23
factor	H_imp_safety	5	0.9889135	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 311, IMPORTANT: 80, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 35, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 13
factor	H_imp_comfort	5	0.9889135	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 216, IMPORTANT: 111, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 91, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 15

The first part of the data set of feelings and emotions (see Table 2.9) reveals factorial variables that people consider them pertain to a specific mode of travel. In terms of freedom, health and social interactions most people mention them related to walking as a transportation mode. Many people consider using a bus connected to unsafety, poverty, unpunctuality, congestion and uncomfortable conditions variables. In terms of using a car as the mode of travel, most respondents identified it as connected to functionality, safety, luxury and status.

A large group of people declare that bike riding is related to enjoyment, low cost and environmental care. The only efficiency variable is being connected to using the metro as the mode of transportation in respondents' opinions. In the second part of this table, people evaluate the level of enjoyment when they are travelling for their daily activities and most of them use an average level for this variable and revealed the quality of their trips are very low which is not satisfying. Totally in this table, we have half of the respondents' answers and the other half is missing values in all variables.

Feelings and emotional information can be used to map and understand travel behaviour and would lead to a more sustainable transportation network. Additionally, this information can make policymakers aware to make targeted choices about where to make physical amelioration as well as on which characteristics to attempt to influence perceptions of alternative modes (Anable & Gatersleben, 2005). Similarly, by trying to identify a correlation between feelings, emotions and modes of transportation, planners would be able to persuade people to use more active modes of travel or public transport will result in making our transportation system more sustainable.

TABLE 2.9: Variables regarding feelings and emotions of respondents

skin_type	skin_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor.ordered	factor.n_unique	factor.top_counts
factor	FE_Freedom	218	0.5166297	FALSE	8	WALK: 96, CAR: 79, BICYCLE: 43, MOTO: 8
factor	FE_Unsafety	217	0.5188470	FALSE	8	BUS: 94, MOTO: 73, WALK: 22, BICYCLE: 21
factor	FE_Functionality	196	0.5654102	FALSE	8	CAR: 90, MOTO: 86, BICYCLE: 26, MOTO: 18
factor	FE_Enjoyment	212	0.5299335	FALSE	8	BICYCLE: 118, CAR: 42, WALK: 42, MOTO: 15
factor	FE_Low_cost	232	0.4855876	FALSE	8	BICYCLE: 91, WALK: 84, BUS: 15, MOTO: 11
factor	FE_Poverty	166	0.6319290	FALSE	8	BUS: 139, WALK: 102, BICYCLE: 13, COLECTIVO: 10
factor	FE_Safety_inode	142	0.6851441	FALSE	8	CAR: 199, METRO: 62, TAXI: 16, WALK: 15
factor	FE_Waste_time	165	0.6341463	FALSE	8	BUS: 222, METRO: 13, CAR: 12, WALK: 12
factor	FE_Unpunctuality	139	0.6917960	FALSE	7	BUS: 263, METRO: 13, WALK: 11, CAR: 10
factor	FE_Congestion	233	0.4833703	FALSE	8	BUS: 135, CAR: 52, METRO: 16, TAX: 5
factor	FE_Efficiency	196	0.5654102	FALSE	8	METRO: 94, CAR: 63, BICYCLE: 37, MOTO: 17
factor	FE_Luxury	162	0.6407982	FALSE	7	CAR: 200, TAXI: 66, BICYCLE: 8, MOTO: 6
factor	FE_Environm_care	251	0.4343590	FALSE	8	BICYCLE: 121, WALK: 32, METRO: 16, CAR: 4
factor	FE_Health	259	0.4257266	FALSE	7	WALK: 94, BICYCLE: 76, CAR: 12, TAXI: 3
factor	FE_Social_Interaction	224	0.5033259	FALSE	8	WALK: 84, BUS: 41, METRO: 30, BICYCLE: 27
factor	FE_Uncomfort	221	0.5099778	FALSE	8	BUS: 134, METRO: 51, COLECTIVO: 15, MOTO: 14
factor	FE_Happiness	216	0.5210643	FALSE	8	BICYCLE: 77, WALK: 77, CAR: 65, MOTO: 6
factor	FE_Status	173	0.6164080	FALSE	7	CAR: 219, TAXI: 28, BICYCLE: 10, WALK: 10
factor	FE_Daily_enjoy	4	0.9911308	TRUE	5	MEDIUM: 146, HIGH: 114, VERY HIGH: 75, LOW: 72
factor	FE_Impr_quality	6	0.9860962	TRUE	5	VERY LOW: 276, LOW: 99, MEDIUM: 46, HIGH: 14
factor	FE_Affect	38	0.9157428	FALSE	8	ALL OF THEM: 136, TRAVEL TIME: 89, CROWDINESS OF PASSENGERS: 87, COMFORT ABSENCE: 38
factor	FE_Facility	34	0.9246120	FALSE	8	ALL OF THEM: 164, REDUCTION OF TIME TRAVEL: 82, LESS CROWDINESS OF PASSENGERS: 66, BETTER QUALITY ON STREETS: 36

The table of decision-making and planning of respondents (see Table 2.10) includes different factorial variables using the Likert scale and has two parts of an assessment of a variable and its importance from respondents' viewpoints. Most people assign a level of good when they assess their access to employment opportunities through public transport and presume a good level about their access to public transport which allows them to access the employment they need.

While people sometimes visit family and friends and do recreational, cultural and sports activities, and they assign moderate importance to them, most of them often go for grocery/food shopping and social activities and consider it moderately important. In terms of options, most people assume it is very important to have several options in using different modes of transport and they assign very high when they consider quality of life depends on the access they currently have to public transport. Also, most people highly think their quality of life would increase if they had better access to public transport. About the affordability and unaffordability of a mode of travel, a large group of people assign car and taxi to these variables, respectively.

For different aspects of the public transport system most people mention that it is very important for them to improve access to offices and commercial areas, disponibility of different transport modes, comfort for the use of public transport and the incorporation of other modes to the fare system. Almost all the variables are fairly complete except economic and uneconomic variables which nearly half of the respondents reacted to them.

The most important role of the transportation network and public modes of travel is to provide people with access to different destinations in order to travel for business, reunite with other people, do grocery shopping and so on. This data set gives us a wide range of variables helpful for identifying people's travel patterns and what level of importance people assign. So it would be useful for transport-related experts to have an insight into people's movement to provide network systems with an appropriate level of performance to increase efficiency and promote urban transportation systems.

TABLE 2.10: Variables regarding decision-making and planning of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor_ordered	factor_n_unique	factor_top_counts
factor	RPD_Access	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	GOOD: 186, FAIR: 91, VERY GOOD: 63, POOR: 57
factor	RPD_Acc_Employment	18	0.9600887	TRUE	5	GOOD: 134, POOR: 108, VERY GOOD: 83, FAIR: 60
factor	RPD_Family_vis	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	SOMWTIMES: 117, OFTEN: 105, ALWAYS: 100, NEVER: 60
factor	RPD_Rec_activity	15	0.9667406	TRUE	5	SOMWTIMES: 139, OFTEN: 103, ALWAYS: 72, RARELY: 65
factor	RPD_Cult_activity	14	0.9689579	TRUE	5	SOMWTIMES: 129, RARELY: 123, NEVER: 94, OFTEN: 65
factor	RPD_Sport	15	0.9667406	TRUE	5	SOMWTIMES: 112, NEVER: 106, RARELY: 93, OFTEN: 75
factor	RPD_Groc_shopping	14	0.9689579	TRUE	5	OFTEN: 135, SOMWTIMES: 112, ALWAYS: 83, RAR: 65
factor	RPD_Social_activity	13	0.9711752	TRUE	5	OFTEN: 146, SOMWTIMES: 107, ALWAYS: 84, RAR: 55
factor	RPD_Imp_Family_vis	45	0.9002317	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 102, IMPORTANT: 85, NOT IMPORTANT: 79, VERY IMPORTANT: 74
factor	RPD_Imp_Rec_activity	47	0.8957871	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 121, IMPORTANT: 91, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 67, NOT IMPORTANT: 64
factor	RPD_Imp_Cult_activity	52	0.8847007	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 117, IMPORTANT: 87, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 70, NOT IMPORTANT: 67
factor	RPD_Imp_Sport	50	0.8891353	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 111, NOT IMPORTANT: 85, IMPORTANT: 77, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 65
factor	RPD_Imp_Groc_shopping	46	0.8980044	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 101, IMPORTANT: 91, NOT IMPORTANT: 75, VERY IMPORTANT: 70
factor	RPD_Imp_Social_activity	47	0.8957871	TRUE	5	MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 124, IMPORTANT: 89, NOT IMPORTANT: 64, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 64
factor	RPD_Imp_Options	1	0.9977827	TRUE	5	NOT IMPORTANT: 326, IMPORTANT: 75, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 36, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 8
factor	RPD_Trans_Quality	3	0.9933481	TRUE	5	VERY HIGH: 184, HIGH: 128, MEDIUM: 100, BELOW AVERAGE: 21
factor	RPD_Inc_Quality	2	0.9955654	TRUE	5	VERY HIGH: 267, HIGH: 97, MEDIUM: 54, BELOW AVERAGE: 18
factor	RPD_Affordable_mode	339	0.2483370	FALSE	8	CAR: 30, ALL: 30, METRO: 18, BUS: 18
factor	RPD_Unaffordable_mode	261	0.4212860	FALSE	9	TAXI: 106, CAR: 39, MOTO: 29, COLECTIVO: 4
factor	RPD_Imp_commercial	20	0.9556541	TRUE	5	NOT IMPORTANT: 195, IMPORTANT: 109, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 82, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 34
factor	RPD_Imp_dispon_mode	17	0.9623060	TRUE	5	NOT IMPORTANT: 297, IMPORTANT: 91, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 38, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 6
factor	RPD_Imp_imprv_comfort	14	0.9689579	TRUE	5	NOT IMPORTANT: 300, IMPORTANT: 96, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 34, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 6
factor	RPD_Imp_othermodes	20	0.9556541	TRUE	5	NOT IMPORTANT: 267, IMPORTANT: 82, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 51, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 16

It can be seen there are different variables in the nature and sustainability table (see Table 2.11) more through using the Likert scale to organize respondents' answers to variables. We can see lots of missing values for changing the mode because most people do not tend to change their main mode of travel when it comes to a climatic event like heavy rain or flood and for those who want to change, using a car has the most priority.

Most people have a poor level of access to the currently available sustainable modes of transport (e.g., hybrid buses, electric cars, public bicycles) and they assign a high level of importance to that. Again we have so many missing values in the payment variable because almost half of people would be willing to spend more on transportation to gain access to more sustainable modes and they indicate 5-15% of their payments they tend to spend in this regard. In terms of the level of importance of improving different aspects of public transport routes, most respondents assume a high level of importance to the presence of trees, access to parks, access to sustainable transport modes and a broad supply of sustainable transport modes.

Nature and sustainability information will help planners to provide people with a safe, convenient and environment-friendly transportation system. This will also boost the quality of life, and people's mobility and goods and enhance economic growth through efficient transportation services. Moreover, policymakers would put in place environmental laws and excessive charges for personal car use and ownership (Alyavina, Nikitas, & Njoya, 2020).

TABLE 2.11: Variables regarding perspectives about nature and sustainability of respondents

skim_type	skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	factor_ordered	factor_n_unique	factor_top_counts
factor	NS_Change_mode	6	0.9866902	FALSE	2	NO: 256, YES: 189
factor	NS_Change_type	284	0.3702882	FALSE	8	CAR: 79, METRO: 34, TAXI: 27, COLECTIVO: 13
factor	NS_Sustain_mode	7	0.9844789	TRUE	5	POOR: 189, FAIR: 97, GOOD: 86, VERY GOOD: 42
factor	NS_Imp_sustainmode	6	0.9866902	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 195, IMPORTANT: 115, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 86, NOT IMPORTANT: 26
factor	NS_Willing_pay_sustainmode	6	0.9866902	FALSE	2	YES: 228, NO: 217
factor	NS_Pay_sustaintype	236	0.4767184	TRUE	2	5-15%: 144, 15-30%: 71, 30% or more%: 0
factor	NS_Imp_tree	16	0.9645233	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 236, IMPORTANT: 91, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 74, NOT IMPORTANT: 19
factor	NS_Imp_park	12	0.9733925	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 254, IMPORTANT: 106, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 58, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 11
factor	NS_Imp Imprv_sustainmode	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 236, IMPORTANT: 105, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 63, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 25
factor	NS_Imp_increasesusmodes	11	0.9756098	TRUE	5	VERY IMPORTANT: 258, IMPORTANT: 102, MODERATELY IMPORTANT: 48, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT: 22

2.11 Discussion and Conclusion

The current summary of Santiago dataset reflects a commitment to ensuring reproducibility through various stages, including data collection, cleaning, preprocessing, documentation, storage, and version control.

First of all, the inclusion of a specifications table provides a concise summary of key details related to the dataset. This table serves as a quick reference for researchers interested in understanding the dataset’s subject area, data format, collection parameters, and accessibility. Such documentation aids in reproducibility by offering a high-level overview of the dataset’s characteristics.

Data collection and material in the form of a quota-sampling method serve as an essential aspect of the dataset’s reproducibility. In fact, explaining the method by which the sample was obtained allows future researchers to understand the representativeness of the dataset concerning the population of Santiago in 2016. Therefore, replicating this sampling method in future studies or comparing it with alternative sampling strategies becomes feasible, contributing to the reproducibility of the research. Moreover, the detailed description of the paper-based survey, including associated content and focus areas such as demographics, health, feelings and emotions, and so on, enhances the reproducibility of the study. Researchers aiming to replicate or extend this work can gain insights into the survey structure, question types, and the breadth of topics covered. This transparency is crucial for ensuring that future studies can align with or build upon the original research structure.

The data cleaning process includes the rigorous data cleaning and preprocessing steps that play a pivotal role in reproducibility. By documenting the entire process, including the tools and packages used (such as the `dplyr` package in R), and addressing specific challenges like the translation of variables, the authors provide a roadmap for future researchers to follow. Replicating the same steps helps ensure that the processed dataset aligns with the intended quality standards.

Another important part would be the data documentation including the use of a Quarto Markdown file, to provide a clear and concise reference for understanding the dataset’s structure and content. The inclusion of informative tables and graphs generated using different packages such as `skim`, `ggplot` and `qplot` in R, contributes to transparency and facilitates the reproducibility of the analysis. Future researchers can refer to this documentation to comprehend the dataset, validate results, and explore potential extensions of the study.

Furthermore, making the dataset publicly available on a dedicated GitHub repository (<https://paezha.github.io/bSantiago/>) is a commendable step toward enhancing reproducibility. The specified URL allows researchers to access the dataset, fostering transparency and openness. The use of version control with Git further ensures that changes made to the dataset are tracked over time, enabling a clear understanding of its evolution and facilitating reproducibility in subsequent analyses.

In conclusion, discussion on the value of the data emphasizes its potential contributions to various research areas, including transportation policies, public sector development, and health-related policies. By highlighting the effect of commuting stress on travelers and addressing diverse travel-related issues, the dataset becomes a valuable resource for researchers interested in these domains. This acknowledgement of the dataset's value encourages others to explore its applications and contribute to the reproducibility of findings. Generally, the presented dataset and the associated research demonstrate a strong commitment to reproducibility through transparent methodologies, comprehensive documentation, and open data practices. This approach not only ensures the reliability of the current study but also provides a foundation for future research endeavours and collaborations in the scientific community.

Chapter 3

Inferring Coping Strategies from Self-reported Stress and Importance of Stress in the Commute Experience in Santiago

3.1 Introduction

Travel essentially could have an advantage which can be different from reaching a destination or achieving a goal. It has been suggested that travel does not necessarily belong exclusively to the second layer of activities; instead, it can form an activity of its own, as observed in undirected travels driven by a sense of speed, motion, and control. Moreover, people may undertake excess travel even combined with mandatory trips and do not try to make it reasonable in accordance with the target of travel. Other psychological aspects like joy and relaxation may have an important role from their viewpoint (Mokhtarian & Salomon, 2001).

According to people's purpose of travel, they assign importance to two types of psychological motives namely instrumental (environment, cost, health and fitness, convenience, predictability, flexibility) and affective (relaxation, no stress, excitement, control, freedom).

For instance, if they are travelling for leisure time, they expect more freedom, convenience and a low level of stress. For work trips, while active modes users were satisfied with these motives almost equally, users of motorised modes were satisfied with instrumental factors at a higher rate than affective factors. For these kinds of users, convenience and flexibility may outweigh affective factors such as stress as a previous study has shown (Anable & Gatersleben, 2005).

This can be a kind of normalization because people try to adjust what they are struggling with and focus on other related dimensions to the problem. But there is a question: Should they do this in only life-threatening conditions, or does it works also in

non-life-threatening conditions? Previous work has shown that if people use normalization in non-life-threatening conditions it may impair the level of their quality of life.

Koslowsky (1997) found that commuting experience may be accompanied by work-related stressors. Interestingly, People are different in their sensitivity and vulnerability to stressful events (Shamoa-Nir & Koslowsky, 2010). At high risk of experiencing stress, people are in danger of feeling psychological problems and sleep disturbance.

Individuals who perceived themselves as physically weak had higher rates of mental health problems. This would be an apparent sign of the importance that people would assign to conditions in which they feel psychological problems leading to loss of their self-esteem, face self-doubt and feel stress. The unremitting stress could trigger psychological issues of anxiety, fear, panic attacks, post-traumatic stress symptoms, psychological distress, stigma, avoidance of contact, depressive tendencies, sleep disturbances, helplessness and interpersonal social isolation depending on their profession.

In some cases, the correlation between psychological resilience level and the perceived stress level is turned out to be reversed in a way that they may feel more resilient to the psychological effects like stress and they perceive less stress level. It can be seen in some positions Fear of labelling, stigmatization and discrimination potentially impede workers' intent to seek counselling and psycho-therapeutic interventions. Despite the common mental health problems and psycho-social issues among workers in such settings, most of them do not often seek or receive systematic mental health care.

Folkman & Lazarus (1985) mentioned that stress is perceived as a relationship between an individual and the environment relevant to its well-being in which they have positive or negative evaluations. Having this feeling, cognitive appraisal has two parts: first a person can decide whether the encounter is irrelevant, benign-positive or stressful. In the second part, the person will measure coping resources and alternatives, and try to address his/her question about what they should do in this situation. These parts work interdependently in a way that if coping strategies are considered as enough resources, the threat of the event will diminish and vice versa.

Emotions are important as people interpret their perceptions of what is going on in an environment. As a diagnostic tool, emotions are vital because their intensity and quality determine how people organize the feeling of importance to them and this has a direct relation with their evaluation of a happening.

In general, coping strategies have two majors namely cognitive and behavioural besides a broad range of functions to handle a problematic situation between a person and an environment. The first major is centred around the control of agitating emotions which is more practical when the encounter is unchangeable from a person's perception (emotion-based coping).

Some kinds of emotion-based coping strategies are identified as diminishing threats, seeking emotional or social support, wishful thinking and self-blame. The second one

seeks to improve the annoying situation by doing an act (problem-based coping). In contrast, problem-based coping strategies are more popular when the encounter is changeable. In the following paragraphs, eight scales of these strategies will be identified by their own characteristics.

Wishful thinking is a way that focuses on how a person is dealing with the problem by thinking about changing the situation or tackling with by maintaining a normal life, thinking about solutions, maintaining situational control and information seeking. Distancing as the second one refers to trying to forget the whole matter and waiting for what will happen next. Emphasizing the positive would be the third one focusing on considering the bright side of things like using a positive attitude while addressing the issue.

Items four to six contain self-blame (criticizing myself), tension-reduction (trying to make a better feeling by doing favourite behaviours and self-isolation (avoidance of contact with others as the negative coping style and related to high levels of stress. All the others are associated with lower levels). The seventh item is a mix of both problem and emotion-based coping entitled “Seeking social support” covers sympathetic feelings and talking to someone else in order to make the situation easier to understand.

This is the one used typically by people more than choosing one form or the other (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985). Apart from classification, another kind of emotion-based strategy implies adaptive coping strategies including religion and social support (Babore et al., 2020).

The last item as a salient statement of problem-based coping strategies would be “adhere to a plan of actions” (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985). Depending on the strategies which people take into account for coping with mobility stress, vulnerability can be indicated. Mobility stress could be a situation in which people are unable to use comfortable means of mobility. Vulnerability means a living situation which is detrimental to the psychological condition of people. Regarding this people may adopt strategies such as travel by non-motorised means, readiness for high fares, cancellation of trips, travel in the company of relatives, reduced number of trips, and purchase of private automobile (Odufuwa, 2008).

Another coping strategy would be time allowing the individual to control stressors related to time components. Similarly, increasing control or predictability may be influential in this regard. If the commuter can be informed of special situations that exist on the way to work, such as traffic accidents or a closed road, both perception of control and predictability could be enhanced. Interestingly, with the aid of radio stations in the morning (in many communities today special radio frequencies in the morning and evening report on traffic patterns and even make recommendations on alternative routes) the expected negative effects of commuting can be mitigated. For example, flexitime, telecommuting, and subsidised carpooling are techniques for making the commute easier (Koslowsky, 1997).

This research aims to investigate the perceived commuting stress among active and motorized commuters and the relevant coping strategies. Data for the research are drawn from a survey conducted in Santiago, Chile, based on a quota-sampling method based on the information from the Pre-census of 2012, with a total of 451 participants.

The rest of the research is structured as follows.

3.2 Background

3.2.1 Stress and Transportation

Generally, stress includes a wide variety of factors and there is no single identifier broadly accepted. One of these would be the stress caused by commuting. People with any target of travel may face stress while commuting between origins and destinations. Although a broad range of sources of stress are identified as commuting stress, they can be primarily classified into two categories objective stressors and subjective moderators.

As Koslowsky (1997) has identified, the first group indicates objectives as impedance like commuting time, distance or speed as a combination of time and distance, and commuting conditions such as traffic congestion. Previous studies reveal that length of travel and congestion are strongly related to the increase in stress. People feel less stress when they experience a movement with less possible amount of time and distance.

The concept of commute impedance was specified as a behavioural control on movement or reaching a target. In other words, it contains anything that imposes frustration on the goal to be reached at a certain time at a particular place such as distance, slow speed or traffic congestion. Speed would not have a direct effect as an impedance, but some other extraneous factors may split from that.

To make a clarification on that we can assume two drivers first driving at 56 km/hour and second driving at 80 km/hour. The former one may be driving on local roads, at the maximum possible speed and without experiencing any barrier while the former one may be driving on a highway, facing congestion (on superhighways like German autobahn) and finding negative stimuli on the road. In the current study identifying these, help us understand how stressors affect commuters' feeling and mode selection mostly for daily routines.

Based on the findings of Koslowsky (1997), the second cluster contains subjective variables like the perception of control over the commute (commuting mode), predictability of commuting conditions and personal characteristics namely gender or family specifications.

While there is no evidence indicating the effect of subjective factors, some studies have considered active modes like driving a car or riding a bike which provide more control, are more associated with less stress than passive modes like public transport. On the contrary, another study hypothesizes that car users are more at the exposure to stress than bus or train users. Given these, there might be a possibility that other

factors like noise or congestion have an effect on perceived stress by different modes of transportation.

As a kind of moderator, the predictability of commuting has a significant role in perceived stress on commuting. Commuters capable of predicting the length of travel may face less commuting stress than their counterparts who are uncertain about this. Also, the relation between the variability of commute and higher levels of commuting stress is evident.

Also, according to Gottholmseder et al. (2009), there are other factors that can be considered to show the effect of stress on commuting such as assessment of commuting time, alcohol consumption, leisure-time activities, and job attributes.

3.2.2 Coping with Stress: Normalization and Learned Helplessness

Studies have indicated the source of “Normalization” as Benyamini, Gozlan, & Weissman (2017) has found the concept of normalization was mostly developed in nursing research on families with chronically ill children. Normalization consists of reducing the feeling of being different and achieving as normal a life as possible, acknowledging the existence of the impairment (which distinguishes it from denial), using a normalcy lens to define the situation, constructing a story of life as normal and going on to enact it, and carrying on with life activities as if the illness can be ignored. As can be expected, this reaction can be seen among some people while they are suffering from their commute for various reasons, they are going to ignore the negative impact of their experience mentally.

In the context of psychological resilience and perceived stress, Boran, Boran, Korukcu, & Özkaya (2022) found that people at high risk of experiencing stress like health workers are in danger of feeling psychological problems and sleep disturbance. By using some scales for instance resilience scale and perceived stress scale including the Likert scale, through a survey, it has been figured out to what extent people can tolerate stressful conditions and what is their exact perception of this feeling. It has been stated that individuals who perceived themselves as physically weak had higher rates of mental health problems. This would be an apparent sign of the importance that people would assign to conditions in which they feel psychological problems leading to loss of their self-esteem, face self-doubt and feel stress.

While exploring the consequences of stress and the way of dealing with that, Rana, Mukhtar, & Mukhtar (2020) found that people regarding their profession might be at high risk of anxiety, stress, and depression. The severity is causing further mental health problems which not only affect workers’ ability to make decisions but could also have long-term detrimental effects on their overall well-being.

The risk of a sudden change in their roles like being downgraded can lead to psychological problems, such as disappointment, helplessness, adaptation problems, and fear of change. Similar studies like Webster, Brough, & Daly (2016) have shown that people due to helplessness may use some coping strategies such as leaving the stressful situation and asking for social support. When individuals feel they are in an

uncontrolled situation they are more likely to revert to avoidance-focused coping strategy. Those victims who could not deal with these toxic conditions or leave may feel learned helplessness and chronic health issues in long-term harm. Normalizing stress in some situations due to individuals' specific conditions may prevent them from dealing with the main stressors.

Similarly, Rana et al. (2020) found that in people who are experiencing high-stress environments, emotional and behavioural responses would be naturally adaptive while facing extreme (unpredictable and uncertain) stress, and therefore counselling and psychotherapy based on the stress-adaptation model could be used as an early and prompt intervention. Moreover, Maier & Seligman (1976) found that this feeling of helplessness might have origins in uncontrollable factors and people assume their behavior and outcomes are independent and this learning produces the motivational, cognitive and emotional effect of uncontrollability. Learned helplessness will be shown as a defective performance where reduced incentive motivation is undermined.

Similar studies in the context of normalization and coping strategies like Benyamini et al. (2017) have found that when individuals are in a situation in which they suffer from a (physical) problem, depending on to what extent they have internalized the norms and principles, they might be distressed by unexpected and uncontrollable barriers to its fulfilment. In such conditions, normalization seems to play a significant role in trying to achieve higher levels of quality of life and better adjustment.

But in fact, normalization is weakly related to quality of life. Normalization in the long term impairs quality of life mainly due to its social consequences. On the one hand, normalization in other non-life-threatening chronic or long-term conditions that are known to impair the quality of life of young adults as they struggle to attain the developmental milestones reached by their own peers. On the other hand, Normalization includes a focus on other goals in life, instead of or in addition to those that are currently blocked. For example, by adjusting another related goal following failed treatment we can mitigate its effect on.

Nevertheless, people can choose to (re)engage in other goals at the same time. Engaging in alternative meaningful goals has been found to be adaptive for people undergoing serious physical problems, with its effects seen mainly in terms of greater well-being. Normalization, in the sense of maintaining life routines and balancing between the physical and other life domains, allows for such goal re-engagement.

3.2.3 Stress and Coping Strategies in Transportation

Stress and Transportation

Based on commuting stress research as Koslowsky et al. (2013) has also mentioned, every single commuter may experience various stressors such as standing for a whole time on crowded public transport in hot weather or getting drenched because of a rainstorm while walking back home from public stations to work or home.

As Koslowsky et al. (2013) a typical commuter may experience a wide variety of stressors on the way to and from work such as physiological stressors which also include environmental factors such as noise, and crowding. heat and noxious fumes. Other stressors can be time pressures and aggressive or reckless behaviours. These are just a few examples of the effect of commuting stress on commuters.

The inevitable role of human beings in the general chain of traffic issues is taken into consideration as it leads to stress, accidents and aberrant behaviours. Westerman & Haigney (2000) have revealed that there would be two predominant self-reported measures of Driver Behavior Inventory (DBI) assessing driver stress and Driver Behavior Questionnaire (DBQ) investigating aberrant driver behaviour.

Unsatisfying traffic conditions may aggravate the situation and increase frustration on the road leading to a high level of stress. Some people would underestimate the risk of confrontation with an accident and tend to overrate their capabilities while driving. While this high level of confidence in vehicle control decreases driver stress levels, it would lead people to adopt dangerous attitudes and driving manoeuvres requiring specific skills. (beneficial level of stress because it can mediate the risk of stress. Stress is generally bad when it is excessive.)

The Driver Behavior Inventory as a measure of driver stress indicates driver stress and performance as a function of evaluating traffic demands, appraising personal competence and selecting coping strategies. According to recent studies of DBI, three main aspects of driver stress vulnerability have emerged namely:

- Aggression (i.e., anger, impatience and risk-taking which can be addressed through confrontive coping strategies)

- Dislike of driving (i.e., anxiety, self-blame and lack of confidence tackled by self-criticism coping strategies or negative emotions that divert attention from the driving task)

- Alertness (i.e., awareness of risk and active search for road hazards issued by task-focus (problem-solving) coping strategies.

According to a study conducted by Kontogiannis (2006), a direct relationship between aggression and self-criticism has been found and reveals aggressive drivers could be aware of their behaviour but they cannot take preventive actions. These findings would be beneficial for traffic safety organizations looking to reduce aggression on the roads.

According to DBI, there would be two additional categories of driver stress irritation when overtaken and frustration when overtaking, have been suggested. It seems that these overtaking factors in comparison to the former factors are more predominant in aggression. Following this, two new “situation-specific” factors in a five-factor solution were identified. “Situation-specific” tension was associated with aggression and included two overtaking factors while “situation-specific” concentration correlated with alertness but consisted of only two items. Furthermore, the confidence factor was recognized

based on an extensive questionnaire including a new confidence factor related to control perception.

An interesting relation exists between confidence and violation (aberrant behaviour: leading to accidents and caused by stress) as people with a high record of violations felt more confident about vehicle control which is maybe related to the relation among, underrated risk of accident, overrate driving skills, high level of confidence, high possibility of undertaking driving manoeuvres.

Finally, new factors of “fatigue” and “thrill-seeking” have been revealed followed by an administration of a questionnaire by Matthews et al. (1997, as cited in Westerman & Haigney, 2000). Studies within the context of real-world behaviour, have revealed high aggression related to faster driving and more risky overtaking. While the dislike scale was associated with weaker vehicle control. An interesting study has found men reported higher aggression and comparatively lower overtaking tension. The relationship between age and driver stress has indicated older drivers due to a declining trend of cognitive capacities experienced high stress and were less alert (Westerman & Haigney, 2000).

Coping Strategies

In stressful traffic situations, individuals should select appropriate actions to cope with stress. As Kontogiannis (2006) mentioned before, two general categories of coping strategies have been identified: problem-based and emotion-based.

Problem-focused followers tend to adopt tasks and rational strategies such as:

- Information seeking
- Taking precautions and making plans: Making action to drive in a safe way such as avoiding making risky overtaking and changing routes when it is necessary at an appropriate time
- Confrontive coping: Expressing feelings through risk-taking like driving close to the next car’s bumper, beeping, flashing light beams on other drivers.

On the contrary, emotion-based drivers appear to regulate emotions and decrease discomfort in different ways such as:

- (Escape avoidance) Avoidance: Trying to suppress negative feelings to overcome the problem
- Wishful thinking
- Reappraisal: Considering driving as a learning experience such as trying to calm down and emphasizing the positive point
- Self-criticism: Criticizing oneself for mistakes such as feeling failure and self-doubt

The adherence of these two broad coping strategies has been recognized as diverse and related to individuals’ preferences.

Furthermore, studies about chronic stress (DBI scale) and coping behaviours have led to a consistent relationship between them. The first dimension implies that drivers revealing anger, frustration and impatience (like the aggression scale) were more likely to tackle stress through confrontive coping. Second would be drivers feeling anxiety and fear (like dislike of driving scale) were more interested in opting for negative emotional coping styles deviating attention from driving action. Alertness as the last dimension and an attribute of active hazard surveillance seems to be addressed by a problem-solving coping strategy. Alertness anticipated the speed of discrimination of roadside pedestrians.

To improve the distinction between problem-focused versus emotion-focused coping skills, it is vital to define specific road scenarios and observe how drivers respond to them rather than considering a general form of driving which is not enlightening (like slow-driving: someone is driving in front of you making overtaking hard, and discourtesy scenarios: someone is driving behind you near to your rear bumper beeping. In addition, diversity and socio-cultural backgrounds around the world would affect the factor structure of driver stress and its correlation to coping styles.

Previous research has shown that professional drivers chose a group of both problem-based and emotion-based strategies. This selection may change regarding the level of frustration and anger felt in various road scenarios.

3.2.4 Hypotheses

Coping strategies are not directly observed. Instead, we have data on self-reported stress levels, and the importance that respondents assign to feelings of stress. We hypothesize that various combinations of these two variables map to coping strategies as discussed next and shown in Table 3.1.

In order to have a better understanding of coping strategies that commuter have used, if we consider four situations with different levels of experienced stress and the given importance to that, we can figure out the way of dealing with stress by commuters in Santiago.

1-Low Stress, Low Importance:

Individuals in this category experience relatively low stress during commute, and they also perceive this feeling as having low importance. It is going to be a situation where individuals are not heavily burdened by stressors, and they also don't attribute much significance to the minimal stress they experience. This group may have a generally positive outlook, low levels of anxiety, or a lifestyle that minimizes stressors. It could also indicate effective stress management strategies like using less stressful modes such as active ones helping them in having a less stressful trip.

2-Low Stress, High Importance:

Commuters in this condition may not be experiencing a significant amount of stress, but they attach a high level of importance to the stressors they do encounter. This could

be due to the perceived significance or impact of specific stressors while commuting, even if the overall stress level is low. This kind of travelers might be particularly sensitive to certain stressors, possibly viewing them as crucial to their well-being.

3-High Stress, Low Importance:

This situation might be stressful for commuters, but they don't perceive these stressors as critically important or impactful. This could be due to coping mechanisms such as normalization, resilience, or a belief that the stressors are temporary or manageable. It may indicate that although they are aware of stressors, they may not view them as significant contributors to their overall well-being. For example, this happens when people who are using public transport as one the stressful modes of transportation in Santiago according to the current research, continue using that even when feel high level of stress. This can be due to limited options for them to use for daily commute and they prefer stay with the same mode and at least reach to workplace, home and all the regular destinations.

4-High Stress, High Importance:

In this condition commuters may experience a substantial amount of stress, and they also perceive this stress as highly important. This suggests that the stressors they face are not only impactful but are recognized and acknowledged as significant challenges during their commutes. This commuters might be dealing with major commuting stressors or facing situations that are emotionally, psychologically, or physically demanding, and there are no coping strategies available to them to reduce the effect of stress.

TABLE 3.1: Dependent variables and hypothesized coping strategies

	Importance of Stress	
	Low	High
Stress: Low	1	2
Stress: High	3	4

3.3 Materials and Methods

The presented data in this article were gathered purposefully through a questionnaire to capture various dimensions of urban living and mobility in a major city in the Global South. Data used for analysis in this research are drawn from a survey conducted in Santiago, Chile, in 2016 using a quota-sampling method based on the information from the Pre-census of 2012, with a total of 451 participants.

The questionnaire covers different topics such as personal characteristics, work-related travel information, and perceptions of the built environment. Other themes include social interactions while using different modes of transportation, mode switching, and the use of information technologies. The questionnaire also addresses several self-reported health

outcomes, feelings and emotions related to commuting experiences, attitudes toward transportation system satisfaction, and perceptions of nature and sustainability.

This study focuses on both demographic and health-related information. To assess participants' views on stress during their commute, multiple questions were asked, including variable *H_Stress* as one of our dependent variables, which reflects the level of stress experienced during regular trips using a 5-point Likert scale (very low, low, moderate, high, and very high). Its corresponding variable, *H_Imp_stress* as the other one of our dependent variables, evaluates the importance of stress during commuting and is also assessed using a 5-point Likert scale (not important, slightly important, moderately important, important, and very important).

Furthermore, health information can be useful in understanding the effects of transportation policy decisions on public health. Identifying the specific factors that contribute to stressful modes of transportation can help transportation and public health experts make commuting a safer, more enjoyable, and less stressful experience.

3.3.1 Data Preparation

The case study for this research covered the Santiago Metropolitan as Chile's capital and largest city containing various communes as shown (see Figure 3.1). The data set used in the current study was obtained from a paper-based survey conducted face-to-face in Santiago in 2016.

The data set contains essential socio-economic and demographic information about the respondents, as well as their built environment and commuting behaviours to work. In addition, the survey (conducted during November and December 2016) includes information about the respondents' feelings and emotions in relation to their commuting experience, the social experience of a variety of transportation modes, various self-assessed health questions, patterns of use of information and telecommunication technologies, and questions about sustainability and the environment.

The data collection conducted a quota-sampling method based on the information from the Pre-census of 2012, and in total, 451 participants took part in the survey. This study considers the first two parts of the survey including individual characteristics and health information to figure out the relationship between personal attributes and stress-related variables.

3.3.2 Descriptive Analysis

As mentioned earlier in the study, previous researchers have applied various variables for implementing the ordinal regression model. In this study, we employ a group of dependent and independent variables extracted from individual characteristics and health information parts of the surveys. According to Table 3.2 for dependent variables, we chose the stress indicator of the level of stress experienced by respondents while commuting and another stress criterion revealing the importance level of stress experienced when travelling by participants. For independent variables, we selected




FIGURE 3.1: Santiago the case study

respondents age and income level, occupation, and their primary mode of transportation while regular commuting. As can be seen in the Table 3.2 there are multiple subcategories for each variable. Furthermore, there would be a regrouping process for the subcategories to reach a more efficient bivariate ordinal model.

TABLE 3.2: Definitions of variables

Name	Note	Variable	Subcategory
Socio-Economic and Demographic Attributes			
Age	Age of respondents in Santiago in 2016		[Less18], [from18to24years], [from25to34years], [from35to54years], [55to64year], [from65more]
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Age' in the package is (less than 18 to 34)	AgeYouth	
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Age' in the package is (35 to 54)	AgeAdults	

TABLE 3.2: Definitions of variables (*continued*)

Name	Note	Variable	Subcategory
Income	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Age' in the package is (55 to more than 65)	AgeSeniors	
	Level of income of respondents in Santiago in 2016		[Less423], [423to639], [639to977], [977to1550], [1550to2380], [2380more]pesos
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Income' in the package is (less than 423 to 639 pesos)	IncomeLow Income	
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Income' in the package is (639 to 1550 pesos)	IncomeMiddle Income	
Main_mode	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Income' in the package is (1550 to more than 2380 pesos)	IncomeHigh Income	
	Main transport mode used by respondents in Santiago in 2016 with eight levels: Car, Taxi, Collective, Motor, Metro, Bus, Bicycle, Walk		Car, Taxi, Collective, Motor, Metro, Bus, Bicycle, Walk
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Main_mode' in the package is (Car) or (Moto)	EducationElementary Education	
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Main_mode' in the package is (Taxi), (Colectivo), (Metro) or (Bus)	EducationHigher Education	

TABLE 3.2: Definitions of variables (*continued*)

Name	Note	Variable	Subcategory
Education	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Main_mode' in the package is (Bicycle) or (Walk)		
	Level of education of respondents in Santiago in 2016	Main_modePrivate Transportation	Elementary, Secondary, Professor, College, Postgrad
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Education' in the package is (Elementary)	Main_modePublic Transportation	
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Education' in the package is (Secondary), (College), (Professor), (Postgrad)	Main_modeActive Transportation	
Occupation	Occupation of respondents in Santiago in 2016		Full time, Part time, Self employed, Unemployed, Home taker, Student, Student work, Retired, other
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Education' in the package is (Full-time), (Part-time), (Self-employed), (Student-work)	OccupationWorking	
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Education' in the package is (Unemployed), (Home-taker), (Retired)	OccupationNon Professional	
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when 'IC_Education' in the package is (Student)	OccupationStudent	

TABLE 3.2: Definitions of variables (*continued*)

Name	Note	Variable	Subcategory
	Created by defining a dummy variable that takes the value of one when ‘IC_Education’ in the package is (Non Professional)	OccupationWorkingOther	
Stress Characteristics			
Stress	How do respondents assess the level of stress they experience on their usual trips? On Likert scale		Very low feeling of stress, Low feeling of stress, Moderate feeling of stress, High feeling of stress, Very high feeling of stress
		Stress	
		Stress	
		Stress	
		Stress	
		Stress	
Importance_Stress	What is the importance respondents assign to these aspects? On Likert scale		No importance feeling of stress, Slightly important feeling of stress, Moderately important feeling of stress, Important feeling of stress, Very important feeling of stress
		Importance_Stress	
		Importance_Stress	
		Importance_Stress	
		Importance_Stress	
		Importance_Stress	

To initialize our bivariate ordinal model, we took advantage of previous studies about the importance of different independent variables within individual characteristics as can be seen in Table 3.3.

Although gender looked effective on some of the driver stress scales like confidence and alertness, it was rather weak to indicate gender difference in terms of coping strategies. Previous studies revealed men feel more confident and alert than their counterparts. Regarding coping strategies, women seemed to score higher in cognitive strategies despite men who tend to use behavioural strategies. (Kontogiannis, 2006). Although it has been found that women were more likely to feel stress and anxiety than men, some studies have shown that women and men in rather similar conditions felt the same level of stress (Hill and Ng Boyle, 2007).

Age sounded influential on most aspects of driver stress vulnerability. Getting older had a positive role in a way that it increased cognitive coping strategies useful in decreasing stress levels and declined negative behaviours like aggression (Kontogiannis, 2006), thus they felt less stress.

Another study indicated the relationship of age with stress in a completely different way as some situation-specific tensions tend to increase by getting old until some older ones decide not to drive (Hill & Boyle, 2007). Age also could be negatively related to the manifestation of violation and dangerous behaviours as old drivers tend to decrease their speed due to declining cognitive capabilities (Westerman & Haigney, 2000).

In contrast to old people, youth were more likely to reveal their driving anger through behavioural coping strategies(Hill & Boyle, 2007).

Based on findings of previous research on determinants of an individual’s vulnerability to mobility stress, level of education and family status would be influential on the experienced stress while commuting. Also, a high level of income would provide people with the opportunity to purchase a private vehicle limiting their driving stress and uncomfortable experience with public means (Odufuwa, 2008).

In order to achieve a more efficient model, we capitalized on recoding to amalgamate several subcategories within each independent variable into broader categories, thus enhancing the model’s efficiency. This approach proves useful in simplifying the model and improving interpretability, particularly in cases where certain subcategories exhibit low frequencies and when our focus lies on identifying higher-level patterns. Furthermore, certain variables, such as the main mode of transportation, have been grouped into new categories, including public, private, and active modes, as opposed to the previous eight categories in Table 3.2.

TABLE 3.3: Descriptive statistics of variables

Variable	Note	Percentage
Socio-Economic and Demographic Attributes		
AgeYouth	Age Less18 - 34 years	57.5 %
AgeAdults	Age 35-54 years	30.3 %
AgeSeniors	Age 55 to 65 and more years	12.2 %
IncomeLow Income	Income 423to639	31 %
IncomeMiddle Income	Income 639to1550	35.1 %
IncomeHigh Income	Income 1550to2380 more	33.9 %
EducationElementary Education	Education Elementary	2.3 %
EducationHigher Education	Education Secondary to Postgrad	97.7 %
Main_modePrivate Transportation	Primary Mode Car and Moto	26.5 %
Main_modePublic Transportation	Primary Mode Taxi, Collective, Metro, Bus	64.3 %
Main_modeActive Transportation	Primary Mode Bicycle, Walk	9.3 %
OccupationWorking	Occupation Full time, Part time, Self-employed, Student work	69.5 %
OccupationNon Professional	Occupation Unemployed, Home taker, Retired	7 %
OccupationStudent	Occupation Student	21.7 %
OccupationWorkingOther	Occupation Other	1.8 %
Stress Characteristics		
Stress	Likert scale: Very low feeling of stress	11.6 %
Stress	Likert scale: Low feeling of stress	23.6 %
Stress	Likert scale: Moderate feeling of stress	32.3 %
Stress	Likert scale: High feeling of stress	20.5 %
Stress	Likert scale: Very high feeling of stress	12 %
Importance_Stress	Likert scale: No importance feeling of stress	2.5 %
Importance_Stress	Likert scale: Slightly important feeling of stress	6 %
Importance_Stress	Likert scale: Moderately important feeling of stress	12.8 %
Importance_Stress	Likert scale: Important feeling of stress	24.8 %
Importance_Stress	Likert scale: Very important feeling of stress	53.9 %




FIGURE 3.2: Modes of transport

Regarding analysis during our bivariate ordinal mode, we reclassified different modes of transportation into three general categories active transportation (walking and cycling), public transportation (taxi, collective, metro and bus) and private transportation (car and motorcycle).

As can be seen (see Figure 3.2), respondents mostly use public means of transportation with almost 285 out of 451 respondents as their main choice for commuting. Recent studies also have shown that using public transport has formed almost 50% of motorized trips as a salient example of the popularity of public transportation in Santiago, Chile over the recent years (Pezoa, Basso, Quilodr  n, & Varas, 2023).

Regarding this popularity and to make it more productive, it has been mentioned that public transport in Santiago as a modern and integrated system is called Transantiago referring to a sustainable public transport system for Santiago. By aggregating services such as bus and metro and keeping the fare expenses within a low range, passengers can benefit from an efficient system of transport which was unsuccessful based on Mu  oz & Gschwender (2008) and needs some consideration to work as planners expected.

Following this, the second popular mode of transportation can be assigned to private mode with around 25% of the whole population or 120 persons. Some users also were interested in eco-friendly modes like walking and cycling with slightly more than 40 people and 10 % of the whole respondents. To have a better understanding of the interaction among the key variables of modes of transportation, age groups, income




FIGURE 3.3: Income level

levels, experienced levels of stress and the importance assigned to the stress, some mosaic plots were adopted.

Mode, age and income are the most crucial variables in our study. As has been studied in previous work, different modes of commuting can be effective on people's feelings and in general, subjective well-being referring to the importance of focusing on individuals' travel experiences such as stress (Smith, 2017).

Income also is one of the significant variables as it determines people's options for commuting. According to the previous research if commuters are low-income workers they tend to use more public transportation in Santiago, Chile (Gómez-Lobo & Micco, 2023) which is important as it echoes findings of this research about predominance of low and middle income groups in Santiago (see Figure 3.3) . Another influential variable on people's experience of commuting is age which sometimes makes commuting difficult for older people especially when they choose walking according to the folks in La Cisterna district to the city center in Santiago (Tironi & Palacios, 2016). So we are analyzing these items in terms of their effect on each other.

According to the results from the cross-tabulation of modes of transport by age groups youth as the most populated age group were interested in using active and more public transport with more than 60% of each. Following this, adults as the second most populated age group were also interested in using more private and afterwards active mode with almost half of the private mode users and 23% of active mode users, respectively. Apart from this, seniors were mostly interested in private transport with




FIGURE 3.4: Transportation Mode by Age group

around 15 % of whole private users and fewer tend to use active and public with about 10 % for each of them.

Therefore as can be seen (see Figure 3.4) youth respondents tend to use public and active modes, adults are more interested in private and active, and seniors use almost all modes in a similar way but more interested in private mode. As (see Figure 3.5) shows that while active users as the least populated mode of transport, felt mostly low levels of commuting stress, they assigned high importance to that and among all, active users had the highest rate of importance.

To be more in detail, more than 60% of those who feel very low and low level of stress, give the highest level of importance to that. Following this, an almost opposite trend can be seen for private and public users when they feel high and very high levels of stress, which sounds crucial to them to assign the highest level of importance to their stress feeling.

According to (see Figure 3.5) almost more than 70 % of public and private users who felt high and very high levels of stress, mentioned that was important to them. Similarly, assigning importance to the rest of these people who felt stress in lower levels slopes to more medium and low levels as the stress level is going to decrease.

It is also an interesting fact that although all users have assigned high levels of importance to stress, private and public transport users felt relatively higher levels of stress compared to their active mode counterparts. Also, these public and private groups comprise almost 90% of the whole respondents. As (see Figure 3.6) indicates




FIGURE 3.5: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Stress Level, Importance of Stress and Mode of Transport




FIGURE 3.6: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Stress Level, Importance of Stress, and Income Level




FIGURE 3.7: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Stress Level and Importance of Stress and Age Groups

people in all of the income groups have assigned high levels of importance to stress when commuting. This trend has been indicated from the table (number) that 80 % of all groups have assigned important and very important levels of feeling stress when commuting. Moreover, the highest rate of giving a high level of importance is associated with the middle-income group all feeling stress levels as the most populated group (almost 33% of the whole respondents).

It is also interesting that among all of the income groups, low-income users felt the highest level of stress over all of the important stages. On the contrary, a huge number of people belonging to the middle level of income (almost 60 % of the middle group) felt low and moderate levels of experiencing stress and less than 20 % of them felt high and very high levels of stress commuting. This can be considered in a similar way for high-income level people as well because the largest part of people felt a range of low to high levels of stress with almost similar percentages to the middle group.

Generally, while almost all people considered high levels of importance about stress, the larger portion of them including middle and high income felt less challenging ranges of stress in comparison to low income levels. According to (see Figure 3.7) youth and adults as the most populated groups incorporate 60% and 30% of whole respondents. A common trend among all groups is that around 30% of them felt moderate stress levels and seniors had the largest portion of feeling stress at a very high level compared to other users.




FIGURE 3.8: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Mode, Age and Income

About youth and adults as the larger portion of respondents, there is a kind of similar dispersion of feeling stress ranging from low to high level with more than 70% of people in both age groups. For the importance of stress, while almost all groups felt a high level of stress, the importance of stress was far more important for adults, and seniors and youth assigned mostly very high level of importance but slightly less than adults. The analysis of the data (see Figure 3.8) reveals distinct trends among different age groups in terms of income levels and preferred modes of transportation. The youth category primarily aligns with high-income levels and active transportation modes. In contrast, adults show a notable association with middle income, alongside a relatively minor difference in low income, often opting for private modes of transportation.

Among seniors, there's a prevalence of low-income status, with a preference for private transportation modes. These findings provide insights into the varying preferences and financial considerations that influence transportation choices across age groups. As it has been revealed (see Figure 3.9), almost 80% of lower-level income people and 65% of middle-income level as the most populated group use public transport which has been indicated to be highly stressful, especially among low-income ones. High-income respondents where the most interested group in using private mode with 40 % of them and relatively less commuting stress but they highly rely on public mode with about 50% among all. The analysis of the provided information reveals (see Figure 3.10) distinct patterns within different income groups, stress levels, and transportation modes. Among low-income individuals, the preferred mode of transportation is predominantly public (40.8%), aligning with a significant prevalence of the "Moderate" stress level (37.01%)




FIGURE 3.9: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Experienced Stress, Income, Mode




FIGURE 3.10: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Importance Stress and Income and Mode



FIGURE 3.11: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Importance Stress, Age and Income

and the “Not important” stress importance category (37.67%).

In the middle-income group, “Active” transportation is most common (39.2%), while a majority experiences “Low” stress levels (43.2%). Notably, the “Very important” stress category (40.88%) is prominent. Conversely, high-income individuals tend to favour private transportation modes, coinciding with the highest occurrence of the “Very high” stress level (46.3%). The “Slightly important” stress category (75.48%) also stands out. This comprehensive analysis provides insights into the complex interplay between income, transportation choices, and stress levels and the importance of stress. The analysis of the data (see Figure 3.11) categorizes the importance level of stress in association with income and age groups. Middle-income youth, as well as low-income adults and seniors, tend to prioritize stress as “Not important.” Meanwhile, stress labelled as “Slightly important” is more prevalent among high-income youth, adults, and seniors.

The importance level categorized as “Moderately important” can be seen more among middle-income youth, as well as low-income adults and seniors. Among the “Important” stress category, high-income youth and adults, along with low-income seniors, stand out. Lastly, the “Very important” stress category is mainly linked to middle-income youth and adults, and high-income seniors. This comprehensive breakdown provides valuable insights into how stress perception varies across different income and age groups. The analysis of the data (see Figure 3.12) presents a segmentation of stress levels based on income and age groups. Stress level categorized as “Very low” is highly associated with




FIGURE 3.12: Conditional Mosaic Plot of Experienced Stress, Income and Age

middle-income youth, low-income adults, and high-income seniors. “Low” stress level is prevalent among middle-income youth, adults, and seniors. The “Moderate” stress category can be seen more among high-income youth and seniors, as well as low-income adults. Stress level categorized as “High” is mainly linked to high-income youth, adults, and seniors. Lastly, the “Very high” stress category is primarily related to middle-income youth, low-income adults, and seniors. This comprehensive breakdown offers valuable insights into how stress perception is nuanced across distinct income and age groups.

3.3.3 Modelling Approach

One of the most common analyses of ordinal data would be related to modelling the preferences or opinions in various fields. In this regard, we can see the application of modelling in correlated ordinal data as multiple outcomes for a number of subjects or objects like participants responding to a questionnaire. Given these multivariate settings, models particularly multivariate ordinal regression models sound practical to deal with the correlation among ordinal outcomes.

The current study has used a similar application of bivariate ordinal regression models (Kenne Pagui & Canale, 2016) considering the gap between expected satisfaction and experienced service. It refers to two different blocks in that participants are asked to say to what extent the service has importance and in the second part they are required to reveal the actual feeling about the given service.

As can be seen in the study conducted by Hirk, Hornik, & Gür (2020) the class of multivariate ordinal regression models implemented in `mvord` and can be applied to other applications such as having multiple or repeated ordinal observations. This research takes advantage of package `mvord` for R Studio which provides a flexible framework for analysing correlated ordinal data using the class of multivariate ordinal regression models.

This class of modelling assumes each ordinal response as a categorized version of an underlying continuous latent variable separated based on specific threshold parameters. This flexible framework contributes to imposing constraints on thresholds along with regression coefficients using arguments.

In this study, we implement a bivariate logit link for the class of multivariate ordinal regression models. In terms of identifiability, imposing some restrictions on the parameters is needed as the absolute scale and location are not identifiable in ordinal models. Therefore, in order to make the model identifiable, we use one of the options regarding constraining parameters set in the following way:

[Fixing the intercept β_{j0} (e.g., to zero), using flexible thresholds θ_j and fixing σ_{ij} (e.g., to unity) $\forall j \in J_i$ and *forall* $i \in (1, \dots, n)$]. (Hirk et al., 2020)

3.4 Analysis and Results

In order to fit our bivariate ordinal regression model, we can use the `mvord` R package which has two different data structures of `MMO` and `MMO2`. As we are working with a wide data format and the covariates stored in different columns of the data set do not change during multiple measurements, using the `MMO2` data structure is an appropriate way. In this data structure, each subject i refers to one row of the data frame and all the covariates are stored in different columns.

At this point, we used the following formula to specify the model:

```
mod_bivariate <- mvord (formula = MMO2(r1A_STRESS,r1GA_IMPSTRESS)
~ 0 + r0D_AGE + r0J_INCOME + r0P_MODE1 + r0G_EDUCATION +
r0H_OCCUPATION , link = mvlogit(df = 8L)
```

Where “`mod_bivariate`” is the name of the model, “`mvord`” is the function for fitting multivariate ordinal models, and “`MMO2`” is the data structure in accordance with the wide format of the data set. Predicators are demographic variables of the respondents namely their age, income, education and occupation level as well as their primary mode of commute.

The correlation coefficient between `H_Stress` and `H_Imp_stress` represents the correlation between the error terms of the two dependent variables. In current study as table (see Table 3.4) reveals, the estimated correlation coefficient is 0.250344, indicating a positive correlation between the error terms. This positive correlation coefficient suggests

that there may be shared or common unobserved factors influencing both stress levels and the importance of stress levels.

Possibly, this phenomenon may be attributed to factors influencing stress levels and the perceived importance of stress that are not captured by the variables included in our model. These unobserved factors could be psychological, environmental, social, or other latent variables that affect individuals' perceptions of stress and its significance. Specifically, the presence of a positive correlation suggests that individuals who experience higher levels of stress may also perceive stress as more important or significant during their commutes.

As the second step to make sure of the model's identifiability and reveal the latent correlation in the model, we used an argument to constrain the coefficients.

3.5 Discussion

The results of the model analysis can be seen in table (see Table 3.4). The analysis reveals that adult individuals aged between 35 to 54 are the most affected category when it comes to rating the importance of stress during commuting. There is a positive relation indicating that adults within this age range are more likely to assign importance to stress while commuting.

This trend holds until the age of 54, after which seniors aged 55 and above are significantly related to experiencing stress. The positive coefficient for this age group suggests that their stress level is decreasing compared to their younger counterparts.

This finding may seem incompatible with some previous research claiming that as people get older, they tend to avoid risks and tensions, especially while driving. However, it is important to consider that older individuals may also suffer from cognitive limitations as Westerman & Haigney (2000) mentioned and have difficulties remembering their lapses, leading to potentially dangerous and stressful situations. This may put them at a higher risk of accidents or unpleasant commuting experiences with more stressful moments. The declining ability to self-monitor and remember specific errors could contribute to this phenomenon (Rabbitt, 1990).

Previous research has indicated that income groups play a significant role in the relationship between travel and satisfaction. It has been suggested that commute satisfaction is associated with commute enjoyment, commute stress, social comparisons, personality, and overall well-being (Ye & Titheridge, 2019). People with higher incomes are more likely to afford better modes of travel, such as personal drivers, and therefore experience fewer stressful situations.

As can be seen in the model, the higher income category is strongly associated with a negative and relatively large coefficient, even larger than the middle-income group, indicating less probability of experiencing stress. This could be attributed to their capability or overall well-being, enabling them to choose different modes of

transportation to avoid stressful commuting experiences. These individuals may utilize problem-solving or emotion-based coping strategies.

As it has been indicated, the more people drive the less stress they experience as they become accustomed to it and this regularity helps them develop coping strategies and become more adept at the drive (Legrain et al., 2015). Interestingly, the middle-income group, despite having a positive coefficient, does not show a significant association with the experienced stress but it is still positive. However, it is worth noting that this group is highly and positively associated with assigning importance to the experienced stress during commute.

Previous work has also revealed that lower-income groups (which can be considered similar to middle-income) rated instrumental factors (e.g., cost, predictability, flexibility) higher than higher-income groups (Ye & Titheridge, 2019). This could explain why lower-income (middle-income in our study) individuals are not strongly associated with mentioning the importance of stress. They are more likely to choose public transportation or active modes of transportation due to the limited options available to them.

Moreover, it has been indicated that the impact of commuting mode on stress should be considered by transportation and planning agencies in making decisions (Wener & Evans, 2011). Commuting specifies the level of perceived control over this process which has a reverse relation with stress (Gottholmseder et al., 2009).

According to the current study, both public and private modes of transportation are positively associated with experiencing stress during commutes. A large coefficient indicates a more significant association between experiencing stress and those who choose public and private modes of transportation than active mode users. These findings are compatible with previous work indicating that commuting by car and public transit is considered to be more stressful and boring than active commuting. This has also been revealed that commuting satisfaction is associated with commute stress to a large extent and hence pedestrians, train travellers, and cyclists are significantly more satisfied with their commuting than car drivers, metro and bus users (Ye & Titheridge, 2019).

Having a higher level of education has been found to be associated with a positive and relatively significant coefficient leading to a higher probability of assigning importance to self-reported stress while commuting. As already has been mentioned, commute satisfaction has a strong effect on commute stress, and this is followed by the short distance factor (Ye & Titheridge, 2019). According to a previous study on the effect of telework on daily travel in Sweden, people with higher education are more likely to travel longer and this finding is willing to put travellers more at the exposure of experiencing stress (Elldér, 2020).

In terms of occupation, the current study has shown that experiencing stress among those who have a profession or students is significantly associated. A negative coefficient for both groups implies that their stress level has a decreasing trend compared to their counterparts. In addition, an increasing trend of stress levels has been indicated in some

professions like construction due to different reasons like having an unsafe workplace or occupational tensions (Loosemore & Waters, 2004).

TABLE 3.4: Estimation Results

Variables: Equation 1	Estimate	Standard Error	p-value	Variables: Equation 2	Estimate	Standard Error	p-value
-	-	-	-	AgeAdults 1	0.5732	0.2105	<0.0001
AgeSeniors 1	-0.5492	0.2625	<0.0001	-	-	-	-
IncomeMiddle Income 1	-0.9002	0.2245	1e-04	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	IncomeMiddle Income 2	0.3625	0.2049	<0.0001
IncomeHigh Income 1	-0.6607	0.2248	<0.0001	-	-	-	-
Main_modePrivate Transportation 1	1.4984	0.3515	0	-	-	-	-
Main_modePublic Transportation 1	1.5199	0.3175	0	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	EducationHigher Education 1	1.5149	0.7432	<0.0001
OccupationWorking 1	-0.9825	0.3217	0	-	-	-	-
OccupationStudent 1	-0.9821	0.3677	<0.0001	-	-	-	-
VLOW	-2.275	0.4221	0	NOT IMPORTANT SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT	-1.9388	0.7862	<0.0001
LOW MODERATE	-0.7954	0.4246	<0.0001	SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT MODERATELY IMPORTANT	-0.6362	0.7312	<0.0001
MODERATE HIGH	0.6368	0.4283	<0.0001	MODERATELY IMPORTANT IMPORTANT	0.4615	0.7319	<0.0001
HIGH VHIGH	1.9855	0.4451	0	IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT	1.6449	0.7367	<0.0001

3.6 Conclusion

This study has been conducted to investigate the effect of commuting stress on the transport mode of active and motorized travellers along with coping strategies. Recently, it has been more important in research to evaluate how these consequences are felt by commuters using various modes of transportation, particularly active ones.

Measuring stress entails an individual self-evaluation of a wide variety of variables when commuting preferably while commuting. There is a link between stress and commuting in the literature, which takes into account factors such as time commute as Evans & Wener (2006) mentioned, control and predictability according to Gottholmseder et al. (2009), as already has been found according to the previous studies about travel motives, especially instrumental ones. The component of stress level has a negative connotation in the context of this research (as no one would prefer to be stressed) and investigates the internal mental reaction of the traveller based on their commuting journeys.

In this research, we aimed to investigate the perceived commuting stress among active and motorized commuters and the relevant coping strategies. Furthermore, we look into the significance that travellers place on their stress levels. This allows us to investigate the concept of “limited horizons,” or how those who are less adaptable normalize subpar experiences. The current study on commuting stress focused on addressing the following questions:

-How crucial it is for respondents to make a journey while feeling a sense of stress and to what scale they are going to evaluate this feeling?

-What elements go into determining how stressed active and motorized travellers are when travelling?

In order to find out how people feel stress while commuting, the association between some key exploratory variables and our income variables has been assessed. The study found that while public users assume the least importance level, they feel the highest level of stress. When we consider a three-way association among active, public and private users, we can see first public and then private users as the more populated groups feel more stress and do not care as much as active users. This result is on the same page with previous work as Brutus et al. (2017) found those who cycled to work felt less stress than others who commute by motorized modes.

Results also have shown that although public transport is the most popular mode, those who use public transport are mostly low-income. These findings echo results from Tiznado-Aitken, Muñoz, & Hurtubia (2021), where they found in Latin America due to some deficiencies in land use planning and transport category low-income people suffer from long commutes between work and home. Because of their status and not having a high chance of car ownership, they become captive users of public transport as the fundamental mode of transport.

As previously indicated, low-income people are the most group at the exposure of feeling stress because they are the most interested users of public transport and they do not care about the feeling of stress as much as high and middle-income groups. As Gatersleben & Uzzell (2007) also supported previous studies people reported more stressful journeys by car and public transit than active mode due to different sources mainly delays because of traffic jams, the behaviour of other (car) users, and poor infrastructure provision (for public users).

It could be also interesting that the least popular mode is active which also has been mostly used by low-income people who are the most careful people about the importance of stress and have undergone the least stress. This echoes similar findings as Smith (2017) has indicated that people who bike and walk to the workplace are happier with their commute experience.

One of the reasons behind this fact that active mode has not been used as the most popular in Chile according to Herrmann-Lunecke, Mora, & Sagaris (2020) is the lack of physical safety infrastructure (“walkability” of the sidewalks). Furthermore, the lack of safety in Chile leads to sexual harassment, especially for women making them avoid walking and using public transport and stick to taxis even among those with low budgets. As expected in Chile as a developing global south country, while high-income clusters are more interested in using public transport, they can be considered as the most users of private modes of transport. These people are the second most affected group by feeling commuting stress and population after low-income people.

Findings have shown that those who use public transport are mostly youth and they feel the highest amount of stress while they assign almost the least importance to their feeling compared to other age groups and modes. Also, people who are dependent on public transport as the most popular mode, which has already been known as the most stressful mode of transport, care about stress less than any other group and they are mostly youth.

Adults are mostly interested in using private transportation and while they feel less commuting stress than public users, they care about it more than them. Seniors as the least populated group are also similar to adults in terms of getting interested in using private transport and they feel the same way as adults. It is interesting to note that active transport users include mostly middle and high-income youth category who don't feel stress that much while commuting but they care about stress more than any other group.

As a study has shown, people associated with low-income levels mostly use public transport feel higher levels of stress while they do not care about that compared to middle-income ones who care about their feeling of stress more than any group. These low-income people are more youth who are more interested in active and public mode at all income levels but their highest experience of feeling stress is when they are using public and the most peaceful is when they use active mode. Although travel could be

stressful, there should be a moderator feeling of normalization for them to overcome this stressful experience (Gustafson, 2014).

Moreover, high-income people who are more dependent on private mode feel a very high level of stress and assign a slight rate of importance to their feelings. These people are also more young and adult commuters. The reasons behind why people would stay on their mode of transport choice can be various.

As it has been indicated, respondents in Santiago, Chile are mostly low-income and middle-income. So they probably do not have enough budget to have access to the mode which is less stressful and efficient. Previous findings such as Tironi & Palacios (2016) support the fact that some commuters despite the anxiety and stress experienced by daily travels using “Transantiago” (modern public transportation of Santiago), would decide to sell their private car because of financial issues and stay on public transport. When facing the feeling of stress some people can deal with that or somehow get used to that experience by repeating more and more to develop a sense of “travel competence” arising from their attempts to reduce travel-related stress and inconvenience on the road.

While normalization can be beneficial in terms of reducing stress, it could lead to making travel less exciting. In this study we focused mostly on commutes to regular destinations like work, school and so on, we analyzed that these travels come from instrumental motives in which commuters care about their professional goals more than leisure and spare time. For travel to work, people consider factors like environment, cost, health and fitness, convenience and predictability flexibility and obviously, they feel more stress than in recreational travel. So, normalization is going to distinguish between normal travel and fun travel. For fun travel, people tend to commute to longer and unusual trips which seem more exciting whereas for normal travel all activities may become routine and repetitive.

This research demonstrates that the normalization processes possess the capability to moderate and reshape the stressful experiences of travel in various ways. This process can be used as a coping strategy for those who are low-income and have poor access to urban facilities, enough budget and lack of urban fairness in Santiago, Chile.

Chapter 4

Conclusion

The knowledge of commuting stress in both psychological context and travel behaviour studies is of importance as it tends to have a significant impact on daily patterns of commute. This thesis focuses on the analysis of the interaction between demographic specifications, stress feelings towards the travel experiences, and their reaction.

Chapter two contributes to constructing a comprehensive foundation in the format of a reproducible data package including a wide variety of aspects of living and moving in the urban environment. As it has been indicated earlier, people may have different travel experiences in terms of perceiving stress and assigning importance to negative feelings regarding their occupation, age, income and mode of transportation choice. Chapter three includes modelling techniques to understand the interaction between our outcome and exploratory variables to identify how commuters based on different categories are affected and what coping strategies can be applied to them.

In the following sections of this chapter, the mentioned contributions will be discussed in depth along with policy implication of the research, study limitations and further research.

4.1 Research Contribution

Research primarily aimed at exploring travel behaviour and commuting stress on a daily basis. This thesis also contributes to understanding the coping strategies that may have been used by commuters.

4.1.1 Providing a Reproducible Data Package based on the Travel Behaviour of Santiaguinos Commuters.

The research is based on a large-scale and purposefully collected travel survey including a broad range of various information on health, demographics, feelings and emotions, the built environment and so on. Given the survey as the foundation of this research, the study has contributed to providing a reproducible data set by performing different steps of data cleaning, documentation, storage and version control. These measures led to the establishment of valuable open source data, helpful to understanding travel

behaviour and commuting experiences of Santiago for future works. The feelings and emotions part of the survey helps investigate the effect of commuting on stress for both motorized and non-motorized commuters. This information gives beneficial insights to decision-makers in transportation planning, public sector development and stress-related policies to improve the commuting experience and make it safer and less stressful.

4.1.2 Influential Attributes in Commuting Stress Experiences

Findings based on the bivariate ordinal regression model suggested that people may have different feelings from happiness and excitement to anxiety and stress according to various socio-demographic situations such as different age groups, occupations, income levels and the primary mode of transport choice. In terms of the importance of understanding the possible stressors, Useche et al. (2023) found that while commuters encounter daily negative commute experiences such as traffic jams, deficiencies in transport choices, crowded public transport services, prolonged trips, and road conflicts, there are not many studies that have methodologically addressed the issue of commuting stress (CS). Findings of the current study have indicated that mostly pertaining to lower levels of income, young age range, using public modes may cause people to feel more stress while considering not enough importance to that feeling.

4.1.3 Potential Coping Strategies

According to the results from the modelling process and exploring the previous studies in terms of the ways that people deal with stress, the concept of normalization as an emotion-based coping strategy has been indicated to be employed by commuters. As indicated earlier almost more than half of Santiaguinos are related middle income and low income and had limited transportation options. As Chen (2017) found, work-related travel may be integrated with stress and this negative feeling of travellers can be rationalized and adjusted leading to normalization. In fact, business travellers may experience different levels of stress than leisure commuters and they know how to develop strategies to normalize the stress that they are facing. Hence, understanding various aspects of work commuters' stressors is important to address their issue associated with commuting stress.

4.2 Policy Implications

4.2.1 Invest in Public Transport Infrastructure

As the results from the modelling process of this dissertation have indicated, almost more than half of the respondents were characterized as middle-income and low-income people who relied on using public modes of transport for daily work commutes. The findings also revealed that although the youth age range is the most stress-affected commuters out of these people, they did not care about the feeling of stress as they were assumed to be. Following this issue, enhancement in public transportation infrastructure could be considered as a crucial policy implication in research. According

to commuters' experiences, having access to more reliable, efficient, and comfortable public transportation can reduce the stress associated with commuting, especially for those who rely on it such as younger people from middle-income and low-income groups in this research. Policymakers should consider that investments in public transit can lead to reduced congestion, shorter commute times, and a more positive and satisfying commuting experience.

4.2.2 Promote Alternative Transportation Modes

The results of the thesis suggested that active transport modes like walking or cycling are the least popular modes in Santiago. It is interesting that this mode is mostly used by low-income categories who are more conscious of the importance of stress but experience relatively lower stress levels as opposed to those from the same group but with high stress and interested in public mode. As similar Chilean studies like Herrmann-Lunecke et al. (2020) have shown the unpopularity of active transportation can be attributed to safety concerns, including sexual harassment, and a lack of safe infrastructure. Thus, encouraging the use of alternative and healthy transport modes like cycling and walking, and even carpooling would be another key policy implication. These modes can reduce the stress of daily commuting, help promote physical activity and contribute to environmental sustainability. Hence, policies that create safe and convenient options for active transportation can significantly impact commuters' well-being and reduce commuting stress.

4.3 Further Research

The research analyzed the impact of stress on commuters on a daily basis by investigating the interaction among experienced stress, the importance of that and socio-demographic variables to understand the relevant coping strategies to address this issue. However, there are some other research questions that need further attention.

4.3.1 Exploring Cross-Cultural Impacts on Travel Patterns and Commuting Stress

Understanding the cross-cultural differences in commuting stress and coping strategies can be helpful in studying how individuals in different cultural contexts experience and manage the stress associated with their daily commutes. Furthermore, it can be explored whether various cultural factors, such as societal norms and lifestyles, values, and communication styles, influence how people perceive and cope with commuting stress. For instance, Balbontin et al. (2021) has investigated cross-cultural comparisons using data from eight countries (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and South Africa). They explored the incidence of remote working post-COVID-19 and what impact this could have on the number of weekly commuting trips. Therefore, exploring the changes in travel behaviour and commuting stress due to various reasons

and in different cultural contexts would be beneficial in understanding and predicting new trends.

4.3.2 The Role of Technology in Commuting

According to the literature review in this research, people differ in employing a technique to deal with their stressful experience of commuting. Some of them may take advantage of problem-focused and others would be interested in using emotion-focused coping strategies. As a result, further research area could investigate the role of technology in modern commuting experiences, including the impact of digital gadgets and interventions on the way that people deal with negative feelings when travelling. Regarding the increasing use of technology in daily life, understanding commuters' interactions with these digital tools during travel is important. As Holden & Sunindijo (2018) has pointed out while stress can have a negative effect on work-life balance, technology would have an improving role on that. For example, people can undertake their job responsibilities using a phone, avoid commuting stress, allocate their time to other activities and manage both work and life commitments in a more flexible way.

4.3.3 Impacts of Environmental Factors on Commuting Experiences

According to the Santiago data set as a foundation for further analysis, sections of built environment and nature and sustainability of the city people reveal different viewpoints about the effect of the physical environment of commuting routes and individuals' experiences during their commutes. Investigating an appropriate way to manage the problem of stress requires further research and development of appropriate interventions. As Rowden, Matthews, Watson, & Biggs (2011) has suggested, interventions may aim at environmental factors such as traffic congestion, mitigating stress responses (e.g., work-related stress management programs), or enhancing the driver's ability to cope with challenges during the commute.

4.4 Study Limitations

There are some main limitations in this study that should be considered. First, there was a shortage of stress-specific and objective stressor variables in our data set such as speed, level of traffic congestion, commute starting and ending times, commute duration and so on. Having comprehensive collected data can provide a better understanding of how commuting stress affects commuters and what type of coping strategies they tend to employ.

Second, is the lack of variation in coping strategy identification in terms of introducing specific coping strategies regarding each mode of transportation. As the research focused on the most affected group of people who were public transport commuters, other significant modes like private ones were excluded while public commuters had negative feelings of stress during their commute.

The third one is related to the collection date of the data set used as a foundation for the second paper. The survey was conducted a couple of years ago when there was no impact of COVID-19 on the travel behaviour of Santiaguinos. COVID-19 has significant impacts in terms of changing travel patterns as a notable portion of people have transitioned to remote jobs or some others have reduced their dependency on using public transportation. Therefore, incorporating COVID-19-related variables will be helpful in providing more accurate insight into travel behaviour and health and safety concerns.

4.5 Closing Remarks

This thesis aims to investigate the effect of stress on the commuting experience of motorized and non-motorized commuters and how they address their negative feelings. As the study has indicated understanding mode of transport choice and income level would be important as these variables have an impact on the stress level during the commute. Public transport users, who are mostly low-income individuals, experience the highest levels of commuting stress. This category of commuters seems to be more resilient to stress, perhaps because of the necessity of using public transport regarding their financial status despite its drawbacks. In contrast, private transport users who are mainly high-income, also face substantial stress, indicating that higher income does not necessarily mean experiencing stress-free commuting. Evans, Wener, & Phillips (2002) also pointed out an interesting explanation about the role of the degree of predictability as a salient contributor to commuting stress in commuter mode selection. Perhaps private mode users are more interested in using their own vehicle than public means of transportation because they feel more predictability than mass transit options.

The thesis also investigated the role of normalization as a coping mechanism. The concept of “limited horizons” and normalization appear as a well-fitted coping mechanism. Low-income commuters, despite undergoing high-stress levels, reveal a level of adaptation or normalization, possibly resulting from their reliance on public transport. This normalization process has been indicated to be beneficial for them to deal with daily stressors associated with commuting.

Age as another influential demographic characteristic on stress perception has been reviewed in this study. Younger commuters, especially those relying on public transport, have reported the highest stress levels but have assigned the least importance to their negative feelings. This generation seems to be more resilient or indifferent to commuting stress compared to their counterparts. Contrastingly, adults as a category who are primarily using private transport, have undergone less stress but they have prioritized addressing it, revealing a greater concern for their well-being.

These findings emphasize the complex interaction among socioeconomic factors, mode of transport choice, and stress perception in the context of daily commuting. Furthermore, the importance of considering psychological aspects and adhering to them as coping strategies, such as normalization has been indicated when it comes

to designing policies and interventions to enhance commuting experiences and feelings. Understanding these dynamics can inform policymakers and transportation planners as they work towards creating more equitable, efficient, and less stressful transportation systems. For instance, Smith (2017) has indicated that policymakers should continue to make active travel options more available as people feel happier commuting by bike or walking. Future research could delve deeper into the decent coping mechanisms employed by different commuter groups and explore how these findings can be used in other global contexts.

References

- Abou-Zeid, M., Witter, R., Bierlaire, M., Kaufmann, V., & Ben-Akiva, M. (2012). Happiness and travel mode switching: Findings from a swiss public transportation experiment. *Transport Policy*, 19(1), 93–104.
- Alyavina, E., Nikitas, A., & Njoya, E. T. (2020). Mobility as a service and sustainable travel behaviour: A thematic analysis study. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 73, 362–381.
- Anable, J., & Gatersleben, B. (2005). All work and no play? The role of instrumental and affective factors in work and leisure journeys by different travel modes. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 39(2-3), 163–181.
- Babore, A., Lombardi, L., Viceconti, M. L., Pignataro, S., Marino, V., Crudele, M., ... Trumello, C. (2020). Psychological effects of the COVID-2019 pandemic: Perceived stress and coping strategies among healthcare professionals. *Psychiatry Research*, 293, 113366.
- Balbontin, C., Hensher, D. A., Beck, M. J., Giesen, R., Basnak, P., Vallejo-Borda, J. A., & Venter, C. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on the number of days working from home and commuting travel: A cross-cultural comparison between australia, south america and south africa. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 96, 103188.
- Benyamini, Y., Gozlan, M., & Weissman, A. (2017). Normalization as a strategy for maintaining quality of life while coping with infertility in a pronatalist culture. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 24, 871–879.
- Boran, M., Boran, O. F., Korukcu, O., & Özkaya, M. (2022). The psychological resilience and perceived stress of the frontline heroes in the pandemic in turkey: A descriptive study of the COVID-19 outbreak-mutations-normalization triad. *Japan Journal of Nursing Science*, 19(1), e12442.
- Brutus, S., Javadian, R., & Panaccio, A. J. (2017). Cycling, car, or public transit: A study of stress and mood upon arrival at work. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, 10(1), 13–24.
- Chen, H. S. (2017). Travel well, road warriors: Assessing business travelers' stressors. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 22, 1–6.

References

- Elldér, E. (2020). Telework and daily travel: New evidence from sweden. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 86, 102777.
- Ettema, D., Gärling, T., Eriksson, L., Friman, M., Olsson, L. E., & Fujii, S. (2011). Satisfaction with travel and subjective well-being: Development and test of a measurement tool. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 14(3), 167–175.
- Evans, G. W., & Wener, R. E. (2006). Rail commuting duration and passenger stress. *Health Psychology*, 25(3), 408.
- Evans, G. W., Wener, R. E., & Phillips, D. (2002). The morning rush hour: Predictability and commuter stress. *Environment and Behavior*, 34(4), 521–530.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1985). If it changes it must be a process: Study of emotion and coping during three stages of a college examination. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48(1), 150.
- Gardner, B., & Abraham, C. (2007). What drives car use? A grounded theory analysis of commuters' reasons for driving. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 10(3), 187–200.
- Gatersleben, B., & Uzzell, D. (2007). Affective appraisals of the daily commute: Comparing perceptions of drivers, cyclists, walkers, and users of public transport. *Environment and Behavior*, 39(3), 416–431.
- Gómez-Lobo, A., & Micco, A. (2023). Urban commuting time and sick-leave medical license use: An empirical study of santiago, chile. *Economics of Transportation*, 33, 100287.
- Gottholmseder, G., Nowotny, K., Pruckner, G. J., & Theurl, E. (2009). Stress perception and commuting. *Health Economics*, 18(5), 559–576.
- Gustafson, P. (2014). Business travel from the traveller's perspective: Stress, stimulation and normalization. *Mobilities*, 9(1), 63–83.
- Herrmann-Lunecke, M. G., Mora, R., & Sagaris, L. (2020). Persistence of walking in chile: Lessons for urban sustainability. *Transport Reviews*, 40(2), 135–159.
- Hill, J. D., & Boyle, L. N. (2007). Driver stress as influenced by driving maneuvers and roadway conditions. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 10(3), 177–186.
- Hirk, R., Hornik, K., & Gür, L. V. (2020). Mvord: An r package for fitting multivariate ordinal regression models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 93(4), 1–41.
- Holden, S., & Sunindijo, R. Y. (2018). Technology, long work hours, and stress worsen work-life balance in the construction industry. *International Journal of Integrated*

Engineering, 10(2).

- Iwasaki, Y., MacKay, K., & Mactavish, J. (2005). Gender-based analyses of coping with stress among professional managers: Leisure coping and non-leisure coping. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 37(1), 1–28.
- Jamal, S., Mohiuddin, H., & Paez, A. (2020). How do the perceptions of neighborhood conditions impact active transportation? A study in rajshahi, bangladesh. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 87, 102525.
- Kenne Pagui, E. C., & Canale, A. (2016). Pairwise likelihood inference for multivariate ordinal responses with applications to customer satisfaction. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry*, 32(2), 273–282.
- Kontogiannis, T. (2006). Patterns of driver stress and coping strategies in a greek sample and their relationship to aberrant behaviors and traffic accidents. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 38(5), 913–924.
- Koslowsky, M. (1997). Commuting stress: Problems of definition and variable identification. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*.
- Koslowsky, M., Kluger, A. N., & Reich, M. (2013). *Commuting stress: Causes, effects, and methods of coping*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Legrain, A., Eluru, N., & El-Geneidy, A. M. (2015). Am stressed, must travel: The relationship between mode choice and commuting stress. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 34, 141–151.
- Loosemore, M., & Waters, T. (2004). Gender differences in occupational stress among professionals in the construction industry. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 20(3), 126–132.
- Lowe, K., & Mosby, K. (2016). The conceptual mismatch: A qualitative analysis of transportation costs and stressors for low-income adults. *Transport Policy*, 49, 1–8.
- Maier, S. F., & Seligman, M. E. (1976). Learned helplessness: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 105(1), 3.
- Mattisson, K., Idris, A. O., Cromley, E., Håkansson, C., Östergren, P.-O., & Jakobsson, K. (2018). Modelling the association between health indicators and commute mode choice: A cross-sectional study in southern sweden. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 11, 110–121.
- Mokhtarian, P. L., & Salomon, I. (2001). How derived is the demand for travel? Some conceptual and measurement considerations. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 35(8), 695–719.

References

- Muñoz, J. C., & Gschwender, A. (2008). Transantiago: A tale of two cities. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 22(1), 45–53.
- Nakano, K. (1991). The role of coping strategies on psychological and physical well-being. *Japanese Psychological Research*, 33(4), 160–167.
- Novaco, R. W., & Gonzalez, O. I. (2009). Commuting and well-being. *Technology and Well-Being*, 3, 174–4.
- Odufuwa, B. (2008). Gender differentials, vulnerability and mobility stress coping strategies in nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, 1(7), 132.
- Páez, A., & Whalen, K. (2010). Enjoyment of commute: A comparison of different transportation modes. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 44(7), 537–549.
- Pezoa, R., Basso, F., Quilodrán, P., & Varas, M. (2023). Estimation of trip purposes in public transport during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of santiago, chile. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 109, 103594.
- Rabbitt, P. (1990). Age, IQ and awareness, and recall of errors. *Ergonomics*, 33(10-11), 1291–1305.
- Rana, W., Mukhtar, S., & Mukhtar, S. (2020). Mental health of medical workers in pakistan during the pandemic COVID-19 outbreak. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51, 102080.
- Rowden, P., Matthews, G., Watson, B., & Biggs, H. (2011). The relative impact of work-related stress, life stress and driving environment stress on driving outcomes. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 43(4), 1332–1340.
- Sha, F., Li, B., Law, Y. W., & Yip, P. S. (2019). Beyond the resource drain theory: Salary satisfaction as a mediator between commuting time and subjective well-being. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 15, 100631.
- Shamoa-Nir, L., & Koslowsky, M. (2010). Aggression on the road as a function of stress, coping strategies and driver style. *Psychology*, 1, 35–44.
- Smith, O. (2017). Commute well-being differences by mode: Evidence from portland, oregon, USA. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 4, 246–254.
- Tironi, M., & Palacios, R. (2016). Affects and urban infrastructures: Researching users’ daily experiences of santiago de chile’s transport system. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 21, 41–49.
- Tiznado-Aitken, I., Muñoz, J. C., & Hurtubia, R. (2021). Public transport accessibility accounting for level of service and competition for urban opportunities: An equity analysis for education in santiago de chile. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 90, 102919.

References

- Useche, S. A., Marin, C., & Llamazares, F. J. (2023). “Another (hard) day moving in the city”: Development and validation of the MCSS, a multimodal commuting stress scale. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 95, 143–159.
- Webster, V., Brough, P., & Daly, K. (2016). Fight, flight or freeze: Common responses for follower coping with toxic leadership. *Stress and Health*, 32(4), 346–354.
- Wener, R. E., & Evans, G. W. (2011). Comparing stress of car and train commuters. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 14(2), 111–116.
- Westerman, S., & Haigney, D. (2000). Individual differences in driver stress, error and violation. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 29(5), 981–998.
- Ye, R., & Titheridge, H. (2019). The determinants of commuting satisfaction in low-income population: A case study of xi’an, china. *Travel Behaviour and Society*, 16, 272–283.