



Antonio Paez <paezha@gmail.com>

PLOS ONE Decision: PONE-D-21-16874 - [EMID:2e3531c1fe588cf1]

PLOS ONE <em@editorialmanager.com>
Reply-To: PLOS ONE <plosone@plos.org>
To: "Paez, Antonio" <paezha@mcmaster.ca>

Tue, May 25, 2021 at 11:02 AM

PONE-D-21-16874

The importance of reproducibility in COVID-19 research: the case of population density and the spread of the pandemic
PLOS ONE

Dear Dr. Paez,

Thank you very much for submitting your manuscript for review by PLOS ONE. After careful consideration, I regret we have decided that your manuscript is not suitable for publication by PLOS ONE.

PLOS ONE has been specifically designed for the publication of the results of primary scientific research; as such it is not suitable for reviews, essays, hypothesis papers, or other items of secondary literature (more about our guidelines can be found at (<http://journals.plos.org/plosone/s/criteria-for-publication#loc-1>)). In this case we feel that your submission falls too far into this category to justify inclusion in PLOS ONE.

We appreciate the attention to an important topic, and feel that the part of the manuscript that replicates a previous study may be in scope for PLOS ONE as a research article. We would invite you to resubmit a revised manuscript as a research article focussing on the efforts to replicate the previous study, and the findings from this. Please email us at plosone@plos.org if you would like to discuss this further.

I am sorry that we cannot be more positive on this occasion. We wish you luck in finding an alternative venue for your work.

Yours sincerely

Hanna Landenmark

Associate Editor, PLOS ONE

For journal use only: PONEDEC3

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.