

Decision on your submission - COMPEDU-D-24-01773**From:** [Computers & Education <em@editorialmanager.com>](mailto:em@editorialmanager.com)**Reply-To:** [Computers & Education <support@elsevier.com>](mailto:support@elsevier.com)**To:** [Antonio Paez <paezha@mcmaster.ca>](mailto:paezha@mcmaster.ca)**Subject:** Decision on your submission - COMPEDU-D-24-01773**Date:** Friday, September 13, 2024 8:16 AM**Size:** 24 KBMs. Ref. No.: **COMPEDU-D-24-01773**Title: An AI is Haunting Campus: A Call for Human-Centered Higher Education
Computers & Education

Dear Prof. Antonio Paez,

I regret to inform you that the reviewers of your manuscript have advised against publication, and I must therefore reject it.

For your guidance, the reviewers' comments are included below.

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to consider your work.

Yours sincerely,

Professor Johan van Braak
Co-Editor
Computers & Education

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Points for the Author(s) to Consider:

Abstract: The abstract is generally too shallow and brief. The authors did not state the methods used for the study nor the findings based on solid evidence or data.

Introduction: The author(s) provided an introduction to Language Learning Models (LLMs), but did not clearly link AI to higher education practices. What specific problems did the author(s) aim to address? While two research questions were presented, there was no discussion of issues or research gaps, nor was there any synthesis of existing research on the use of AI in educational settings.

Content and Rigor: In addressing the research questions raised, the paper contained an excessive amount of descriptive information. Overall, this paper does not meet the rigor required by the journal.

Reviewer #2: The author did not write an abstract.

The entire article is disorganized, and the author did not follow the APA format.

What is the Research Gap in this article? Authors should include it in the introduction, but this article lacks a description of research gaps.

The authors did not mention the research methods.

How did the authors create the figures?

There are no limitations mentioned, nor are there any future research directions provided.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at <http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EM via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

At Elsevier, we want to help all our authors to stay safe when publishing. Please be aware of fraudulent messages requesting money in return for the publication of your paper. If you are publishing open access with Elsevier, bear in mind that we will never request payment before the paper has been accepted. We have prepared some guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/authors-update/seven-top-tips-on-stopping-apc-scams>) that you may find helpful, including a short video on Identifying fake acceptance letters (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5l8thD9XtE>). Please remember that you can contact Elsevier's Researcher Support team (<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>) at any time if you have questions about your manuscript, and you can log into Editorial Manager to check the status of your manuscript (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/29155/c/10530/supporthub/publishing/kw/status/).

#AU_COMPEDU#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.