

# **CSE 575: Advanced Cryptography**

## **Fall 2024**

## **Lecture 18**

Paul Grubbs

[paulgrub@umich.edu](mailto:paulgrub@umich.edu)

Beyster 4709

# Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 1
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 2 (reduction to inverting TDP)
- Tightness issues

# Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 1
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 2 (reduction to inverting TDP)
- Tightness issues

# Announcements

- HW3 is out! Due 11/5
- No OH today. makeup OH on Monday after class.

# News

**132.0**

**Firefox Release**  
October 29, 2024

**Version 132.0, first offered to Release channel users on October 29, 2024**



**Web Platform**

Added support for a post-quantum key exchange mechanism for TLS 1.3 (mlkem768x25519) which secures communications against advanced / long-term threats.

# Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 1
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 2 (reduction to inverting TDP)
- Tightness issues

# Full-domain hash signature scheme

$$H: \mathbb{Z}_q^k \rightarrow D_S \text{ (PSS)}$$

$\text{FDH}^H[F].\text{Gen}$ : Ret f. Gen

•  $\text{FDH}^H[f].\text{Sign}(sk=t, m)$ : Ret  $f_t^{-1}(H(m))$

•  $\text{FDH}^H[f].\text{Ver}(pk=s, m, \sigma)$ : Ret  $f_s(\sigma) \stackrel{?}{=} H(m)$

Thm: If  $f_s$  is TDP, then  $\text{FDH}^H[f]$  is UF-CMA in ROM for H.

TDP is secure if  $\text{UnPPT } \lambda$ ,

$$\Pr_{\substack{(s,t) \leftarrow \text{Gen} \\ x \in D_S}} [\mathcal{A}(s, f_s(x)) = x] = \text{negl}(\lambda)$$

$$(s,t) \leftarrow \text{Gen}$$

$$x \in D_S$$

# FDH analysis: guess the winning query

Simplifications: (wlog)

- A poly-many RO calls ( $\epsilon$ )
- A tries to win
- A queries  $H(u)$  before  $\text{Sign}(u)$
- A never repeats query to  $H$
- A queries RO on attempted forgery

# FDH analysis: guess the winning query

$\text{UF} - \text{CMA } \overline{\text{FDH}} \vdash \text{fI} :$

$(S, t) \leftarrow f.\text{Gen}; Q = \{\}, T = \text{FI}$

$m', o' \leftarrow A^{\text{Sign}(\cdot), \text{H}(\cdot)}(S)$

Ret  $F_S^t(o') = H(m')$   
 $\wedge m' \in Q$

Sign( $m$ ):

Add  $m$  to  $Q$

$y = T[I[m]]$

Ret  $F_t^{-1}(y)$

H( $x$ ):

$\overline{F[T[x]]} = \perp$

$x \notin D_S$

$T[x] = y$

Ret  $T[x]$

$G_I^A$ :

$\frac{}{(S, t) \leftarrow f.\text{Gen}; Q = \{\}, T = \text{FI}; i \in [q]}{G_I^A}$

$m', o' \leftarrow A^{\text{Sign}(\cdot), \text{H}(\cdot)}(S)$

Ret  $F_S^t(o') = H(m')$

Sign( $m$ ):

Add  $m$  to  $Q$

$y = T[I[m]]$

Ret  $F_t^{-1}(y)$

H( $x$ ):

$\overline{F[T[x]]} = \perp$

$y \in D_S$

$T[x] = y$

$j + 1; \text{If } j = i: m^* = x$

Ret  $T[x]$

# FDH analysis: guess the winning query

$\text{UF-CMA} \xrightarrow{A} \text{FDH}^{\text{#FFI}}$

$(S, t) \leftarrow f.\text{Gen}; Q = \emptyset, T = \text{FI}$

$m', \sigma' \leftarrow A^{\text{Sign}(\cdot), \text{Hc}(\cdot)}(S)$

Ret  $F_S(Q) = \text{Hc}(m')$   
 $\wedge m' \in Q$

$\text{Sign}(m)$ :

Add  $m$  to  $Q$

$y = TImI$

Ret  $F_t^{-1}(y)$

$H(x)$ :

$\overline{If T[x] = +}$

$y \notin D_S$

$T[x] = y$

$G_1^A :$

$\frac{}{(S, t) \leftarrow f.\text{Gen}; Q = \emptyset, T = \text{FI}; i \in [q]}{j=0}$

$m', \sigma' \leftarrow A^{\text{Sign}(\cdot), \text{Hc}(\cdot)}(S)$

Ret  $F_S(Q) = \text{Hc}(m')$   
 $\wedge m' \in Q \wedge m' = m^*$

$\text{Sign}(m)$ :

Add  $m$  to  $Q$

$y = TImI$

Ret  $F_t^{-1}(y)$

$H(x)$ :

$\overline{If T[x] = +}$

$y \in D_S$

$T[x] = y$

$j + t; \text{If } j = i: m^* = x$

Ret  $T[x]$

Claim:

$$\Pr[\text{UF-CMA} \xrightarrow{A} \text{FDH}^{\text{#FFI}} = 1] = \Pr[G_1^A = 1]$$

Proof: Let  $E_0$  be  $\text{UF-CMA}^A = 1$   
 $E_i$  is event that  
 $m'$  is  $i$ th query to  $H$

$$\Pr[G_1^A = 1] = \Pr[E_0 \wedge E_c]$$

$$\Pr[\overline{E}_0] \Pr[E_c]$$

$$= p_1[E_0] \cdot \frac{1}{q} \quad \square$$

# Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 1
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 2 (reduction to inverting TDP)
- Tightness issues

# Reducing FDH to inverting TDP

$E_2^A$ :

$(S, t) \leftarrow f.\text{Gen} ; Q = \{\}, T = \emptyset ; i \in [q]$

 $m', \sigma' \leftarrow A^{\text{Sign}(\cdot), H(\cdot)}(S)$ 

Ret  $F_S(\sigma') = H(m')$

 $\exists m' \in Q \wedge m' = m^*$ 

$\text{Sign}(m)$ :

Add  $m$  to  $Q$

If  $m = m^*$ : abort

$y = T[m]$

Ret  $F_t^{-1}(y)$

$H(x)$ :

$\exists f \in T[x] = 1$

$y \in D_S$

$T[x] = y$

$j++$ ; If  $j = i$ :  $m^* = x$

Ret  $T[x]$

$j=0$

$B(S, Y)$ :

$i \in [q] ; T = \{\} ; j = 0$

$m', \sigma' \leftarrow A^{\text{Sign}, H}(\epsilon, S)$

Ret  $\sigma'$

$\widehat{\text{Sign}}(m)$ :

$\exists f \in T[m] = m^*$ : abort

Ret  $\sigma$  s.t.

$(m, \cdot, \sigma) \in T$

$\widehat{f}_t(x)$ :

$\exists i : \text{Ret } y \text{ if } m^* = x$

$\text{Else: } \sigma \in D_S$

$y' = F_S(\sigma)$

Store  $(x, y', \sigma) \in T$

Ret  $y'$

$\Pr[G_1^A = 1] = \Pr[G_2^A = 1]$

Now construct  $\beta$  that wins TDP inversion

Claim:

$\Pr[G_2^A = 1] = \Pr_{\substack{(S, t) \in \mathcal{R} \\ x \in B}}[B(S, f_S(x)) = x]$

Proof: (1)  $\beta$ 's input list is correct ✓

(2)  $\beta$  wins when  $A$  does when  $A$  wins,

$H(m') = F_S(\sigma')$

and  $m'$  unqueried

and  $m' = m^*$

In  $\beta$  reduction,

$\widehat{f}_t(m') = y$ , and

$F_t^{-1}(y) = \sigma$



# Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 1
- Full-domain hash analysis, part 2 (reduction to inverting TDP)
- Tightness issues

# Tightness issues

Factor - e loss