# Review - Chapter 4: Basic Topology

Parker Hyde

February 17, 2022

## 4.1 Open and Closed Sets

#### Interval notation

For real numbers  $a \leq b$ , we define

$$(a,b) = \{x \in R : a < x < b\}$$

$$[a,b] = \{x \in R : a \le x \le b\}$$

$$[a,b) = \{x \in R : a \le x < b\}$$

$$(a,b] = \dots$$

These are simplest open, closed, and half-open (half-closed, clopen) sets everyone knows.

**Definition 1** (open sets). A set U is open if  $\forall x \in U$  we can find an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U$ 

Basically the set is open if all points are "padded" by other points in set. For any  $x \in (0,1)$  there's always an infintude of points surrounding that x.

#### How to prove a set U is open

The general strategy is to fix some  $x \in U$  and then find an  $\epsilon$  so that  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U$ .

**Example 1.** Show that the set  $U = \{x \in R : |x - m| < d\}$  is open

We need to find the appropriate  $\epsilon$ .

Start by fixing  $x \in U$ . If |x - m| < d then d - |x - m| > 0. This just says that the maximum allowed distance d is greater than whatever distance x actually is from m.

So lets pick this difference for  $\epsilon$ . We set  $\epsilon = d - |x - m|$ .

Whatever distance x is from m, adding less than  $\epsilon$  distance will never take x more than distance d from m. So now we just use this info to prove it.

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in U$  and set  $\epsilon = d - |x - m| > 0$ . Let  $t \in N_{\epsilon}(x)$ . Then  $|t - x| < \epsilon$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |t-m| &= |(t-x) + (x-m)| \\ &\leq |t-x| + |x-m| \\ &< d-|x-m| + |x-m| \\ &= d \\ &\Longrightarrow t \in U \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U$ . Get fucked, we done.

Moving on..

Things we are about to cover:

- 1) The (possibly infinite) union of open sets is  $\rightarrow$  open
- 2) The finite intersection of open sets is  $\rightarrow$  open. Not necessarily true for infinite (think  $(-\frac{1}{i},\frac{1}{i})$ ).
- 3) The (possible infinite) intersection of closed sets is  $\rightarrow$  closed
- 4) The finite union of of closed sets is  $\rightarrow$  closed.

Infinite intersections do weird things to open sets.

Infinite unions do weird things to closed sets.

**Theorem 1.** If  $U_{\alpha}$  are open sets (possibly denumerable or uncountable), then

$$U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$$

is open.

How do we go about proving this. Again for any  $x \in U$  we need to produce  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U$ . In this case we can just borrow an  $N_{\epsilon}$  whatever  $U_{\alpha}$  our x comes from.

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in U$ . Then  $x \in U_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ .  $U_{\alpha}$  is open so there exists an  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U_{\alpha} \subset U$ . U is open. come get some little bitch.

**Theorem 2.**  $U_1, U_2, U_3, ..., U_k$  are open sets, then

$$V = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} U_j$$

is open.

This time we can't just take some  $N_{\epsilon}(x)$  from  $U_j$ , assume it'll be a subset of V and call it a day. The intersection with other sets may subtract away some of our chosen  $N_{\epsilon}(x)$ . We have to pick the 'right'  $N_{\epsilon}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in V$ . Then  $x \in U_j$ ,  $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., k$ . Each of these sets are open so they all have corresponding neighborhoods  $N_{\epsilon_j}(x)$ . Let  $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_j : j = 1, 2, ..., k\}$ . Then  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U_j$  for j = 1, 2, ..., k

$$\implies N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset V$$

Again this is not necessarily true. For a situation like

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} = (-\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j})$$
 or even  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} = (0, 1 + \frac{1}{j})$ 

we get closed and clopen sets respectively. 1 is in the second set but a suitable  $N_{\epsilon}(1)$  doesn't exist.

**Theorem 3.**  $U \subset R$  is a nonempty open set. Then for either finitiely many or countably many  $(k = \infty)$  pairwise disjoint open intervals  $I_i$ .

$$U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} I_j$$

*Proof.* This is the proof by equivalence relation. Setting  $a \cong b$  if  $(a,b) \subset U$  creates a partion with equivalence classes  $I_{\alpha}$ . Being equivalence classes means their union equals U. We just need to show the classes are open intervals. Clearly they're intervals. Let  $x \in I_{\alpha}$ . Then  $x \in U$  so we have a  $N_{\epsilon}(x)$ . We constructed the equivalence relation so that  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset I_{\alpha}$ . All the points in  $N_{\epsilon}(x)$  would have to be in  $I_{\alpha}$ .

Basically, open intervals are the building blocks of open sets. If you have an open set, you can build it from a union of open intervals. This is trivial for open sets that are intervals themselves.

The contrapositive is interesting here. If you can't set U equal to a union of open intervals then U isn't an open set.

### **Closed Sets**

**Definition 2.**  $F \subset R$  is closed in the complement  $R \setminus F$  is open.

Immediately we should notice the potential contradiction. The sets  $\emptyset$  and R are both open by definition (vacuously true for  $\emptyset$ ). They are mutual complements so they sould both also be closed. In fact they're both closed and open.. At least that's what I read on math stack.

**Example 2.** The set  $B = \{\frac{1}{j} : j = 1, 2, 3, ...\} \cup \{0\}$  is closed because it's complement is the union of open sets given by

$$(-\infty,0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{j+1}, \frac{1}{j}) \cup (1,\infty)$$

Theorem 4.