Map of subjects schounding homotopy type throng:

Functional

Type theory — Logic — Foundations of mathematics

Functional — Homotopy type — Set theory — Heory — Topos theory — Th

Martin-Löf type theory

(Similar to CIC, but no universe Prop of pupositions and with Z-types)

· 3 basic judgments

- T . L,

- TH TTYPE

- Tr +:T

· Corresponding equality judgments

- 1:1, ctx

- THT=TT TYPE

- ナトナミナ/: T

· Type farmers

- φ, 1, B, N, W-types

- = types

- Etypes, Ttypes

- Universe hierarchy Ui

Univalent foundations/type throng

MLTT + Univalence axiom (focus on h-levels)

Hamotopy type throng

UF + higher inductive types

Inductive types

Inductive types are freely generated by their Lanonical terms.

Z-types

Given b:B+E(b) type (in log E (b:B): UU), want to form a type whose terms are dependent pairs <b,e> where b:B, e:E(b)

Dependent pair types Z

Z-form: [, x:P+Q(x) T+ZQ(x) x:P

Z-intro: [+p:P T+q: Q(p)

T+pair(p,q): Z Q(p)

x:p

Exercise. Construct a function π_i : $\sum_{x:7} Q(x) \longrightarrow P$

Types as logic, sets, programs (Luny-Howard, Browner-Heyting-Kolmogurer)

Sets Thousan Logic T chx hypotheses indexing set hames in supe family T of sets on T+T type Predicate TonT program specification comp value from T sulian, i.e., proof of T Program TH:T T/Y hallyer Program w/ no input that N S+T (ZTi) SXT (ET) S→T (TT) s:s tem one tind of program into another Z E (b) TT TT (set of sections) TT E(6)

The strangest inductive type : 1d

Why do we need the identity type?

(If we're not interested in homotopy)

A1: There are many equalities that hold only propositionally.

 \underline{Ex} . add $(x, \delta) \doteq x$ add $(x, sy) \doteq s$ add (x, y)One runnot prove add $(0, x) \doteq x$. To prove this, we need to induct on n (i.e. use IN-elimination), but this only allows us to construct a term of a type.

We will be able to prove add(0,x)=x.

AZ: We already have a notion of equality:

judgmental equality =

(The identity type is called propositional equality =.)

Loginal interpretation: propositions are types / proofs are terms.

To prove an equality (and be consistent with the logical interpretation) we want to produce a term of a type of equalities.

Type constructors often internalize structure

- · N
- ø

un also be seen as internalizing external versions.

· The universe type

internalizes the judgment of the form

A type

· We'll see how the identity type internalizes judgmental equality...

Identity type =

=- form

Tra=ab type

 $\frac{\Gamma_{A}:A}{\Gamma_{1}\Gamma_{a}:A=_{A}\alpha}$

Type constructors often internalize structure

Note that the wes governing equality say that if $a \doteq b : A$, then $r_a : a = b$.

- Reflexivity (r.) turns judgmental equalities into propositional equalities.

Exercise. Add (O, n) = n for all n:N.

(Note: one of add (O,n)=n and add (n,0)=n will hold definitionally depending on how you defined add. Show the other one holds judgmentally.)

(Ex.) take the inverse of an equality (if q: b=ac, then q': c=b) (Ex.) take composition of equalities (if p: a=b and q: b=c, then p.q:a=c) (Ex) functions A -B respect equality (i.e. map a=aa' to fa=bfa')

(EX) For any dependent type X:B+E(x) type, any terms b, b':B, and any equality 7: b=b', there is a function trp: E(b) - E(b').