

Category theory

Def. $\text{Grpd} := \sum_{T:U} \text{is of level } 3T$.

We have a univalence principle

Thm. $(G =_{\text{Grpd}} H) \simeq (G \simeq H)$.

But what about categories?

How do we define categories in UF?

- A category is normally defined as a bunch of sets.
- We could do this, but
 - we stay in the set level (everything in mathematics is a bunch of sets)
 - the SIP for structures on sets tells us that

$$(C =_{\text{Cat}} D) \simeq (C \cong D)$$

and isomorphism is not the right kind of sameness for categories.

Instead, we take a groupoid and put extra structure on it.

- Every category has a 'core groupoid'
 - the objects and all invertible morphisms.

Def. A category \mathcal{C} consists of

- a ~~set~~ ^{groupoid} $\text{ob } \mathcal{C}$
- a set $\text{hom}(X, Y)$ for every pair $X, Y \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$
 $X, Y: \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \vdash \text{hom}(X, Y) : \text{Set}$
- an ~~element~~ ^{term} $1_X \in \text{hom}(X, X)$ for every $X \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$
 $X: \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \vdash 1_X: \text{hom}(X, X)$
- a function $\circ: \text{hom}(X, Y) \times \text{hom}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X, Z)$
 for every $X, Y, Z \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$.
 $X, Y, Z: \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \vdash \circ: \text{hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{hom}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X, Z)$
- such that
- the morphism $\text{id to iso}: (X = Y) \rightarrow \text{iso}(X, Y)$ is an equivalence
 $(\text{iso}(X, Y) := \sum_{f: \text{hom}(X, Y)} \sum_{g: \text{hom}(Y, X)} g \circ f = 1_X \times f \circ g = 1_Y).$
- . . .

i.e., the type $\text{Cat} := \sum_{\text{ob } \mathcal{C}: \text{Groupoid}} \sum_{\text{hom}: \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}} \sum_{I: \prod_{X: \text{ob } \mathcal{C}} \text{hom } X} \dots$

NB. This is often called a univalent category and the requirement that id to iso is an equivalence is called (internal) univalence.

Thm (univalence for categories) $(\mathcal{C} \equiv_{\text{Cat}} \mathcal{D}) \simeq (\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{D}).$

Cor Cat is a 2-groupoid (n-level 4).

Higher inductive types.

Homotopy type theory = MLTT + UA + higher inductive types

Recall: inductive types are generated by their constructors (terms) / canonical terms

Since we now consider types as having

- terms
- equalities
- equalities between equalities

We can consider higher inductive types, whose constructors can be terms, equalities, equalities between equalities, etc.

Ex. $S^0 := \text{bool}$ has constructors

- $\text{true} : \text{bool}$
- $\text{false} : \text{bool}$

Def. D' (the interval) has constructors

- $\text{true} : D'$
- $\text{false} : D'$
- $p : \text{true} =_{D'} \text{false}$

We could define D' with four rules:

$$\frac{}{D' \text{ type}} \quad D' - \text{form}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{true} : D'} \quad \frac{}{\text{false} : D'} \quad \frac{}{p : \text{true} =_{D'} \text{false}} \quad D' - \text{intro}$$

$$d : D' \vdash E(d) \text{ Type}$$

$$\vdash t : E(\text{true})$$

$$\vdash f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\frac{\vdash \pi : p \# t = f : E(\text{false})}{d : D' \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (d) : E(d)}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d : D' \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (d) : E(d) \end{array} \right\} D' - \text{elim}$$

$$\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{true}) \doteq t : E(\text{true})$$

$$\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{false}) \doteq f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (p) \doteq \pi : p \# t = f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{true}) \doteq t : E(\text{true}) \\ \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{false}) \doteq f : E(\text{false}) \\ \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (p) \doteq \pi : p \# t = f : E(\text{false}) \end{array} \right\} D' - \text{comp}$$

Def. S' (the circle) has constructors

- $\text{base} : S'$

- $\text{loop} : \text{base} = \text{base}$

Thm. $\pi_1(S') = \mathbb{Z}$ where

$$\pi_1 : T \rightarrow \text{Set} \text{ is defined as}$$

$$S' \rightarrow T \dots ?$$

- We want to make this a Σ .
- We also want to make thing into propositions.

Ex. Given $P, Q: \text{Prop}$

$P + Q$ is not a proposition in general

Thm. • If $P, Q: \text{Prop}$, $P \times Q: \text{Prop}$ (wrtk $P \wedge Q$)

• If $P: \text{Prop}$, $E: P \rightarrow \text{Prop}$,

$$\sum_{p:P} e(p)$$

$$\prod_{p:P} e(p)$$

are in Prop .

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wrtk } \exists_{p:P} e(p) \\ \forall_{p:P} e(p) \end{array} \right)$$

Def. Given a type T , the propositional truncation $\|T\|_1$ of T is the higher inductive type with constructors

$$\bullet \text{ } |-|_1: T \rightarrow \|T\|_1,$$

$$\bullet \prod_{x,y:T} |x|_1 = |y|_1$$

Ex. Show that for any type T , $\|T\|_1$ is a proposition.

Def. Define $P \vee Q := \|P + Q\|_1$, for $P, Q: \text{Prop}$.

Ex. Functions $(T \rightarrow P) \simeq (\|T\|_1 \rightarrow P)$ for any type T ,

Proposition P.

Def. Given a type T , the set truncation $\|T\|_2$ of T is the higher inductive type with constructor

- $| - |_2 : T \rightarrow \|T\|_2$
- $\prod_{\substack{x, y : T \\ p, q : x = y}} |p|_2 = |q|_2.$

Ex. Show that for any type T , $\|T\|_2$ is a set.

Def. $\pi_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$
 $:= \lambda T. \|T\|_2.$

Thm. $\pi_1(S') = \mathbb{Z}.$

More higher inductive types:

Resk completion

Quotient