## Homotopy levels

We want to say things like "U is not a set".

A set is something whose =-types don't.

Three structure.

Def. A type T's h-lard is 0 if

hlevel OT:= Z TT S=+.

A type T's betwel is son if

hlevel on T := TT blevel n s=+.

We've defined a function blad: IN - Type - Type.

h-level 0. AKA contractible, is booter

Ex. 1 is automble.

Most boning.

h-land 1. AKA propositions, is Prop

Fait Equivalent to TT X=y.

Ex. Ø, 11 are purpositions

not FALL bool Ex. In fact, any contractible type is a purposition.

Ex. If a proposition is inhabited, it is automatible.

- So roughly, a proposition is = to y or IL.

So these behave like logish purpositions where I behaves like I, etc.

h-level 2. AKA sets, is Set

Furt bool, N are purpositions

h-larel 3. AKA groupoids



•••

Fart. Type has h-level at least 3.

Ex. If a type T has below 1 n, then it has belove 1 n+1.

Equivalences

Sometimes we want types to be propositions (no structure). Sometimes we've intensted in structure.

Given  $f:A \to B$ , want a proposition is Equiv (4).

The type  $\underset{g:B-A}{\geq} fg = 1 \times gf = 1$  is not a proposition.  $\rightarrow$  bould ask for adjoint equivalence, or equivalently:

Def. A function 
$$f:A-B$$
 is an equivalence if:  
 $isEguiv(f):=TT$  is Gate  $\left( \begin{array}{c} Z \\ a:A \end{array} \right)$ .

Write

Furt. For every type A, A=A, so we and define id to equiv: A=B - A=B.

Def. The univalence axiom asserts va: is Equiv (id to quiv).

Univalence for logic and sets

Def. Prop: = Z is Prop (P)

(Smu istrop is a proposition is Prop & Type >

Fut. The Univalence axiom implies  $(P = Q) \simeq (P \Longrightarrow Q)$ .

Def: Set: = Z is Set (S)

Fut. The univalence axion implies

(P=Q) = (P=Q).

 $\frac{\text{Def. Garp:} = Z}{\text{G:Set}} = \frac{Z}{\text{G:M:G-G}} = \frac{Z}{\text{G:M}} = \frac{Z}{\text{G:M:G-G}} =$ 

Fact. The univalence axiom implies

(G=H)= (G=H)