SCMA470 Risk Analysis and Credibility

Pairote Satiracoo

2021-09-07

Contents

1	Bas	Basic Probability Concepts						
	1.1	Random Variables	5					
	1.2	Expectation	7					
	1.3	Variances of Random Variables	8					
	1.4	Moments and Moment Generating Function	8					
	1.5	Probability generating function	12					
	1.6	Multivariate Distributions	13					
	1.7	Independent random variables	14					
	1.8	Conditional Distributions	15					
	1.9	Covariance	15					
	1.10	Correlation	16					
	1.11	Model Fitting	17					
	1.12	The method of moments	17					
	1.13	The method of maximum likelihood	17					
	1.14	Goodness of fit tests	18					
	1.15	the Pearson chi-square goodness-of-fit criterion	18					
	1.16	Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test.	18					
2	Loss	Loss distributions 19						
_	2.1	Introduction	19					
	2.2	Exponential Distribution	20					
	2.3	Gamma distribution	21					
	2.4	Lognormal distribution	23					
	2.5	Pareto distribution	25					
9	D - 1	L-A!L1	กก					
3		luctibles and reinsurance Introduction	33					
	3.1							
	3.2	Deductibles	33					
	3.3	Reinsurance	34					
	3.4	Excess of loss reinsurance	34					
	3.5	Mixed distributions	35					
	3.6	The distribution of reinsurance claims	38					
	3.7	Proportional reinsurance	39					
4	Tute	orials	41					
	4.1	Tutorial 1	41					
	4.2	Tutorial 2	41					
5	Inte	eractive Lecture	43					
	5.1	Data Comp. Light	49					

4 CONTENTS

Chapter 1

Basic Probability Concepts

1.1 Random Variables

Definition 1.1. Let S be the sample space of an experiment. A real-valued function $X: S \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a **random variable** of the experiment if, for each interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\{s: X(s) \in I\}$ is an event.

Random variables are often used for the calculation of the probabilities of events. The real-valued function $P(X \leq t)$ characterizes X, it tells us almost everything about X. This function is called the **cumulative distribution function** of X. The cumulative distribution function describes how the probabilities accumulate.

Definition 1.2. If X is a random variable, then the function F defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$F(x) = P(X \le x)$$

is called the cumulative distribution function or simply distribution function (c.d.f) of X.

Functions that define the probability measure for discrete and continuous random variables are the probability mass function and the probability density function.

Definition 1.3. Suppose X is a discrete random variable. Then the function

$$f(x) = P(X = x)$$

that is defined for each x in the range of X is called the **probability mass function** (p.m.f) of a random variable X.

Definition 1.4. Suppose X is a continuous random variable with c.d.f F and there exists a nonnegative, integrable function f, $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(y) \, dy$$

Then the function f is called the **probability density function** (p.d.f) of a random variable X.

1.1.1 R Functions for Probability Distributions


In R, density, distribution function, for the Poisson distribution with parameter λ is shown as follows:

Distribution	Density function: $P(X = x)$	Distribution function: $P(X \le x)$	Quantile function (inverse c.d.f.)	random generation
Poisson	<pre>dpois(x, lambda, log = FALSE)</pre>	<pre>ppois(q, lambda, lower.tail = TRUE, log.p = FALSE)</pre>	<pre>qpois(p, lambda, lower.tail = TRUE, log.p = FALSE)</pre>	rpois(n, lambda)

For the binomial distribution, these functions are phinom, qubinom, dbinom, and rbinom. For the normal distribution, these functions are pnorm, quorm, dnorm, and rnorm. And so forth.

```
library(ggplot2)
x <- 0:20
myData <- data.frame( k = factor(x), pK = dbinom(x, 20, .5))
ggplot(myData,aes(k,ymin=0,ymax=pK)) +
  geom_linerange() + ylab("p(k)") +
  scale_x_discrete(breaks=seq(0,20,5)) +
  ggtitle("p.m.f of binomial distribution")</pre>
```

p.m.f of binomial distribution




To plot continuous probability distribution in R, we use stat_function to add the density function as its arguement. To specify a different mean or standard deviation, we use the args parameter to supply new values.

```
library(ggplot2)
df <- data.frame(x=seq(-10,10,by=0.1))
ggplot(df) +
    stat_function(aes(x),fun=dnorm, args = list(mean = 0, sd = 1)) +
    labs(x = "x", y = "f(x)",</pre>
```

1.2. EXPECTATION 7

Normal Distribution With Mean = 0 & SD = 1



1.2 Expectation

Definition 1.5. The **expected value** of a discrete random variable X with the set of possible values A and probability mass function f(x) is defined by

$$\mathrm{E}(X) = \sum_{x \in A} x f(x)$$

The **expected value** of a random variable X is also called the mean, or the mathematical expectation, or simply the expectation of X. It is also occasionally denoted by E[X], μ_X , or μ .

Note that if each value x of X is weighted by f(x) = P(X = x), then $\sum_{x \in A} x f(x)$ is nothing but the weighted average of X.

Theorem 1.1. Let X be a discrete random variable with set of possible values A and probability mass function f(x), and let g be a real-valued function. Then g(X) is a random variable with

$$\mathrm{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{x \in A} g(x) f(x)$$

Definition 1.6. If X is a continuous random variable with probability density function f, the **expected** value of X is defined by

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) \, dx$$

Theorem 1.2. • Let X be a continuous random variable with probability density function f(x); then for any function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$E[h(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f(x) dx$$

*

Theorem 1.3. Let X be a random variable. Let $h_1, h_2, ..., h_n$ be real-valued functions, and $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ be real numbers. Then

$$\mathrm{E}[a_1h_1(X) + a_2h_2(X) + \dots + a_nh_n(X)] = a_1\mathrm{E}[h_1(X)] + a_2\mathrm{E}[h_2(X)] + \dots + a_n\mathrm{E}[h_n(X)]$$

Moreover, if a and b are constants, then

$$E(aX + b) = aE(x) + b$$

1.3 Variances of Random Variables

Definition 1.7. Let X be a discrete random variable with a set of possible values A, probability mass function f(x), and $E(X) = \mu$. then Var(X) and σ_X , called the **variance** and **standard deviation** of X, respectively, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(X) &= \operatorname{E}[(X-\mu)^2] = \sum_{x \in A} (x-\mu)^2 f(x), \\ \sigma_X &= \sqrt{\operatorname{E}[(X-\mu)^2]} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.8. If X is a continuous random variable with $E(X) = \mu$, then Var(X) and σ_X , called the variance and standard deviation of X, respectively, are defined by

$$\label{eq:Var} {\rm Var}(X) = {\rm E}[(X-\mu)^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^2 \, f(x) \, dx,$$

$$\sigma_X = \sqrt{{\rm E}[(X-\mu)^2]}$$

We have the following important relations

$$\label{eq:Var} \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Var}(x) &= \mathrm{E}(X^2) - (\mathrm{E}(x))^2, \\ \mathrm{Var}(aX+b) &= a^2\ Var(X), \quad \sigma_{aX+b} = |a|\sigma_X \end{aligned}$$

where a and b are constants.

1.4 Moments and Moment Generating Function

Definition 1.9. For r > 0, the rth moment of X (the rth moment about the origin) is $E[X^r]$, when it is defined. The rth central moment of a random variable X (the rth moment about the mean) is $E[(X-E[X])^r]$.

Definition 1.10. The skewness of X is defined to be the third central moment,

$$E[(X - E[X])^3],$$

and the coefficient of skewness to be given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{E}[(X-\mathrm{E}[X])^3]}{(\mathrm{Var}[X])^{3/2}}.$$

Definition 1.11. The coefficient of kurtosis of X is defined by

$$\frac{\mathrm{E}[(X - \mathrm{E}[X])^4]}{(\mathrm{Var}[X])^{4/2}}.$$


Note In the formula, subtract from the mean and normalise or divide by the standard deviation center and scale to the standard values. Odd-order moments are increased if there is a long tail to the right and decreased if there is a long tail to the left, while even-order moments are increased if either tail is long. A negative value of the coefficient of skewness that the distribution is skewed to the left, or negatively skewed, meaning that the deviations above the mean tend to be smaller than the deviations below the mean, and vice versa. If the coefficient of skewness is close to zero, this could mean symmetry,

Note The fourth moment measures the fatness in the tails, which is always positive. The kurtosis of the standard normal distribution is 3. Using the standard normal distribution as a benchmark, the excess kurtosis of a random variable is defined as the kurtosis minus 3. A higher kurtosis corresponds to a larger extremity of deviations (or outliers), which is called excess kurtosis.

The following diagram compares the shape between the normal distribution and Student's t-distribution. Note that to use the legend with the stat_function in ggplot2, we use scale_colour_manual along with colour = inside the aes() as shown below and give names for specific density plots.

```
library(ggplot2)
df <- data.frame(x=seq(-10,10,by=0.1))
ggplot(df) +
    stat_function(aes(x, colour = "dnorm"),fun = dnorm, args = list(mean = 0, sd = 1)) +
    stat_function(aes(x, colour = "dt"),fun = dt, args = list(df = 4)) +
    scale_colour_manual("Legend title", values = c("black", "blue")) +
    labs(x = "x", y = "f(x)",
        title = "Normal Distribution With Mean = 0 & SD = 1") +
    theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5))</pre>
```





Next we will simulate 10000 samples from a normal distribution with mean 0, and standard deviation 1, then compute and interpret for the skewness and kurtosis, and plot the histogram. Here we also use the function set.seed() to set the seed of R's random number generator, this is useful for creating simulations or random objects that can be reproduced.


```
set.seed(15) # Set the seed of R's random number generator

#Simulation
n.sample <- rnorm(n = 10000, mean = 0, sd = 1)

#Skewness and Kurtosis
library(moments)
skewness(n.sample)</pre>
```

```
## [1] -0.03585812
kurtosis(n.sample)
```

```
## [1] 2.963189
ggplot(data.frame(x = n.sample),aes(x)) +
  geom_histogram(binwidth = 0.5)
```



```
#Simulation
t.sample <- rt(n = 10000, df = 5)


#Skewness and Kurtosis
library(moments)
skewness(t.sample)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.06196269
```

kurtosis(t.sample)

```
## [1] 7.646659
```

```
ggplot(data.frame(x = t.sample),aes(x)) + geom_histogram(binwidth = 0.5)
```



Example Let us count the number of samples greater than 5 from the samples of the normal and Student's t distributions. Comment on your results

Definition 1.12. The moment generating function (mgf) of a random variable X is defined to be

$$M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}],$$

if the expectation exists.

Note The moment generating function of X may not defined (may not be finite) for all t in \mathbb{R} .

If $M_X(t)$ is finite for |t| < h for some h > 0, then, for any k = 1, 2, ..., the function $M_X(t)$ is k-times differentiable at t = 0, with

$$M_X^{(k)}(0) = \mathrm{E}[X^k],$$

with $E[|X|^k]$ finite. We can obtain the moments by succesive differentiation of $M_X(t)$ and letting t=0.

Example 1.1. Derive the formula for the mgf of the standard normal distribution. Hint: its mgf is $e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2}$.

1.5 Probability generating function

Definition 1.13. For a counting variable N (a variable which assumes some or all of the values 0, 1, 2, ..., but no others), The probability generating function of N is

$$G_N(t) = E[t^N],$$

for those t in \mathbb{R} for which the series converges absolutely.

Let $p_k = P(N = k)$. Then

$$G_N(t) = E[t^N] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k p_k.$$

It can be shown that if $E[N] < \infty$ then

$$\mathrm{E}[N] = G_N'(1),$$

and if $E[N^2] < \infty$ then

$$Var[N] = G_N''(1) + G_N'(1) - (G_N'(1))^2.$$

Moreover, when both pgf and mgf of N are defined, we have

$$G_N(t) = M_N(\log(t))$$
 and $M_N(t) = G_N(e^t)$.

1.6 Multivariate Distributions

When X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be random variables defined on the same sample space, a multivariate probability density function or probability mass function

 $f(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$ can be defined. The following definitions can be extended to more than two random variables and the case of discrete random variables.

Definition 1.14. Two random variables X and Y, defined on the same sample space, have a continuous joint distribution if there exists a nonnegative function of two variables, f(x,y) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, such that for any region R in the xy-plane that can be formed from rectangles by a countable number of set operations,

$$P((X,Y) \in R) = \iint_{R} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy$$

The function f(x,y) is called the **joint probability density function** of X and Y.

Let X and Y have joint probability density function f(x,y). Let f_Y be the probability density function of Y. To find f_Y in terms of f, note that, on the one hand, for any subset B of R,

$$P(Y \in B) = \int_B f_Y(y) \, dy,$$

and on the other hand, we also have

$$P(Y \in B) = P(X \in (-\infty, \infty), Y \in B) = \int_{B} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \, dx \right) \, dy.$$

We have

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \, dy \tag{1.2}$$

Definition 1.15. Let X and Y have joint probability density function f(x,y); then the functions f_X and f_Y in (1.1) and (1.2) are called, respectively, the marginal probability density functions of X and Y.

Let X and Y be two random variables (discrete, continuous, or mixed). The **joint probability distribution** function, or **joint cumulative probability distribution function**, or simply the joint distribution of X and Y, is defined by

$$F(t, u) = P(X \le t, Y \le u)$$

for all $t, u \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

The marginal probability distribution function of X, F_X , can be found from F as follows:

$$F_X(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(t, u) = F(t, \infty)$$

and

$$F_Y(u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(t, u) = F(\infty, u)$$

The following relationship between f(x,y) and F(t,u) is as follows:

$$F(t,u) = \int_{-\infty}^{u} \int_{-\infty}^{t} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$

We also have

$$\mathrm{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, dx, \quad \mathrm{E}(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) \, dy$$

Theorem 1.4. Let f(x,y) be the joint probability density function of random variables X and Y. If h is a function of two variables from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} , then h(X,Y) is a random variable with the expected value given by

$$\mathrm{E}[h(X,Y)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x,y) \, f(x,y) \, dx \, dy$$

provided that the integral is absolutely convergent.

As a consequence of the above theorem, for random variables X and Y,

$$E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$$

1.7 Independent random variables

Definition 1.16. Two random variables X and Y are called independent if, for arbitrary subsets A and B of real numbers, the events $\{X \in A\}$ and $\{Y \in B\}$ are **independent**, that is, if

$$P(X \in A, Y \in B) = P(X \in A)P(Y \in B).$$

Theorem 1.5. Let X and Y be two random variables defined on the same sample space. If F is the joint probability distribution function of X and Y, then X and Y are independent if and only if for all real numbers t and u,

$$F(t,u) = F_X(t) F_Y(u). \label{eq:force}$$

Theorem 1.6. Let X and Y be jointly continuous random variables with joint probability density function f(x, y). Then X and Y are independent if and only if

$$f(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y).$$

Theorem 1.7. Let X and Y be independent random variables and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be real-valued functions; then g(X) and h(Y) are also independent random variables.

As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain

Theorem 1.8. Let X and Y be independent random variables. Then for all real-value functions $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$E[g(X)h(Y)] = E[g(X)]E[h(Y)]$$

1.8 Conditional Distributions

Let X and Y be two continuous random variables with the joint probability density function f(x,y). Note that the case of discrete random variables can be considered in the same way. When no information is given about the value of Y, the marginal probability density function of X, $f_X(x)$ is used to calculate the probabilities of events concerning X. However, when the value of Y is known, to find such probabilities, $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$, the conditional probability density function of X given that Y = y is used and is defined as follows:

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}$$

provided that $f_Y(y) > 0$. Note also that the conditional probability density function of X given that Y = y is itseef a probability density function, i.e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y) \, dx = 1.$$

Note that the conditional probability distribution function of X given that Y = y, the conditional expectation of X given that Y = y can be as follows:

$$F_{Y|X}(x|y) = P(X \le x|Y=y) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{X|Y}(t|y) dt$$

and

$$\mathrm{E}(X|Y=y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) \, dx,$$

where $f_Y(y) > 0$.

Note that if X and Y are independent, then $f_{X|Y}$ coincides with f_X because

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{f_X(x)f_Y(y)}{f_Y(y)} = f_X(x).$$

1.9 Covariance

The notion of the variance of a random variable X, $Var(X) = E[(X-E(X))^2]$ measures the average magnitude of the fluctuations of the random variable X from its expectation, E(X). This quantity measures the dispersion, or spread, of the distribution of X about its expectation. Now suppose that X and Y are two jointly distributed random variables. Covariance is a measure of how much two random variables vary together.

Let us calculate Var(aX + bY) the joint spread, or dispersion, of X and Y along the (ax + by)-direction for arbitrary real numbers a and b:

$$\operatorname{Var}(aX + bY) = a^{2}\operatorname{Var}(X) + b^{2}\operatorname{Var}(Y) + 2ab\operatorname{E}[(X - \operatorname{E}(X))(Y - \operatorname{E}(Y))].$$

However, Var(X) and Var(Y) determine the dispersions of X and Y independently; therefore, E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))] is the quantity that gives information about the joint spread, or dispersion, X and Y.

Definition 1.17. Let X and Y be jointly distributed random variables; then the **covariance** of X and Y is defined by

$$Cov(X,Y) = E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))].$$

Note that for random variables X, Y and Z, and ab > 0, then the joint dispersion of X and Y along the (ax + by)-direction is greater than the joint dispersion of X and Z along the (ax + bz)-direction if and only if Cov(X,Y) > Cov(X,Z).

Note that

$$Cov(X, X) = Var(X).$$

Moreover,

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

Properties of covariance are as follows: for arbitrary real numbers a, b, c, d and random variables X and Y,

$$Var(aX + bY) = a^{2}Var(X) + b^{2}Var(Y) + 2abCov(X, Y).$$

$$Cov(aX + b, cY + d) = acCov(X, Y)$$

For random variables $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ and $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_m$,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i \, b_j \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, Y_j).$$

If Cov(X,Y) > 0, we say that X and Y are positively correlated. If Cov(X,Y) < 0, we say that they are negatively correlated. If Cov(X,Y) = 0, we say that X and Y are uncorrelated.

If X and Y are independent, then

$$Cov(X, Y) = 0.$$

However, the converse of this is not true; that is, two dependent random variables might be uncorrelated.

1.10 Correlation

A large covariance can mean a strong relationship between variables. However, we cannot compare variances over data sets with different scales. A weak covariance in one data set may be a strong one in a different data set with different scales. The problem can be fixed by dividing the covariance by the standard deviation to get the correlation coefficient.

Definition 1.18. Let X and Y be two random variables with $0 < \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2 < \infty$. The covariance between the standardized X and the standardized Y is called the correlation coefficient between X and Y and is denoted $\rho = \rho(X, Y)$,

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.$$

1.11. MODEL FITTING 17

Note that

- $\rho(X,Y) > 0$ if and only if X and Y are positively correlated;
- $\rho(X,Y) < 0$ if and only if X and Y are negatively correlated; and
- $\rho(X,Y)=0$ if and only if X and Y are uncorrelated.
- $\rho(X,Y)$ roughly measures the amount and the sign of linear relationship between X and Y.

In the case of perfect linear relationship, we have $\rho(X,Y)=\pm 1$. A correlation of 0, i.e. $\rho(X,Y)=0$ does not mean zero relationship between two variables; rather, it means zero linear relationship.

Some importants properties of correlation are

$$-1 \leq \rho(X,Y) \leq 1$$

$$\rho(aX+b,cY+d) = \mathrm{sign}(ac)\rho(X,Y)$$

1.11 Model Fitting

The contents in this section are taken from Gray and Pitts.

To fit a parametric model, we have to calculate estimates of the unknown parameters of the probability distribution. Various criteria are available, including the method of moments, the method of maximum likelihood, etc.

1.12 The method of moments

The method of moments leads to parameter estimates by simply matching the moments of the model, $E[X], E[X^2], E[X^3], \dots$, in turn to the required number of corresponding sample moments calculated from the data x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , where n is the number of observations available. The sample moments are simply

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}, \quad \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2}, \quad \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{3}, \dots$$

It is often more convenient to match the mean and central moments, in particular matching $\mathrm{E}[X]$ to the sample mean \bar{x} and $\mathrm{Var}[X]$ to the sample variance

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

An estimate produced using the method of moments is called an MME, and the MME of a parameter θ , say, is usually denoted $\tilde{\theta}$.

1.13 The method of maximum likelihood

The method of maximum likelihood is the most widely used method for parameter estimation. The estimates it produces are those values of the parameters which give the maximum value attainable by the likelihood function, denoted L, which is the joint probability mass or density function for the data we have (under the chosen parametric distribution), regarded as a function of the unknown parameters.

In practice, it is often easier to maximise the loglikelihood function, which is the logarithm of the likelihood function, rather than the likelihood itself. An estimate produced using the method of maximum likelihood

is called an MLE, and the MLE of a parameter θ , say, is denoted $\hat{\theta}$. MLEs have many desirable theoretical properties, especially in the case of large samples.

In some simple cases we can derive MLE(s) analytically as explicit functions of summaries of the data. Thus, suppose our data consist of a random sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , from a parametric distribution whose parameter(s) we want to estimate. Some straightforward cases include the following:

- the MLE of λ for a $Poi(\lambda)$ distribution is the sample mean, that is $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}$
- the MLE of λ for an $Exp(\lambda)$ distribution is the reciprocal of the sample mean, that is $\hat{\lambda} = 1/\bar{x}$

1.14 Goodness of fit tests

We can assess how well the fitted distributions reflect the distribution of the data in various ways. We should, of course, examine and compare the tables of frequencies and, if appropriate, plot and compare empirical distribution functions. More formally, we can perform certain statistical tests. Here we will use the Pearson chi-square goodness-of-fit criterion.

1.15 the Pearson chi-square goodness-of-fit criterion

We construct the test statistic

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum (O - E)^2}{E},$$

where O is the observed frequency in a cell in the frequency table and E is the fitted or expected frequency (the frequency expected in that cell under the fitted model), and where we sum over all usable cells.

The null hypothesis is that the sample comes from a specified distribution.

The value of the test statistic is then evaluated in one of two ways.

- 1. We convert it to a *P*-value, which is a measure of the strength of the evidence against the hypothesis that the data do follow the fitted distribution. If the *P*-value is small enough, we conclude that the data do not follow the fitted distribution we say "the fitted distribution does not provide a good fit to the data" (and quote the *P*-value in support of this conclusion).
- 2. We compare it with values in published tables of the distribution function of the appropriate χ^2 distribution, and if the value of the statistic is high enough to be in a tail of specified size of this reference distribution, we conclude that the fitted distribution does not provide a good fit to the data.

1.16 Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test.

The K-S test statistic is the maximum difference between the values of the ecdf of the sample and the cdf of the fully specified fitted distribution.

The course does not emphasis on the Goodness of Fit Test. Please refer to the reference text for more details.

Chapter 2

Loss distributions

2.1 Introduction

The aim of the course is to provide a fundamental basis which applies mainly in general insurance. General insurance companies' products are short-term policies that can be purchased for a short period of time. Examples of insurance products are

- motor insurance;
- home insurance;
- health insurance; and
- travel insurance.

In case of an occurrence of an insured event, two important components of financial losses which are of importance for management of an insurance company are

- the number of claims; and
- the amounts of those claims.

Mathematical and statistical techniques used to model these sources of uncertainty will be discussed. This will enable insurance companies to

- calculate premium rates to charge policy holders; and
- decide how much reserve should be set aside for the future payment of incurred claims.

In the chapter, statistical distributions and their properties which are suitable for modelling claim sizes are reviewed. These distribution are also known as loss distributions. In practice, the shape of loss distributions are positive skew with a long right tail. The main features of loss distributions include:

- having a few small claims;
- rising to a peak;
- tailing off gradually with a few very large claims.

2.2 Exponential Distribution

A random variable X has an exponential distribution with a parameter $\lambda > 0$, denoted by $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ if its probability density function is given by

$$f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x > 0.$$

Example 2.1. Let $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$ and 0 < a < b.

- 1. Find the distribution $F_X(x)$.
- 2. Express P(a < X < B) in terms of $f_X(x)$ and $F_X(x)$.
- 3. Show that the moment generating function of X is

$$M_X(t) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{\lambda}\right)^{-1}, \quad t < \lambda.$$

- 4. Derive the r-th moment about the origin $E[X^r]$.
- 5. Derive the coefficient of skewness for X.
- 6. Simulate a random sample of size n=200 from $X \sim Exp(0.5)$ using the command sample = rexp(n, rate = lambda) where n and λ are the chosen parameter values.
- 7. Plot a histogram of the random sample using the command hist (sample) (use help for available options for hist function in R).

Solution: The code for questions 6 and 7 is given below. The histogram can be generated from the code below.

```
# set.seed is used so that random number generated from different simulations are the same.
# The number 5353 can be set arbitrarily.
set.seed(5353)
```

Copy and paste the code above and run it.

eyJsYW5ndWFnZSI6InIiLCJzYW1wbGUiOiJzZXQuc2VlZCg1MzUzKVxuXG5uc2FtcGxlIDwtIDIwMFxuZGF0YV9leHAgFeyJsYW5ndWFnZSI6InIiLCJzYW1wbGUiOiJzZXQuc2VlZCg1MzUzKVxuXG5uc2FtcGxlIDwtIDIwMFxuZGF0YV9leHAgF

Notes

- 1. The exponential distribution can used to model the inter-arrival time of an event.
- 2. The exponential distribution has an important property called **lack of memory**: if $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, then the random variable X w conditional on X > w has the same distribution as X, i.e.

$$X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda) \Rightarrow X - w | X > w \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda).$$

We can use R to plot the probability density functions (pdf) of exponential distributions with various parameters λ , which are shown in Figure 2.1. Here we use scale_colour_manual to override defaults with scales package (see cheat sheet for details).

```
library(ggplot2)
ggplot(data.frame(x=c(0,10)), aes(x=x)) +
  labs(y="Probability density", x = "x") +
  ggtitle("Exponential distributions") +
  theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5)) +
  stat_function(fun=dexp,geom ="line", args = (mean=0.5), aes(colour = "0.5")) +
  stat_function(fun=dexp,geom ="line", args = (mean=1), aes(colour = "1")) +
  stat_function(fun=dexp,geom ="line", args = (mean=1.5), aes(colour = "1.5")) +
  stat_function(fun=dexp,geom ="line", args = (mean=2), aes(colour = "2")) +
  scale_colour_manual(expression(paste(lambda, " = ")), values = c("red", "blue", "green", "orange"))
```

Exponential distributions




Figure 2.1: The probability density functions (pdf) of exponential distributions with various parameters lambda.

2.3 Gamma distribution

A random variable X has a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, denoted by $X \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \lambda)$ or $X \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ if its probability density function is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x>0.$$

The symbol Γ denotes the gamma function, which is defined as

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} \, dx, \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0.$$

It follows that $\Gamma(\alpha+1)=\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$ and that for a positive integer n, $\Gamma(n)=(n-1)!$.

The properties of the gamma distribution are summarised.

• The mean and variance of X are

$$E[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$$
 and $Var[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}$

• The r-th moment about the origin is

$$E[X^r] = \frac{1}{\lambda^r} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad r > 0.$$

• The moment generating function (mgf) of X is

$$M_X(t) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{\lambda}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad t < \lambda.$$

• The coefficient of skewness is

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$
.

Notes 1. The exponential function is a special case of the gamma distribution, i.e. $\text{Exp}(\lambda) = \mathcal{G}(1,\lambda)$

- 2. If α is a positive integer, the sum of α independent, identically distributed as $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$, is $\mathcal{G}(\alpha,\lambda)$.
- 3. If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent, identically distributed, each with a $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \lambda)$ distribution, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \mathcal{G}(n\alpha,\lambda).$$

4. The exponential and gamma distributions are not fat-tailed, and may not provide a good fit to claim amounts.

Example 2.2. Using the moment generating function of a gamma distribution, show that the sum of independent gamma random variables with the same scale parameter λ , $X \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha_1, \lambda)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha_2, \lambda)$, is $S = X + Y \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \lambda)$.

Solution: Because X and Y are independent,

$$\begin{split} M_S(t) &= M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t) \cdot M_Y(t) \\ &= (1 - \frac{t}{\lambda})^{-\alpha_1} \cdot (1 - \frac{t}{\lambda})^{-\alpha_2} \\ &= (1 - \frac{t}{\lambda})^{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}. \end{split}$$

Hence $S = X + Y \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \lambda)$.

The probability density functions (pdf) of gamma distributions with various shape parameters α and rate parameter $\lambda = 1$ are shown in Figure 2.2.

```
ggplot(data.frame(x=c(0,20)), aes(x=x)) +
  labs(y="Probability density", x = "x") +
  ggtitle("Gamma distribution") +
  theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5)) +
  stat_function(fun=dgamma, args=list(shape=2, rate=1), aes(colour = "2")) +
  stat_function(fun=dgamma, args=list(shape=6, rate=1) , aes(colour = "6")) +
  scale_colour_manual(expression(paste(lambda, " = 1 and ", alpha ," = ")), values = c("red", "blue"))
```

Gamma distribution




Figure 2.2: The probability density functions (pdf) of gamma distributions with various shape alpha and rate parameter lambda = 1.

2.4 Lognormal distribution

A random variable X has a lognormal distribution with parameters μ and σ^2 , denoted by $X \sim \mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if its probability density function is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma x \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log(x) - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right), \quad x > 0.$$

The following relation holds:

$$X \sim \mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma^2) \Leftrightarrow Y = \log X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

The properties of the lognormal distribution are summarised.

• The mean and variance of X are

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \exp\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) \text{ and } \mathrm{Var}[X] = \exp\left(2\mu + \sigma^2\right)(\exp(\sigma^2) - 1).$$

• The r-th moment about the origin is

$$\mathrm{E}[X^r] = \exp\left(r\mu + \frac{1}{2}r^2\sigma^2\right).$$

- The moment generating function (mgf) of X is not finite for any positive value of t.
- The coefficient of skewness is

$$\left(\exp(\sigma^2)+2\right)\left(\exp(\sigma^2)-1\right)^{1/2}.$$

The probability density functions (pdf) of gamma distributions with various shape parameters α and rate parameter $\lambda = 1$ is shown in Figure 2.3.

```
ggplot(data.frame(x=c(0,10)), aes(x=x)) +
labs(y="Probability density", x = "x") +
ggtitle("lognormal distribution") +
theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5)) +
stat_function(fun=dlnorm, args = list(meanlog = 0, sdlog = 0.25), aes(colour = "0.25")) +
stat_function(fun=dlnorm, args = list(meanlog = 0, sdlog = 1), aes(colour = "1")) +
scale_colour_manual(expression(paste(mu, " = 0 and ", sigma, "= ")), values = c("red", "blue"))
```

lognormal distribution




Figure 2.3: The probability density functions (pdf) of lognormal distributions with mu = 0 and sigma = 0.25 or 1.

2.5 Pareto distribution

A random variable X has a Pareto distribution with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, denoted by $X \sim \operatorname{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda)$ if its probability density function is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\alpha \lambda^{\alpha}}{(\lambda + x)^{\alpha + 1}}, \quad x > 0.$$

The distribution function is given by

$$F_X(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \alpha}\right)^{\alpha}, \quad x > 0.$$

The properties of the Pareto distribution are summarized.

• The mean and variance of X are

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1}, \alpha > 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Var}[X] = \frac{\alpha \lambda^2}{(\alpha - 1)^2 (\alpha - 2)}, \alpha > 2.$$

• The r-th moment about the origin is

$$\mathrm{E}[X^r] = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - r)\Gamma(1 + r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^r, \quad 0 < r < \alpha.$$

- The moment generating function (mgf) of X is not finite for any positive value of t.
- The coefficient of skewness is

$$\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha-3}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha > 3.$$

Note 1. The following conditional tail property for a Pareto distribution is useful for reinsurance calculation. Let $X \sim \text{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda)$. Then the random variable X - w conditional on X > w has a Pareto distribution with parameters α and $\lambda + w$, i.e.

$$X \sim \operatorname{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda) \Rightarrow X - w | X > w \sim \operatorname{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda + w).$$

- 2. The lognormal and Pareto distributions, in practice, provide a better fit to claim amounts than exponential and gamma distributions.
- 3. Other loss distribution are useful in practice including Burr, Weibull and loggamma distributions.

```
library(actuar)
ggplot(data.frame(x=c(0,60)), aes(x=x)) +
  labs(y="Probability density", x = "x") +
  ggtitle("Pareto distribution") +
  theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5)) +
  stat_function(fun=dpareto, args=list(shape=3, scale=20), aes(colour = "alpha = 3, lambda = 20")) +
  stat_function(fun=dpareto, args=list(shape=6, scale=50), aes(colour = "alpha = 6, lambda = 50")) +
  scale_colour_manual("Parameters", values = c("red", "blue"), labels = c(expression(paste(alpha, " = 3)))
```

Example 2.3. Consider a data set consisting of 200 claim amounts in one year from a general insurance portfolio.

- 1. Calculate the sample mean and sample standard deviation.
- 2. Use the method of moments to fit these data with both exponential and gamma distributions.




Figure 2.4: The probability density functions (pdf) of Pareto distributions with various shape alpha and rate parameter lambda = 1.

- 3. Calculate the boundaries for groups or bins so that the expected number of claims in each bin is 20 under the fitted exponential distribution.
- 4. Count the values of the observed claim amounts in each bin.
- 5. With these bin boundaries, find the expected number of claims when the data are fitted with the gamma, lognormal and Pareto distributions.
- 6. Plot a histogram for the data set along with fitted exponential distribution and fitted gamma distribution. In addition, plot another histogram for the data set along with fitted lognormal and fitted Pareto distribution.
- 7. Comment on the goodness of fit of the fitted distributions.

Solution: 1. Given that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 206046.4$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = 1,472,400,135$, we have

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} = \frac{206046.4}{200} = 1030.232.$$

The sample variance and standard deviation are

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2}{n} \right) = 6332284,$$

and

$$s = 2516.403.$$

2. We calculate estimates of unknown parameters of both exponential and gamma distributions by the method of moments. We simply match the mean and central moments, i.e. matching E[X] to the sample mean \bar{x} and Var[X] to the sample variance.

The MME (moment matching estimation) of the required distributions are as follows:

• the MME of λ for an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ distribution is the reciprocal of the sample mean,

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}} = 0.000971.$$

• the MMEs of α and λ for a $\mathcal{G}(\alpha,\lambda)$ distribution are

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{s}\right)^2 = 0.167614,$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\bar{x}} = 0.000163.$$

• the MMEs of μ and σ for a $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution are

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma} &= \sqrt{\ln\left(\frac{s^2}{\bar{x}^2} + 1\right)} = 1.393218,\\ \tilde{\mu} &= \ln(\bar{x}) - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2} = 5.967012. \end{split}$$

• the MMEs of α and λ for a Pa(α , λ) distribution are

$$\tilde{\alpha} = 2\left(\frac{s^2}{\bar{x}^2}\right) \frac{1}{(\frac{s^2}{\bar{x}^2} - 1)} = 2.402731,$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \bar{x}(\tilde{\alpha} - 1) = 1445.138.$$

3. The upper boundaries for the 10 groups or bins so that the expected number of claims in each bin is 20 under the fitted exponential distribution are determined by

$$\Pr(X \leq \operatorname{upbd}_j) = \frac{j}{10}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9.$$

With $\tilde{\lambda}$ from the MME for an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ from the previous,

$$\Pr(X \le x) = 1 - \exp(-\tilde{\lambda}x).$$

We obtain

$$\mathrm{upbd}_j = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} \ln \left(1 - \frac{j}{10} \right).$$

The results are given in Table 2.1.

4. The following table shows frequency distributions for observed and fitted claims sizes for exponential, gamma, and also lognormal and Pareto fits.

Table 2.1: Frequency distributions for observed and fitted claims sizes.

Range	Observation	Exp	Gamma	Lognormal	Pareto
(0,109]	60	20	109.4	36	31.9
(109,230]	31	20	14.3	34.4	27.8
(230,367]	25	20	9.7	26	24.2
(367,526]	17	20	7.8	20.5	21.2
(526,714]	14	20	6.8	16.6	18.6
(714,944]	13	20	6.3	13.9	16.4
(944,1240]	6	20	6.2	11.9	14.6
(1240, 1658]	7	20	6.5	10.8	13.2
(1658, 2372]	10	20	7.7	10.4	12.5
$(2372,\infty)$	17	20	25.4	19.5	19.4

- 5. Let X be the claim size.
 - The expected number of claims for the fitted exponential distribution in the range (a, b] is

$$200 \cdot \Pr(a < X \le b) = 200(e^{-\tilde{\lambda}a} - e^{-\tilde{\lambda}b}).$$

In our case, the expected frequencies under the fitted exponential distribution are given in the third column of Table 2.1.

• (Excel) The expected number of claims for the fitted gamma distribution in the range (a, b] is

$$200 \cdot \left(\text{GAMMADIST} \left(b, \tilde{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}}, \text{TRUE} \right) - \text{GAMMADIST} \left(a, \tilde{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}}, \text{TRUE} \right) \right).$$

The expected frequencies under the fitted gamma distribution are given in the fourth column of Table 2.1.

• (Excel) For the fitted lognormal, the expected number of claims in the range (a, b] can be obtained from

$$200 \cdot \left(\text{NORMDIST} \left(\frac{LN(b) - \tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) - \text{NORMDIST} \left(\frac{LN(a) - \tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) \right).$$

• For the fitted Pareto distribution, the expected number of claims in the range (a, b] can be obtained

from

$$200 \left\lceil \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda} + a} \right)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda} + b} \right)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \right\rceil.$$

6. The histograms for the data set with fitted distributions are shown in Figures 2.5 and 2.6.

7. Comments:

- 1. The high positive skewness of the sample reflects the fact that SD is large when compared to the mean. Consequently, the exponential distribution may not fit the data well.
- 2. Five claims (2.5%) are greater than 10,000, which is one of the main features of the loss distribution.
- 3. The fit is poor for the exponential distribution, as we see that the model under-fits the data for small claims up to 367 and over-fits for large claims between 944 to 2372. The gamma fit is again poor. We see that the model over-fits for small claims between 0-109 and under-fits for claims 230 and 944.
- 4. Which one of the lognormal and Pareto distributions provides a better fit to the observed claim data?

```
library(stats)
library(MASS)
library(ggplot2)
xbar <- mean(dat$claims)</pre>
s <- sd(dat$claims)</pre>
# MME of alpha and lambda for Gamma distribution
alpha tilde <- (xbar/s)^2
lambda_tilde <- alpha_tilde/xbar</pre>
ggplot(dat) + geom_histogram(aes(x = claims, y = ..density..), bins = 90, fill = "grey", color = "black"
     stat_function(fun=dexp, geom ="line", args = (rate = 1/mean(dat$claims)), aes(colour = "Exponential")
     stat_function(fun=dgamma, geom ="line", args = list(shape = alpha_tilde ,rate = lambda_tilde), aes(co
library(actuar)
# MME of mu and sigma for lognormal distribution
sigma_tilda <- sqrt(log( var(dat$claims)/mean(dat$claims)^2 +1 )) # gives \tilde\sigma
mu_tilda <- log(mean(dat$claims)) - sigma_tilda^2/2</pre>
                                                                                                                                         # qives \tilde\mu
# MME of alpha and lambda for Pareto distribution
alpha_tilda <- 2*var(dat\$claims)/mean(dat\$claims)^2 * 1/(var(dat\$claims)/mean(dat\$claims)^2 - 1) */tildat <- 2*var(dat\$claims) + 1/(var(dat\$claims)/mean(dat\$claims)) + 1/(var(dat\$claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat\$claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims) + 1/(var(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims)/mean(dat§claims) + 1/(var(dat§
lambda_tilda <- mean(dat$claims)*(alpha_tilda -1)</pre>
ggplot(dat) + geom_histogram(aes(x = claims, y = ..density..), bins = 90 , fill = "grey", color = "black"
     stat_function(fun=dlnorm, geom ="line", args = list(meanlog = mu_tilda, sdlog = sigma_tilda), aes(col
     stat_function(fun=dpareto, geom ="line", args = list(shape = alpha_tilda, scale = lambda_tilda), aes(
     scale color discrete(name="Fitted Distributions")
```

Let us plot the histogram of claim sizes with fitted exponential and gamma distributions in this interaction area. Note that the data set is stored in the variable dat.




Figure 2.5: Histogram of claim sizes with fitted exponential and gamma distributions.




Figure 2.6: Histogram of claim sizes with fitted lognormal and pareto distributions.

The following code can be used to obtain the expected number of claims for the fitted exponential distribution and perform goodness-of-fit test.

Chapter 3

Deductibles and reinsurance

3.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we will introduce the concept of risk-sharing. We will consider two types of risk-sharing including deductibles and reinsurance. The purpose of risk sharing is to spread the risk among the parties involved. For example,

- 1. A policyholder purchases automobile insurance with a deductible. The policyholder is responsible for some of the risk, and transfer the larger portion of the risk to the insurer. The policyholder will submit a claim when the loss exceeds the deductible.
- 2. A direct insurer can pass on some of the risks to another insurance company known as a reinsurer by purchasing insurance from the reinsurer. It will protect the insurer from paying large claims.

The main goals of the chapter include the derivation of the distribution and corresponding moments of the claim amounts paid by the policyholder, direct insurer and the reinsurer in the presence of risk-sharing arrangements. In addition, the effects of risk-sharing arrangements will reduce the mean and variability of the amount paid by the direct insurer, and also the probability that the insurer will be involved on very large claims.

3.2 Deductibles

The insurer can modify the policy so that the policyholder is responsible for some of the risk by including a deductible (also known as policy excess).

Given a financial loss of X and a deductible of d,

- the insured agrees to bear the first amount of d of any loss X, and only submits a claim when X exceeds d.
- the insurer will pay the remaining of X-d if the loss X exceeds d.

For example, suppose a policy has a deductible of 1000, and you incur a loss of 3000 in a car accident. You pay the deductible of 1000 and the car insurance company pays the remaining of 2000.

Let X be the claim amount, V and Y the amounts of the claim paid by the policyholder, the (direct) insurer, respectively, i.e.

$$X = V + Y$$
.

So the amount paid by the policyholder and the insurer are given by

$$V = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } X \leq d \\ d & \text{if } X > d, \end{cases}$$

$$Y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X \leq d \\ X - d & \text{if } X > d. \end{cases}$$

The amounts V and Y can also be expressed as

$$V = \min(X, d), \quad Y = \max(0, X - d).$$

The relationship between the policyholder and insurer is similar to that between the insurer and reinsurer. Therefore, the detailed analysis of a policy with a deductible is analogous to reinsurance, which will be discussed in the following section.

3.3 Reinsurance

Reinsurance is insurance purchased by an insurance company in order to protect itself from large claims. There are two main types of reinsurance arrangement:

- 1. excess of loss reinsurance; and
- 2. proportional reinsurance.

3.4 Excess of loss reinsurance

Under excess of loss reinsurance arrangement, the direct insurer sets a certain limit called a retention level M > 0. For a claim X,

- the insurance company pays any claim in full if $X \leq M$; and
- the reinsurer (or reinsurance company) pays the remaining amount of X-M if X>M.

The position of the reinsurer under excess of loss reinsurance is the same as that of the insurer for a policy with a deductible.

Let X be the claim amount, V, Y and Z the amounts of the claim paid by the policyholder, (direct) insurer and reinsurer, respectively, i.e.

$$X = V + Y + Z$$
.

In what follows, without stated otherwise, we consider the case in which there is no deductible in place, i.e. V = 0 and

$$X = Y + Z$$
.

So the amount paid by the direct insurer and the reinsurer are given by

$$Y = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } X \leq M \\ M & \text{if } X > M, \end{cases}$$

$$Z = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X \leq M \\ X - M & \text{if } X > M. \end{cases}$$

The amounts Y and Z can also be expressed as

$$Y = \min(X, M), \quad Z = \max(0, X - M).$$

d = 1000 M = 10000 X =

Example 3.1. Suppose a policy has a deductible of 1000 and the insurer arrange excess of loss reinsurance with retention level of 10000. A sample of loss amounts in one year consists of the following values, in unit of Thai baht:

3000, 800, 25000, 5000, 20000.

Calculate the total amount paid by:*

- 1. the policyholder;
- 2. the insurer; and
- 3. the reinsurer.

Solution:

The total amounts paid by

• the policyholders:

$$1000 + 800 + 1000 + 1000 + 1000 = 4800.$$

• The insurer:

$$2000 + 0 + 10000 + 4000 + 10000 = 26000.$$

• The reinsurer:

$$0 + 0 + 14000 + 0 + 9000 = 23000.$$

3.5 Mixed distributions

In the subsequent sections, we will derive the probability distribution of the random variables Y and Z, which are the insurer's and reinsurer's payouts on claims. Their distributions are neither purely continuous, nor purely discrete. First we start with some important properties of such random variables.

A random variable U which is partly discrete and partly continuous is said to be a mixed distribution. The distribution function of U, denoted by $F_U(x)$ is continuous and differentiable except for some values of x in a countable set S. For a mixed distribution U, there exists a function $f_U(x)$ such that

$$F_U(x) = \Pr(U \leq x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_U(x) dx + \sum_{x_i \in S, x_i \leq x} \Pr(U = x_i).$$

The expected value of g(U) for some function g is given by

$$\mathrm{E}[g(U)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_U(x) \, dx + \sum_{x_i \in S} g(x_i) \Pr(U = x_i). \tag{3.1}$$

It is the sum of the integral over the intervals at which $f_U(x)$ is continuous and the summation over the points in S.

The function $f_U(x)$ is not the probability density function of U because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_U(x) dx \neq 1$. In particular, it is the derivative of $F_U(x)$ at the points where $F_U(x)$ is continuous and differentiable.

Recall that X denotes the claim amount and Y and Z be the amounts of the claim paid by the insurer and reinsurer. The distribution function and the density function of the claim amount X are denoted by F_X and $f_X(x)$, where we assume that X is continuous. In the following examples, we will derive the distribution mean and variance of the random variables Y and Z. Furthermore, both random variables Y and Z are examples of mixed distributions.

Example 3.2. Let F_Y denote the distribution function of $Y = \min(X, M)$. It follows that

$$F_Y(x) = \begin{cases} F_X(x) & \text{if } x < M \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge M \end{cases}.$$

Hence, the distribution function of Y is said to be a mixed distribution.

Solution: From $Y = \min(X, M)$, if y < M, then

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \le y) = \Pr(X \le y) = F_X(y).$$

If $y \geq M$, then

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \le y) = 1,$$

which follows because $\min(X, M) \leq M$.

Hence, Y is mixed with a density function $f_X(x)$, for $0 \le x < M$ and a mass of probability at M, with $Pr(Y = M) = 1 - F_X(M)$. The last equality follows from

$$\begin{split} \Pr(Y = M) &= \Pr(X > M) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(X \leq M) = 1 - F_X(M). \end{split}$$

Example 3.3. Show that

$$\mathrm{E}[Y] = \mathrm{E}[\min(X, M)] = \mathrm{E}[X] - \int_0^\infty y f_X(y+M) \, dy.$$

E[Y] is the expected payout by the insurer.

$$\begin{split} &\mathbf{E}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[\min(X,M)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \min(X,M) \cdot f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^M x \cdot f_X(x) \, dx + \int_M^\infty M \cdot f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^M x \cdot f_X(x) \, dx + \int_M^\infty x \cdot f_X(x) \, dx + \int_M^\infty (M-x) \cdot f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X] + \int_M^\infty (M-x) \cdot f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X] + \int_0^\infty (-y) \cdot f_X(y+M) \, dy \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X] - \int_0^\infty y \cdot f_X(y+M) \, dy \end{split}$$

Note Under excess of loss reinsurance arrangement, the mean amount paid by the insurer is reduced by the amount equal to $\int_0^\infty y f_X(y+M) \, dy$.

Example 3.4. Let X be an exponential distribution with parameter λ and $Y = \min(X, M)$. Then

$$F_Y(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & \text{if } x < M \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge M \end{cases}.$$

A plot of the distribution function F_Y is given in Figure 1. Hence, Y is a mixed distribution with a density function $f_Y(x) = f_X(x)$ for 0 < x < M and a probability mass at M is $\Pr(Y = M) = 1 - F_X(M)$.

Using (3.1), the expected value of Y, E[Y] is given by

$$\mathrm{E}[Y] = \int_{0}^{M} x f_{X}(x) \, dx + M(1 - F_{X}(M)).$$

Example 3.5. Let F_Z denote the distribution function of $Z = \max(0, X - M)$. It follows that

$$F_Z(x) = \begin{cases} F_X(M) & \text{if } x = 0 \\ F_X(x+M) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Hence, the distribution function of Z is a mixed distribution with a mass of probability at 0.

Solution: The random variable Z is the reinsurer's payout which also include **zero claims**. Later we will consider only reinsurance claims, which involve the reinsurer, i.e. claims such that X > M.

The distribution of Z can be derived as follows:

• For x = 0,

$$F_Z(0) = \Pr(Z = 0) = \Pr(X \le M) = F_X(M).$$

• For x > 0,

$$\begin{split} F_Z(0) &= \Pr(Z \leq x) = \Pr(\max(0, X - M) \leq x) \\ &= \Pr(X - M \leq x) = \Pr(X \leq x + M) = F_X(x + M). \end{split}$$

Example 3.6. Let X be an exponential distribution with parameter λ and $Z = \max(0, X - M)$. Derive and plot the probability distribution F_Z for $\lambda = 1$ and M = 2.

Example 3.7. Show that

$$\mathrm{E}[Z] = \mathrm{E}[\max(0,X-M)] = \int_{M}^{\infty} (x-M) f_X(x) \, dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} y f_X(y+M) \, dy.$$

Comment on the result.

Solution: The expected payout on the claim by the reinsurer, $\mathrm{E}[Z]$, and can also be found directly as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[Z] &= \mathbf{E}[\max(0,X-M)] \\ &= \int_0^M 0 \cdot f_X(x) \, dx + \int_M^\infty (X-M) \cdot f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= 0 + \int_0^\infty y \cdot f_X(y+M) \, dy. \end{split}$$

It follows from the previous results that

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \mathrm{E}[Y + Z] = \mathrm{E}[Y] + \mathrm{E}[Z].$$

Example 3.8. Let the claim amount X have exponential distribution with mean $\mu = 1/\lambda$.

- 1. Find the proportion of claims which involve the reinsurer.
- 2. Find the insurer's expected payout on a claim.
- 3. Find the reinsurer's expected payout on a claim.

Solution: 1. The proportion of claims which involve the reinsurer is

$$\Pr(X > M) = 1 - F_Y(M) = e^{-\lambda M} = e^{-M/\mu}.$$

2. The insurer's expected payout on a claim can be calculated by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[Y] &= \mathbf{E}[X] - \int_0^\infty y \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda(y+M)} \, dy \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X] - e^{-\lambda M} \int_0^\infty y \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda \cdot y} \, dy \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X] - e^{-\lambda M} \mathbf{E}[X] \\ &= (1 - e^{-\lambda M}) \mathbf{E}[X]. \end{split}$$

3. It follows from the above result that the reinsurer's expected payout on a claim is $e^{-\lambda M} E[X]$.

Example 3.9. An insurer covers an individual loss X with excess of loss reinsurance with retention level M. Let $f_X(x)$ and $F_X(x)$ denote the pdf and cdf of X, respectively.

1. Show that the variance of the amount paid by the insurer on a single claim satisfies:

$$\mathrm{Var}[\min(X,M)] = \int_0^M x^2 f_X(x) \, dx + M^2 (1 - F_X(M)) - (\mathrm{E}[\min(X,M)])^2.$$

2. Show that the variance of the amount paid by the reinsurer on a single claim satisfies:

$$\mathrm{Var}[\max(0,X-M)] = \int_M^\infty (x-M)^2 f_X(x) \, dx - (\mathrm{E}[\max(0,X-M)])^2.$$

3.6 The distribution of reinsurance claims

In practice, the reinsurer involves only claims which exceed the retention limit, i.e. X > M. Information of claims which are less or equal to M may not be available to the reinsurer. The claim amount Z paid by the reinsurer can be modified accordingly to take into account of non-zero claim sizes.

Recall from Example 3.1, there are only three claims whose amounts exceed the retention level of . Such claims, consisting of , 9000 and 23000 which involves the reinsurer are known as **reinsurance claims**.

Let W = Z|Z > 0 be a random variable representing the amount of a non-zero payment by the reinsurer on a reinsurance claim. The distribution and density of W can be calculated as follows: for x > 0,

$$\begin{split} \Pr[W \leq x] &= \Pr[Z \leq x | Z > 0] \\ &= \Pr[X - M \leq x | X > M] \\ &= \frac{\Pr[M < X \leq x + M]}{\Pr[X > M]} \\ &= \frac{F_X(x + M) - F_X(M)}{1 - F_Y(M)}. \end{split}$$

Differentiating with respect to x, we obtain the density function of W as

$$f_W(x) = \frac{f_X(x+M)}{1 - F_X(M)}.$$

Hence, the mean and variance can be directly obtained from the density function of W.

3.7 Proportional reinsurance

Under excess of loss reinsurance arrangement, the direct insurer pays a fixed proportion α , called the proportion of the risk retained by the insurer, and the reinsurer pays the remainder of the claim.

Let X be the claim amount, Y and Z the amounts of the claim paid by the policyholder, (direct) insurer and reinsurer, respectively, i.e.

$$X = Y + Z$$
.

So the amount paid by the direct insurer and the reinsurer are given by

$$Y = \alpha X$$
, $Z = (1 - \alpha)X$.

Both of the random variables are scaled by the factor of α and $1-\alpha$, respectively.

Example 3.10. Derive the distribution function and density function of Y.

Solution: Let X has a distribution function F with density function f. The distribution function of Y is given by

$$\Pr(Y \le x) = F(x/a).$$

Hence, the density function is

$$f_Y(x) = \frac{1}{a}f(x/a).$$

You can get more examples from Tutorials.

Chapter 4

Tutorials

4.1 Tutorial 1

1. Using the method of moments, calculate the parameter values for the gamma, lognormal and Pareto distributions for which

$$E[X] = 500$$
 and $Var[X] = 100^2$.

2. Show that if $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, then the random variable X - w conditional on X > w has the same distribution as X, i.e.

$$X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda) \Rightarrow X - w | X > w \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda).$$

- 3. Derive an expression for the variance of the $Pa(\alpha, \lambda)$ distribution. (Hint: using the pdf)
- 4. Show that the MLE (the maximum likelihood estimation) of λ for an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ distribution is the reciprocal of the sample mean, i.e. $\hat{\lambda} = 1/\bar{x}$.
- 5. Claims last year on a portfolio of policies of a risk had a lognormal distribution with parameter $\mu = 5$ and $\sigma^2 = 0.4$. It is estimated that all claims will increase by 15% next year. Find the probability that a claim next year will exceed 1000.

4.2 Tutorial 2

1. Claims occur on a general insurance portfolio independently and at random. Each claim is classified as being of "Type A" or "Type B". Type A claim amounts are distributed Pa(3,400) and Type B claim amounts are distributed Pa(4,1000). It is known that 90% of all claims are of Type A.

Let X denote a claim chosen at random from the portfolio.

- 1. Calculate Pr(X > 1000).
- 2. Calculate E[X] and Var[X].
- 3. Let Y have a Pareto distribution with the same mean and variance as X. Calculate Pr(Y > 1000).
- 4. Comment on the difference in the answers found in 1.1 and 1.2.
- 2. An insurer covers an individual loss X with excess of loss reinsurance with retention level M. Let Y and Z be random variables representing the amounts paid by the insurer and reinsurer, respectively, i.e. X = Y + Z. Show that $Cov[Y, Z] \ge 0$ and deduce that

$$Var[X] \ge Var[Y] + Var[Z].$$

Comment on the results obtained.

- 3. Claim amounts from a general insurance portfolio are lognormally distributed with mean 200 and variance 2916. Excess of loss reinsurance with retenton level 250 is arranged. Calculate the probability that the reinsurer is involved in a claim.
- 4. Show that if $X \sim \text{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda)$, then the random variable X d conditional on X > d has a pareto distribution with parameters α and $\lambda + d$, i.e.

$$X \sim \operatorname{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda) \Rightarrow X - d|X > d \sim \operatorname{Pa}(\alpha, \lambda + d).$$

- 5. Consider a portfolio of motor insurance policies. In the event of an accident, the cost of the repairs to a car has a Pareto distribution with parameters α and λ . A deductible of 100 is applied to all claims and a claim is always made if the cost of the repairs exceeds this amount. A sample of 100 claims has mean 200 and standard deviation 250.
 - 1. Using the method of moments, estimate α and λ .
 - 2. Estimate the proportion of accidents that do not result in a claim being made.
 - 3. The insurance company arranges excess of loss reinsurance with another insurance company to reduce the mean amount it pays on a claim to 160. Calculate the retention limit needed to achieve this.

Chapter 5

Interactive Lecture

Some *significant* applications are demonstrated in this chapter.

5.1 DataCamp Light

By default, tutorial will convert all R chunks.

eyJsYW5ndWFnZSI6InIiLCJzYW1wbGUiOiJhIDwtIDJcbmIgPC0gM1xuYSArIGIifQ==