- 1. Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces with given bases  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{\vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_m\}$ , respectively.
  - (a) For a given  $\vec{x} \in V$ , there are unique scalars so that  $\vec{x} = a_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{b}_n$ . Define the vector  $[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Show that the map  $\vec{x} \mapsto [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a linear isomorphism from V into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Linearity:** Let  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{y} \in V$ . Then write  $\vec{x} = a_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{b}_n$  and  $\vec{y} = c_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{b}_n$ . Now:

$$[\vec{x} + \vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + c_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n + c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} + [\vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$
$$[\alpha \vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha a_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha a_n \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = \alpha [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

So  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is linear.

**Isomorphism:** Since  $\dim V = \dim \mathbb{C}^n = n$ , it will suffice to show that this mapping is injective. We do so by showing  $\ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} = \{0\}$ . Clearly 0 is in the kernel since  $[0]_{\mathcal{B}} = [0\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ . For inclusion the other way, let  $\vec{x} \in \ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Then  $[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ ; meaning the basis representation of  $\vec{x}$  is through zero coefficients; and

$$\vec{x} = 0\vec{b}_1 + \ldots + 0\vec{b}_n = 0.$$

So  $\ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} = \{0\}$ , and this map is injective. But since the spaces are of the same dimension it must also be surjective thanks to Rank-Nullity. So the map is a linear isomorphism from V to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

(b) Let  $T:V\to W$  be a linear map. In class, we defined the matrix representation of T with respect to  $\mathcal B$  and  $\mathcal D$  as the  $m\times n$  matrix  $[T]_{\mathcal B\mathcal D}=[[T\vec b_1]_{\mathcal D},\ldots,[T\vec b_n]_{\mathcal D}]$ . In other words, the j-the column of  $[T]_{\mathcal B\mathcal D}$  is  $[T\vec b_j]_{\mathcal D}$ . Show that  $[T]_{\mathcal B\mathcal D}[\vec x]_{\mathcal B}=[T\vec x]_{\mathcal D}$  for any  $\vec x\in V$ .

**Solution:** Let  $T: V \to W$  be linear, then write  $\vec{x} = a_1 \vec{b}_1 + ... + a_n \vec{b}_n$ .

$$[T]_{\mathcal{BD}}[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [[T\vec{b}_{1}]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [T\vec{b}_{n}]_{\mathcal{D}}] \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= a_{1}[T\vec{b}_{1}]_{\mathcal{D}} + \dots + a_{n}[T\vec{b}_{n}]_{\mathcal{D}}$$

$$= [a_{1}T\vec{b}_{1} + \dots + a_{n}T\vec{b}_{n}]_{\mathcal{D}}$$

$$= [T(a_{1}\vec{b}_{1} + \dots + a_{n}\vec{b}_{n})]_{\mathcal{D}}$$
By linearity of  $T$ 

$$= [T\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

(c) Show that  $[T]_{\mathcal{BD}}$  is a linear isomorphism from L(V, W) (the vector space of linear maps from V to W) to  $M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$  (vector space of  $m \times n$  complex matrices).

**Linearity:** Let *T*, *S* be linear from *V* to *W*. Then:

$$\begin{split} [T+S]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}} &= \left[ [(T+S)\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [(T+S)\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \right] \\ &= \left[ [(T\vec{b}_1 + S\vec{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [(T\vec{b}_n + S\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{D}} \right] \\ &= \left[ [T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \right] + \left[ [S\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [S\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \right] \quad \text{By Linearity of } [\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [S]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}} + [T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}. \end{split}$$

And then letting  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\alpha[T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = \alpha[[T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}}]$$

$$= [\alpha[T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots \alpha[T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}}]$$

$$= [[\alpha T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [\alpha T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}}]$$

$$= [\alpha T]_{\mathcal{BD}}.$$
Linearity of  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}}$ 

**Injective:** Clearly  $0 \in \ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}}$ ; take any transformation T and  $[0]_{\mathcal{BD}} = [T - T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = [T]_{\mathcal{BD}} - [T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = 0$ .

Then let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  and  $T \in \ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}}$ . Then:

$$[T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = 0$$
$$[[Tb_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [Tb_n]_{\mathcal{D}}] = [0 \dots 0].$$

Then  $[Tb_i]_{\mathcal{D}}=0$  for any basis vector  $b_i$ . In particular this means that  $TB_i=0$ , since  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}}$  is an isomorphism. Now for any arbitrary  $v\in V$ , write  $v=a_1b_1+\ldots a_nb_n$ . Then  $Tv=T(a_1b_1+\ldots a_nb_n)=a_1Tb_1+\ldots +a_nTb_n=0+\ldots +0=0$  and T=0.

Therefore  $\ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}} = \{0\}.$ 

**Surjective:** The argument that  $\dim L(V,W) = \dim M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$  proves difficult, so instead we show directly that  $[L(V,W)]_{BD} = M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $A \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ , and write  $A = [\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_n]$ , where  $\vec{a}_j$  are column vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Then take the inverse map for  $[\cdot]_D$  (which was shown to exist in 1(a)), denote it  $[\cdot]_D^{-1}$  and define T on the basis vectors in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $Tb_j = [\vec{a}_j]_D^{-1}$ . Then:

$$[T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = [[Tb_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [Tb_n]_{\mathcal{D}}]$$

$$= [[[\vec{a}_1]_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [[\vec{a}_n]_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{D}}]$$

$$= [\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_n]$$

$$= A.$$

So every arbitrary matrix has a preimage in the space of linear transformations, and therefore the mapping is onto. Since  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}}$  is bijective and linear, it must then be an isomorphism.

2. Let V, W and U be finite dimensional vector spaces with given bases:

 $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}, \mathcal{D} = \{\vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_m\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}, \text{ respectively. Suppose } T: V \to W \text{ and } S: W \to U \text{ are linear. Prove or disprove the following statement for the composition linear map } ST: V \to U$ :

$$[ST]_{BF} = [S]_{DF}[T]_{BD}$$
.

**Solution:** We make great use of the property shown in 1(b). Where it is used will be marked with (\*). Let  $v \in V$  be arbitrary and recall that  $[v]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is unique since  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism.

$$[ST]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{F}}[v]_{\mathcal{B}} = [STv]_{\mathcal{F}} \tag{*}$$

$$= [S]_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}}[Tv]_{\mathcal{D}} \tag{*}$$

$$= [S]_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}[v]_{\mathcal{B}} \tag{*}$$

So we have shown that these matrices  $[ST]_{\mathcal{BF}}$  and  $[S]_{\mathcal{DF}}[T]_{\mathcal{BD}}$  agree upon all vectors in the image of  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . However since this particular mapping is onto, we know this to be all of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This means the matrices agree upon all of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and therefore they must be equal.

3. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and  $T: V \to V$  be linear. Show that  $\sigma(T) = \sigma([T]_{\mathcal{B}})$  where  $\mathcal{B}$  is any basis for V.

**Linearity of inverse:** To show this we use the fact that  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  is linear. Included is a brief demonstration of this fact. Let  $T, S \in L(V, V)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$\begin{split} [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} + [S]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} &= [[[T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} + [S]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} \\ &= [[[T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{B}} + [[S]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} \\ &= [T + S]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}. \end{split}$$

$$\alpha [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} &= [[\alpha [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} \\ &= [\alpha [[T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}]_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} \\ &= [\alpha T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}. \end{split}$$

**Solution:**  $\subseteq$ : Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , and let  $\vec{v}$  be an associated eigenvector. We show that  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda$  under  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}}[\vec{\mathbf{v}}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T\vec{\mathbf{v}}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$
 By 1(b)  
=  $[\lambda\vec{\mathbf{v}}]_{\mathcal{B}}$   
=  $\lambda[\vec{\mathbf{v}}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  [:] $_{\mathcal{B}}$  is linear.

 $\supseteq$ : Let  $\tau$  be an eigenvalue of  $[T]_B$  with associated eigenvector  $\vec{y}$ . Since  $[\cdot]_B$  is an isomorphism,  $\vec{y}$  has a unique preimage under the mapping, say  $\vec{x}$  so that  $[\vec{x}]_B = \vec{y}$ . Recall that  $[\cdot]_B$  also must have an inverse. Denote this  $[\cdot]_B^{-1}: M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}) \to L(V, W)$ .

$$T\vec{x} = [[T\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

$$= [[T]_{\mathcal{B}}[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

$$= [[T]_{\mathcal{B}}\vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

$$= [\tau\vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

$$= \tau[\vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

$$= \tau\vec{x}.$$
Again by 1(b)
$$[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$$
 is linear
$$= \tau\vec{x}.$$

Therefore  $\sigma(T) = \sigma([T]_{\mathcal{B}})$ .

4. Let A be an  $n \times n$  complex matrix with  $\sigma(A) = \{1\}$ . Show that A is diagonalizable if and only if A is the identity matrix.

 $\implies$  : Let A be a diagonalizable matrix and  $\sigma(A) = \{1\}$ . Then there exists some invertible S so that  $S^{-1}AS = D = \text{diag}\{1, ..., 1\} = I$ . Multiply both sides:

$$S^{-1}AS = I$$

$$SS^{-1}ASS^{-1} = SIS^{-1}$$

$$A = SS^{-1}$$

$$A = I.$$

 $\Leftarrow$ : Conversely, if A = I, then take the invertible matrix I, so that  $IAI^{-1} = A = I$ , and since I is diagonal, A is diagonalizable.

5. Determine whether or not the derivative map  $D: P_n \to P_n$  given by Dp(z) = p'(z) is diagonalizable.

**Claim:** The derivative map defined above is nilpotent; the k + 1-th derivative of a polynomial of degree  $k \in C[x]$  is identically zero.

*Proof of claim:* Proceed by induction on the degree of *p*.

**Base case:** If p has degree 0, then p is constant and has zero derivative, and as such, any subsequent derivative will be zero.

**Inductive hypothesis:** Suppose that the k-th derivative of any  $p \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  with  $\deg p = k - 1$  is identically zero.

**Inductive step:** Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  be of degree k. Write  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_kx^k$  for complex  $a_i$ . Then  $Df(x) = a_1 + 2a_2 + \ldots + ka_nx^{k-1}$ . And since this polynomial Df is of degree k-1, by the inductive hypothesis the k-th derivative of this must be zero, and  $D^{k+1}f = D(D^kf) = 0$ . Therefore by induction on deg f, the derivative operator is nilpotent on  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ 

**Solution:** The derivative map is nilpotent on  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , and since nilpotent operators are not diagonalizable, the derivative operator is not diagonalizable.