

1. Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces with given bases $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{\vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_m\}$, respectively.

- (a) For a given $\vec{x} \in V$, there are unique scalars so that $\vec{x} = a_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{b}_n$. Define the vector $[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Show that the map $\vec{x} \mapsto [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a linear isomorphism from V into \mathbb{C}^n .

Linearity: Let $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$. Then write $\vec{x} = a_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{b}_n$ and $\vec{y} = c_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{b}_n$. Now:

$$[\vec{x} + \vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + c_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n + c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} + [\vec{y}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$[\alpha\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha a_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha a_n \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \alpha [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

So $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is linear.

Isomorphism: Since $\dim V = \dim \mathbb{C}^n = n$, it will suffice to show that this mapping is injective. We do so by showing $\ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} = \{0\}$. Clearly 0 is in the kernel since $[0]_{\mathcal{B}} = [0\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = 0[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$. For inclusion the other way, let $\vec{x} \in \ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then $[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$; meaning the basis representation of \vec{x} is through zero coefficients; and

$$\vec{x} = 0\vec{b}_1 + \dots + 0\vec{b}_n = 0.$$

So $\ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} = \{0\}$, and this map is injective. But since the spaces are of the same dimension it must also be surjective. So the map is a linear isomorphism from V to \mathbb{C}^n .

- (b) Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. In class, we defined the matrix representation of T with respect to \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D} as the $m \times n$ matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}} = [[T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}}, \dots, [T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}}]$. In other words, the j -th column of $[T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}$ is $[T\vec{b}_j]_{\mathcal{D}}$. Show that $[T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{D}}$ for any $\vec{x} \in V$.

Solution: Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear, then write $\vec{x} = a_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{b}_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} [T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} &= [[T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}}] \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= a_1[T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} + \dots + a_n[T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [a_1T\vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_nT\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} && \text{By linearity of } [\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [T(a_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{D}} && \text{By linearity of } T \\ &= [T\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Show that $[T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}$ is a linear isomorphism from $L(V, W)$ (the vector space of linear maps from V to W) to $M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ (vector space of $m \times n$ complex matrices).

Linearity: Let T, S be linear from V to W . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} [T + S]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}} &= [(T + S)\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [(T + S)\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [(T\vec{b}_1 + S\vec{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [(T\vec{b}_n + S\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [T\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [T\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} + [S\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [S\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} && \text{By Linearity of } [\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [S]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}} + [T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

And then letting $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha[T]_{\mathcal{BD}} &= \alpha[\tau\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [\tau\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [\alpha\tau\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [\alpha\tau\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} && \text{Linearity of } [\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [\alpha\tau\vec{b}_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [\alpha\tau\vec{b}_n]_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= [\alpha T]_{\mathcal{BD}}.\end{aligned}$$

Injective: Clearly $0 \in \ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}}$; take any transformation T and $[0]_{\mathcal{BD}} = [T - T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = [T]_{\mathcal{BD}} - [T]_{\mathcal{BD}} = 0$.

Then let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ and $T \in \ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}[T]_{\mathcal{BD}} &= 0 \\ [[Tb_1]_{\mathcal{D}} \dots [Tb_n]_{\mathcal{D}}] &= [0 \dots 0].\end{aligned}$$

Then $[Tb_i]_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ for any basis vector b_i . In particular this means that $Tb_i = 0$, since $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{D}}$ is an isomorphism. Now for any arbitrary $v \in V$, write $v = a_1b_1 + \dots + a_nb_n$. Then $Tv = T(a_1b_1 + \dots + a_nb_n) = a_1Tb_1 + \dots + a_nTb_n = 0 + \dots + 0 = 0$ and $T = 0$.

Therefore $\ker[\cdot]_{\mathcal{BD}} = \{0\}$.

Surjective: The argument that $\dim L(V, W) = \dim M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ proves difficult, so instead we show directly that $[L(V, W)]_{\mathcal{BD}} = M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$.

2. Let V, W and U be finite dimensional vector spaces with given bases:

$\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$, $\mathcal{D} = \{\vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_m\}$, and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$, respectively. Suppose $T : V \rightarrow W$ and $S : W \rightarrow U$ are linear. Prove or disprove the following statement for the composition linear map $ST : V \rightarrow U$:

$$[ST]_{\mathcal{BF}} = [S]_{\mathcal{DF}}[T]_{\mathcal{BD}}.$$

Solution: We make great use of the property shown in 1(b). Where it is used will be marked with (*). Let $v \in V$ be arbitrary and recall that $[v]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is unique since $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}[ST]_{\mathcal{BF}}[v]_{\mathcal{B}} &= [STv]_{\mathcal{F}} && (*) \\ &= [S]_{\mathcal{DF}}[Tv]_{\mathcal{D}} && (*) \\ &= [S]_{\mathcal{DF}}[T]_{\mathcal{BD}}[v]_{\mathcal{B}} && (*)\end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that these matrices $[ST]_{\mathcal{BF}}$ and $[S]_{\mathcal{DF}}[T]_{\mathcal{BD}}$ agree upon all vectors in the image of $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$. However since this particular mapping is onto, we know this to be all of \mathbb{C}^n . This means the matrices agree upon all of \mathbb{C}^n and therefore they must be equal.

3. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be linear. Show that $\sigma(T) = \sigma([T]_{\mathcal{B}})$ where \mathcal{B} is any basis for V .

Solution: \subseteq : Let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, and let \vec{v} be an associated eigenvector. We show that $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an eigenvector for λ under $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} &= [T\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} && \text{By 1(b)} \\ &= [\lambda\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= \lambda[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} && [\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} \text{ is linear.}\end{aligned}$$

\supseteq : Let τ be an eigenvalue of $[T]_B$ with associated eigenvector \vec{y} . Since $[\cdot]_B$ is an isomorphism, \vec{y} has a unique preimage under the mapping, say \vec{x} so that $[\vec{x}]_B = \vec{y}$. Recall that $[\cdot]_B$ also must have an inverse. Denote this $[\cdot]_B^{-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T\vec{x} &= [[T\vec{x}]_B]_B^{-1} \\
 &= [[T]_B[\vec{x}]_B]_B^{-1} && \text{Again by 1(b)} \\
 &= [[T]_B\vec{y}]_B^{-1} \\
 &= [\tau\vec{y}]_B^{-1} \\
 &= \tau[\vec{y}]_B^{-1} && [\cdot]_B \text{ is linear} \\
 &= \tau\vec{x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma(T) = \sigma([T]_B)$.

4. Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix with $\sigma(A) = \{1\}$. Show that A is diagonalizable if and only if A is the identity matrix.

\Rightarrow : Let A be a diagonalizable matrix and $\sigma(A) = \{1\}$. Then there exists some invertible S so that $S^{-1}AS = D = \text{diag}\{1, \dots, 1\} = I$. Multiply both sides:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^{-1}AS &= I \\
 SS^{-1}ASS^{-1} &= SIS^{-1} \\
 A &= SS^{-1} \\
 A &= I.
 \end{aligned}$$

\Leftarrow : Conversely, if $A = I$, then take the invertible matrix I , so that $IAI^{-1} = A = I$, and since I is diagonal, A is diagonalizable.

5. Determine whether or not the derivative map $D : P_n \rightarrow P_n$ given by $Dp(z) = p'(z)$ is diagonalizable.

Claim: The derivative map defined above is nilpotent; the $k + 1$ -th derivative of a polynomial of degree $k \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is identically zero.

Proof of claim: Proceed by induction on the degree of p .

Base case: If p has degree 0, then p is constant and has zero derivative, and as such, any subsequent derivative will be zero.

Inductive hypothesis: Suppose that the k -th derivative of any $p \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\deg p = k - 1$ is identically zero.

Inductive step: Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be of degree k . Write $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k$ for complex a_i . Then $Df(x) = a_1 + 2a_2x + \dots + ka_kx^{k-1}$. And since this polynomial Df is of degree $k - 1$, by the inductive hypothesis the k -th derivative of this must be zero, and $D^{k+1}f = D(D^k f) = 0$. Therefore by induction on $\deg f$, the derivative operator is nilpotent on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ \square

Solution: The derivative map is nilpotent on $\mathbb{C}[x]$, and since nilpotent operators are not diagonalizable, the derivative operator is not diagonalizable