

Approach: Maximum likelihood estimation - We know the noise model: n(x,y)~N(0,02) - Therefore, we know the likelihood function: $P(J|T) = \sum_{x,y} e^{-|J(x,y)|^2 \sigma^2}$ P(X,y) = 0-Then the MLE is IX = argmax P(J|I) Ly What's wrong with this? "Hey! Wjat's up?" 1. Nove model -> h +> j . Word model -> Wiat's X What's V Image prior

Approach: Maximum a posteriori estimation
-Some values of I are more plausible than others: Suppose we know P(I), the probability that any image I is a natural
photograph.
-Then, using Bayeslan model:
P(IJ) = P(JI) · P(I) P(JI) \(\times \frac{P(IJ)}{P(I)} \) Posterior
Then the MAT estimate 18
I* = argmax P(J/I) · P(I) = argmax [[[] e - J(x, x) - I(x, y) Y/oz] · P(I)

Before we	get to solving	For the MAP
how can i	get to solving we possibly know	, P(I)?
_	P(I) only need	e to quantify
	Desirable v	s. Undestrable
Paroising	Noise-Snee	Noisy
PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PE		10015 y
Deblerring	Sharp edges	Smooth edges
Recoloring	Realism	Not
1,200		·
77	11.	
	o even be solvable.	15. They require external ->P(T)
. 1(107) 24 10 / (1		. (*)

	Solving problems with priors:
	- Analytic solution: If P(IIJ) is well-behaved, solve it
	solve It
	- Nonlinear optimization techniques: Cplex, Gurobi other linear/nonlinear solvers
local) other linear/nonlinear solvers
optima	
	- Gradient-based algorithms: Catchall. Neural network methodology.
	network methodology.

