

## On symmetries of iterates of rational functions

FEDOR PAKOVICH

**Abstract.** Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Let us denote by  $G(A)$  the group of Möbius transformation  $\sigma$  such that  $A \circ \sigma = v_\sigma \circ A$  for some Möbius transformations  $v_\sigma$ , and by  $\Sigma(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A)$  the subgroups of  $G(A)$  consisting of  $\sigma$ 's such that  $A \circ \sigma = A$  and  $A \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ A$ , correspondingly. In this paper, we study the sequences of the above groups arising from iterating  $A$ . In particular, we show that if  $A$  is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ , then the orders of the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ , with  $k \geq 2$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only. We also prove a number of results about the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A) = \cup_{k=1}^\infty \Sigma(A^{\circ k})$  and  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A) = \cup_{k=1}^\infty \text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$ , which are especially interesting from the dynamical perspective.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 37F10 (primary); 30D05 (secondary).

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . In this paper, we study a variety of different subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  related to  $A$ , and more generally to a dynamical system defined by iterating  $A$ . Specifically, let us define  $\Sigma(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A)$  as the groups of Möbius transformations  $\sigma$  such that  $A \circ \sigma = A$  and  $A \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ A$ , correspondingly. Notice that elements of  $\Sigma(A)$  permute points of any fiber of  $A$ , and more generally of any fiber of  $A^{\circ k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , while elements of  $\text{Aut}(A)$  permute fixed points of  $A^{\circ k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Since any Möbius transformation is defined by its values at any three points, this implies in particular that the groups  $\Sigma(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A)$  are finite and therefore belong to the well-known list  $A_4, S_4, A_5, C_l, D_{2l}$  of finite subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ .

Both the groups  $\Sigma(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A)$  are subgroups of the group  $G(A)$  defined as the group of Möbius transformations  $\sigma$  such that

$$A \circ \sigma = v_\sigma \circ A \tag{1.1}$$

This research was supported by ISF Grant No. 1092/22.

Received December 31, 2022; accepted in revised form October 28, 2023.  
Published online September 2025.

for some Möbius transformations  $\nu_\sigma$ . It is easy to see that  $G(A)$  is indeed a group, and that  $\nu_\sigma$  is defined in a unique way by  $\sigma$ . Furthermore, the map

$$\gamma_A : \sigma \rightarrow \nu_\sigma \quad (1.2)$$

is a homomorphism from  $G(A)$  to the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ , whose kernel coincides with  $\Sigma(A)$ . We will denote the image of  $\gamma_A$  by  $\widehat{G}(A)$ . It was shown in the paper [15] that, unless

$$A = \alpha \circ z^n \circ \beta$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ , the group  $G(A)$  is also finite and its order is bounded in terms of the degree of  $A$  only.

In this paper, we study the dynamical analogues of the groups  $\Sigma(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A)$  defined by the formulas

$$\Sigma_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Sigma(A^{\circ k}), \quad \text{Aut}_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Aut}(A^{\circ k}).$$

Since

$$\Sigma(A) \subseteq \Sigma(A^{\circ 2}) \subseteq \Sigma(A^{\circ 3}) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Sigma(A^{\circ k}) \subseteq \dots, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k}) \subseteq \text{Aut}(A^{\circ r}), \quad \text{Aut}(A^{\circ l}) \subseteq \text{Aut}(A^{\circ r})$$

for any common multiple  $r$  of  $k$  and  $l$ , the sets  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  are groups. While it is not clear a priori that the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  are finite, for  $A$  not conjugated to  $z^{\pm n}$  their finiteness can be deduced from the theorem of Levin [5, 6] about rational functions sharing the measure of maximal entropy. However, the Levin theorem does not permit to describe the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  or to estimate their orders, and the main goal of this paper is to prove some results in this direction. More generally, we study the totality of the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , defined by iterating  $A$ .

Our main result about the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Then the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , are finite and their orders are uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only.*

In addition to Theorem 1.1, we prove a number of more precise results about the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  and  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  allowing us in certain cases to calculate these groups explicitly. For a rational function  $A$ , let us denote by  $c(A)$  the set of its critical values. Our main result concerning the groups  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Then the group  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  is finite and its order is bounded in terms of  $n$  only. Moreover, every  $v \in \text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  maps the set  $c(A)$  to the set  $c(A^{\circ 2})$ .*

Notice that, since the Möbius transformations  $\nu$  such that

$$\nu(c(A)) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 2}) \quad (1.4)$$

can be described explicitly, Theorem 1.2 provides us with a concrete subset of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  containing the group  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$ .

To formulate our main results concerning groups  $\Sigma(A)$ , let us introduce some definitions. Let  $A$  be a rational function. Then a rational function  $\tilde{A}$  is called an *elementary transformation* of  $A$  if there exist rational functions  $U$  and  $V$  such that

$$A = U \circ V \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A} = V \circ U. \quad (1.5)$$

We say that rational functions  $A$  and  $A'$  are *equivalent* and write  $A \sim A'$  if there exists a chain of elementary transformations between  $A$  and  $A'$ . Since for any Möbius transformation  $\mu$  the equality

$$A = (A \circ \mu^{-1}) \circ \mu \quad (1.6)$$

holds, the equivalence class  $[A]$  of a rational function  $A$  is a union of conjugacy classes. Moreover, by the results of the papers [12, 15], the number of conjugacy classes in  $[A]$  is finite, unless  $A$  is a flexible Lattès map.

In this notation, our main result about the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Then the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is finite and its order is bounded in terms of  $n$  only. Moreover, for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$  the relation  $A \circ \sigma \sim A$  holds.*

Notice that in some cases Theorem 1.3 permits to describe the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  completely. Specifically, assume that  $A$  is *indecomposable*, that is, it cannot be represented as a composition of two rational functions of degree at least two. In this case, the number of conjugacy classes in the equivalence class  $[A]$  obviously is equal to one, and Theorem 1.3 yields the following statement.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $A$  be an indecomposable rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Then  $\Sigma_\infty(A) = \Sigma(A)$  whenever the group  $\widehat{G}(A)$  is trivial. Moreover, the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is trivial whenever  $G(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$ .*

Notice that Theorem 1.4 implies in particular that, if  $A$  is indecomposable and the group  $G(A)$  is trivial, then  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is also trivial.

Finally, along with the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we consider their “local” versions. Specifically, let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  be a fixed point of  $A$ . For a point  $z_1 \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  distinct from  $z_0$ , we define  $G(A, z_0, z_1)$  as the subgroup of  $G(A)$  consisting of Möbius transformations  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(z_0) = z_0$  and  $\sigma(z_1) = z_1$ . For these groups, we prove the following statement.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^n$ . Assume that  $z_0 \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  is a fixed point of  $A$ , and  $z_1 \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  is a point distinct from  $z_0$ . Then  $G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are finite cyclic groups equal to each other.*

Notice that every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , belongs to  $G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)$  for some  $z_0, z_1$ . Indeed, the equality

$$A^{\circ k} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ A^{\circ k} \quad k \geq 1,$$

implies that  $A^{\circ k}$  sends the set of fixed points of  $\sigma$  to itself. Therefore, at least one of these points  $z_0, z_1$  is a fixed point of  $A^{\circ 2k}$ , and if  $z_0$  is such a point, then  $\sigma \in G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)$ . In view of this relation between  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$  and  $G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)$ , Theorem 1.5 allows us in some cases to estimate the order of the group  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  and even to describe this group explicitly.

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we establish basic properties of the group  $G(A)$  and provide a method for its calculation. In the third section, we briefly discuss relations between the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ ,  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  and the measure of maximal entropy for  $A$ . In particular, we deduce the finiteness of these groups from the results of Levin [5, 6].

In the fourth section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Moreover, we prove that (1.4) holds for any Möbius transformation  $v$  that belongs to  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . In the fifth section, using results about semiconjugate rational functions from the papers [11, 15], we prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. We also prove a slightly more general version of Theorem 1.1. Finally, in the sixth section, we deduce Theorem 1.5 from the result of Reznick [17] about iterates of formal power series, and provide some applications of Theorem 1.5 concerning the groups  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  and  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ .

## 2. The groups $G(A)$

Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ , and  $G(A)$ ,  $\widehat{G}(A)$ ,  $\Sigma(A)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(A)$  the groups defined in the introduction. Notice that, if rational functions  $A$  and  $A'$  are related by the equality

$$\alpha \circ A \circ \beta = A'$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ , then

$$G(A') = \beta^{-1} \circ G(A) \circ \beta, \quad \widehat{G}(A') = \alpha \circ \widehat{G}(A) \circ \alpha^{-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, the groups  $G(A)$  and  $G(A')$  are isomorphic. Notice also that since

$$\widehat{G}(A) \cong G(A)/\Sigma(A), \quad (2.2)$$

the equality

$$|G(A)| = |\widehat{G}(A)| |\Sigma(A)| \quad (2.3)$$

holds whenever the groups involved are finite.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then the following statements are true:*

- (i) *For every  $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $\sigma \in G(A)$  the multiplicity of  $A$  at  $z$  is equal to the multiplicity of  $A$  at  $\sigma(z)$ ;*
- (ii) *For every  $c \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $\sigma \in G(A)$  the fiber  $A^{-1}\{c\}$  is mapped by  $\sigma$  to the fiber  $A^{-1}(v_\sigma(c))$ ;*
- (iii) *Every  $v \in \widehat{G}(A)$  maps  $c(A)$  to  $c(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Since (1.1) implies that

$$\text{mult}_{\sigma(z)} A \cdot \text{mult}_z \sigma = \text{mult}_{A(z)} v_\sigma \cdot \text{mult}_z A$$

the first statement follows from the fact that  $\sigma$  and  $v_\sigma$  are one-to-one.

Further, it is clear that (1.1) implies

$$\sigma^{-1}(A^{-1}\{c\}) = A^{-1}(v_\sigma^{-1}\{c\}).$$

Changing now  $\sigma^{-1}$  to  $\sigma$  and taking into account that  $v_\sigma^{-1} = v_{\sigma^{-1}}$ , we obtain the second statement.

Finally, the third statement follows from the second one, taking into account that

$$|A^{-1}\{c\}| = |A^{-1}(v_\sigma(c))|$$

since  $\sigma$  is one-to-one, and that  $c$  is a critical value of  $A$  if and only if  $|A^{-1}\{c\}| < n$ .  $\square$

We say that a rational function  $A$  of degree  $n \geq 2$  is a *quasi-power* if there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  such that

$$A = \alpha \circ z^n \circ \beta.$$

It is easy to see using Lemma 2.1 that the group  $G(z^n)$  consists of the transformations  $z \rightarrow cz^{\pm 1}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, by (2.1), for any quasi-power  $A$  the groups  $G(A)$  and  $\widehat{G}(A)$  are infinite.

**Lemma 2.2.** *A rational function  $A$  of degree  $n \geq 2$  is a quasi-power if and only if it has only two critical values. If  $A$  is a quasi-power, then  $A^{\circ 2}$  is a quasi-power if and only if  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ .*

*Proof.* The first part of the lemma is well known and follows easily from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. To prove the second, we observe that the chain rule implies that the function

$$A^{\circ 2} = \alpha \circ z^n \circ \beta \circ \alpha \circ z^n \circ \beta$$

has only two critical values if and only if  $\beta \circ \alpha$  maps the set  $\{0, \infty\}$  to itself. Therefore,  $A^{\circ 2}$  is a quasi-power if and only if  $\beta \circ \alpha = cz^{\pm 1}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , that is, if and only if

$$A = \alpha \circ z^n \circ \beta = \alpha \circ z^n \circ cz^{\pm 1} \circ \alpha^{-1} = \alpha \circ c^n z^{\pm n} \circ \alpha^{-1}.$$

Finally, it is clear that the last condition is equivalent to the condition that  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ .  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ . We recall that a rational function  $\theta_G$  is called an *invariant function* for  $G$  if the equality  $\theta_G(x) = \theta_G(y)$  holds for  $x, y \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  if and only if there exists  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma(x) = y$ . Such a function always exists and is defined in a unique way up to the transformation  $\theta_G \rightarrow \mu \circ \theta_G$ , where  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ . Obviously,  $\theta_G$  has degree equal to the order of  $G$ . Invariant functions for finite subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  were first found by Klein in his book [4].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\Sigma(A)$  is a finite group and  $|\Sigma(A)|$  is a divisor of  $n$ . Moreover,  $|\Sigma(A)| = n$  if and only if  $A$  is an invariant function for  $\Sigma(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Since for a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  the set of rational functions  $F$  such that  $F \circ \sigma = F$  for every  $\sigma \in G$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}(z)$ , it follows easily from the Lüroth theorem that any such a function  $F$  is a rational function in  $\theta_G$ . Thus,  $\deg F$  is divisible by  $\deg \theta_G = |G|$ . In particular, setting  $G = \Sigma(A)$ , we see that the degree of  $A$  is divisible by  $|\Sigma(A)|$ , and  $\deg A = |\Sigma(A)|$  if and only if  $A$  is an invariant function for  $\Sigma(A)$ .  $\square$

The existence of invariant functions implies that for every finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  there exist rational functions for which  $\Sigma(A) = G$ . Similarly, for every finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  there exist rational functions for which  $\text{Aut}(A) = G$ . A description of such functions in terms of homogenous invariant polynomials for  $G$  was obtained by Doyle and McMullen in [2]. Notice that rational functions with non-trivial automorphism groups are closely related to *generalized Lattès maps* (see [13] for more detail).

The following result was proved in [15]. For the reader's convenience we provide a simpler proof.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not a quasi-power. Then the group  $G(A)$  is isomorphic to one of the five finite rotation groups of the sphere  $A_4, S_4, A_5, C_l, D_{2l}$ , and the order of any element of  $G(A)$  does not exceed  $n$ . In particular,  $|G(A)| \leq \max\{60, 2n\}$ .*

*Proof.* Any element of the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is conjugate either to  $z \rightarrow z + 1$  or to  $z \rightarrow \lambda z$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, making the change

$$A \rightarrow \mu_1 \circ A \circ \mu_2, \quad \sigma \rightarrow \mu_2^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \mu_2, \quad \nu_\sigma \rightarrow \mu_1 \circ \nu_\sigma \circ \mu_1^{-1}$$

for convenient  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $\sigma$  and  $\nu_\sigma$  in (1.1) have one of the two forms above.

We observe first that the equality

$$A(z+1) = \lambda A(z), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (2.4)$$

is impossible. Indeed, if  $A$  has a finite pole, then (2.4) implies that  $A$  has infinitely many poles. On the other hand, if  $A$  does not have finite poles, then  $A$  has a finite zero, and (2.4) implies that  $A$  has infinitely many zeroes. Similarly, the equality

$$A(z+1) = A(z) + 1 \quad (2.5)$$

is impossible if  $A$  has a finite pole. On the other hand, if  $A$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$ , then we obtain a contradiction comparing the coefficients of  $z^{n-1}$  on the left and the right sides of equality (2.5).

For the argument below, instead of considering  $A$  as a ratio of two polynomials, it is more convenient to assume that  $A$  is represented by its convergent Laurent series at zero. Comparing for such a representation the free terms on the left and the right sides of the equality

$$A(\lambda z) = A(z) + 1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

we conclude that this equality is impossible either. Thus, equality (1.1) for a non-identity  $\sigma$  reduces to the equality

$$A(\lambda_1 z) = \lambda_2 A(z), \quad \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \quad \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Comparing now the coefficients on the left and the right sides of (2.6) and taking into account that  $A \neq az^{\pm n}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , by assumption, we conclude that  $\lambda_1$  is a root of the unity. Furthermore, if  $d$  is the order of  $\lambda_1$ , then  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^r$  for some  $0 \leq r \leq d-1$ , implying that  $A/z^r$  is a rational function in  $z^d$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that if  $A = z^r R(z^d)$ , where  $R \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  and  $0 \leq r \leq d-1$ , then  $d \leq n$ , unless either  $R \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  or  $R = a/z$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since for such  $R$  the function  $A$  is a quasi-power, we conclude that the order of  $\lambda_1$ , and hence the order of any element of  $G(A)$ , does not exceed  $n$ .

To finish the proof we must show only that  $G(A)$  is finite. By Lemma 2.2,  $A$  has at least three critical values. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, (iii), every  $v \in \widehat{G}(A)$  maps  $c(A)$  to  $c(A)$ . Since any Möbius transformation is defined by its values at any three points, this implies that  $\widehat{G}(A)$  is finite. Since  $\Sigma(A)$  is finite by Theorem 2.3, this implies that  $G(A)$  is finite because of the isomorphism (2.2).  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** Using some non-trivial group-theoretic results about subgroups of  $\text{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ , one can deduce the finiteness of  $G(A)$  directly from the fact that the order of any element of  $G(A)$  does not exceed  $n$ . Namely, the proof given in the paper [15] uses the Schur theorem (see, e.g., [1, (36.2)]), which states that any finitely generated periodic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  has finite order. Alternatively, one can

use the Burnside theorem (see, e.g., [1, (36.1)]), which states that any subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  of bounded period is finite. Indeed, assume that  $G(A)$  is infinite. Then its lifting  $\overline{G(A)} \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is also infinite. On the other hand, if the order of any element of  $G(A)$  is bounded by  $N$ , then the order of any element of  $\overline{G(A)}$  is bounded by  $2N$ . The contradiction obtained proves the finiteness of  $G(A)$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\Sigma(A)$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$  are finite groups whose order does not exceed  $\max\{60, 2n\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is not a quasi-power, then the corollary follows from Theorem 2.4. On the other hand, it is easy to see that if  $A$  is a quasi-power, then the corresponding groups are cyclic groups of order  $n$  and  $n - 1$  correspondingly.  $\square$

Let us mention the following specification of Theorem 2.4.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Assume that there exists a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  such that the multiplicity of  $A$  at  $z_0$  is distinct from the multiplicity of  $A$  at any other point  $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ . Then  $G(A)$  is a finite cyclic group, and  $z_0$  is a fixed point of its generator.*

*Proof.* It follows from the assumption that  $A$  is not a quasi-power. Therefore,  $G(A)$  is finite. Moreover, every element of  $G(A)$  fixes  $z_0$  by Lemma 2.1, (i). On the other hand, a unique finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  whose elements share a fixed point is cyclic.  $\square$

In turn, Theorem 2.7 implies the following well-known corollary.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $P$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not a quasi-power. Then  $G(P)$  is a finite cyclic group generated by a polynomial.*

*Proof.* Since  $P$  is not a quasi-power, the multiplicity of  $P$  at infinity is distinct from the multiplicity of  $P$  at any other point of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Moreover, since every element of  $G(P)$  fixes infinity,  $G(P)$  consists of polynomials.  $\square$

Notice that functions  $A$  of degree  $n$  with  $|G(A)| = 2n$  do exist. Indeed, it is easy to see that for any function of the form

$$A = \frac{z^n - a}{az^n - 1}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

the group  $G(A)$  contains the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$ , generated by

$$z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}, \quad z \rightarrow \varepsilon_n z,$$

where  $\varepsilon_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ . Thus, for  $n$  big enough,  $G(A) = D_{2n}$ , by Theorem 2.4. On the other hand, for small  $n$ , functions  $A$  of degree  $n$  with  $|G(A)| > 2n$  do exist as well (see for instance Example 2.10 below).

Lemma 2.1 provides us with a method for practical calculation of  $G(A)$ , at least if the degree of  $A$  is small enough. We illustrate it with the following example.

**Example 2.9.** Let us consider the function

$$A = \frac{1}{8} \frac{z^4 + 8z^3 + 8z - 8}{z - 1}.$$

One can check that  $A$  has three critical values 1, 9, and  $\infty$ , and that

$$A - 1 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{z^3(z + 8)}{z - 1}, \quad A - 9 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{(z^2 + 4z - 8)^2}{z - 1}.$$

Since the multiplicities of  $A$  at the preimages of 1, 9, and  $\infty$  are

$$\text{mult}_0 A = 3, \quad \text{mult}_{-8} A = 1, \quad \text{mult}_{-2+2\sqrt{3}} A = 2, \quad \text{mult}_{-2-2\sqrt{3}} A = 2$$

and

$$\text{mult}_\infty A = 3, \quad \text{mult}_1 A = 1,$$

Lemma 2.1 implies that for any  $\sigma \in G(A)$  either

$$\sigma(0) = 0, \quad \sigma(\infty) = \infty, \quad \sigma(-8) = -8, \quad \sigma(1) = 1, \quad (2.7)$$

or

$$\sigma(0) = \infty, \quad \sigma(\infty) = 0, \quad \sigma(-8) = 1, \quad \sigma(1) = -8. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, in addition, either

$$\sigma(-2 + 2\sqrt{3}) = -2 - 2\sqrt{3}, \quad \sigma(-2 - 2\sqrt{3}) = -2 + 2\sqrt{3}, \quad (2.9)$$

or

$$\sigma(-2 + 2\sqrt{3}) = -2 + 2\sqrt{3}, \quad \sigma(-2 - 2\sqrt{3}) = -2 - 2\sqrt{3}.$$

Clearly, condition (2.7) implies that  $\sigma = z$ , while the unique transformation satisfying (2.8) is

$$\sigma = -8/z, \quad (2.10)$$

and this transformation satisfies (2.9). Furthermore, the corresponding  $v_\sigma$  must satisfy

$$v_\sigma(1) = \infty, \quad v_\sigma(\infty) = 1, \quad v_\sigma(9) = 9,$$

implying that

$$v_\sigma = \frac{z + 63}{z - 1}. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, (1.1) can hold only for  $\sigma$  and  $v_\sigma$  given by formulas (2.10) and (2.11), and a direct calculation shows that (1.1) is indeed satisfied. Thus, the group  $G(A)$  is a cyclic group of order two.

Notice that to verify whether a given Möbius transformation  $\sigma$  belongs to  $G(A)$  one can use the Schwarz derivative. Let us recall that for a function  $f$ , meromorphic on a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the Schwarz derivative is defined by

$$S(f)(z) = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2.$$

The characteristic property of the Schwarz derivative is that for two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , meromorphic on  $D$ , the equality  $S(f)(z) = S(g)(z)$  holds if and only if  $g = \nu \circ f$  for some Möbius transformation  $\nu$ . Thus, a Möbius transformation  $\sigma$  belongs to  $G(A)$  if and only if

$$S(A)(z) = S(A \circ \sigma)(z).$$

We finish this section by another example of calculation of  $G(A)$ .

**Example 2.10.** Let us consider the function

$$B = -\frac{2z^2}{z^4 + 1} = -\frac{2}{z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2}}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Sigma(B)$  contains the transformations  $z \rightarrow -z$  and  $z \rightarrow 1/z$ , which generate the Klein four-group  $V_4 = D_4$ , implying that  $\Sigma(B) = D_4$  by Theorem 2.3. Furthermore, it is clear that  $G(B)$  contains the transformation  $z \rightarrow iz$ , implying that  $G(B)$  contains  $D_8$ .

The groups  $A_4$ ,  $A_5$ , and  $C_l$  do not contain  $D_8$ . Therefore, if  $D_8$  is a proper subgroup of  $G(B)$ , then either  $G(B) = S_4$ , or  $G(B)$  is a dihedral group containing an element  $\sigma$  of order  $k > 4$ , whose fixed points coincide with fixed points of  $z \rightarrow iz$ . The second case is impossible, since any Möbius transformation  $\sigma$  fixing 0 and  $\infty$  has the form  $cz$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and it is easy to see that such  $\sigma$  belongs to  $G(B)$  if and only if it is a power of  $z \rightarrow iz$ . On the other hand, a direct calculation shows that for the transformation  $\mu = \frac{z+i}{z-i}$ , generating together with  $z \rightarrow iz$  and  $z \rightarrow 1/z$  the group  $S_4$ , equality (1.1) holds for  $\nu = \frac{-z+1}{-3z-1}$ . Thus,  $G(B) \cong S_4$ .

### 3. The groups $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ , $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$ and the measure of maximal entropy

Let us recall that by the results of Freire, Lopes, Mañé [3] and Lyubich [8], for every rational function  $A$  of degree  $n \geq 2$  there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu_A$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , which is invariant under  $A$ , has support equal to the Julia set  $J_A$ , and achieves maximal entropy  $\log n$  among all  $A$ -invariant probability measures.

The measure  $\mu_A$  can be described as follows. For  $a \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  let  $z_i^k(a)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n^k$ , be the roots of the equation  $A^{\circ k}(z) = a$  counted with multiplicity, and  $\mu_{A,k}(a)$  the measure defined by

$$\mu_{A,k}(a) = \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{i=1}^{n^k} \delta_{z_i^k(a)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then for every  $a \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  with two possible exceptions, the sequence  $\mu_{A,k}(a)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , converges in the weak topology to  $\mu_A$ . Notice that this description of  $\mu_A$  implies that  $\mu_A = \mu_B$  whenever  $A$  and  $B$  share an iterate.

The measure  $\mu_A$  is characterized by the balancedness property that

$$\mu_A(A(S)) = \mu_A(S)\deg A$$

for any Borel set  $S$  on which  $A$  is injective. Notice that for rational functions  $A$  and  $B$  the property to have the same measure of maximal entropy can be expressed also in algebraic terms (see [7]), leading to characterizations of such functions in terms of functional equations (see [7, 14, 18]).

The relations between the groups  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ ,  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  and the measure of maximal entropy are described by the following two statements.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  if and only if  $A$  and  $\sigma^{-1} \circ A \circ \sigma$  have a common iterate. In particular, if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\infty(A)$ , then  $A$  and  $\sigma^{-1} \circ A \circ \sigma$  share the measure of maximal entropy.*

*Proof.* The proof is trivial, given that rational functions sharing an iterate share a measure of maximal entropy.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$  the functions  $A$  and  $A \circ \sigma$  share the measure of maximal entropy.*

*Proof.* The equality

$$A^{\circ l} = A^{\circ l} \circ \sigma, \quad l \geq 1,$$

implies that for any  $k \geq l$  and  $a \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  the transformation  $\sigma$  maps the set of roots of the equation  $A^{\circ k}(z) = a$  to itself. Thus, for any set  $S \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$  we have

$$|S \cap A^{-k}(a)| = |\sigma(S) \cap A^{-k}(a)|, \quad k \geq l, \quad a \in \mathbb{CP}^1,$$

implying that any  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$  is  $\mu_A$ -invariant since  $\mu_A$  is a limit of (3.1).

Let now  $S$  be a Borel set on which  $A \circ \sigma$  is injective. Then  $A$  is injective on  $\sigma(S)$ , implying that

$$\mu_A((A \circ \sigma)(S)) = \mu_A(A(\sigma(S))) = n\mu_A(\sigma(S)) = n\mu_A(S).$$

Thus,  $\mu_A$  is the balanced measure for  $A \circ \sigma$ , and hence  $\mu_A = \mu_{A \circ \sigma}$ .  $\square$

It was proved by Levin [5, 6] that for any rational function  $A$  of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$  there exist at most finitely many rational functions  $B$  of any given degree  $d \geq 2$  sharing the measure of maximal entropy with  $A$ . Levin's theorem combined with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 implies the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Then the groups  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  and  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  are finite.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  implies that  $A$  and  $\sigma^{-1} \circ A \circ \sigma$  share the measure of maximal entropy by Lemma 3.1, it follows from Levin's theorem that the set of functions

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ A \circ \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \text{Aut}_\infty(A), \quad (3.2)$$

is finite. On the other hand, the equality

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ A \circ \sigma = \sigma'^{-1} \circ A \circ \sigma', \quad \sigma' \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1),$$

implies that  $\sigma' \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(A)$ . Thus, the finiteness of set (3.2) implies that there exist  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l$  such that any  $\sigma' \in \text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  has the form

$$\sigma' = \widehat{\sigma} \circ \sigma_k,$$

for some  $\widehat{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(A)$  and  $k, 1 \leq k \leq l$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(A)$  is finite, this implies that  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  is also finite.

Similarly, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and Levin's theorem that the set of functions

$$A \circ \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A),$$

is finite, implying the finiteness of  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  since the equality

$$A \circ \sigma = A \circ \sigma'$$

yields that  $\sigma' \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \Sigma(A)$ . □

#### 4. The groups $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$ and $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$

Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . We define the set  $S(A)$  as the union

$$S(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(A^{\circ k}),$$

that is, as the set of Möbius transformation  $v$  such that the equality

$$v \circ A^{\circ k} = A^{\circ k} \circ \mu \quad (4.1)$$

holds for some Möbius transformation  $\mu$  and  $k \geq 1$ . The next several results provide a characterization of elements of  $S(A)$  and show that  $S(A)$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$ , unless  $A$  is a quasi-power.

We start from the following statement.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be rational functions of degree  $n \geq 2$  such that*

$$A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_k = B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_k. \quad (4.2)$$

*Then  $c(A_1) \subseteq c(B_1 \circ B_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a rational function of degree  $d$ , and  $T \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$  a finite set. It is clear that the cardinality of the preimage  $f^{-1}(T)$  satisfies the upper bound

$$|f^{-1}(T)| \leq |T|d. \quad (4.3)$$

To obtain the lower bound, we observe that the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$2d - 2 = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{CP}^1} (\text{mult}_z f - 1)$$

implies that

$$\sum_{z \in f^{-1}(T)} (\text{mult}_z f - 1) \leq 2d - 2.$$

Therefore,

$$|f^{-1}(T)| = \sum_{z \in f^{-1}\{T\}} 1 \geq \sum_{z \in f^{-1}\{T\}} \text{mult}_z f - 2d + 2 = (|T| - 2)d + 2. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us denote by  $F$  the rational function defined by any of the parts of equality (4.2). Assume that  $c$  is a critical value of  $A_1$  such that  $c \notin c(B_1 \circ B_2)$ . Clearly,

$$|F^{-1}\{c\}| = |(A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_k)^{-1}(A_1^{-1}\{c\})|.$$

Therefore, since  $c \in c(A_1)$  implies that  $|A_1^{-1}\{c\}| \leq n - 1$ , it follows from (4.3) that

$$|F^{-1}\{c\}| \leq (n - 1)n^{k-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand,

$$|F^{-1}\{c\}| = |(B_3 \circ \cdots \circ B_k)^{-1}((B_1 \circ B_2)^{-1}\{c\})|.$$

Since the condition  $c \notin c(B_1 \circ B_2)$  is equivalent to the equality  $|(B_1 \circ B_2)^{-1}\{c\}| = n^2$ , this implies by (4.4) that

$$|F^{-1}\{c\}| \geq (n^2 - 2)n^{k-2} + 2. \quad (4.6)$$

It follows now from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$(n^2 - 2)n^{k-2} + 2 \leq (n - 1)n^{k-1},$$

or equivalently that  $n^{k-1} + 2 \leq 2n^{k-2}$ . However, this leads to a contradiction since  $n \geq 2$  implies that  $n^{k-1} + 2 \geq 2n^{k-2} + 2$ . Therefore,  $c(A_1) \subseteq c(B_1 \circ B_2)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4.1 implies the following statement.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then for every  $v \in S(A)$  the inclusion  $v(c(A)) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 2})$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be an element of  $S(A)$ . In case  $v \in \widehat{G}(A)$ , the statement of the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1, (iii), since  $c(A) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 2})$  by the chain rule. Similarly, if  $v$  belongs to  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ 2})$ , then  $v(c(A^{\circ 2})) = c(A^{\circ 2})$ , implying that

$$v(c(A)) \subseteq v(c(A^{\circ 2})) = c(A^{\circ 2}).$$

Therefore, we may assume that  $v \in \widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$  for some  $k \geq 3$ . Since equality (4.1) has the form (4.2) with

$$A_1 = v \circ A, \quad A_2 = A_3 = \cdots = A_k = A,$$

and

$$B_1 = B_2 = \cdots = B_{k-1} = A, \quad B_k = A \circ \mu,$$

applying Theorem 4.1 we conclude that  $c(v \circ A) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 2})$ . Taking into account that for any rational function  $A$  the equality

$$c(v \circ A) = v(c(A))$$

holds, this implies that  $v(c(A)) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 2})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then the set  $S(A)$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$ , unless  $A$  is a quasi-power. Furthermore, the set  $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$ , unless  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ .*

*Proof.* Since any Möbius transformation is defined by its values at any three points, the condition  $v(c(A)) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 2})$  is satisfied only for finitely many Möbius transformations whenever  $A$  has at least three critical values. Thus, the finiteness of  $S(A)$  in case  $A$  is not a quasi-power follows from Theorem 4.2 and the first part of Lemma 2.2. Moreover, since  $|c(A)|$  and  $|c(A^{\circ 2})|$  are bounded in terms of  $n$ , the set  $S(A)$  is also bounded in terms of  $n$ .

Further, if  $A$  is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ , then its second iterate  $A^{\circ 2}$  is not a quasi-power by the second part of Lemma 2.2. To prove the finiteness of  $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$  in this case, it is enough to show that for every  $v \in \widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , the inclusion

$$v(c(A^{\circ 2})) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 4}) \tag{4.7}$$

holds, and this can be done by a modification of the proof of Theorem 4.2. Indeed, equality (4.1) implies the equality

$$v \circ A^{\circ 2k} = A^{\circ k} \circ \mu \circ A^{\circ k}$$

which can be rewritten for  $k \geq 4$  in the form (4.2) with

$$A_1 = v \circ A^{\circ 2}, \quad A_2 = A_3 = \cdots = A_k = A^{\circ 2},$$

and

$$B_1 = \dots = B_{\frac{k}{2}} = A^{\circ 2} \quad B_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = \mu \circ A^{\circ 2} \quad B_{\frac{k}{2}+2} = \dots = B_k = A^{\circ 2},$$

if  $k$  is even, or

$$B_1 = \dots = B_{\frac{k-1}{2}} = A^{\circ 2} \quad B_{\frac{k-1}{2}+1} = A \circ \mu \circ A \quad B_{\frac{k-1}{2}+2} = \dots = B_k = A^{\circ 2},$$

if  $k$  is odd. Therefore, if  $v$  belongs to  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$  for some  $k \geq 4$ , then applying Theorem 4.1, we conclude that (4.7) holds. On the other hand, if  $v$  belongs to  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ 2})$ , then  $v(c(A^{\circ 2})) = c(A^{\circ 2})$ , by Lemma 2.1, (iii), implying (4.7) by the chain rule. Similarly, if  $v$  belongs to  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ 3})$ , then  $v(c(A^{\circ 3})) = c(A^{\circ 3})$ , implying that

$$v(c(A^{\circ 2})) \subseteq v(c(A^{\circ 3})) = c(A^{\circ 3}) \subseteq c(A^{\circ 4}). \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3 implies the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then the orders of the groups  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only, unless  $A$  is a quasi-power. Furthermore, the orders of the groups  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only, unless  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ .*

*Proof.* The theorem is a direct corollary of Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

Finally, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 imply Theorem 1.2 from the introduction.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The boundedness of the set  $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$  in terms of  $n$  for  $A$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$  follows from Theorem 4.3. On the other hand,  $\text{Aut}(A)$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$  by Corollary 2.6. This proves the first part of the theorem. Finally, since the set  $S(A)$  contains the group  $\text{Aut}_{\infty}(A)$ , the second part of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.2 (the assumption that  $A$  is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$  is actually redundant for this part).  $\square$

## 5. The groups $\Sigma_{\infty}(A)$ and $G(A^{\circ k})$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be rational functions of degree at least two. We recall that the function  $B$  is said to be *semiconjugate* to the function  $A$  if there exists a non-constant rational function  $X$  such that the equality

$$A \circ X = X \circ B \tag{5.1}$$

holds. Usually, we will write this condition in the form of a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \\ X \downarrow & & \downarrow X \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

The simplest examples of semiconjugate rational functions are provided by equivalent rational functions defined in the introduction. Indeed, it follows from equalities (1.5) that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \quad \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \\ V \downarrow & & \downarrow V & U \downarrow & & \downarrow U \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

commutes, implying inductively that if  $A$  is equivalent to  $B$ , then  $A$  is semiconjugate to  $B$ , and  $B$  is semiconjugate to  $A$ .

A comprehensive description of semiconjugate rational functions was obtained in the papers [11–13]. In particular, it was shown in [11] that solutions  $A, X, B$  of (5.1) satisfying  $\mathbb{C}(X, B) = \mathbb{C}(z)$ , called *primitive*, can be described in terms of group actions on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , implying strong restrictions on a possible form of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $X$ . On the other hand, an arbitrary solution of equation (5.1) can be reduced to a primitive one by a sequence of elementary transformations as follows. By the Lüroth theorem, the field  $\mathbb{C}(X, B)$  is generated by some rational function  $W$ . Therefore, if  $\mathbb{C}(X, B) \neq \mathbb{C}(z)$ , then there exists a rational function  $W$  of degree greater than one such that

$$B = \tilde{B} \circ W, \quad X = \tilde{X} \circ W$$

for some rational functions  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}) = \mathbb{C}(z)$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \\ W \downarrow & & \downarrow W \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{W \circ \tilde{B}} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \\ \tilde{X} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{X} \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus, the triple  $A, \tilde{X}, W \circ \tilde{B}$  is another solution of (5.1). This new solution is not necessarily primitive, however  $\deg \tilde{X} < \deg X$ . Therefore, continuing in this way, after a finite number of similar transformations we will arrive at a

primitive solution. In more detail, the above argument shows that for any rational functions  $A, X, B$  satisfying (5.1) there exist rational functions  $X_0, B_0, U$  such that  $X = X_0 \circ U$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{CP}^1 & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{CP}^1 \\ U \downarrow & & \downarrow U \\ \mathbb{CP}^1 & \xrightarrow{B_0} & \mathbb{CP}^1 \\ X_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow X_0 \\ \mathbb{CP}^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{CP}^1 \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

commutes, the triple  $A, X_0, B_0$  is a primitive solution of (5.1), and  $B_0 \sim B$ .

The following theorem is essentially the second part of Theorem 1.3 from the introduction but without the assumption that  $A$  is not conjugate to  $z^n$ , which is redundant for this part.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$  the relation  $A \circ \sigma \sim A$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ . Then

$$A^{\circ k} = A^{\circ k} \circ \sigma \quad (5.3)$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ . Writing this equality as the semiconjugacy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{CP}^1 & \xrightarrow{A \circ \sigma} & \mathbb{CP}^1 \\ \downarrow A^{\circ(k-1)} & & \downarrow A^{\circ(k-1)} \\ \mathbb{CP}^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{CP}^1, \end{array}$$

we see that to prove the theorem it is enough to show that in diagram (5.2), corresponding to the solution

$$A = A, \quad X = A^{\circ(k-1)}, \quad B = A \circ \sigma$$

of (5.1), the function  $X_0$  has degree one. The proof of the last statement is similar to the proof of [16, Theorem 2.3] and follows from the following two facts. First, for any primitive solution  $A, X, B$  of (5.1), the solution  $A^{\circ l}, X, B^{\circ l}$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , is also primitive (see [16, Lemma 2.5]). Second, a solution  $A, X, B$  of (5.1) is primitive if and only if the algebraic curve

$$A(x) - X(y) = 0$$

is irreducible (see [16, Lemma 2.4]). Using these facts we see that the triple  $A^{\circ(k-1)}, X_0, B_0^{\circ(k-1)}$  is a primitive solution of (5.1), and the algebraic curve

$$A^{\circ(k-1)}(x) - X_0(y) = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

is irreducible. However, the equality

$$A^{\circ(k-1)} = X_0 \circ U,$$

implies that the curve

$$U(x) - y = 0$$

is a component of (5.4). Moreover, if  $\deg X_0 > 1$ , then this component is proper. Therefore,  $\deg X_0 = 1$ .  $\square$

The following result proves the first part of Theorem 1.3 and thus finishes the proof of this theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Then the order of the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let us observe first that it is enough to prove the theorem under the assumption that  $A$  is not a quasi-power. Indeed, if  $A$  is a quasi-power but is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ , then  $A^{\circ 2}$  is not a quasi-power by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, if the theorem is true for functions that are not quasi-powers, then for any  $A$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ , the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A^{\circ 2})$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$ , implying by (1.3) that the same is true for the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ .

Assume now that  $A$  is not a quasi-power. Then  $G(A)$  is finite by Theorem 2.4. Let us recall that in view of equality (1.6) the equivalence class  $[A]$  is a union of conjugacy classes. Denoting the number of these conjugacy classes by  $N_A$ , let us show that if  $N_A$  is finite, then

$$|\Sigma_\infty(A)| \leq |G(A)|N_A. \quad (5.5)$$

By Theorem 5.1, for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$  the function  $A \circ \sigma$  belongs to one of  $N_A$  conjugacy classes in the equivalence class  $[A]$ . Furthermore, if  $A \circ \sigma_0$  and  $A \circ \sigma$  belong to the same conjugacy class, then

$$A \circ \sigma = \alpha \circ A \circ \sigma_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}$$

for some  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ , implying that

$$A \circ \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma_0^{-1} = \alpha \circ A.$$

This is possible only if  $\alpha$  belongs to the group  $\widehat{G}(A)$ , and, in addition,  $\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma_0^{-1}$  belongs to the preimage of  $\alpha$  under homomorphism (1.2). Therefore, for any fixed

$\sigma_0$ , there could be at most  $|\widehat{G}(A)|$  such  $\alpha$ , and for each  $\alpha$  there could be at most  $|\text{Ker } \gamma_A|$  elements  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$  such that

$$\gamma_A(\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma_0^{-1}) = \alpha.$$

Thus, (5.5) follows from (2.3).

It was proved in [12] that  $N_A$  is infinite if and only if  $A$  is a flexible Lattès map. However, the proof given in [12] uses the theorem of McMullen [9] about isospectral rational functions, which is not effective. Therefore, the result of [12] does not imply that  $N_A$  is bounded in terms of  $n$ . Nevertheless, we can use the main result of [15], which yields in particular that for a given rational function  $B$  of degree  $n \geq 2$  the number of conjugacy classes of rational functions  $A$  such that (5.1) holds for some rational function  $X$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$ , unless  $B$  is *special*, that is, unless  $B$  is either a Lattès map or it is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$  or  $\pm T_n$ . Since  $A \sim A'$  implies that  $A$  is semiconjugate to  $A'$ , this implies that for non-special  $A$  the number  $N_A$  is bounded in terms of  $n$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that the same is true also for  $A$  conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$  or  $\pm T_n$ , since any decomposition of  $z^n$  has the form

$$z^n = (z^d \circ \mu) \circ (\mu^{-1} \circ z^{n/d}),$$

where  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  and  $d|n$ , while any decomposition of  $T_n$  has the form

$$T_n = (T_d \circ \mu) \circ (\mu^{-1} \circ T_{n/d}),$$

where  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  and  $d|n$ .

The above shows that to finish the proof of Theorem 5.2 we only must prove that the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is finite and bounded in terms of  $n$  if  $A$  is a Lattès map. To prove the last statement, we recall that if  $A$  is a Lattès map, then there exists an orbifold  $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{CP}^1, \nu)$  of zero Euler characteristic such that  $A : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a covering map between orbifold (see [10, 13] for more detail). Since this implies that  $A^{ok} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , also is a covering map (see [11, Corollary 4.1]), it follows from equality (5.3) that  $\sigma : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a covering map (see [11, Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.1]). As  $\sigma$  is of degree one, the last condition simply means that  $\sigma$  permute points of the support of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since the support of an orbifold  $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{CP}^1, \nu)$  of zero Euler characteristic contains either three or four points, this implies that  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is finite and uniformly bounded for any Lattès map  $A$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* If  $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty(A)$ , then

$$A \circ \sigma \sim A, \tag{5.6}$$

by Theorem 5.1. On the other hand, since for any indecomposable function  $A$  the number  $N_A$  obviously is equal to one, condition (5.6) is equivalent to the condition that

$$A \circ \sigma = \beta \circ A \circ \beta^{-1} \tag{5.7}$$

for some  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ . Clearly, equality (5.7) implies that  $\beta$  belongs to  $\widehat{G}(A)$ . Therefore, if  $\widehat{G}(A)$  is trivial, then (5.6) is satisfied only if  $A \circ \sigma = A$ , that is, only if  $\sigma$  belongs to  $\Sigma(A)$ . Thus,  $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_\infty(A)$ , whenever  $\widehat{G}(A)$  is trivial.

Furthermore, it follows from equality (5.7) that  $\sigma \circ \beta$  belongs to the preimage of  $\beta$  under homomorphism (1.2). On the other hand, if  $G(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$ , this preimage consists of  $\beta$  only. Therefore, in this case  $\sigma \circ \beta = \beta$ , implying that  $\sigma$  is the identity map. Thus, the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is trivial, whenever  $G(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$ .  $\square$

The following theorem implies Theorem 1.1 from the introduction.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then the orders of the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only, unless  $A$  is a quasi-power. Furthermore, the orders of the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only, unless  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is not a quasi-power, then by Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.2 the orders of the groups  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and  $\Sigma(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only. Therefore, by (2.3), the orders of the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , also are finite and uniformly bounded. Similarly, the groups  $\widehat{G}(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , are finite and uniformly bounded in terms of  $n$  only, unless  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then the sequence  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , contains only finitely many non-isomorphic groups.*

*Proof.* For  $A$  not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ , the corollary follows from Theorem 5.3 since there exist only finitely many groups of any given order. Moreover, actually the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , belong to the list  $A_4$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $A_5$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $D_{2l}$ , by Theorem 2.4. On the other hand, if  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ , then all the groups  $G(A^{\circ k})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , consist of the transformations  $z \rightarrow cz^{\pm 1}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\square$

We finish this section with two examples of calculation of the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$ .

**Example 5.5.** Let us consider the function

$$A = x + \frac{27}{x^3}.$$

A calculation shows that, in addition to the critical value  $\infty$ , this function has critical values  $\pm 4$  and  $\pm 4i$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} A \pm 4 &= \frac{(x^2 \mp 2x + 3)(x \pm 3)^2}{x^3} \\ A \pm 4i &= \frac{(x^2 \mp 2ix - 3)(\pm x + 3i)^2}{x^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above equalities imply that  $\text{mult}_0 A = 3$ , while at any other point of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  the multiplicity of  $A$  is at most two, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that  $G(A)$  is a

cyclic group, whose generator has zero as a fixed point. Moreover, since  $G(A)$  obviously contains the transformation  $\sigma = -z$ , the second fixed point of this generator must be infinity. This implies easily that  $G(A)$  is a cyclic group of order two, and  $G(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$ . Finally, since  $\text{mult}_0 A = 3$ , it follows from the chain rule that the equality  $A = A_1 \circ A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are rational function of degree two is impossible. Therefore,  $A$  is indecomposable, and hence the group  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is trivial by Theorem 1.4.

**Example 5.6.** Let us consider the function

$$A = \frac{z^2 - 1}{z^2 + 1}.$$

Since  $A$  is a quasi-power,  $\Sigma(A)$  is a cyclic group of order two, generated by the transformation  $z \rightarrow -z$ . A calculation shows that the second iterate

$$A^{\circ 2} = -\frac{2z^2}{z^4 + 1}$$

is the function  $B$  from Example 2.10. Thus,  $\Sigma(A^{\circ 2})$  is the dihedral group  $D_4$ , generated by the transformation  $z \rightarrow -z$  and  $z \rightarrow 1/z$ . In particular,  $\Sigma(A^{\circ 2})$  is larger than  $\Sigma(A)$ . Moreover, since

$$A^{\circ 3} = -\frac{(z^4 - 1)^2}{z^8 + 6z^4 + 1},$$

we see that  $\Sigma(A^{\circ 3})$  contains the dihedral group  $D_8$ , generated by the transformation  $\mu_1 = iz$  and  $\mu_2 = 1/z$ , and hence  $\Sigma(A^{\circ 3})$  is larger than  $\Sigma(A^{\circ 2})$ .

Let us show that

$$\Sigma_\infty(A) = \Sigma(A^{\circ 3}) = D_8.$$

As in Example 2.10, we see that if  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is larger than  $D_8$ , then either  $\Sigma_\infty(A) = S_4$ , or  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  is a dihedral group containing an element  $\sigma$  of order  $l > 4$  such that  $\mu_1$  is an iterate of  $\sigma$ . The first case is impossible, for otherwise Theorem 2.3 implies that for  $k$  satisfying  $\Sigma_\infty(A) = \Sigma(A^{\circ k})$  the number  $\deg A^{\circ k} = 2^k$  is divisible by  $|S_4| = 24$ . On the other hand, in the second case, the fixed points of  $\sigma$  are zero and infinity. Since  $A$  is indecomposable, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that to exclude the second case it is enough to show that if  $\sigma = cz$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , satisfies

$$A \circ \sigma = \beta \circ A \circ \beta^{-1}, \quad \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1), \tag{5.8}$$

then  $\sigma$  is an iterate of  $\mu_1$ . Since critical points of the function on the left side of (5.8) coincide with critical points of the function on the right side, the Möbius transformation  $\beta$  necessarily has the form  $\beta = dz^{\pm 1}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, equation (5.8) reduces to the equations

$$\frac{c^2 z^2 - 1}{c^2 z^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{d^2 z^2 - 1}{d^2 z^2 + 1}$$

and

$$\frac{c^2 z^2 - 1}{c^2 z^2 + 1} = \frac{d(d^2 + z^2)}{d^2 - z^2}.$$

One can check that solutions of the first equation are  $d = 1$  and  $c = \pm 1$ , while solutions of the second are  $d = -1$  and  $c = \pm i$ . This proves the necessary statement. Notice that instead of Theorem 5.1 it is also possible to use Theorem 1.5 (see the next section).

## 6. The groups $G(A, z_0, z_1)$

Following [17], we say that a formal power series  $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z^i$  having zero as a fixed point is *homozygous* mod  $l$  if the inequalities  $a_i \neq 0$  and  $a_j \neq 0$  imply the equality  $i \equiv j \pmod{l}$ . If  $f$  is not homozygous mod  $l$ , it is called *hybrid* mod  $l$ . Obviously, the condition that  $f$  is homozygous mod  $l$  is equivalent to the condition that  $f = z^r g(z^l)$  for some formal power series  $g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i z^i$  and integer  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq l$ . In particular, if  $f$  is homozygous mod  $l$ , then any iterate of  $f$  is homozygous mod  $l$ . The inverse is not true. However, the following statement proved by Reznick [17] holds: if a formal power series  $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z^i$  is hybrid mod  $l$  and  $f^{\circ k}$  is homozygous mod  $l$ , then  $f^{\circ ks}(z) = z$  for some integer  $s \geq 1$ . Our proof of Theorem 1.5 relies on this result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $z_0 = 0$  and  $z_1 = \infty$ . Let  $f_A$  be the Taylor series of the function  $A$  at zero. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we see that every element of  $G(A, 0, \infty)$  has the form  $z \rightarrow \varepsilon z$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a root of unity, and  $G(A, 0, \infty)$  is a finite cyclic group, whose order is equal to the maximum number  $n$  such that  $f_A$  is homozygous mod  $n$ . Since  $f_{A^{\circ k}} = f_A^{\circ k}$ , this implies that

$$G(A, 0, \infty) \subseteq G(A^{\circ k}, 0, \infty), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Moreover, if  $G(A^{\circ k}, 0, \infty)$  is strictly larger than  $G(A, 0, \infty)$  for some  $k > 1$ , then there exists  $n_0$  such that  $f_A$  is hybrid mod  $n_0$  but  $f_A^{\circ k}$  is homozygous mod  $n_0$ . Therefore, by the Reznick theorem, the equality  $f_A^{\circ ks} = z$  holds for some  $s \geq 1$ . However, in this case by the analytical continuation  $A^{\circ ks} = z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ , in contradiction with  $n \geq 2$ . Thus, the groups  $G(A^{\circ k}, 0, \infty)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are equal.  $\square$

Notice that the groups  $G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are equal even if  $A$  is conjugate to  $z^n$ . Indeed, for  $A = z^n$  these groups are trivial, unless  $\{z_0, z_1\} = \{0, \infty\}$ , while in the last case all these groups consist of the transformations  $z \rightarrow cz$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Let us emphasize that since iterates  $A^{\circ k}$ ,  $k > 1$ , have in general more fixed points than  $A$ , it may happen that  $G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)$ ,  $k > 1$ , is non-trivial, while

$G(A, z_0, z_1)$  is not defined, so that the equality  $G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1) = G(A, z_0, z_1)$  does not make sense. For example, for the function

$$A = \frac{z^2 - 1}{z^2 + 1}$$

from Example 5.6, zero is not a fixed point, and hence the group  $G(A, 0, \infty)$  is not defined. However, zero is a fixed point for

$$A^{\circ 2} = -\frac{2z^2}{z^4 + 1},$$

and the group  $G(A^{\circ 2}, 0, \infty)$  is a cyclic group of order four. Let us remark that Theorem 1.5 gives another proof of the fact that  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  cannot contain an element  $\sigma = cz$ , with  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , of order  $l > 4$ . Indeed, such  $\sigma$  must belong to the group  $\Sigma(A^{\circ 2k})$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , and hence to the group  $G(A^{\circ 2k}, 0, \infty)$ . However,  $G(A^{\circ 2k}, 0, \infty)$  is equal to  $G(A^{\circ 2}, 0, \infty) = C_4$  by Theorem 1.5 applied to  $A^{\circ 2}$ .

Under certain conditions, Theorem 1.5 permits to estimate the order of the groups  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$  and  $\Sigma_\infty(A)$  and even to describe these groups explicitly.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Assume that for some  $k \geq 1$  the group  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$  contains an element  $\sigma$  of order at least six with fixed points  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  such that  $z_0$  is a fixed point of  $A^{\circ k}$ . Then the inequality  $|\text{Aut}_\infty(A)| \leq 2|G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)|$  holds. Similarly, if  $\sigma$  as above is contained in  $\Sigma(A^{\circ k})$ , then  $|\Sigma_\infty(A)| \leq 2|G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)|$ .*

*Proof.* Since the maximal order of a cyclic subgroup in the groups  $A_4$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $A_5$  is five, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that if  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$  contains an element  $\sigma$  of order  $r > 5$ , then either  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A) = C_s$  or  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A) = D_{2s}$ , where  $r|s$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma_\infty$  is an element of order  $s$  in  $\text{Aut}_\infty(A)$ , then  $\sigma$  is an iterate of  $\sigma_\infty$ . In particular, fixed points of  $\sigma_\infty$  coincide with fixed points of  $\sigma$ .

To prove the theorem, we only must show that the inequality

$$s > |G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)| \tag{6.1}$$

is impossible. Assume the inverse. Since  $\sigma_\infty$  belongs to  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k'})$  for some  $k' \geq 1$ , it belongs to  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ kk'})$  and  $G(A^{\circ kk'}, z_0, z_1)$ . Therefore, if (6.1) holds, then the group  $G(A^{\circ kk'}, z_0, z_1)$  contains an element of order greater than  $|G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)|$ , in contradiction with the equality

$$G(A^{\circ kk'}, z_0, z_1) = G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1),$$

provided by Theorem 1.5 applied to  $G(A^{\circ k})$ . The proof of the inequality for  $|\Sigma_\infty(A)|$  is similar.  $\square$

**Example 6.2.** Let us consider the function

$$A = z \frac{z^6 - 2}{2z^6 - 1}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\text{Aut}(A)$  contains the dihedral group  $D_{12}$  generated by the transformations

$$z \rightarrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{6}} z, \quad z \rightarrow 1/z.$$

Since zero is a fixed point of  $A$  and  $G(A, 0, \infty) = C_6$ , it follows from Theorem 6.1 that

$$\text{Aut}_\infty(A) = \text{Aut}(A) = D_{12}.$$

Although the group  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$  does not necessarily contain an element that belongs to  $G(A^{\circ k}, z_0, z_1)$ , it always contains an element that belongs to  $G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)$ . More generally, the following statement holds.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$ , and  $\sigma \notin \Sigma(A^{\circ k})$  a Möbius transformation such that the equality*

$$A^{\circ k} \circ \sigma = \sigma^{\circ l} \circ A^{\circ k}, \quad (6.2)$$

*holds for some  $l \geq 1$ . Then at least one of the fixed points  $z_0, z_1$  of  $\sigma$  is a fixed point of  $A^{\circ 2k}$ , and if  $z_0$  is such a point, then  $\sigma \in G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, equality (6.2) implies the equalities

$$\sigma^{\circ l}(A^{\circ k}(z_0)) = A^{\circ k}(z_0), \quad \sigma^{\circ l}(A^{\circ k}(z_1)) = A^{\circ k}(z_1).$$

However, since  $\sigma^{\circ l}$  is not the identity map, it has only two fixed points  $z_0, z_1$ . Therefore,  $A^{\circ k}\{z_0, z_1\} \subseteq \{z_0, z_1\}$ , implying that at least one of the points  $z_0, z_1$  is a fixed point of  $A^{\circ 2k}$ . Finally, if  $z_0$  is such a point, then  $\sigma \in G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorem 6.1 with Lemma 6.3 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n \geq 2$  that is not conjugate to  $z^{\pm n}$ . Assume that for some  $k \geq 1$  the group  $\text{Aut}(A^{\circ k})$  contains an element  $\sigma$  of order at least six with fixed points  $z_0, z_1$ . Then  $|\text{Aut}_\infty(A)| \leq 2|G(A^{\circ 2k}, z_0, z_1)|$ , where  $z_0$  is a fixed point of  $\sigma$  that is also a fixed point of  $A^{\circ 2k}$ .*  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. W. CURTIS and I. REINER, “Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras”, Pure Appl. Math., Vol. XI, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1962.
- [2] P. DOYLE and C. McMULLEN, *Solving the quintic by iteration*, Acta Math. **163** (1989), 151–180.
- [3] A. FREIRE, A. LOPES and R. MAÑÉ, *An invariant measure for rational maps*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **14** (1983), 45–62.
- [4] F. KLEIN, “Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solution of Equations of the Fifth Degree”, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1956.
- [5] G. M. LEVIN, *Symmetries on Julia sets*, Math. Notes **48** (1990), 1126–1131.
- [6] G. M. LEVIN, *Letter to the editors*, Math. Notes **69** (2001), 432–33.
- [7] G. LEVIN and F. PRZTYCKI, *When do two rational functions have the same Julia set?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 2179–2190.
- [8] M. JU. LJUBICH, *Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **3** (1983), 351–385.
- [9] C. McMULLEN, *Families of rational maps and iterative root-finding algorithms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), 467–493.
- [10] J. MILNOR, *On Lattès maps*, In: “Dynamics on the Riemann Sphere”, P. Hjorth and C. L. Petersen (eds.), European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2006, 9–43.
- [11] F. PAKOVICH, *On semiconjugate rational functions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), 1217–1243.
- [12] F. PAKOVICH, *Recomposing rational functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2019**, 1921–1935.
- [13] F. PAKOVICH, *On generalized Lattès maps*, J. Anal. Math. **142** (2020), 1–39.
- [14] F. PAKOVICH, *On rational functions sharing the measure of maximal entropy*, Arnold Math. J. **6** (2020), 387–396.
- [15] F. PAKOVICH, *Finiteness theorems for commuting and semiconjugate rational functions*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **24** (2020), 202–229.
- [16] F. PAKOVICH, *Commuting rational functions revisited*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **41** (2021), 295–320.
- [17] B. REZNICK, *When is the iterate of a formal power series odd?*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **28** (1979), 62–66.
- [18] H. YE, *Rational functions with identical measure of maximal entropy*, Adv. Math. **268** (2015), 373–395.

Department of Mathematics  
 Ben Gurion University of the Negev,  
 Be'er Sheva 8410501 Israel  
 pakovich@math.bgu.ac.il