

Motivation Managinal

- Operating systems (and application programs)
 often need to be able to handle multiple things
 happening at the same time
 - Process execution, interrupts, background tasks, system maintenance
- Humans are not very good at keeping track of multiple things happening simultaneously
- Threads are an abstraction to help bridge this gap

Why Concurrency?

- Servers
 - Multiple connections handled simultaneously
- Parallel programs
 - To achieve better performance
- Programs with user interfaces
 - To achieve user responsiveness while doing computation
- Network and disk bound programs
 - To hide network/disk latency

Definitions


- A thread is a single execution sequence that represents a separately schedulable task
 - Single execution sequence: familiar programming model
 - Separately schedulable: OS can run or suspend a thread at any time
- Protection is an orthogonal concept when I aprocess while
 - Can have one or many threads per protection domain

Threads in the Kernel and at User-Level


- Multi-threaded kernel
 - multiple threads, sharing kernel data structures, capable of using privileged instructions
- Multiprocess kernel
 - Multiple single-threaded processes
 - System calls access shared kernel data structures
- Multiple multi-threaded user processes
 - Each with multiple threads, sharing same data structures, isolated from other user processes

Thread Abstraction


- Infinite number of processors
- Threads execute with variable speed
 - Programs must be designed to work with any schedule



Programmer vs. Processor View



Possible Executions



Thread Operations

- thread_create(thread, func, args)
 - Create a new thread to run func(args)
- thread yield()
 - Relinquish processor voluntarily
- thread_join(thread)
 - In parent, wait for forked thread to exit, then return
- thread_exit
 - Quit thread and clean up, wake up joiner if any

```
#define NTHREADS 10 & 10 thread thead in on as now same - ready thread_t threads[NTHREADS];
main() {
  for (i = 0; i < NTHREADS; i++) thread_create(&threads[i], &go, i);
  for (i = 0; i < NTHREADS; i++) {
     exitValue = thread_join(threads[i]); — jain เป็น ดำกับ
     printf("Thread %d returned with %ld\n", i, exitValue);
   printf("Main thread done.\n");
void go (int n) {
  printf("Hello from thread %d\n", n); → thead ใน นก่อนก็ได้
  thread_exit(100 + n);
  // REACHED?
```

threadHello: Example Output

- Why must "thread returned" print in order?
- What is maximum # of threads running when thread
 5 prints hello? 11 theads
- Minimum? 1 thead

```
bash-3.2$ ./threadHello
Hello from thread 0
Hello from thread 1
Thread 0 returned 100
Hello from thread 3
Hello from thread 4
Thread 1 returned 101
Hello from thread 5
Hello from thread 2
Hello from thread 6
Hello from thread 8
Hello from thread 7
Hello from thread 9
Thread 2 returned 102
Thread 3 returned 103
Thread 4 returned 104
Thread 5 returned 105
Thread 6 returned 106
Thread 7 returned 107
Thread 8 returned 108
Thread 9 returned 109
Main thread done.
```


Fork/Join Concurrency

- Threads can create children, and wait for their completion
- Data only shared before fork/after join
- Examples:
 - Web server: fork a new thread for every new connection
 - As long as the threads are completely independent
 - Merge sort
 - Parallel memory copy

Thread Data Structures

Thread 1's Shared Thread 2's Per-Thread State Per-Thread State State Thread Control Thread Control Block (TCB) Block (TCB) Code Stack Stack Information Information Saved Saved Registers Registers Global Variables Thread Thread Metadata Metadata Stack Stack Heap


Thread Lifecycle



Implementing Threads: Roadmap


- Kernel threads
 - Thread abstraction only available to kernel
 - To the kernel, a kernel thread and a single threaded user process look quite similar
- Multithreaded processes using kernel threads (Linux, MacOS)
 - Kernel thread operations available via syscall
- User-level threads process เป็นลนจักพรเลง kernel ไม่สำเป็นสาม
 - Thread operations without system calls and nasti

Multithreaded OS Kernel



Implementing threads

- Thread_fork(func, args)
 - Allocate thread control block
 - Allocate stack
 - Build stack frame for base of stack (stub)
 - Put func, args on stack
 - Put thread on ready list
 - Will run sometime later (maybe right away!)
- stub(func, args): OS/161 mips_threadstart
 - Call (*func)(args)
 - If return, call thread_exit()



Thread Stack

- What if a thread puts too many procedures on its stack? even
 - What happens in Java?
 - What happens in the Linux kernel?
 - What happens in OS/161?
 - What should happen?

Thread Context Switch

- Voluntary
 - Thread_yield
 - Thread_join (if child is not done yet)
- Involuntary
 - Interrupt or exception
 - Some other thread is higher priority

Voluntary thread context switch

- Save registers on old stack
- Switch to new stack, new thread
- Restore registers from new stack
- Return
- Exactly the same with kernel threads or user threads

OS/161 switchframe_switch

```
/* a0: old thread stack pointer
 * a1: new thread stack pointer */
/* Allocate stack space for 10 registers. */
 addi sp, sp, -40
 /* Save the registers */
 sw ra, 36(sp)
 sw gp, 32(sp)
 sw s8, 28(sp)
 sw s6, 24(sp)
 sw s5, 20(sp)
 sw s4, 16(sp)
 sw s3, 12(sp)
 sw s2, 8(sp)
 sw s1, 4(sp)
 sw s0, 0(sp)
 /* Store old stack pointer in old thread */
 sw sp, 0(a0)
```

```
/* Get new stack pointer from new thread */
 lw sp, 0(a1)
           /* delay slot for load */
 nop
/* Now, restore the registers */
 Iw s0, 0(sp)
 lw s1, 4(sp)
 Iw s2, 8(sp)
 lw s3, 12(sp)
 lw s4, 16(sp)
 lw s5, 20(sp)
 lw s6, 24(sp)
 lw s8, 28(sp)
 lw gp, 32(sp)
 lw ra, 36(sp)
               /* delay slot for load */
 nop
 /* and return. */
 j ra
 addi sp. sp. 40 /* in delay slot */
```

x86 switch_threads

```
# Change stack pointer to new
# Save caller's register state
                                       thread's stack
# NOTE: %eax, etc. are ephemeral
                                    # this also changes currentThread
pushl %ebx
                                    movl SWITCH_NEXT(%esp), %ecx
pushl %ebp
                                    movl (%ecx,%edx,1), %esp
pushl %esi
pushl %edi
                                    # Restore caller's register state.
                                    popl %edi
# Get offsetof (struct thread, stack)
                                    popl %esi
mov thread_stack_ofs, %edx
                                    popl %ebp
# Save current stack pointer to old
   thread's stack, if any.
                                    popl %ebx
movl SWITCH_CUR(%esp), %eax
                                    ret
movl %esp, (%eax,%edx,1)
```

A Subtlety

- Thread_create puts new thread on ready list
- When it first runs, some thread calls switchframe
 - Saves old thread state to stack
 - Restores new thread state from stack
- Set up new thread's stack as if it had saved its state in switchframe
 - "returns" to stub at base of stack to run func

Two Threads Call Yield

Thread 1's instructions

"return" from thread_switch into stub call go call thread_yield choose another thread call thread_switch save thread 1 state to TCB load thread 2 state Thread 2's instructions

"return" from thread_switch
into stub
call go
call thread_yield
choose another thread
call thread_switch
save thread 2 state to TCB
load thread 1 state

Processor's instructions

"return" from thread_switch into stub call go call thread_yield

choose another thread

call thread_switch

save thread 1 state to TCB

load thread 2 state

"return" from thread_switch into stub

call go

call thread_yield

choose another thread

call thread_switch

save thread 2 state to TCB

load thread 1 state

return from thread_switch

return from thread_yield

call thread_yield

choose another thread

call thread_switch

return from thread_switch return from thread_yield call thread_yield choose another thread call thread_switch

Involuntary Thread/Process Switch

- Timer or I/O interrupt
 - Tells OS some other thread should run
- Simple version (OS/161)
 - End of interrupt handler calls switch()
 - When resumed, return from handler resumes kernel thread or user process
 - Thus, processor context is saved/restored twice
 (once by interrupt handler, once by thread switch)


Faster Thread/Process Switch

- What happens on a timer (or other) interrupt?
 - Interrupt handler saves state of interrupted thread
 - Decides to run a new thread
 - Throw away current state of interrupt handler!
 - Instead, set saved stack pointer to trapframe
 - Restore state of new thread
 - On resume, pops trapframe to restore interrupted thread

Multithreaded User Processes (Take 1)

- User thread = kernel thread (Linux, MacOS)
 - System calls for thread fork, join, exit (and lock, unlock,...)
 - Kernel does context switch
 - Simple, but a lot of transitions between user and kernel mode

Multithreaded User Processes (Take 1)



Multithreaded User Processes (Take 2)

- Green threads (early Java)
 - User-level library, within a single-threaded process
 - Library does thread context switch
 - Preemption via upcall/UNIX signal on timer interrupt
 - Use multiple processes for parallelism
 - Shared memory region mapped into each process

Multithreaded User Processes (Take 3)

- Scheduler activations (Windows 8)
 - Kernel allocates processors to user-level library
 - Thread library implements context switch
 - Thread library decides what thread to run next
- Upcall whenever kernel needs a user-level scheduling decision
 - Process assigned a new processor
 - Processor removed from process
 - System call blocks in kernel

Question

 Compare event-driven programming with multithreaded concurrency. Which is better in which circumstances, and why?