

## **CREATING THE BOLT COMPILER: PART 7**

# A Protobuf tutorial for OCaml and C++

OCTOBER 03, 2020

8 MIN READ



#### SERIES: CREATING THE BOLT COMPILER

Part 1: How I wrote my own "proper" programming language

Part 2: So how do you structure a compiler project?

Part 3: Writing a Lexer and Parser using OCamllex and Menhir

Part 4: <u>An accessible introduction to type theory and implementing a type-</u>

checker

Part 5: A tutorial on liveness and alias dataflow analysis

Part 6: <u>Desugaring - taking our high-level language and simplifying it!</u>

Part 7: A Protobuf tutorial for OCaml and C++

Part 8: A Complete Guide to LLVM for Programming Language Creators

Part 9: Implementing Concurrency and our Runtime Library

Part 10: Generics - adding polymorphism to Bolt

Part 11: Adding Inheritance and Method Overriding to Our Language

Now that we've desugared our language into a simple "Bolt IR" that is close to LLVM IR, we want to generate LLVM IR. One problem though, our Bolt IR is an

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

A Protobuf tutorial for OCaml and C++

Protocol Buffers
Schema
Mapping OCaml
Type definitions to
Protobuf Schema

PPX Deriving
Protobuf
Autogenerated
Protobuf
Schema

Decoding Protobuf in C++

Generating

OCaml value, but the LLVM API we're using is the native C++ API. We can't import the value directly into the C++ compiler backend, so we need to serialise it first into a **language-independent** data representation.

Hey there! If you came across this tutorial from Google and don't care about compilers, don't worry! This tutorial doesn't really involve anything compiler-specific (it's just the illustrative example). If you care about OCaml then the first half will be up your street, and if you're here for C++ you can skip the OCaml section.

Protobuf
Deserialisation
Files
Deserialising a
Protobuf
serialised file
Reading out
Protobuf data
from a
protobuf class
Sanitising our
Frontend IR

Wrap up

## 

Protocol buffers (aka *protobuf*) encodes your data as a series of binary messages according to a given **schema**. This schema (written in a .proto file) captures the structure of your data.

Each message contains a number of fields.

Fields are of the form modifer type name = someIndex

Each of the fields have a modifier: required / optional / repeated . The repeated modifier corresponds to a list/vector of that field. e.g. repeated PhoneNumber represents a value of type List<PhoneNumber> .

For example, the following schema would define a Person in a contact book. Each person has to have a name and id, and optionally could have an email address. They might have multiple phone numbers (hence repeated PhoneNumber), e.g. for their home, their mobile and work.

example.proto

Сору

```
message Person {
  required string name = 1;
  required int32 id = 2;
  optional string email = 3;

enum PhoneType {
    MOBILE = 0;
    HOME = 1;
    WORK = 2;
}
```

```
message PhoneNumber {
    required string number = 1;
    optional PhoneType type = 2 [default = HOME];
}
repeated PhoneNumber phones = 4;
}
```

Note here within the schema for a Person message, we also **number** the fields (=1, =2, =3, =4). This allows us to add fields later without altering the existing ordering of fields (so you can continue to parse these fields without having to know about new fields).

Every time we want to add a new "type" of field, we define a schema (just like how you might define a class to add a new type of object). We can even define a schema within another schema, e.g. within the schema for a Person , we defined the schema for the PhoneNumber field.

We can also define the schema for an **enum** type PhoneType . This enum acted as a "tag" for our phone number.

Now, just as you might want a field to be one of many options (specified by the enum type), you might want the message to contain exactly one of many fields.

For example in our compiler we might want to encode an identifier as *one of two options*. Here we don't want to store data in an index field if we've tagged it as a Variable.

#### frontend\_ir.mli

Copy

In our protobuf, we can add fields for each of these options, and use the keyword oneof to specify that only one of the fields in that group will be set at once.

Now, whilst this tells Protobuf that one of those values is set, we have no way of telling which one of them is set. So we can introduce a tag enum field, and query its value ( Variable or ObjField ) when descrialising the object.

Copy

```
message identifier {
  enum _tag {
    Variable_tag = 1;
    ObjField_tag = 2;
}

message _ObjField {
    required string objName = 1;
    required int64 fieldIndex = 2;
}

required _tag tag = 1;
  oneof value {
    string Variable = 2;
    _ObjField ObjField = 3;
}
```

Note since the constructor Variable of string has only one argument of type string , we can just set the type of that field to string . We equally could have defined a message \_Variable with schema:

```
message _Variable {
    required string varName = 1;
}
...
oneof value {
    _Variable Variable = 2;
    _ObjField ObjField = 3;
}
```

# Mapping OCaml Type definitions to Protobuf Schema

Now we've looked at the identifier schema, let's flesh out the rest of the frontend "Bolt IR" schema.

If you notice, whenever we have an OCaml variant type like identifier we have a straightforward formula to specify the corresponding schema. We:

- Add a tag field to specify the constructor the value has.
- Define a message schema if a constructor has multiple arguments e.g for ObjField . If the constructor has only one argument ( Variable ) we don't need to.
- Specify a oneof block containing the fields for each of the constructors.

We could manually write out all of the schema, but we'd have to rewrite these every time our language changed. However we have a hidden weapon up our sleeve - a library that will do this for us!

There's the <u>full Protobuf Schema Guide</u> if you want to learn more about other message types.

## **PPX Deriving Protobuf**

OCaml allows libraries to extend its syntax using a **ppx** hook. The ppx libraries can preprocess the files using these hooks to extend the language functionality. So we can tag our files with other information, such as which types need to have a protobuf schema generated.

We can update our Dune build file to preprocess our ir\_gen library with the ppx-deriving protobuf library:

Copy

#### dune

```
(library
  (name ir_gen)
  (public_name ir_gen)
  (libraries core fmt desugaring ast)
  (flags
    (:standard -w -39))
  (preprocess
    (pps ppx_deriving_protobuf bisect_ppx --conditional))
```

Note the flags command suppresses warning 39 - "unused rec flag" - since the code the ppx\_deriving\_protobuf library generates raises a lot of those warnings. I would highly recommend that you also suppress these warnings!

An aside, bisect\_ppx is the tool we use to calculate test coverage. It has a --conditional flag since we don't want to preprocess the file with coverage info if we're not computing the test coverage.

Telling PPX deriving protobuf that we want to serialise a type definition is easy-we just stick a [@@deriving protobuf] at the end of our type definition. For variant types, we have to specify a [@key 1], [@key 2] for each of the variants.

For example, for our identifier type definition in our .mli file:

#### frontend ir.mli

We do the same thing in the .ml file, except we also specify the file to which we want to write the protobuf schema.

#### frontend\_ir.ml

```
type identifier =
    | Variable of string [@key 1]
    | ObjField of string * int [@key 2]
[@@deriving protobuf {protoc= "../../frontend_ir.proto"}]
```

Copy

This path is relative to the src file frontend\_ir.ml . So since this frontend\_ir.ml file is in the src/frontend/ir\_gen/ folder of the repo, the protobuf schema file will be written to src/frontend\_ir.proto . If you don't specify a file path to the protoc argument, then the .proto file will be written to the \_build folder.

One extra tip, the ppx deriving protobuf library won't serialise lists of lists. For example you can't have:

```
type something = Foo of foo list list [@key 1] [@@deriving prot
```

This is because if we have a message schema for foo, then to get a field of type foo list is straightforward - we use repeated foo in our field schema. But we can't say repeated repeated foo to get a list of a list of type foo. So to get around this, you'd have to define another type here:

```
type list_of_foo = foo list [@@deriving protobuf]
  (*note no @key since not a variant type *)

type something = Foo of list_of_foo list [@key 1] [@@deriving p
```

Finally, to serialise our Bolt IR using this schema, PPX deriving protobuf provides us with <type\_name>\_to\_protobuf serialisation functions. We use this to get a binary protobuf message that we write to an output channel (out\_chan) as a sequence of bytes.

```
ir gen protobuf.ml
```

```
let ir_gen_protobuf program out_chan =
   let protobuf_message = Protobuf.Encoder.encode_exn program_
   output_bytes out_chan protobuf_message
```

In our overall Bolt compiler pipeline, I write the output to a <code>.ir</code> file if provided, or to <code>stdout</code>:

```
compile program_ir.ml
```

## **⋄** Auto-generated Protobuf Schema

The <u>README</u> of the repository for the PPX Deriving Library has a thorough explanation of the mapping. We've already gone through an example of the autogenerated schema, so the schema shouldn't be too unfamiliar. There was a slight modification I made though. For ( <code>ObjField</code> of string \* int ) I said the generated output was:

```
copy
message _ObjField {
   required string objName = 1;
   required int64 fieldIndex = 2;
}
```

This is unfortunately not quite true. I put in the objName and fieldIndex for clarity, but the library doesn't know what the semantic meaning of string \* int is, so instead it looks like:

```
message _ObjField {
   required string _0 = 1;
   required int64 _1 = 2;
}
```

That's the downside of the autogenerated schema. You get the generic field names \_0 , \_1 , \_2 and so on instead of objName and fieldIndex . And for type block\_expr = expr list , you get the field name \_ :

```
copy
message block_expr {
  repeated expr _ = 1;
}
```

# Decoding Protobuf in C++

Alright, so far we've looked at Protobuf schema definitions and how PPX Deriving Protobuf encodes messages and generates the schema. Now we need to decode it using C++. As with the encoding section, we don't need to know the details of how Protobuf represents our messages in binary.

## **⋄** Generating Protobuf Deserialisation Files

The protoc compiler automatically generates classes and function definitions from the .proto file: this is exposed in the .pb.h header file. For frontend\_ir.proto , the corresponding header file is frontend\_ir.pb.h .

For Bolt, we're building the C++ compiler backend with the build system Bazel. Rather than manually running the protoc compiler to get our .pb.h header file and then linking in all the other generated files, we can instead take advantage of Bazel's integration with protoc and get Bazel to run protoc during the build process for us and link it in.

The Bazel build system works with many languages e.g. Java, Dart, Python, not just C++. So we specify two libraries - a language-independent <code>proto\_library</code> , and then a specific C++ library ( <code>cc\_proto</code> ) that wraps around that library:

#### **BUILD**

```
Copy
load("@rules_cc//cc:defs.bzl", "cc_library")
# Convention:
# A cc_proto_library that wraps a proto_library named foo_proto
# should be called foo_cc_proto.
cc_proto_library(
    name = "frontend_ir_cc_proto",
    deps = [":frontend_ir_proto"],
    visibility = ["//src/llvm-backend/deserialise_ir:__pkg__"],
)
# Conventions:
# 1. One proto_library rule per .proto file.
# 2. A file named foo.proto will be in a rule named foo_proto.
proto_library(
    name = "frontend_ir_proto",
    srcs = ["frontend_ir.proto"],
```

)

We include the cc\_proto\_library(...) as a build dependency to whatever files that need to use the .pb.h file, and Bazel will compile the protobuf file for us. In our case, this is our deserialise\_ir library.

#### **BUILD**

```
load("@rules_cc//cc:defs.bzl", "cc_library")

cc_library(
    name = "deserialise_ir",
    srcs = glob(["*.cc"]),
    hdrs = glob(["*.h"]),
    visibility = ["//src/llvm-backend:__pkg__", "//src/llvm-backend = ["//src:frontend_ir_cc_proto", "@llvm"]
)
```

## Deserialising a Protobuf serialised file

The frontend\_ir.pb.h file defines a namespace Frontend\_ir , with each message mapping to a class. So for our Bolt program, represented by the program message in our frontend\_ir.proto file, the corresponding Protobuf class is Frontend\_ir::program .

To deserialise a message of a given type, we create an object of the corresponding class. We then call the ParseFromIstream method which deserialises the message from the input stream and stores it in the object. This method returns a boolean value indicating success/failure. We've defined our own custom DeserialiseProtobufException to handle failure:

#### deserialise protobuf.cc

```
Copy

Frontend_ir::program deserialiseProtobufFile(std::string &fileP

Frontend_ir::program program;

std::fstream fileIn(filePath, std::ios::in | std::ios::binary

...

if (!program.ParseFromIstream(&fileIn)) {
```

```
throw DeserialiseProtobufException("Protobuf not deserialis
}
return program;
}
```

So we're done!

Well, one caveat... this autogenerated class is a **dumb data placeholder**. We need to read the data out from the program object.

## ☼ Reading out Protobuf data from a protobuf class

If you try to read the protoc-generated file <code>frontend\_ir.pb.h</code> , you'll realise it's a garguantuan monstrosity, which is not nearly as nice as the <u>standard example</u> in the official tutorial. So instead of trying to read the methods from the file, here is an explanation of the structure of the header file.

TIP: Make sure you have code-completion set-up in your IDE - it means you won't need to manually search through frontend\_ir.pb.h for the right methods.

We'll be deserialising messages using the schema defined below:

#### frontend ir.proto

Сору

```
package Frontend_ir;

message expr {
    enum _tag {
        Integer_tag = 1;
        Boolean_tag = 2;
        Identifier_tag = 3;
        ...
        IfElse_tag = 11;
        WhileLoop_tag = 12;
        Block_tag = 15;
    }

message _Identifier {
        required identifier _0 = 1;
        optional lock_type _1 = 2;
}
```

```
}
  message _IfElse {
    required expr _0 = 1;
    required block_expr _1 = 2;
    required block_expr _2 = 3;
  }
  message _WhileLoop {
    required expr _0 = 1;
    required block_expr _1 = 2;
  }
  required _tag tag = 1;
  oneof value {
    int64 Integer = 2;
    bool Boolean = 3;
    _Identifier Identifier = 4;
    _IfElse IfElse = 12;
    _WhileLoop WhileLoop = 13;
    block_expr Block = 16;
  }
}
message block_expr {
  repeated expr _ = 1;
}
```

## **№ Protobuf message classes and enums**

Each Protobuf message definition maps to a class definition. Protobuf enums map to C++ enums. To give each class/enum a globally unique name, they are prepended by the package name ( Frontend\_ir ) and any classes they're nested in.

So message expr corresponds to class Frontend\_ir\_expr , and enum \_tag which is nested within message expr maps to Frontend\_ir\_expr\_\_tag .

In the repo, the bin\_op message also has a nested \_tag enum, and this maps to Frontend\_ir\_bin\_op\_\_tag (note how this namespacing means it doesn't clash with the \_tag definition in the expr message).

This holds for arbitrary levels of nesting, e.g. the nested message \_IfElse in the expr message maps to the class Frontend\_ir\_expr\_\_IfElse .

Specific enum values for the enum \_tag e.g. Integer\_tag can be referred to as Frontend\_ir\_expr\_\_tag\_Integer\_tag .

Rather than writing classes\enums by concatenating the package and class names with \_ , Protobuf also has a nested class type alias, so you can write these nested message classes as Frontend\_ir::expr and Frontend\_ir::expr::\_IfElse .

#### **<sup>™</sup> Accessing Specific Protobuf Fields**

Each protobuf required field field\_name has a corresponding accessor method field\_name() (where the field name is converted to lower-case). So the field tag in the expr message would map to the method tag() in the Frontend\_ir::expr class, and the field Integer would map to the method integer(), IfElse to ifelse() etc.

NB: to reiterate, don't get confused between the field name e.g IfElse and the type of the field \_IfElse in the Protobuf message (note the prepended underscore). This is only because the OCaml PPX deriving protobuf library gave them those names - we could have chosen less confusing names if we were writing this proto schema manually.

For *optional* fields, we can access the fields in the same way, but we also have a has\_field\_name() boolean function to check if a field is there.

For repeated fields, we instead have a field\_name\_size() function to query the number of items, and we can access item i using the field\_name(i) method. So for a field name \_1 the corresponding methods are \_1\_size() and \_1(i) . For field name \_ in the autogenerated schema, the methods would correspondingly be \_\_size() and \_(i) .

## <sup>™</sup> Sanitising our Frontend IR

Let's put this in practice by sanitising our protobuf classes into C++ classes directly mapping our OCaml type definitions. Each of the OCaml expr variants maps to a subclass of an abstract ExprIR class.

Сору

```
struct ExprIR {
  virtual ~ExprIR() = default;
```

```
struct ExprIfElseIR : public ExprIR {
  std::unique_ptr<ExprIR> condExpr;
  std::vector<std::unique_ptr<ExprIR>> thenBlock;
  std::vector<std::unique_ptr<ExprIR>> elseBlock;
  ExprIfElseIR(const Frontend_ir::expr::_IfElse &expr);
  ...
};
struct ExprWhileLoopIR : public ExprIR {
  std::unique_ptr<ExprIR> condExpr;
  std::vector<std::unique_ptr<ExprIR>> loopExpr;
  ExprWhileLoopIR(const Frontend_ir::expr::_WhileLoop &expr);
  ...
};
```

I use std::unique\_ptr all over the compiler backend to avoid
explicitly managing memory. You can use standard pointers too - this is
just a personal preference!

Remember how we had a specific tag field to distinguish between variants of the expr type. We have a switch statement on the value of the tag field. This tag tells us which of the oneof{} fields is set. We then access the correspondingly set field e.g. expr.ifelse() for the IfElse tag case:

#### expr ir.cc

```
std::unique_ptr<ExprIR> deserialiseExpr(const Frontend_ir::expr
switch (expr.tag()) {
   case Frontend_ir::expr__tag_IfElse_tag:
      return std::unique_ptr<ExprIR>(new ExprIfElseIR(expr.ifel
   case Frontend_ir::expr__tag_WhileLoop_tag:
      return std::unique_ptr<ExprIR>(new ExprWhileLoopIR(expr.w
      ...
}
```

4

Copy

#### frontend ir.proto

```
message _IfElse {
    required expr _0 = 1;
    required block_expr _1 = 2;
    required block_expr _2 = 3;
}

message block_expr {
    repeated expr _ = 1;
}
```

Our constructor reads each of the \_IfElse message's fields in turn. We can then use our deserialiseExpr to directly deserialise the \_0 field. However, because the message block\_expr has a repeated field \_ , we have to iterate through the list of expr messages in that field in a for loop:

#### expr ir.cc

```
ExprIfElseIR::ExprIfElseIR(const Frontend_ir::expr::_IfElse &ex
Frontend_ir::expr condMsg = expr._0();
Frontend_ir::block_expr thenBlockMsg = expr._1();
Frontend_ir::block_expr elseBlockMsg = expr._2();

condExpr = deserialiseExpr(condMsg);
for (int i = 0; i < thenBlockMsg.__size(); i++) {
    thenExpr.push_back(deserialiseExpr(thenBlockMsg._(i)));
}
for (int i = 0; i < elseBlockMsg.__size(); i++) {
    elseExpr.push_back(deserialiseExpr(elseBlockMsg._(i)));
}
</pre>
```

The rest of the deserialisation code for the Bolt schema follows the same pattern.

# ⊸ Wrap up

In this post we've converted from our OCaml frontend IR to the equivalent C++ classes. We'll use these C++ classes to generate LLVM IR code in the next part of the tutorial.

## **Share This On Twitter**

If you liked this post, please consider sharing it with your network. If you have any questions, tweet away and I'll answer:) I also tweet when new posts drop!

**PS:** I also share helpful tips and links as I'm learning - so you get them well before they make their way into a post!

Post Follow @mukulrathi

#### SERIES: CREATING THE BOLT COMPILER

- Part 1: How I wrote my own "proper" programming language
- Part 2: So how do you structure a compiler project?
- Part 3: Writing a Lexer and Parser using OCamllex and Menhir
- Part 4: <u>An accessible introduction to type theory and implementing a type-</u>checker
- Part 5: A tutorial on liveness and alias dataflow analysis
- Part 6: <u>Desugaring taking our high-level language and simplifying it!</u>
- Part 7: A Protobuf tutorial for OCaml and C++
- Part 8: A Complete Guide to LLVM for Programming Language Creators
- Part 9: Implementing Concurrency and our Runtime Library
- Part 10: Generics adding polymorphism to Bolt
- Part 11: Adding Inheritance and Method Overriding to Our Language

### ← Desugaring - taking our high-level language and simplifying it!

#### 17 Tips to Convert Your Internship to a Full-time Offer! →