

- Short-circuiting

expr1 and expr2

If  $\text{expr1} = \text{False}$ , Python does not evaluate the  $\text{expr2}$ . So, always add first the expression that most probably evaluates to False

expr1 or expr2

If  $\text{expr1} = \text{True}$ , Python does not evaluate the  $\text{expr2}$ . So, always add first the expression that most probably evaluates to True

- Shallow copies work only for mutable objects

e.g.  $a = [1, 2, 3]$     }     $b = a[:]$     }  $\rightarrow a \text{ is } b \rightarrow \text{True}$

Deep copies apply to both

- If a class has many attributes, it's a sign that a group of these attributes should be moved to a separate class. Better have MANY SMALL CLASSES.

- When overriding the `__lt__()` etc methods, they are used by Python in its `.sort()` method

e.g. we have a list of cards

`card1 = Card(rank="10", suit="Spades")`

`card2 = Card(rank="10", suit="Clubs")`

`card3 = Card(rank="Ace", suit="Hearts")`

`cards = [card1, card2, card3]`

`cards.sort()`

`class Card:`

`:`

`def __lt__(self, other)`

*alphabetically  
for same rank* { if `self.rank == other.rank`:  
`return self.suit < other.suit`

*increasing order  
by rank*      `return self.rank < other.rank`

- ?<module> returns info about the classes / methods in the specified module

# Strings

## Case Folding

`casefold()` → used for caseless comparisons

`s1 = 'hello'`

`s2 = 'HeLlo'`

`s1.casefold() == s2.casefold() → True`

don't use `.lower()` for  
case insensitive comparisons

## Important Note

→ only interested in whether or not a substring is contained in another string

→ use `in`

→ only use `index` or `find` when you need to know the index

→ `in` is much faster!

String: `index` vs. `find`

↓                    ↓  
raises ValueError    returns -1  
if not found       if not found

better use `index` because it is used in other sequences as well (e.g., lists), whereas `find()` is used only in strings.

# Formatting Strings

```
bid = 1.5760
ask = 1.5763

'bid: {}, ask: {}, spread: {}'.format(bid, ask, ask-bid)

'bid: 1.576, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0002999999999996696'

f"bid: {bid}, ask: {ask}, spread: {ask - bid}"

'bid: 1.576, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0002999999999996696'

'bid: {b}, ask: {a}, spread: {spread}'.format(a=ask, spread=ask-bid, b=bid)

'bid: 1.576, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0002999999999996696'
```

```
format(0.1, '.10f')

'0.1000000000'

'bid: {:.4f}, ask: {:.4f}, spread: {:.4f}'.format(bid, ask, ask-bid)

'bid: 1.5760, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0003'

'bid: {b:.4f}, ask: {a:.4f}, spread: {:.4f}'.format(a=ask, spread=ask-bid, b=bid)

'bid: 1.5760, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0003'

f"bid: {bid:.4f}, ask: {ask:.4f}, spread: {(ask - bid):.4f}"

'bid: 1.5760, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0003'

f"bid: {bid:.4f}, ask: {ask:.4f}, spread: {ask - bid:.4f}"

'bid: 1.5760, ask: 1.5763, spread: 0.0003'
```

```

def process_data(data, item_sep=',', line_sep='\n'):
    output = ''

    for row in data:
        for element in row:
            output = output + str(element) + item_sep
            output = output + line_sep

    return output

print(process_data(data))
print('done')

```

10,20,30,  
100,200,300,  
1000,2000,3000,  
done

→ avoid string concatenation because strings are immutable objects and thus new strings are created when str1 + str2

Instead, use join

```

def process_data(data, item_sep=',', line_sep='\n'):
    row_strings = []
    item_sep.join(str(el) for el in row)
    for row in data
    ↴
    return line_sep.join(row_strings)

print(process_data(data))

10,20,30
100,200,300
1000,2000,3000

```

def process\_row(row, item\_sep):
 return item\_sep.join(str(el) for el in row)

def process\_data(data, item\_sep=',', line\_sep='\n'):
 row\_strings = [process\_row(row, item\_sep) for row in data]
 return line\_sep.join(row\_strings)

process\_data(data, item\_sep='|')

'10,20,30\n100,200,300\n1000,2000,3000'

} refactoring

generator

# Iteration

## Viewing Contents of `range` Object

```
r = range(5) → it is a 'range' object (neither list nor tuple)  
print(r)      → 'range(5)'  
                → not what we wanted  
  
→ can convert range object to a list or tuple  
print(tuple(r)) → (0, 1, 2, 3, 4)  
print(list(r))
```

range(start, end, step)

↓  
inclusive

↑  
exclusive

reminds us of slicing!

- If we are to add/remove elements from an iterable, do not use `for-loop`! Use `while-loop` instead!

## The `else` Clause

→ Python is really confusing here...

`for` loops can have an `else` clause

→ but it has nothing to do with the `else` clause of an `if` statement

→ the `else` clause of a `for` loop executes if and only if no `break` was encountered  
*in my mind I read it as "else if no break"*

```
for i in range(5):  
    <code block 1>  
else: # if no break  
    <code block 2>  
    → <code block 2> executes if loop terminated normally
```



## Back to our Example

```
found = False  
  
for el in my_list:  
    if el == 'Python':  
        found = True  
        print('found')  
        break  
  
if not found:  
    print('not found')
```

equivalently:

```
for el in my_list:  
    if el == 'Python':  
        print('found')  
        break  
    else: # if no break  
        print('not found')
```

# Dictionaries

## Hash Maps (aka Dictionaries)

- better implementation is the **hash map** (or **dictionary**)
- similar to the last approach we saw
- but a special mechanism is used to quickly find a key
  - lookup **speed is not affected by size** of dictionary

**IMPORTANT**

- keys must be **hashable** (hence the term hash map)
- what that means exactly is not important now

- strings are hashable → numerics are hashable
- tuples *may* be hashable (if all the elements are themselves hashable)
- lists are *not* hashable

## Python Dictionaries

- a dictionary is a data structure that associates a value to a key
  - both value and key are Python objects
  - key must be **hashable** type (e.g. `str`, `int`, `bool`, `float`, ...) and **unique**
  - value can be **any** type
- type is `dict`
- it is a collection of key: value pairs
- it is **iterable**
  - but it is not a sequence type
  - values are looked up by key, not by index
  - technically there is no ordering in a dictionary
- it is a **mutable** collection
  - (we'll come back to this point!)

Membership testing (i.e., if a key exists) is extremely fast in dictionaries

## The `get()` Method

```
d = {'length': 10, 'width': 20}
```

```
d.get('length', 0) → 10
```

the key exists, so the corresponding value (10) is returned

```
d.get('height', 0) → 0
```

the key does not exist, so the default (0) is returned

```
d.get('height') → None
```

the key does not exist, so the default default-value (None) is returned

## The `get()` Method

→ data in Python is often handled using dictionaries

when we work with data we often have missing values

sometimes, not only is the value missing, but the key as well

→ using `get()` allows us to simplify our code to assign a default for missing keys

```
if 'ssn' in person_dict:  
    social = person_dict['ssn']  
else:  
    social = ''  
  
→ social = person_dict.get('ssn', '')
```

Similar method for setting values is  
• `setdefault()`

# Sets

think back to **keys** in a dictionary

- they are **unique**
- they are **iterable**
- they have **no particular order** (well, Python 3.6 maintains insertion order)
- keys can be **added** or **removed** (dictionary is mutable)
- they are hashable too - but leave that aside for a moment

does that remind you of a set?

- we can think of the **keys** in a **dictionary** as a **set**
- Python's implementation of sets is essentially like a dictionary
  - but no values, only **keys**
  - because of this, set **elements** must be **hashable** too

## Python Sets

- type is **set**
- sets are **iterable**
- iteration **order** is **not guaranteed** (at least not yet)
- set **elements** must be **hashable**
- sets are **mutable**
  - sets are not hashable
    - a set cannot be an element of another set, or a key in a dictionary
    - if you really want nested sets, use **frozenset**
      - **immutable** equivalent of sets – those are hashable
        - (if all the elements are, themselves, hashable)

Compared to dictionaries, which maintain the insertion order, sets don't maintain any order.

## Applications of Sets

- membership testing with sets is much faster than lists or tuples
- easy to eliminate duplicate values from a collection
- easy to find common values between two collections
- easy to find values in one collection but not in another

## Comprehensions

They are a bit faster than using for-loops  
But use them only for simple cases  
Readability matters !!