# Explicit methods for the first-order wave equation

## November 14, 2016

We will consider the PDE

$$u_t + au_x = 0$$
  
 $x \in [-1, 1], \quad 0 < t < 2$   
 $u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u(\pm 1, t) = 0.$ 

#### Exercise 1. First-order algorithm

Write a code that solves the equation using first-order forward differencing in time and space.

Use spacing  $\Delta x = 0.02$  and  $\Delta t = 0.01$  and take a = -1,  $u_0(x) = \exp(-30x^4)$ .

Your code should create a matrix, u, where the i-th row represents the approximation to the solution at the i-th time-step.

To visualise your solution, use waterfall or write a code that involving the pause command that animates the results.

What happens if you set  $\Delta x = 0.01$  and  $\Delta t = 0.02$ ? Verify that instability occurs when the CFL condition is violated.

#### **Exercise 2.** A more efficient code?

Modify your code so that it updates all values of u together at each time step via matrix multiplication. Care is required to ensure the boundary conditions are correctly applied.

How would you use matrix multiplication if the boundary conditions were  $u_t(\pm 1, t) = 0$ ?

We will look more at matrix methods when we study implicit formulations next week.

### Exercise 3. Lax-Friedrichs method

Now write code that solves the equation using the Lax-Friedrichs method, which is second order in space. You should notice something very different about your results!

Try instead the initial conditions

$$u_0(x) = 0$$
  $|x| > 0.25$   
=1  $|x| < 0.25$ .

Which of your two methods treats this problem better?

The Lax-Friedrichs method introduces what is known as numerical dissipation. Calculate the value of the diffusion coefficient D (see part 3 of the lecture notes).

What equations would this method be suitable for? Re-run your code with a=-500. Is this any better? Why?