Palmer Edholm Dr. Joe Koebbe MATH 4200 November 19, 2021

Tasksheet 7

Task 1 The following code initializes the matrix as it is piecewise defined.

```
1
   import numpy as np
2
3
4
   def upr_trng(n):
5
       # Initialize n x n matrix of zeros
6
       A = np.zeros((n, n))
       # Traverse the matrix to change the values of the upper
7
       # triangular portion to satisfy the piecewise definition
8
9
       for i in range(n):
            for j in range(i, n):
10
               A[i, j] = (i + 1) + (j + 1) - 1
11
12
       # Return the matrix
13
       return A
```

See my software manual entry to see my routine for back substitution performed on this matrix, the 4×4 case of which is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Task 2 The following code transposes a matrix.

```
def transpose(A):
    # Transpose the given matrix A
    return [[A[j, i] for j in range(len(A))] for i in
    range(len(A[0]))]
```

Transposing A, we get

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

See my software manual entry to see my routine for forward substitution performed on A^{T} .

Task 3 See my software manual entry to see my routines for generating random square, upper triangular, lower triangular, and diagonal matrices.

Task 4 Using my routine to generate a random, diagonal, 4×4 matrix with random values in the interval [-10, 10], I get

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, I'll use the b vector $b_i = 1$. The following code solves the system in a very efficient manner.

```
1
  def diagsub(A, b):
2
      # Initialize vector of unknowns and variable for loops
3
      x = []
      n = len(b)
4
5
      # compute values of unknowns
      for i in range(n):
6
7
           x.append(b[i] / A[i, i])
8
      # Return the solution of the system
      return x
```

Now, I can run the code

```
1 A = np.asarray(A)
2 b = np.ones(4)
3 print(diagsub(A, b))
```

and I get

$$[0.5, -1.0, 0.1111111111111111, 0.125].$$

- Task 5 See my software manual entry to see my routine for Gaussian elimination on a random, nonsingular, 5×5 matrix.
- Task 6 In [1], the author talks about how a small residual norm of an estimate \vec{z} to the exact solution \vec{x} to the system of equations can be misleading because it does not imply that \vec{z} is close to \vec{x} . If we look at the residual bound, we can see why approximations with a small norm can still be bad approximations. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular, $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, and $\vec{b} \neq 0$. If $\vec{r} = A\vec{z} \vec{b}$, then

$$\frac{\|\vec{z} - \vec{x}\|_p}{\|\vec{x}\|_p} \le \kappa_p(A) \frac{\|\vec{r}\|_p}{\|A\|_p \|\vec{x}\|_p}$$

Where $\kappa_p(A) = ||A||_p ||A^{-1}||_p$. The *p* subscript denotes the perturbation. The bound implies that the linear system is well-conditioned if $\kappa_p(A)$ is small.

References

 $[1]\ https://ipsen.math.ncsu.edu/ps/OT113_Ipsen.pdf$