

Untitled - September 26, 2025

Speaker Hey, this is Omar and this is Paloma. In this podcast, we're gonna introduce the characters we chose and their ethical frameworks. I'm going to start with a character that is geared to trivia, and I chose him because of the way that he's portrayed in the series and also the video games that I really like. For him, I chose the ethical frameworks of virtue and care, and that's because of how they act with his family, his friends, and how he behaved himself towards the public, doing whatever he thinks is right and not what society expects of him. I think he's virtuous and definitely against utilitarianism because he believes that there is no lesser evil. Evil is evil. So I would say he's virtuous. I pretty much agree with that as well. So what is one character that you chose? I did Jon Snow. For me, he is utilitarian and deontological because he sacrifices himself and kills the one he loves in order to save a greater number of people. I pretty much agree for him. I also chose ontological because of his greater idea of duty, and everything he does is towards that. Another character that I chose was Peter Pan, and I chose him because for me, it was quite ambiguous on where he gonna be in the end that I end up choosing nature, and that's because of his child way behavior and how he thinks, what he's going to be naturally good for everyone. And that's why he behaves like that. I agree with the nature one. I said care because, you know, there's almost a camaraderie between the children and his troop, and he always makes sure that they're happy. I pretty much agree as well. So you chose another character. I did Winnie the Pooh. Um, I also said that he was care centered because he gives a lot of great advice. All he does is eat honey and hang out with his friends. Maybe there's a nature to small acts, and, you know, through them he is idealistic. Yeah. For him, I chose nature as well as. Same as for Peter Pan. And that's because of the idea that everything he does is just whatever he thinks is naturally good. I don't see him thinking too much. Whatever a person or whatever someone better should do, but just whatever, it's naturally good for everyone. Another character that I chose was Hasan Piker, and that was in like the political commentary view. And I thought it would be interesting to assign kind of like an ethical framework for him. I chose Contractarianism because of how he portrayed himself and everything that he says is towards a social Fare structure. So that's why I chose him. Yeah. For piker, I would say that he's virtuous because he sees inequality in the social structure. Or maybe a contractarian because he sees inequality in the political structure and he dedicates his work to criticizing it. Lastly, I chose Benjamin Netanyahu. I would say that he comes from a place of deontology where he invokes notions of the state security. That's an interesting view for him. I chose utilitarianism because for me, in his mind, what he's doing, it's the greater good, whatever. It's an obstacle for him. The final, the conclusion is what he's looking to. So that's why I chose this one. Now for the second part. That is random pairing. We did that a bit off screen, and now we're going to discuss how we pair them and if they fit or not. The first pair we got was Gerard of Rivia and the ethical framework of duty for me. Yes, he kind of fits with these ethical framework because he wants to do whatever is his duty. But I don't see a strong connection because it's not like he's not thinking what is my duty to do, but more what he thinks is good for him and what is right to do. So I see, yes, a connection with that, but I don't think it's that strong. Hmm. Interesting, because I would say that like, I feel like he does think of that. He has a duty to protect those that are weaker than him. You know, I feel like there's a lot of times where he helps people

when he doesn't have to. There's also a lot of times where he just doesn't care and then he walks away. So yeah, I'm not sure. Yeah. And that perspective actually, if like, is his duty to take care of others. I agree that it's a bit more stronger than I thought. For our second pairing, it was Peter Pan and the ethical framework of care. I thought it was not the strongest one. Yes, he does care. And maybe in his mind, he's doing that for the good and how he meets the needs of others. But being just a child like free nature, I don't see that much in also connected when he just leave the kids there. I don't see that strong of a connection. Yeah. I mean, yeah, that's what I assigned him. It made sense to me, but now that I think about it more, he also like kind of dismisses what the kids want sometimes. Sometimes they like. I remember when they wanted to go back and he wouldn't take her. So, yeah. Um, maybe he's not fully clear. Maybe he's just nature. Yeah. Now, for our hearing. It was Hasan Piker in utilitarianism. I do see a connection. I don't know if it's a high or low in the scale, but it was. How to achieve a greater good, maybe. Yes. With his commentaries and how he portrayed and how he fights in this political scenario. He's trying to achieve a greater good. But I also don't see him just dismissing everyone else to just achieve this end goal. I'm not sure if it's a strong connection or not. Um, yeah, I think he's idealistic and that's why it's opposing utilitarianism. But I also see it sometimes, maybe like he engages with arguments that could be seen as like changing the goalpost, which could be a utilitarian thing to do. Yeah, I agree with that. Another pairing that we had was Jon Snow in nature. This one for me. I think it's one of the not that strong because I don't see Jones. No. Just thinking what is naturally good. He thinks what is good for him, what is good in his ideology, but not what is good just for being good. So I don't see that one. Yeah. I mean, he's very duty focused, I guess, like his natural wants are like wanting to be safe. Yeah, I agree for one of the latest ones, it's Winnie the Pooh and Virtue. I'm not that sure, but I kind of agree. I'm not sure if he thinks as what a good person should do, but he's always trying to cheer up his friends and being a good person. So I don't think it's a conscious decision, but it Body can be aligned to it. Yeah, I would say it's virtuous. You know, he likes his friend. He's simple. Humble. He's gentle. And for the latest one is Netanyahu and contract autism. I'm not that sure about this one. Maybe for him. What is a fair social structure? Maybe in his ideology, that's what you fit for him. But I don't see him just focusing what is fair in a social structure. It's more just focusing on the end goal and not thinking on affairs scenario. Yeah, I would agree with that. It's like what is fair for his nation but not what is fair for others. So I think that fits. So after some discussion, we rank these ones for the lowest to the highest ones that we think the character, the personality, matches with the ethical framework that was randomly assigned for the lowest one. We thought it was John Snow in nature, and that's mostly how we discuss it that he's not thinking of, like what is naturally good. He's just thinking of what his duty and what his mind is the right thing to do. Yeah, I agree with that for the second one. So the second lowest one, it's not in Contrarianism that also it's based on what we discussed, that he's not thinking what is fair for the entire social structure, but what is fair for him. The other one, the third lowest one is Peter Pan and care. Oh, wait. So before before Peter Pan, we thought that the Hasan piker and utilitarianism combination was wrong, mostly because he is an idealist. He believes in social equity, and that's a hard thing to imagine these days. Yeah I agree. And now for Peter Pan and Keir as we discuss it. Yes, he takes care. But he kind of sometimes dismiss what the kids wants. So that's why he's in this middle term of chess matches with the ethical framework, but also sometimes go against of it for the second

strongest fit. It was Winnie the Pooh in vitro. Yeah. Like his his simple. He, um, is honest with his friends and, you know, like Winnie the Pooh. Like his care. Yeah. In the beginning, I was not agreeing that much. But yes, I agree. And the strongest one that we thought this match made, it was Garrett of Rivia in duty. I was not thinking too much of that, but after some discussion, it was. Yes. What is his duty to do? If his duty is to help people? That's what he's gonna do. Yeah. He always. Yeah, he always helps people that are weaker than him. So for our final reflections, our reflection about the pairing, we found that the strongest one as we discusses was geared of Rivia in duty. And that's related to how much he cares and how much his duty, as was discussed. And one of the challenging ones was Peter Pan and care because yes, he cares for the kids as we discussed it. And we also discussed it on how we first assign each framework. But he kind of sometimes forget about the kids. So that was one, a difficult one where to place. That's why we placed them in the middle for the character patterns. We saw that a lot of times that I mentioned about nature or talked about care, and that was an interesting point to see. I changed my opinion about most of them that I put in care because that I put it in nature because, yes, care. Have a point on that. Yeah. But I also was convinced that Peter was more on the nature side than care. Yeah. So that was interesting to see. And we also had a lot of characters that overlapped with care and also a lot of duty that most of the characters we kind of was between which one are going to be. And if we choose, if we can choose two of them, most of them are going to be together with care for the podcast structure, I think was a good experience and it was really nice to discuss different kinds of perspectives. I change some of my ideas and I understood better these characters. So I think these this overall structure is pretty good to understand. Different views. Yeah, it was fun. I don't really like to use the frameworks. I think they're like they're restrictive. Um, when I'm thinking of ethics, I don't like to use these categories. Yeah, I agree, most of my characters actually had like two or three, and I decided to just talk about one that kind of fit better. But yeah, I also agree that they're a bit too yes or no. So that was the podcast about our characters that we chose. We chose six of them. We matched them with different ethical frameworks, and we had a fun discussion. And I think we learned as we should. So thank you for listening. Goodbye.