



## Homework 2

**Directions:** Answer the following questions. You are encouraged to work together, join the discussion sessions, use discord, and ask me questions!

1. We call a collection of sets  $\mathcal{R} \subset P(X)$  a ring if it is closed under finite unions and differences, that is, if  $E, F \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $E \setminus F \in \mathcal{R}$ . If it is closed under countable unions, we call it a  $\sigma$ -ring.
  - a) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring such that  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{R}$  is also an algebra. (The same holds for  $\sigma$ -rings/ $\sigma$ -algebras).
  - b) Construct an example of a ring that is not an algebra.
  - c) If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, then

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{E \subset X \mid E \in \mathcal{R} \text{ or } E^c \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{E \subset X \mid E \cap F \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

are both  $\sigma$ -algebras.

### Solution:

(a) Since rings are closed under differences, and  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ , then for any  $E \in \mathcal{R}$ , we have that  $E^c = X \setminus E \in \mathcal{R}$ . Thus it is an algebra since we also get for free closure under finite unions.

(b) We let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \{\emptyset, [0, 1/2)\}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies the definition of a ring. However it is not closed under compliments so it is not an algebra.

(c) Note that since rings are closed under differences, this means that  $\emptyset = E \setminus E$  is in every ring. Now since  $X^c = \emptyset$ , this means  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ , so by part (a),  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. As for  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , observe that since  $X \cap F = F$ , by the definition of the set,  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ , thus it is a  $\sigma$ -algebra by part (a).

2.
  - a) Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots$  be a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $X$ . Verify that  $\cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . (Recall we state this in lecture but never verified it).
  - b) Provide an example to show that the analogous statement about a union of  $\sigma$ -algebras is false.

- c) Suppose we add the condition that  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \dots$ . Is it now the case that  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra? Prove it or provide a counter example.

**Solution:**

(a) We simply verify the definition of a  $\sigma$ -algebra. First let  $E \in \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ , and then since  $E \in \mathcal{A}_j$  for all  $j$ , then since each  $\mathcal{A}_j$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $E^c \in \mathcal{A}_j$  for all  $j$ . But then that means  $E^c \in \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ , thus the intersection is closed under compliments. Now let  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ . Thus  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}_j$  for all  $j$ , and again since it is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, this means the union is in  $\mathcal{A}_j$  for each  $j$ . So  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ . Thus it is also closed under countable unions, so it is indeed a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(b) We will let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\emptyset, X, [0, 1/2], (1/2, 1]\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\emptyset, X, [0, 1/3], [1/3, 1]\}$ , and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ . One can easily see that each  $\mathcal{A}_j$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, however the union is not, as  $E_1 = [0, 1/3)$  and  $E_2 = (1/2, 1]$  are both in  $\mathcal{A}$ , but their union is not. So  $\mathcal{A}$  isn't even an algebra.

(c) This still remains false. Let  $X = [0, 1]$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\emptyset, X, [0, 1/2], (1/2, 1]\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}_1$  (in this case they will be the same). Let  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \{[1/3, 1]\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}_2$ . In general we let  $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \cup \{[1/(n+1), 1]\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra it generates. Note that since  $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2 \subset \dots$ , we have that  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \dots$ , so our  $\sigma$ -algebras are nested as desired. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ , and we now pick our sets  $E_n = [1/(n+1), 1] \in \mathcal{A}$ . However we note that  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j = (0, 1]$  which is not in any  $\mathcal{A}_j$ , thus not in  $\mathcal{A}$ . So the union of the nested  $\sigma$ -algebras is still not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Remark:** Interestingly enough, the union of the nested  $\sigma$ -algebras is closed under compliments, whereas the example in part (b) is not even closed under compliments.

3. An algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if and only if for any collection  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$ ,  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Solution:** The forward direction has nothing to show, since if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then it's closed under countable unions, and in particular closed under increasing countable unions. Suppose now that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra that is closed under countable increasing unions, and let  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . We will define  $F_k = \cup_{j=1}^k E_j$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra, it is closed under finite unions, so each  $F_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3 \subset \dots$ , so by our hypothesis, their union is in the algebra, that is,  $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . However, note that  $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ , so we have that  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{A}$ , which shows that it is closed under countable unions. Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is indeed a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

---