# Week 2 - L03 Subgradients and Stochastic Gradient Descent

CS 295 Optimization for Machine Learning loannis Panageas

**Definition** (Subgradients). Let  $f(x) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function, with  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .  $g_x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a subgradient of f at x if for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  we have

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge g_x^\top (y - x).$$

You can define the set of subgradients at x, we denote it by  $\partial f(x)$ .

**Definition** (Subgradients). Let  $f(x) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a fun $g_x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a subgradient of f at x if for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  we h

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge g_x^\top (y - x).$$

Example: |x|

You can define the set of subgradients at x, we denote it by  $\partial f(x)$ .

**Definition** (Subgradients). Let  $f(x) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a fun $g_x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a subgradient of f at x if for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  we h

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge g_x^\top (y - x).$$

Example: |x|

You can define the set of subgradients at x, we denote it by  $\partial f(x)$ .

**Lemma** (Existence and convexity). Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that  $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all x. It holds that f is convex.

*Proof.* It holds that there exists a vector g such that

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) - f(x) \le g^{\top}t(y-x),$$
  
 $f(ty + (1-t)x) - f(y) \le g^{\top}(1-t)(x-y).$ 

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) - f(x) \le g^{\top}t(y-x) \quad (1),$$

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) - f(y) \le g^{\top}(1-t)(x-y) \quad (2).$$

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) \le (1-t)f(x) + tf(y).$$

Converse is also true! Application of Supporting Hyperplane Theorem...

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) - f(x) \le g^{\top}t(y-x) \quad (1),$$

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) - f(y) \le g^{\top}(1-t)(x-y) \quad (2).$$

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) \le (1-t)f(x) + tf(y).$$

Converse is also true! Application of Supporting Hyperplane Theorem...

**Lemma** (Local minima are global minima). Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function. If x is a local minimum then it is a global minimum. This happens if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \partial f(x)$ .

*Proof.* It is a global minimum if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \partial f(x)$ .

Moreover, for t > 0 small enough,

Hence 
$$f(x) \leq f(y)$$
.

$$f(x) \le f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y).$$

**Definition** (Revisited Gradient Descent). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex function not necessarily differentiable in some convex set  $\mathcal{X}$ . GD is defined iteratively:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha g_{x_k}.$$

#### Remarks

- $g_{x_k} \in \partial f(x_k)$  is the subgradient computed at  $x_k$ .
- Same guarantees as classic and projected GD.

**Theorem** (Gradient Descent). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable, convex (want to minimize) and L-Lipschitz. Let  $R = ||x_1 - x^*||_2$ , the distance between the initial point  $x_1$  and minimizer  $x^*$ . It holds for  $T = \frac{R^2L^2}{\epsilon^2}$ 

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}x_{t}\right)-f(x^{*})\leq\epsilon,$$

with appropriately choosing  $\alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{L^2}$ .

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \leq g_{x_t}^\top (x_t - x^*)$$
 def. subgradient,

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le g_{x_t}^\top (x_t - x^*)$$
 def. subgradient,  
=  $\frac{1}{\alpha} (x_t - x_{t+1})^\top (x_t - x^*)$  definition of GD,

$$f(x_{t}) - f(x^{*}) \leq g_{x_{t}}^{\top}(x_{t} - x^{*}) \text{ def. subgradient,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}(x_{t} - x_{t+1})^{\top}(x_{t} - x^{*}) \text{ definition of GD,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(\|x_{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} + \|x_{t} - x_{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2}\right) \text{ law of Cosines,}$$

$$f(x_{t}) - f(x^{*}) \leq g_{x_{t}}^{\top}(x_{t} - x^{*}) \text{ def. subgradient,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}(x_{t} - x_{t+1})^{\top}(x_{t} - x^{*}) \text{ definition of GD,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} + \|x_{t} - x_{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \right) \text{ law of Cosines,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|g_{x_{t}}\|_{2}^{2} \text{ Def. of GD,}$$

*Proof.* It holds that

$$f(x_{t}) - f(x^{*}) \leq g_{x_{t}}^{\top}(x_{t} - x^{*}) \text{ def. subgradient,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}(x_{t} - x_{t+1})^{\top}(x_{t} - x^{*}) \text{ definition of GD,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} + \|x_{t} - x_{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \right) \text{ law of Cosines,}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|g_{x_{t}}\|_{2}^{2} \text{ Def. of GD,}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha L^{2}}{2} \text{ Exercise 3.}$$

**Exercise 3 (General case).** Suppose f(x) is L-Lipschitz continous and  $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\forall x \in dom(f)$ 

$$\|g_x\|_2 \le L \text{ where } g_x \in \partial f(x).$$

**Optimization for Machine Learning** 

Proof cont. Since

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2},$$

taking the telescopic sum we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha T} (\|x_1 - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}.$$

$$\le \frac{R^2}{2\alpha T} + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2} = \epsilon \text{ by choosing appropriately } \alpha, T.$$

The claim follows by convexity since  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f(x_t) \ge f\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} x_t\right)$  (Jensen's inequality).

#### Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

**Definition** (Stochastic Gradient Descent). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex (want to minimize). The algorithm below is called stochastic gradient descent

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k v_k,$$

where  $\mathbb{E}[v_k|x_k] \in \partial f(x_k)$ .

#### Remarks

- $\alpha_k$  is called the stepsize. Intuitively the smaller, the slower the algorithm.
- $\alpha_k$  must depend on k (vanishing to talk about convergence).
- $v_k$  and moreover  $x_k$  are random vectors!

**Theorem** (Stochastic Gradient Descent). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mu$ -strongly convex (want to minimize). Moreover assume that  $\mathbb{E}[\|v_k\|^2] \leq \rho^2$ . Let  $x^*$  be a minimizer. It holds for  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{\mu k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t}x_{t}\right)\right] - f(x^{*}) \leq \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\mu T}(1 + \log T).$$

#### Remarks

- $\alpha_k$  scales as  $\frac{1}{k}$  and is vanishing to talk about convergence.
- For  $T = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$  we get error  $\epsilon$ .
- Rakhlin, Shamir & Sridharan (2012) derived a convergence rate in which the  $\log T$  is eliminated for a variant.
- Shamir & Zhang (2013) shown theorem above for last iterate  $x_T$ !

*Proof of Theorem.* Set  $\nabla^t = \mathbb{E}[v_t|x_t]$ .

From strong convexity we get

$$(x_t - x^*)^{\top} \nabla^t \ge f(x_t) - f(x^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2.$$

*Proof of Theorem.* Set  $\nabla^t = \mathbb{E}[v_t|x_t]$ .

From strong convexity we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t\right] \ge \mathbb{E}\left[f(x_t) - f(x^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2\right].$$

*Proof of Theorem.* Set  $\nabla^t = \mathbb{E}[v_t|x_t]$ .

From strong convexity we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t\right] \ge \mathbb{E}\left[f(x_t) - f(x^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2\right].$$

Claim.

$$\mathbb{E}[(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2]}{2\alpha_t} + \frac{\alpha_t}{2}\rho^2.$$

*Proof of Theorem.* Set  $\nabla^t = \mathbb{E}[v_t|x_t]$ .

From strong convexity we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(x_t - x^*)^{\top} \nabla^t\right] \ge \mathbb{E}\left[f(x_t) - f(x^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2\right].$$

Claim.

$$\mathbb{E}[(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2]}{2\alpha_t} + \frac{\alpha_t}{2}\rho^2.$$

Proof of Claim. Law of Cosines gives

$$\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \ge 2\alpha_t(x_t - x^*)^\top v_t - a_t^2 \|v_t\|_2^2$$

Law of total expectation ... Tower property!

*Proof of Cont.* 

Combining the two above we get (lin. expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(x_t) - f(x^*)\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 (1 - \alpha_t \mu) - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2]}{2\alpha_t} + \frac{\alpha_t}{2}\rho^2.$$

*Proof of Cont.* 

Combining the two above we get (lin. expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(x_t) - f(x^*)\right] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 (1 - \alpha_t \mu) - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2]}{2\alpha_t} + \frac{\alpha_t}{2}\rho^2.$$

Therefore (lin. expectation), recall  $a_t = \frac{1}{t\mu}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t} f(x_{t})\right] - f(x^{*}) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[-\mu T \|x_{T+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\mu} \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t} \frac{1}{t}$$

*Proof of Cont.* 

Combining the two above we get (lin. expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(x_t) - f(x^*)\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 (1 - \alpha_t \mu) - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2]}{2\alpha_t} + \frac{\alpha_t}{2}\rho^2.$$

Therefore (lin. expectation), recall  $a_t = \frac{1}{t\mu}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t} f(x_{t})\right] - f(x^{*}) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[-\mu T \|x_{T+1} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\mu} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \frac{1}{t} dt + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\mu} \left(\frac{1 + \log T}{T}\right).$$

**Theorem** (Stochastic Gradient Descent). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function (want to minimize). Moreover assume that  $||v_k||_2 \le \rho$  with probability one. Let  $x^*$  be a minimizer. It holds for  $\alpha = \frac{R}{\rho\sqrt{k}}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t}x_{t}\right)\right]-f(x^{*})\leq\frac{R\rho}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

#### Remarks

- a scales as  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}}$  and is vanishing to talk about convergence but fixed!
- For  $T = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  we get error  $\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* (Recall and add expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [f(x_t) - f(x^*)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t | v_1, ..., v_{t-1}]]$$

*Proof.* (Recall and add expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [f(x_t) - f(x^*)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t | v_1, ..., v_{t-1}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [\nabla^t | v_1, ..., v_{t-1}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [(x_t - x^*)^\top v_t]$$

*Proof.* (Recall and add expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [f(x_{t}) - f(x^{*})] \leq \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_{t} - x^{*})^{\top} \nabla^{t}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_{t} - x^{*})^{\top} \nabla^{t} | v_{1}, ..., v_{t-1}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [(x_{t} - x^{*})^{\top} \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [\nabla^{t} | v_{1}, ..., v_{t-1}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [(x_{t} - x^{*})^{\top} v_{t}] \quad \text{Recall } ||v_{t}|| \leq \rho!$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{1:T} \left[ \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( ||x_{t} - x^{*}||_{2}^{2} - ||x_{t+1} - x^{*}||_{2}^{2} \right) \right] + \frac{\alpha \rho^{2}}{2}.$$

*Proof.* (Recall and add expectation)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{1:T} \left[ f(x_t) - f(x^*) \right] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [\mathbb{E}_{1:T} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla^t | v_1, ..., v_{t-1}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [(x_t - x^*)^\top \mathbb{E}_{1:T} [\nabla^t | v_1, ..., v_{t-1}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{1:t-1} [(x_t - x^*)^\top v_t] \quad \text{Recall } \left| |v_t| \right| \leq \rho! \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{1:T} \left[ \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( ||x_t - x^*||_2^2 - ||x_{t+1} - x^*||_2^2 \right) \right] + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{2}. \end{split}$$

Taking the telescopic sum we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{1:T}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}f(x_t)-f(x^*)\right] \leq \frac{R^2}{2\alpha T}+\frac{\alpha\rho^2}{2}.$$

**Optimization for Machine Learning** 

#### Example: Coordinate Descent

**Definition** (Coordinate Descent). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex differentiable function in some convex set  $\mathcal{X}$ . CD is defined iteratively:

Choose coordinate 
$$i \in [d]$$
 and update  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \frac{\partial f(x_k)}{\partial x_i} \cdot e_i$ .

#### Remarks

- Similar guarantees with GD as long as each coordinate is taken often.
- If coordinate i is chosen uniformly at random, then instantiation of ?.

#### Conclusion

- Introduction to Subgradients and SGD.
  - Same guarantees as for differentiable functions.
  - SGD has rate of convergence  $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$  for  $\mu$ -convex.
  - Next Lecture we will see examples related to MLE.
- Next week we will talk about online learning/optimization!