

# Computational Science II

Roh Hyeonmin & Kim Taewon

September 19, 2023

---

## *Contents*

<b>Contents</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 HHL Algorithm</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3 Recommendation Algorithm</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Experimental Quantum Data</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5 Quantum Linear Algebra</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>8</b>

## Chapter 1

---

### *Introduction*

*Dequantization*, the process of presenting classical counterparts to specific quantum machine learning (QML) algorithms while incurring only a polynomial slowdown, raises questions about the claimed exponential quantum advantage of QML algorithms. At the core of QML and its asserted advantages lies HHL algorithm, developed by Harrow, Hassidim and Lloyd [5]. It's noteworthy that Aaronson [1] critiqued the HHL algorithm for its intricate ‘fine print’ conditions, which also influence QML algorithms rooted in the HHL approach.

A few years later, Tang [8] dequantified the quantum recommendation algorithm initially proposed by Kerenidis and Prakash [7] with just a polynomial slowdown. This achievement was facilitated by the inherent similarities between quantum techniques, such as ‘quantum phase estimation’, and classical linear algebra methods, exemplified by techniques like ‘ $\ell^2$ -norm sampling through singular decomposition,’ introduced several years ago [4].

The central implication of this outcome is that if quantum linear algebra algorithms can be efficiently dequantized, it prompts us to reevaluate the fundamental concept and applicability of the term “quantum” itself concerning algorithms. However, as Cotler, Huang, and McClean [3] assert, such skepticism loses its significance when dequantization is applied to data originating from quantum systems. In cases where classical computation cannot accurately capture experimental quantum data, QML undeniably offers large speedups. Therefore, such doubt may be resolved through the accumulation of an extensive amount of experimental quantum data, often referred as a matter of ‘Quantum Random Access Memory’ (QRAM). This is the direction of above three with Preskill and others [6] take.

Nevertheless, the uncharted territory at the intersection of quantum linear algebra and classical linear algebra remains an area ripe for exploration. This paper delves with clarity into the historical overview provided above and ultimately underscores its significance by addressing a recently suggested problem on ‘classical and quantum singular value transformation’ by Bakshi and Tang [2].

## Chapter 2

---

### *HHL Algorithm*

## Chapter 3

---

### *Recommendation Algorithm*

## Chapter 4

---

### *Experimental Quantum Data*

## Chapter 5

---

### *Quantum Linear Algebra*

---

## Bibliography

- [1] Scott Aaronson. Read the fine print. *Nature Physics*, 11:291 – 293, 2015.
- [2] Ainesh Bakshi and Ewin Tang. An improved classical singular value transformation for quantum machine learning, 2023.
- [3] Jordan S. Cotler, Hsin-Yuan Huang, and Jarrod R. McClean. Revisiting dequantization and quantum advantage in learning tasks. *ArXiv*, abs/2112.00811, 2021.
- [4] Alan Frieze, Ravi Kannan, and Santosh Vempala. Fast monte-carlo algorithms for finding low-rank approximations. *J. ACM*, 51(6):1025–1041, nov 2004.
- [5] Aram W. Harrow, Avinatan Hassidim, and Seth Lloyd. Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 103:150502, Oct 2009.
- [6] Hsin-Yuan Huang, Michael Broughton, Jordan Cotler, Sitan Chen, Jerry Li, Masoud Mohseni, Hartmut Neven, Ryan Babbush, Richard Kueng, John Preskill, and Jarrod R. McClean. Quantum advantage in learning from experiments. *Science*, 376(6598):1182–1186, 2022.
- [7] Iordanis Kerenidis and Anupam Prakash. Quantum Recommendation Systems. In Christos H. Papadimitriou, editor, *8th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference (ITCS 2017)*, volume 67 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 49:1–49:21, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2017. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [8] Ewin Tang. A quantum-inspired classical algorithm for recommendation systems. In *Proceedings of the 51st Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC 2019, page 217–228, New York, NY, USA, 2019. Association for Computing Machinery.