The Principles of Functional Programming

Panicz Maciej Godek

godek.maciek@gmail.com

https://github.com/panicz/writings/tree/ master/talks/datamass

datamass.io summit, 29.09.2017



- explain what Functional Programming is
- expose some common confusion
- debunk some widespread myths
- show the value and applicability of FP
- and fallacies that arise when not using FP

- explain what Functional Programming is
- expose some common confusion
- debunk some widespread myths
- show the value and applicability of FP
- and fallacies that arise when not using FP

- explain what Functional Programming is
- expose some common confusion
- debunk some widespread myths
- show the value and applicability of FP
- and fallacies that arise when not using FP

- explain what Functional Programming is
- expose some common confusion
- debunk some widespread myths
- show the value and applicability of FP
- and fallacies that arise when not using FP

- explain what Functional Programming is
- expose some common confusion
- debunk some widespread myths
- show the value and applicability of FP
- and fallacies that arise when not using FP

- explain what Functional Programming is
- expose some common confusion
- debunk some widespread myths
- show the value and applicability of FP
- and fallacies that arise when not using FP

What is functional programming?

Myth #1: Functional programming isn't well defined.

functional programming – programming paradigm that treats computation as the evaluation of mathematical functions and avoids changing-state and mutable data

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Functional_programming

What is functional programming?

Myth #1: Functional programming isn't well defined.

functional programming – programming paradigm that treats computation as the evaluation of mathematical functions and avoids changing-state and mutable data

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Functional_programming

What is functional programming?

Myth #1: Functional programming isn't well defined.

functional programming – programming paradigm that treats computation as the evaluation of mathematical functions and avoids changing-state and mutable data

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Functional_programming

Function vs. procedure

procedure – a sequence of instructions that show how to achieve some result, such as to prepare or make something

```
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Procedure

nt main(void) {
  printf("Hello world!\n");
  return 0;
```

Function vs. procedure

procedure – a sequence of instructions that show how to achieve some result, such as to prepare or make something

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Procedure

```
int main(void) {
  printf("Hello world!\n");
  return 0;
}
```

Function vs. procedure

procedure – a sequence of instructions that show how to achieve some result, such as to prepare or make something

```
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Procedure
int main(void) {
  printf("Hello world!\n");
  return 0;
}
```

Procedure - real life example

8 850100 101105 gars 2g DIRECTIONS tein 7q INSTANT 1. Pour in boiling water. min A 4% 2. Put cover on and leave to sit for :lum 2% 3 minutes. The good tasted instant noodles soup is now ready to serve arcents Dai COOKING a 2,000 cald Add noodles to boiling water 400 cc. ues may va Simmer for 2 minutes, stir occasionally. ending on Remove to the bowl with seasoning. Stir, the noodles are ready to serve. Calo PRODUCT OF THAILAND Fet Lass Manufacturer, Distributor 88 THAI PRESERVED FOOD FACTORY CO..LTD. (2/1 Petchkasem Rd., Orn-yal, Sampran, Prakompethom 73180 Thailand, Tel. 420-0049

Function (in mathematical sense)

function – a relation that associates an input to a single output according to some rule

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Function

```
int square(int x) {
  return x * x;
}
```

(a procedure can implement a function)

Function (in mathematical sense)

function – a relation that associates an input to a single output according to some rule

```
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Function
int square(int x) {
  return x * x;
}
```

(a procedure can implement a function)

Function (in mathematical sense)

function – a relation that associates an input to a single output according to some rule

```
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Function
int square(int x) {
  return x * x;
}
```

(a procedure can implement a function)

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - + / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sart
```

```
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions t.olower

```
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
++ -- = += *=
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
++ -- = += *=
```

functions

```
tolower
isdigit
strlen
strcmp
sqrt
+ - * / < == >
```

```
printf
scanf
memcpy
clock
rand
++ -- = += *=
```

Myth #2: functional programming is about using *lambdas* or *closures* or *higher-order functions/procedures*

Lambda, Λ , λ – is the 11th letter of the Greek alphabet

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda

```
function make_counter() {
  var counter = 0;
  return function() {
    return ++counter;
  };
}
```

Myth #2: functional programming is about using *lambdas* or *closures* or *higher-order functions/procedures*

Lambda, Λ , λ – is the 11th letter of the Greek alphabet

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda

```
function make_counter() {
  var counter = 0;
  return function() {
    return ++counter;
  };
}
```

Myth #2: functional programming is about using *lambdas* or *closures* or *higher-order functions/procedures*

Lambda, Λ , λ – is the 11th letter of the Greek alphabet

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda

```
function make_counter() {
  var counter = 0;
  return function() {
    return ++counter;
  };
}
```

Myth #2: functional programming is about using *lambdas* or *closures* or *higher-order functions/procedures*

Lambda, Λ , λ – is the 11th letter of the Greek alphabet

```
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda
```

```
function make_counter() {
  var counter = 0;
  return function() {
    return ++counter;
  };
}
```

Parts of speech

Myth #3: objects are like nouns and functions are like verbs

```
insertions:: a -> [a] -> [[a]]
insertions x [] = [[x]]
insertions x (h:t) = [(x:h:t)] ++ entwined
  where entwined = (map (h:) (insertions x t))
e.g. insertions 0 [1,2,3] ===>
  [[0,1,2,3],[1,0,2,3],[1,2,0,3],[1,2,3,0]]
```

other examples: powerset, permutations, sorted

Myth #3: objects are like nouns and functions are like verbs

```
insertions:: a -> [a] -> [[a]]
insertions x [] = [[x]]
insertions x (h:t) = [(x:h:t)] ++ entwined
  where entwined = (map (h:) (insertions x t))
e.g.insertions 0 [1,2,3] ===>
  [[0,1,2,3],[1,0,2,3],[1,2,0,3],[1,2,3,0]]
```

Myth #3: objects are like nouns and functions are like verbs

```
insertions:: a -> [a] -> [[a]]
insertions x [] = [[x]]
insertions x (h:t) = [(x:h:t)] ++ entwined
  where entwined = (map (h:) (insertions x t))
e.g.insertions 0 [1,2,3] ===>
  [[0,1,2,3],[1,0,2,3],[1,2,0,3],[1,2,3,0]]
```

Myth #3: objects are like nouns and functions are like verbs

```
insertions:: a -> [a] -> [[a]]
insertions x [] = [[x]]
insertions x (h:t) = [(x:h:t)] ++ entwined
  where entwined = (map (h:) (insertions x t))
e.g.insertions 0 [1,2,3] ===>
  [[0,1,2,3],[1,0,2,3],[1,2,0,3],[1,2,3,0]]
```

Myth #3: objects are like nouns and functions are like verbs

```
insertions:: a -> [a] -> [[a]]
insertions x [] = [[x]]
insertions x (h:t) = [(x:h:t)] ++ entwined
  where entwined = (map (h:) (insertions x t))
e.g.insertions 0 [1,2,3] ===>
  [[0,1,2,3],[1,0,2,3],[1,2,0,3],[1,2,3,0]]
```

```
int factorial(int n) {
  int result = 1;
  while (n > 0)
    result *= n--;
  return result;
}
int factorial(int n) {
    if (n < 1) return 1;
    else return
        n*factorial(n-1);
}</pre>
```

```
int factorial(int n) {
  int result = 1;
  while (n > 0)
    result *= n--;
  return result;
}

int factorial(int n) {
    if (n < 1) return 1;
    else return
        n*factorial(n-1);
}</pre>
```

```
int factorial(int n) {
  int result = 1;
  while (n > 0)
    result *= n--;
  return result;
}

int factorial(int n) {
    if (n < 1) return 1;
    else return
        n*factorial(n-1);
}</pre>
```

```
int factorial(int n) {
  int result = 1;
  while (n > 0)
    result *= n--;
  return result;
}

int factorial(int n) {
    if (n < 1) return 1;
    else return
        n*factorial(n-1);
}</pre>
```

Why functional programming?

Myth #5: you need to understand Category Theory to use functional programming

Imperative programs explain how to **do** things (perform *actions*), while functional programs **mean** things (refer to *objects*).

Why functional programming?

Myth #5: you need to understand Category Theory to use functional programming

Imperative programs explain how to **do** things (perform *actions*), while functional programs **mean** things (refer to *objects*).

Why functional programming?

Myth #5: you need to understand Category Theory to use functional programming

Imperative programs explain how to **do** things (perform *actions*), while functional programs **mean** things (refer to *objects*).

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
    number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
number := number + 1
```

```
counter := 7
number := 0
sum := 0
while(counter > 0):
    if is_prime(number):
        sum := sum + number^2
        counter := counter - 1
number := number + 1
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
(define (only qualifying? elements)
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
(define (only qualifying? elements)
  (match elements
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
(define (only qualifying? elements)
  (match elements
    ('()
     ′())
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
(define (only qualifying? elements)
  (match elements
    ('()
     ′())
    ('(,first .,rest)
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
(define (only qualifying? elements)
  (match elements
    ('()
     ′())
    ('(,first .,rest)
     (if (qualifying? first)
         '(, first . , (only qualifying? rest))
```

```
(define (numbers-from n)
  `(,n ., (numbers-from (+ n 1))))
(define numbers (numbers-from 0))
(define (only qualifying? elements)
  (match elements
    ('()
     ′())
    ('(,first .,rest)
     (if (qualifying? first)
         '(, first . , (only qualifying? rest))
       (only qualifying? rest)))))
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
  (if (= n 0)
      ′()
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
  (if (= n 0)
      ′()
    (let (('(,first .,rest) elements))
       `(,first .,(initial (- n 1) rest)))))
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
  (if (= n 0)
    (let (('(,first .,rest) elements))
       `(,first .,(initial (- n 1) rest)))))
(define (sum numbers)
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
  (if (= n 0)
    (let (('(,first .,rest) elements))
       `(,first .,(initial (- n 1) rest)))))
(define (sum numbers)
  (match numbers
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
  (if (= n 0)
    (let (('(,first .,rest) elements))
       `(,first .,(initial (- n 1) rest)))))
(define (sum numbers)
  (match numbers
    ('()
    0)
```

```
(define (initial n elements)
  (if (= n 0)
    (let (('(,first .,rest) elements))
       `(,first .,(initial (- n 1) rest)))))
(define (sum numbers)
  (match numbers
    ('()
    0)
    ('(,number .,other-numbers)
     (+ number (sum other-numbers)))))
```

```
(sum
  (map square
          (initial 7
                (only prime? numbers))))
```

```
(sum
  (map square
          (initial 7
                (only prime?'(0 1 2 3 4 5 6 ...)))))
```

```
(sum
(map square
'(2 3 5 7 11 13 19)))
```

```
(sum '(4 9 25 49 121 169 361))
```

```
(sum
'(4 9 25 49 121 169 361))
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
   ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
   ...)
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
   ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
   ...)
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
  ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
  ...)
: outer context = 'variable' has its original value.
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
  ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
  ...)
: outer context = 'variable' has its original value.
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
  ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
  ...)
:: outer context - 'variable' has its original value
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
  ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
  ...)
: outer context = 'variable' has its original value
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
   ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
   ...)
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
  ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
  ...)
```

Myth #6: binding and assignment are the same thing

- definition creates a new binding in the current scope (define variable value)
- assignment changes the value bound by a variable in the current scope

```
(set! variable new-value)
```

```
(let ((variable some-value))
  ;; any outer binding of 'variable' is shadowed here
   ...)
;; outer context - 'variable' has its original value
```

How can you change the meaning of a word?

- by explaining the new meaning to everyone
- by modifying the structure of the brains of all the people

How can you change the meaning of a word?

- by explaining the new meaning to everyone
- by modifying the structure of the brains of all the people

How can you change the meaning of a word?

- by explaining the new meaning to everyone
- by modifying the structure of the brains of all the people

How can you change the meaning of a word?

- by explaining the new meaning to everyone
- by modifying the structure of the brains of all the people

How can you change the meaning of a word?

- by explaining the new meaning to everyone
- by modifying the structure of the brains of all the people

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

>>>
$$x = 5$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

>>>
$$x = 5$$
>>> $x = x + 3$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow x = x + 3$$

$$>>>$$
 x = 5

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>>$$
 x = 5

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>>$$
 x = 5

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>>$$
 x $+= 3$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

Let's see...

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

8

>>>
$$x = 5$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

>>>
$$x = x + 3$$

>>>
$$x = 5$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

Let's see...

$$>>> x = 5$$

>>>
$$x = x + 3$$

8

>>>
$$x = 5$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

Let's see...

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

8

>>>
$$x = 5$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>>$$
 x = 5

>>>
$$x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

>>>
$$x = 5$$

>>>
$$x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

>>>
$$x = 5$$

>>>
$$x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

>>>
$$x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

>>>
$$x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

Interference test

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

5

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

3

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>> x += 3$$



Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x = x + 3$$

$$>>> x = 5$$

$$>>> x += 3$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$

Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$

Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Is x += y a shorthand for x = x + y?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$

$$[1, 2, 3, 4, 5] \# oops!$$



Is
$$x += y$$
 a shorthand for $x = x + y$?

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x = x + [4, 5]$$

$$>>> x = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$>>> x += [4, 5]$$



Problems with mutability

```
>>> def f(x={}):
       return x
```

Problems with mutability

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
```

Problems with mutability

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
>>> x
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
>>> x
{'a': 5}
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
>>> x
{'a': 5}
>>> v = f()
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
   return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
>>> x
{'a': 5}
>>> v = f()
>>> y
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
... return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
>>> x
{'a': 5}
>>> v = f()
>>> y
{'a': 5} # oops!
```

```
>>> def f(x={}):
... return x
>>> x = f()
>>> x
{ }
>>> x['a'] = 5
>>> x
{'a': 5}
>>> v = f()
>>> y
{'a': 5} # oops!
```

- functional package managers (NIX, Guix)
- Git
- history (can't be undone)

- functional package managers (NIX, Guix)
- Git
- history (can't be undone)

- functional package managers (NIX, Guix)
- Git
- history (can't be undone)

- functional package managers (NIX, Guix)
- Git
- history (can't be undone)

No silver bullets

Myth #7: Functional Programming is a silver bullet

No silver bullets

Myth #7: Functional Programming is a silver bullet

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do



Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do



Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do



Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do



Advantages:

- code is easier to read and refactor and less prone to errors
- no control flow means more flexible interpretation
- better multicore optimization
- we don't care what the computer will do

- may cause performance penalties
- difficult to reason about resource usage
- often leads smug programmers to awkward abstractions
- we don't know what the computer will do

Thanks for your attention!

Questions? @PaniczGodek