Robotics Science & Systems — 2014 Review Form

General Evaluation Section

- 1. Please provide the technical review summary: technical contributions, strengths, and weaknesses. (text box)
- 2. If this paper describes a new system (in software or hardware) that combines existing and possibly new components, please comment on the quality of integration and the capabilities of the system as a whole. (~100 words) (text box)
- 3. Please describe the three most interesting aspects of this paper. (~100 words) (text box)

Qualitative Evaluation Section

- 4. Technical Strength: Is the paper technically sound? (multiple choice)
- 5. Evaluation of Results: Are the claims well supported (by experimental evaluation or proofs)? *(multiple choice)*
- 6. Significance and Relevance: Is the community likely to use the results? (multiple choice)
- 7. References to Prior Work. (multiple choice)
- 8. Clarity: Is the paper well organized and clearly written? (multiple choice)
- 9. Originality: Does this work contain new problems or approaches? Does it combine existing methods in novel ways? If the paper is a systems paper, this question refers to the system as a whole. *(multiple choice)*
- 10. Please justify below any low marks in Questions 4–9. Also include any additional comments to the authors (including structural or text errors). (*text box*)
- 11. Comments to committee (text box)

Quantitative Evaluation Section

- 12. Quality Score: This score reflects the overall quality of the paper. (multiple choice)
- 13. Impact Score: This score is independent of the Quality Score and will be used when other scores are less informative. (*multiple choice*)
- 14. Explanation of Your Overall Recommendation Please explain in 1–2 paragraphs the key considerations that have led to your Quality (Question #12) and Impact Score (Question #13). Your answer to this question is extremely important. Please note that the Rebuttal Form asks the authors to comment on your answer to this question. (*text box*)
- 15. Confidence level (*multiple choice*)
- 16. Should be nominated for the best paper award? (multiple choice)